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## Foreword

The 2010/11 Integrated Household Living Conditions Survey, EICV3 (Enquête Intégrale sur les Conditions de Vie des Ménages), is the third in the series of surveys which started in 2000/01 and is designed to monitor poverty and living conditions. The survey fieldwork commenced in November 2010 and continued for one full year. In 2010/11, for the first time the achieved sample size of 14,308 households in the EICV3 was sufficient to provide estimates which are reliable at the level of the district.

To date, two publications have been issued by the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR) using EICV3 data: a report with an overview of main indicators and a poverty profile. The present report is one of a series of 10 further documents that each explores in depth a theme from the Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy (EDPRS) using data from EICV3 and a limited number of other sources. The objective is to provide analysis that will contribute to the understanding of the sector and to support the elaboration of Rwanda's Second EDPRS.

The 10 thematic reports in this series are: (i) Economic Activity; (ii) Utilities and Amenities (water/sanitation/energy/housing/ transport/ICT); (iii) Social Protection; (iv) Environment and Natural Resources; (v) Consumption; (vi) Gender; (vii) Youth; (viii) Education; (ix) Agriculture; and (x) Income.

This report also draws on information contained in the Labour Market and Economic Trends in Rwanda report from August 2007, which reported on the EICV2 survey, and the Establishment Census of 2011. The report also includes some text from the Main Indicators Report of the EICV3 and makes some revisions to the data published there as result of deeper analysis of the data.
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## Methodological notes for readers

## Urban and rural classification in the EICV3 data

Although the sampling frame for the EICV3 was based on an updated frame of villages, the urban and rural classification of the villages in the EICV3 data is based on the corresponding geographic designations from the 2002 Rwanda Census of Population and Housing. Since the EICV2 sample design was based on the sampling frame from the 2002 census, this urban/ rural classification in the EICV3 data makes it possible to directly compare the urban and rural results from the EICV2 and EICV3 data. However, the urban/rural codes in the EICV3 data do not represent the current status of these villages, so it is important that users understand how to interpret the urban and rural results from the data. For example, since the urban classification was mapped directly from the 2002 geographic structure of Rwanda, the estimated total urban population from the survey data will not represent the expected urban expansion of the population. It is even possible that the estimate of the percentage of the population that is urban from the EICV3 data is slightly less than that from the EICV2 data because of sampling variability.

The initial urban/rural classification of the villages in the EICV3 sampling frame was determined at the level of the old sectors. In the 2002 Rwanda census frame, 1,545 sectors were defined for Rwanda. Under the new geographic structure these were reconfigured into 416 new sectors. Each of the 2002 sectors was classified as either urban or rural, and all the zones de dénombrement within the sector were given the corresponding urban/rural code. A spreadsheet was compiled showing the geographic correspondence between the 2002 sectors and the current sectors. When all the old sectors corresponding to a new sector were either urban or rural, the corresponding classification was assigned to all the villages in this sector. However, in the case of new sectors that are composed of both urban and rural old sectors, the villages were assigned a code of 3 for 'mixed'. The EICV3 sampling frame of villages for each district was ordered by urban, mixed and rural classifications in order to provide implicit stratification and a proportional allocation of the sample to each of these groups. For EICV3 there were 106 sample villages in new sectors classified as mixed, for which it was necessary to have a special cartographic operation to determine the urban/rural classification. The file with the GPS coordinates of each EICV3 sample village was used to pinpoint the exact old sector where the village was located. In this way, it was possible to obtain the 2002 urban/rural classification for all the villages in the EICV3 sample.

The NISR is currently updating the urban and rural classification of all villages in preparation for the 2012 Rwanda census. Once these urban/rural codes have been finalised, it will be possible to merge these codes into the EICV3 data file so that the sample can be post-stratified and tabulated by the current urban and rural classification. This will not affect the weights in the survey data, which are based on the probabilities of selection. It is important to tabulate the urban and rural results using the new codes in order to represent the current distribution of the population and their characteristics (for the reference period of EICV3). However, the 2002 urban/rural codes should also be kept in the EICV3 data file for comparing the results to EICV2.

## Estimates at the provincial urban/rural level

Readers should be aware that the urban component of the rural provinces is very small, as is the rural component of Kigali City. Estimates are not presented for these provincial urban and rural domains as they would be affected by large sampling errors.

Readers are recommended to check carefully the sampling errors presented in the annexes.
The tables below show the unweighted sample sizes at provincial level for urban and rural domains.

| EICV3 | Urban/rural |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Kigali City | 1,177 | 171 | 1,348 |
| Southern Province | 492 | 3,348 | 3,840 |
| Western Province | 204 | 3,156 | 3,360 |
| Northern Province | 132 | 2,268 | 2,400 |
| Eastern Province | 144 | 3,216 | 3,360 |
| Total | 2,149 | 12,159 | 14,308 |


| EICV2 | Urban/rural |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Kigali City | 954 | 72 | 1,026 |
| Southern Province | 279 | 1,428 | 1,707 |
| Western Province | 153 | 1,500 | 1,653 |
| Northern Province | 135 | 924 | 1,059 |
| Eastern province | 99 | 1,356 | 1,455 |
| Total | 1,620 | 5,280 | 6,900 |

## Quintiles and poverty classifications

The results are presented by quintile. Quintiles are developed by sorting the sample of households by annual consumption values, and dividing the population into five equal shares. The $20 \%$ of individuals with the highest annual consumption are allocated to quintile 5 , and the $20 \%$ of individuals with the lowest levels of annual consumption are allocated to quintile 1. The poorest households and their members are found in quintile 1 and the richest are found in quintile 5 . Those around the poverty line are found in quintile 3.

Consumption is used as a proxy for income, as is usual when estimating poverty. The reader should refer to the report on theEvolution of Poverty in Rwanda from2000 to 2011 for further information on this topic.

## Executive summary

## Demographic issues

Females outnumber males in Rwanda by around half a million people, and this holds across all provinces. There are around 111 females for every 100 males in the population. Although in the youngest cohort females only slightly outnumber males, from adulthood onwards the ratio increases steeply until at ages over 65 there are 154 women to every 100 men. Only in Kigali City do males outnumber females in any age cohort.

## Female-headed households

In Rwanda, some $28 \%$ of households are permanently headed by females.As a percentage of all households there has been a $1 \%$ reduction, although the absolute number of female-headed households has risen by around 350,000 . These household heads are much older than their male counterparts and over $70 \%$ of them are widows. These households contain many grandchildren; $16 \%$ of all persons in female-headed households are grandchildren compared with just $3 \%$ in male-headed households. The parents of these grandchildren are often resident in other places.

In addition to permanently female-headed households, there are a further $6 \%$ of households where the male heads were away for more than three months out of the previous 12 months. The average time away is nine months. These we term de facto female-headed households; the permanent male heads tend to be in detention, in military service or working away from home. These households are more like their male-headed counterparts, but tend to be poorer than both the de jure femaleheaded households and male-headed households.

## Poverty rates of female-headed households

Looking at their poverty status, female-headed households are only slightly more likely to be poor than their male-headed household counterparts, with $47.0 \%$ of female-headed households poor compared with $44.9 \%$ of all households. The percentage of all households in poverty fell by 12 percentage points over the previous five years, compared with 13 percentage points for female-headed households. It therefore seems that poverty has been falling at the same rate for male- and femaleheaded households. De facto female-headed households had a higher poverty rate than other households at 51\%.

## Ownership of assets

The housing conditions of female-headed households are similar to those of male-headed households. One of the most noticeable differences in the ownership of durables between male- and female-headed households is ownership of telephones. Female-headed households were much less likely to own a telephone with only $35 \%$ of them doing so, compared with $49 \%$ of male-headed households. Indeed, female-headed households (both types) have lower access to durables than their maleheaded counterparts. Notable differences can be found with radios, mobile phones, beds, tables, chairs and bicycles

## Agricultural activities and assets

Just over two-thirds of households in Rwanda keep livestock, with female-headed households almost five percentage points less likely to own livestock than their male counterparts. For households that owned animals, ownership was similar for all livestock except thatfemale-headed households were much less likely to raise cattle, except in Eastern Province.

Land ownership was similar in male- and female-headed households, except male heads were more likely to have acquired land in the previous 12 months, which may be connected to the much older age profile of female heads. Female heads were more likely to have rented or sharecropped land.

The EICV data on land ownership are misleading as provision is made on the questionnaire for only one owner. $91 \%$ of female heads and $79 \%$ of male heads reported owning land. Very few other household members own land. However, the land registration system allows for joint and family registration in most cases, although this is not captured in the EICV.

Given the limitations of the data on ownership mentioned above,the report describes the crops grown by the sex of the declared plot owner. The crops grown are very similar for male- and female-owned plots and it was found that men and
women were equally affected by land consolidation, crop regionalisation and measures to protect land from erosion.Almost twice the proportion of adult men sell small crops regularly or occasionally compared with adult women. Half of all adult men and three-quarters of adult women are not the designated cultivators of crops.

## Economic activity

Almost $90 \%$ of female heads of household work in agriculture compared with $62 \%$ of male heads. Furthermore, almost threequarters of all women work in agriculture compared with around $60 \%$ of all men. Women are much less likely to have paid non-farm work. There are almost 2 million female small-scale farm workers compared with just over 1.1 million men. Moreover, there seems to be a route out of farming for men which is more difficult for women; over the past five years there has been a fall in the number of men working in agriculture but a rise in the number of women doing so. Men have benefited much more from the growth in non-farm jobs.

Women are highly concentrated in the agricultural sector, with some $82 \%$ of women working in agricultural occupations compared with $61 \%$ of men. The occupations in which women find work outside agriculture are sales and commerce, where similar proportions of men and women work. Men find work outside farming as drivers and machine operators or in semiskilled occupations. Women seem to have much less access to this kind of occupation.

## Time use

Almost all women spend time on cooking and on domestic chores around the home. About half of them also carry out domestic work classified by the International Labour Organisation as an economic activity, including foraging firewood, searching for animal fodder and fetching water. Over half of all women do these tasks and the time spent on them averages 11 hours per week.In all, women spend 20 hours a week on domestic tasks compared with men who spend just nine hours. While men work an average of 31 hours a week in their paid or for profit jobs, women work just 24 hours; however, when time at work and time on domestic duties are summed, then women work 51 hours a week compared with men who do just 40 hours of work per week.

A clear gender role emerges with children and young people. Boys and girls under 10 do equal amounts of domestic work, but as the children grow older girls do more hours than boys. By the age of 15 girls do almost six hours more domestic work than boys.

## Income

Female-headed households derive a higher share of their income from agriculture than male-headed households, deriving a lower share of their income from wages or business activities. Female-headed households also rely more on public and private transfers when compared to male-headed households. Such transfers constitute on average $12 \%$ of the income for femaleheaded households, but only $9 \%$ for male-headed households.

## Cultural findings

The Rwandan Demographic and Health Survey (RDHS)provides findings on women's empowerment indicating a reasonably high level of independence among Rwandese women. Around $74 \%$ of women make decisions about their own health care, $71 \%$ about major purchases and over $80 \%$ participate in their own decisions to visit their families or relatives. Working and older women show slightly higher levels of participation. Just less than $11 \%$ do not participate in these decisions, and these women tend to be young, not employed and living outside Kigali.

Decisions about how a woman's cash earnings are spent tend to be decided jointly between husband and wife (60-70\%); this is also the case for decisions around the husbands' earnings. Around two-thirds of women earn less than their husbands and this is regardless of their own level of education; for example, for women with secondary level or higher education only $14 \%$ earn more than their husbands.

Men were asked to give the circumstances when a husband would be justified in hitting or beating his wife. Younger men were much more likely to think that it was justified.

## Education

Attendance rates for both primary and secondary levels are accelerating faster for girls than they are for boys. Attendance is an important indicator, but educational outcomes should also be taken into account. The EICV does not ask about students' performance in school but information from the Ministry of Education(MINEDUC) does provide information on this topic. The results show boys outperforming girls in most subjects other than Kinyarwanda.

## Literacy and computer skills

Female-headed households were less literate than their male counterparts; however, the much older age profile is a factor for female heads. The younger de facto female household heads had literacy rates more similar to their male counterparts, albeit still considerably lower. Taking into account all persons over the age of six, women are less likely to be able to read and write. They are also less likely to have used a computer or be able to perform a written calculation.
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## 1 Demographic issues affecting gender

### 1.1 Demographic information and sex ratios

The population of Rwandagrew from 9.5 million people in 2005/06 to an estimated 10.8 million in 2010/11. ${ }^{1} 5.1$ million of the resident household population is male and 5.67 million is female, meaning Rwandan females outnumber males by half a million people.

Figure 1.1 Age and sex pyramid: Rwanda 2010/11


Females outnumber males in all the provinces but less so in Kigali City. Nationally, for every 100 males there are 111 females. This ratio changes through the age groups, as is normally observed in populations,as more girls are born than boys. Children show a ratio of girls to boys of about 102; however, by early adulthood this has accelerated to 114 females for every 100 males, and by middle age this ratio has reached 120 plus. Finally, for those in old age, over 65 years, there are 154 women to every 100 men.

Figure 1.2 Males and females by province


[^0]Table 1.1 Number of females per 100 males, by province and age group

|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 106 | 104 | 103 | 96 | 103 | 102 |
| 5-9 | 95 | 101 | 104 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 10-14 | 92 | 101 | 107 | 115 | 94 | 103 |
| 15-19 | 143 | 103 | 100 | 111 | 87 | 103 |
| 20-24 | 113 | 99 | 124 | 119 | 116 | 114 |
| 25-29 | 95 | 117 | 132 | 118 | 118 | 117 |
| 30-34 | 90 | 125 | 126 | 121 | 123 | 119 |
| 35-39 | 87 | 131 | 122 | 141 | 133 | 125 |
| 40-44 | 107 | 136 | 117 | 109 | 106 | 116 |
| 45-49 | 100 | 120 | 137 | 135 | 125 | 125 |
| 50-54 | 91 | 138 | 136 | 99 | 113 | 120 |
| 55-59 | 156 | 148 | 139 | 150 | 133 | 143 |
| 60-64 | 164 | 147 | 110 | 85 | 133 | 122 |
| 65+ | 192 | 165 | 142 | 158 | 141 | 154 |
| Total | 105 | 112 | 114 | 113 | 107 | 111 |

Source: EICV3

### 1.1.2 District sex ratios

The district sex ratios are presented in the annex to this report.

### 1.2 Female-headed households

### 1.2.1 Changes in the last five years

A classification of households by the sex of the head is the most common gender disaggregation of survey data. In Rwanda, some $28 \%$ of households are permanently headed by females, a proportion which has fallen by $1 \%$ since 2005/06. Femaleheaded households are most common in Southern Province and least common in Kigali City.

Table 1.2 Vulnerable householdheads

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type of vulnerability |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. of } \\ \text { HHs } \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Type of vulnerability |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. of } \\ \text { HHs } \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Women | Disabled | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Under } \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Women | Disabled | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Under } \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| All Rwanda |  | 27.7 | 10.3 | . 9 | 2,253 | 28.6 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 1,892 |
| Province | Kigali City | 23.6 | 4.6 | . 9 | 223 | 27.0 | 7.6 | . 8 | 177 |
|  | Southern Province | 30.5 | 12.1 | . 5 | 549 | 30.4 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 499 |
|  | Western Province | 28.7 | 11.8 | 1.1 | 528 | 28.8 | 8.4 | 1.7 | 448 |
|  | Northern Province | 25.1 | 12.1 | . 9 | 411 | 28.2 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 347 |
|  | Eastern Province | 27.5 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 542 | 27.4 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 421 |
| Urban/ <br> rural | Urban | 25.7 | 7.0 | . 9 | 331 | 29.5 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 311 |
|  | Rural | 28.0 | 10.9 | . 9 | 1,922 | 28.5 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 1,581 |
|  | Q1 | 29.7 | 12.3 | . 4 | 381 | 33.5 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 329 |
|  | Q2 | 28.3 | 10.7 | . 4 | 415 | 29.9 | 8.6 | . 8 | 353 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 26.8 | 10.1 | . 9 | 448 | 28.3 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 368 |
|  | Q4 | 28.5 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 490 | 28.3 | 7.7 | 1.6 | 398 |
|  | Q5 | 25.7 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 519 | 24.6 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 444 |

* Vulnerable population includes women, individuals under 21 years of age and people with disabilities Table 1.1.10 from Main Indicators report


### 1.2.2 Hidden or de facto female-headed households

In addition to the $27.7 \%$ of households who reported the household head as female, other households were headed by females in the absence of a male head. We call these households de facto female-headed households. Overall, $6 \%$ of households were temporarily headed by females whose husbands had been away for long periods of time, bringing the total proportion of female-headed households to 34\%.

Table 1.3 shows the sex of the head of household by province.lt shows a much lower incidence of female-headed households in Kigali than the other provinces. The reasons for this are not clear but female-headed households are more dependent on farm jobs than male-headed households, as indeed are all females.Male heads of household are almost twice as likely to be working in non-farm jobs.

Table 1.3 Sex of householdheads

|  | Male <br> headed | Female <br> headed | De facto female <br> headed | TotalNo. of HHs <br> (000s) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kigali City | 71.9 | 23.6 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Southern Province | 62.6 | 30.5 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 549 |
| Western Province | 65.0 | 28.7 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 528 |
| Northern Province | 69.9 | 25.1 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 411 |
| Eastern Province | 67.0 | 27.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 542 |
| Total | 66.5 | 27.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 2,253 |

The average time the male spouse of a household classified as de facto female headed was away was 9.1 months, compared with just one month for the permanently male and female-headed households (see Table 1.4).

From this point on in the analysis, we will either report on these households with long-term absent spouses separately or include them with the female-headed households as appropriate.

Table 1.4 Time householdhead was absent in previous 12 months

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female <br> headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Kigali City | 0.7 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 2.1 |
| Southern Province | 1.2 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 4.7 |
| Western Province | 1.4 | 2.0 | 9.5 | 4.8 |
| Northern Province | 1.7 | 1.7 | 8.0 | 4.0 |
| Eastern Province | 0.6 | 0.6 | 8.8 | 2.2 |
| Total | 1.0 | 1.0 | 9.1 | 3.4 |

The reasons the male heads were absent in these de facto female-headed households was most often because of detention or compulsory service (41\%) or due to work (20\%) (seeTable 1.5). For all absences in all households the main reason was family visits or seasonal work, but these absences were much shorter.

Table 1.5 Absence and reason for time away by sex of householdhead

|  | Male <br> headed | Female <br> headed | De facto <br> female headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Head absent from HHin the last 12 months |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 15.6 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 19.4 |
| No | 84.4 | 88.4 | 0.0 | 80.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Main reason of absence from HHin the last 12 months |  |  |  |  |
| Visit friend or family | 35.8 | 47.2 | 2.1 | 27.5 |
| Seasonal work | 37.7 | 4.6 | 19.7 | 26.8 |
| Detention or compulsory service | 4.2 | 2.3 | 41.1 | 15.0 |
| Working away now | 7.3 | 1.2 | 28.2 | 12.6 |
| Health care | 3.7 | 21.3 | 0.8 | 5.7 |
| Other | 3.7 | 12.8 | 4.9 | 5.6 |
| Training | 4 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 2.9 |
| Attend ceremony | 1.9 | 4.9 | 0 | 1.8 |
| Studies | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Unexplained absence | 0.7 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Source: EICV3

### 1.3 Characteristics of female household heads

Female heads of households are much older than their male counterparts. $24 \%$ of female-headed households have heads over 65 years of age, compared with just $9 \%$ of male-headed households and $6 \%$ of households with de facto female heads. $39 \%$ of male heads are under 35 years of age, compared with just $13 \%$ of female heads. The dominant characteristic of female-headed households is their older age profile. The marital status of female heads corresponds with the age profile, with some $70 \%$ of them being widows. $16 \%$ of female heads are separated from their husbands and $9 \%$ are single. The single and separated female heads comprise some $25 \%$ of female-headed households and are almost all under 65 years of age.

The de facto female-headedhouseholds are more similar to the male-headed households, in that three-quarters are married and with a similar although slightly older age profile.

Figure 1.3 Age profile of female-headed HHs (\% of each type)


Table 1.6 Age of male and female household heads

| Age group | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| $20-24$ | $6.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | $17.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| $30-34$ | $16.1 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| $35-39$ | $12.6 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| $40-44$ | $10.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| $45-49$ | $9.4 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| $50-54$ | $8.5 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| $55-59$ | $6.1 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $4.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| 65 and above | $8.7 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: EICV3, heads of households. Notes: (1) De facto female heads are households with a male head absent for more than threemonths in the previous 12 months; (2) an estimated 6,600 female heads were absent from home for more than three months - this is $0.3 \%$ of all female heads and too small to tabulate.

Table 1.7 Marital status of householdheads by sex

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Marital status | Married monogamously | 70.2 | 1.0 | 75.8 |
|  | Married polygamously | 4.5 | 1.9 | 7.3 |
|  | Living together | 16.9 | 1.4 | 16.9 |
|  | Divorced | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
|  | Separated | 1.2 | 15.6 | 0.0 |
| Single | 5.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Widow or widower | 2.2 | 70.3 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

[^1]
## 1.4

While the profile of female heads is elderly, that of the members of their households is very similar to the rest of the population. Female-headed households contain just $7 \%$ fewer children aged under 14, but slightly more adults and a higher proportion of the elderly. Households with absent male heads are similar to male-headed households.

Female-headed households are smaller in size than their male-headed counterparts by an average of 1.2 of a person (unsurprising as there is no male spouse). However, they contain many more grandchildren, with $16 \%$ of household members being grandchildren compared with just $3 \%$ of members in male-headed households. De facto female-headed households are similar in composition to male-headed households.

Table 1.8 Age composition of householdsby sex of head

| Age group | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | Total <br> Estimate of <br> population <br> (000s) <br> $\mathbf{0 - 1 4}$$\quad 44.7$ | 36.3 | 44.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-34$ | 34.3 | 38.2 | 31.8 | 3.8 | 4,606 |  |
| $35-64$ | 18.5 | 19.0 | 22.1 | 18.9 | 3,765 |  |
| $65+$ | 2.5 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2,030 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 361 |  |

Source: EICV3

Table $1.9 \quad$ Householdsize (mean number of persons), by province and sex of head

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Kigali City | 4.8 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 4.7 |
| Southern Province | 4.9 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 4.6 |
| Western Province | 5.2 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 4.9 |
| Northern Province | 5.2 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| Eastern Province | 5.1 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 4.8 |
| Total | 5.1 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.8 |

Source: EICV3, de facto female heads treated as female-headed HHs.
Table $1.10 \quad$ Householdcomposition, by sex of head

| Relationship to head | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Child | 52.5 | 47.1 | 54.7 | 51.4 |
| Head | 19.7 | 25.8 | 17.7 | 20.9 |
| Spouse | 17.8 | 0.6 | 17.4 | 14.0 |
| Grandchild | 3.0 | 16.4 | 2.8 | 6.0 |
| Other relation or inlaw | 2.0 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Servant | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Sister/brother | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Unrelated | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Adopted child | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Parent | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| No information | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: EICV3. Note: composition estimates for defacto female-headed households include the absent head.

As mentioned above, households permanently headed by females contain many grandchildren. It is estimated that 576,000 children under the age of 18 live in households headed by their grandparents. Of those grandchildren, $63 \%$ live in households headed by their grandmother and $37 \%$ in households headed by their grandfather.

Around $21 \%$ of these grandchildren are orphans having lost one or both parents. Around half of the children have both parents alive but residing elsewhere, and yet another $29 \%$ live with their mother in the grandparent's household while the father lives elsewhere.

Table 1.11 Grandchildren living in householdsheaded by their grandparent, bystatus of parents

|  | Grandfatherheaded | Grandmotherheaded | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lives with grandparent, but both parents also reside in HH | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lives with grandparent, but mother also lives in HH (father lives elsewhere) | 24.2 | 31.6 | 28.9 |
| Lives with grandparent, but mother also lives in HH (father deceased) | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| Lives with grandparent, but father also lives in HH (mother lives elsewhere) | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Lives with grandparent, but father also lives in HH (mother deceased) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Lives alone with grandparent because both parents deceased | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| Lives alone with grandparent because both parents live elsewhere | 52.9 | 44.6 | 47.6 |
| Lives alone with grandparent because father lives elsewhere and mother is deceased | 5.5 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| Lives alone with grandparent because mother lives elsewhere and father is deceased | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total number of grandchildren under 18 living in HH headed by their grandparent (000s) | 212 | 364 | 576 |

Source: EICV3. Based onHH members categorised as grandchildren and aged under 18. Note: Defacto female-headedHHs are grouped together with femaleheadedHHs since sample size for the former is too small to produce reliable estimates.

## 2 Poverty incidence of female-headed householdsPoverty incidence

### 2.1 Poverty incidence

Looking at their poverty status, female-headed households are only slightly more likely to be poor than their male-headed household counterparts, with $47.0 \%$ of female-headed households poor compared with $44.9 \%$ of all households. Poverty levels have declined among female-headed households since EICV2 by 13 percentage points. The percentage of all households in poverty fell by 12 percentage points over the previous five years, compared with $13 \%$ of female-headed households.It therefore seems that poverty has been falling at the same rate for male- and female-headed households.

Table 2.1 Poverty levels, by vulnerability of householdhead

|  | EICV1 |  | EICV2 |  | EICV3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population share | Poverty level | Population share | Poverty level | Population share | Poverty level |
| Femaleheaded | 27.6 | 66.3 | 23.8 | 60.2 | 22.5 | 47.0 |
| Widowheaded | 22.0 | 67.7 | 18.7 | 59.9 | 15.9 | 45.9 |
| Childheaded* | 1.3 | 60.1 | 0.7 | 56.9 | 0.4 | 35.0 |
| All households | 100 | 60.4 | 100 | 56.9 | 100 | 44.9 |

Source: Main Indicators report. Note: Sample size for child-headed HHs is very small, so the poverty level estimates for this group should be interpreted with caution.

Taking into account households whose male heads are absent we find a slightly different picture.De facto female-headed households, where the male head was absent for more than three months in the previous 12 months, were poorer than permanently female-headed households and were much more likely to be extremely poor.

Table 2.2 Poverty levels, by sex of household head

|  | Extremely poor | Poverty status |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $22.5 \%$ | Poor | Non-poor | Total |
| Maleheaded | $26.0 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Femaleheaded | $34.3 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| De facto female headed | $24.1 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| All HH | $20.8 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Source: EICV3; de facto female-headedHHs are those HHs where a married male head is absent for more than three months in the previous year.

As seen in section 1, female-headed households are more likely to be found in the rural areas outside Kigali. However, poverty estimates at the urban/rural level are not available as the sample size for urban female-headed households is too small to produce reliable results.

## 3 Ownership of assetsHousing

### 3.1 Housing

The pattern of home ownership is similar for males and females, with female-headed households more likely to be provided with a home free of charge than other households.

Table 3.1 Current occupancy status, by sex of householdhead

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Owner-occupier | $82.6 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| Mortgaged | $.7 \%$ | $.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $.7 \%$ |
| Tenancy (renting) | $11.3 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| Dwelling provided by employer | $.4 \%$ | $.2 \%$ | $.7 \%$ | $.4 \%$ |
| Dwelling provided free of charge | $4.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Temporary camp | $.3 \%$ | $.6 \%$ | $.5 \%$ | $.4 \%$ |
| Other | $.1 \%$ | $.3 \%$ |  | $.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: EICV3.

The materials used for roofing are very similar for all types of headship with female heads being a little more likely to have the thatched roofs which were being replaced nationally during the period of the EICV3 fieldwork.

The material used for walls is a little more likely to be mud-covered tree trunks in the case of female-headed households, while floors were more likely to be of beaten earth.

Table 3.2 Main roofing material, by sex of householdhead

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female <br> headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Thatch or leaves | $1.9 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Metal sheets | $54.8 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ |
| Clay tiles | $42.2 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ |
| Plastic or plywood | $.9 \%$ | $.8 \%$ | $.4 \%$ | $.8 \%$ |
| Other | $.2 \%$ | $.2 \%$ |  | $.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: EICV3.

Table 3.3 Main construction material of exterior wall by sex of householdhead
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & \text { Male headed } & \text { Female headed } & \text { De facto female } \\ \text { headed }\end{array}\right]$ Total

Source: EICV3.

Table 3.4 Main floor material, by sex of householdhead

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beaten earth | $77.6 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ |
| Hardened dung | $2.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Clay tiles | $.6 \%$ | $.2 \%$ | $.3 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |
| Cement | $17.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| Bricks | $1.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Other | $.4 \%$ | $.2 \%$ | $.1 \%$ | $.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: EICV3.

### 3.2 Ownership of household durables

One of the most noticeable differences in the ownership of durables between male and female-headed households is ownership of telephones. Female-headed households were much less likely to own a mobile phone, with only $35 \%$ doing so compared to $49 \%$ of male-headed households.

Female-headed households (both types) have lower access to durables than their male-headed counterparts. Notable differences can be found with radios, mobile phones, beds, tables, chairs and bicycles (see Table 3.5).

Table 3.5 Ownership of householdgoods, by sex of household head

|  | Male <br> headed | Female <br> headed | De facto female <br> headed | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Living room suite | None | 84.7 | 90.3 | 81.8 | 86.1 |
| Refrigerator | One | 13.8 | 8.8 | 16.6 | 12.6 |
| Two or more | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |  |
| Freezer | None | 98.4 | 99.1 | 98.2 | 98.6 |
|  | One | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
|  | Two or more | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | None | 99.8 | 99.8 | 98.9 | 99.7 |
|  | One | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 |


| Radio | None | 34.1 | 53.5 | 38.8 | 39.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One | 60.9 | 44.1 | 56.9 | 56 |
|  | Two or more | 5 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Cassette radio | None | 89.8 | 96.2 | 91 | 91.6 |
|  | One | 9.4 | 3.5 | 8 | 7.7 |
|  | Two or more | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.7 |
| TV Set | None | 92.4 | 96.6 | 92.2 | 93.6 |
|  | One | 7.1 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 6 |
|  | Two or more | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Decoder | None | 97.7 | 99.2 | 98 | 98.2 |
|  | One | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2 | 1.8 |
|  | Two or more | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Satellite dish | None | 99.3 | 99.8 | 99.5 | 99.4 |
|  | One | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Two or more | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Video or DVD player | None | 94.2 | 97.6 | 94.4 | 95.1 |
|  | One | 5.4 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
|  | Two or more | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Computer and accessories | None | 97.9 | 99.2 | 98.2 | 98.3 |
|  | One | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
|  | Two or more | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Music system | None | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
|  | One | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telephone landline | None | 99 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 99.2 |
|  | One | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
|  | Two or more | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Mobile telephone | None | 51.0 | 64.9 | 50.6 | 54.8 |
|  | One | 28.3 | 24.2 | 24.6 | 26.9 |
|  | Two or more | 20.7 | 10.9 | 24.8 | 18.2 |
| Cooker | None | 99.1 | 99.7 | 99.3 | 99.3 |
|  | One | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
|  | Two or more | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Laundry machine | None | 99.9 | 100 | 100 | 99.9 |
|  | One | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Electric fan | None | 99.7 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
|  | One | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Air conditioner | None | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | One | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Sewing machine | None | 97.9 | 98.3 | 97.8 | 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.7 |
|  | Two or more | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Bed | None | 27.7 | 41.5 | 27.2 | 31.5 |
|  | One | 35.5 | 26.9 | 32.8 | 32.9 |
|  | Two or more | 36.8 | 31.6 | 40.1 | 35.5 |
| Cupboard | None | 89.5 | 92.4 | 86.9 | 90.1 |
|  | One | 8.6 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 8 |
|  | Two or more | 2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| Bookcase | None | 96.8 | 98.1 | 96.8 | 97.1 |
|  | One | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
|  | Two or more | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Table | None | 35 | 44.2 | 34.4 | 37.5 |
|  | One | 45.3 | 41.3 | 44.6 | 44.1 |
|  | Two or more | 19.7 | 14.5 | 21.1 | 18.3 |
| Chair | None | 10.9 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 10.8 |
|  | One | 16.4 | 21.9 | 16.8 | 18 |
|  | Two or more | 72.6 | 67.4 | 73.7 | 71.2 |
| Car | None | 99 | 99.7 | 98.5 | 99.2 |
|  | One | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
|  | Two or more | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minibus | None | 99.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | One | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Motorcycle | None | 98.9 | 100 | 99.5 | 99.3 |
|  | One | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Boat | None | 99.9 | 100 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
|  | One | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bicycle | None | 83.1 | 94.7 | 88.7 | 86.6 |
|  | One | 15.8 | 4.9 | 10.9 | 12.5 |
|  | Two or more | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 |

Base: EICV3. Notes:data on vehicles exclude business vehicles or those used for a taxi service.

Table 3.6 presents further detail about ownership of durables among female-headed households (both types). It shows how female-headed households differ across the five provinces.

Table 3.6 Ownership of householdgoods among female-headed households, by province

|  |  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living room suite | None | 50.4 | 94.2 | 91.8 | 94.2 | 89.4 |
|  | One | 42.4 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 9.8 |
|  | Two or more | 7.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Refrigerator | None | 89.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.9 |
|  | One | 10.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Two or more | 0.7 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Freezer | None | 98.9 | 100 | 99.9 | 98.8 | 99.8 |
|  | One | 1.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Radio | None | 49 | 49 | 61 | 50.7 | 43.6 |
|  | One | 47.6 | 48.2 | 37.7 | 47.5 | 51.9 |
|  | Two or more | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.6 |
| Cassette radio | None | 81.7 | 97.4 | 96.2 | 94.8 | 96.9 |
|  | One | 15.7 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 5 | 3 |
|  | Two or more | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| TV Set | None | 69.5 | 98.9 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 98.3 |
|  | One | 28.7 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 2 | 1.7 |
|  | Two or more | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Decoder | None | 90.9 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.7 |
|  | One | 9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 |
|  | Two or more | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Satellite dish | None | 98.5 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 100 | 99.9 |
|  | One | 1.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Video or DVD player | None | 78.7 | 99.1 | 98.1 | 98.2 | 99.2 |
|  | One | 19.8 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
|  | Two or more | 1.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Computer and accessories | None | 91.7 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 100 | 99.7 |
|  | One | 7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 |
|  | Two or more | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Music system | None | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99.3 | 100 |
|  | One | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Telephone landline | None | 97.8 | 99.7 | 99.1 | 99.7 | 99.8 |


|  | One | 2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Two or more | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Mobile telephone | None | 25.8 | 71 | 67.6 | 65.9 | 57.5 |
|  | One | 26.1 | 20.5 | 24 | 24.2 | 28.3 |
|  | Two or more | 48.2 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 14.2 |
| Cooker | None | 97.6 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.6 |
|  | One | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
|  | Two or more | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Laundry machine | None | 99.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | One | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Electric fan | None | 99.4 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.9 |
|  | One | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Air conditioner | None | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | One | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sewing machine | None | 96.3 | 98.9 | 98.8 | 98.1 | 97.4 |
|  | One | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
|  | Two or more | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Bed | None | 20.5 | 43.8 | 38.7 | 40.8 | 39 |
|  | One | 24.3 | 30.9 | 27.4 | 28.3 | 26.1 |
|  | Two or more | 55.3 | 25.3 | 33.8 | 30.9 | 34.9 |
| Cupboard | None | 65.1 | 94.4 | 93.9 | 95.2 | 92.1 |
|  | One | 26.5 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 6.8 |
|  | Two or more | 8.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.1 |
| Bookcase | None | 88.7 | 99.3 | 98.2 | 99.1 | 98.3 |
|  | One | 8.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
|  | Two or more | 2.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Table | None | 43.0 | 45.3 | 40.3 | 38.1 | 44.5 |
|  | One | 34.2 | 41.7 | 45.4 | 43 | 40.4 |
|  | Two or more | 22.8 | 13 | 14.4 | 18.9 | 15.1 |
| Chair | None | 28.1 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 10.8 |
|  | One | 18.7 | 21.2 | 19.8 | 16 | 26.2 |
|  | Two or more | 53.2 | 68.9 | 72.3 | 77.8 | 63.1 |
| Car | None | 95.1 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |


|  | One | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minibus | Two or more | 0.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | None | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Motorcycle | One | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Boat | None | 99.7 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 100 | 99.9 |
|  | One | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Bicycle | Two or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | None | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 |

[^2]
## 4 Agricultural activities and assetsAgricultural activities and assets

### 4.1.1 Ownership of livestock

Just over two-thirds of households in Rwanda keep livestock, with female-headedhouseholds almost five percentage points less likely to own livestock than their male counterparts. In the EICV3 survey, households were asked about eight types of livestock, and female-headed households raised fewer types. In this section, female-headed and de facto female-headed households are combined together because sample sizes at crop, animal and district level are too small to be reliable when the two female-headed categories are used separately.

The next table looks at whether any livestock were raised. At the province level the greatest disparity between male- and female-headed households was in Southern Province. District tables are also available below.

Table 4.1 Any livestock raised, by sex of householdhead, province and district

|  | Male Head |  |  | Female Head |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any | Livestock |  |  | Livestock |  | An | Livestock |
|  | No | Yes | No |  | Yes | No |  | Yes |
| All Rwanda | 30.3 | 69.7 |  | 34.8 | 65.2 |  | 31.8 | 68.2 |
| Kigali City | 66.0 | 34.0 |  | 64.2 | 35.8 |  | 65.5 | 34.5 |
| Southern Province | 24.1 | 75.9 |  | 31.5 | 68.5 |  | 26.9 | 73.1 |
| Western Province | 28.8 | 71.2 |  | 34.5 | 65.5 |  | 30.8 | 69.2 |
| Northern Province | 22.2 | 77.8 |  | 28.0 | 72.0 |  | 23.9 | 76.1 |
| Eastern Province | 28.2 | 71.8 |  | 33.3 | 66.7 |  | 29.9 | 70.1 |
| Nyarugenge | 76.4 | 23.6 |  | 79.2 | 20.8 |  | 77.2 | 22.8 |
| Gasabo | 56.1 | 43.9 |  | 54.2 | 45.8 |  | 55.5 | 44.5 |
| Kicukiro | 70.7 | 29.3 |  | 68.1 | 31.9 |  | 70.0 | 30.0 |
| Nyanza | 27.0 | 73.0 |  | 36.2 | 63.8 |  | 31.0 | 69.0 |
| Gisagara | 15.5 | 84.5 |  | 19.9 | 80.1 |  | 16.9 | 83.1 |
| Nyaruguru | 13.2 | 86.8 |  | 25.0 | 75.0 |  | 17.2 | 82.8 |
| Huye | 31.3 | 68.7 |  | 29.9 | 70.1 |  | 30.7 | 69.3 |
| Nyamagabe | 19.0 | 81.0 |  | 28.8 | 71.2 |  | 22.4 | 77.6 |
| Ruhango | 35.2 | 64.8 |  | 46.1 | 53.9 |  | 39.6 | 60.4 |
| Muhanga | 21.0 | 79.0 |  | 27.4 | 72.6 |  | 23.1 | 76.9 |
| Kamonyi | 32.7 | 67.3 |  | 33.3 | 66.7 |  | 32.9 | 67.1 |
| Karongi | 15.7 | 84.3 |  | 24.5 | 75.5 |  | 19.1 | 80.9 |
| Rutsiro | 21.1 | 78.9 |  | 28.8 | 71.2 |  | 23.6 | 76.4 |
| Rubavu | 50.7 | 49.3 |  | 55.4 | 44.6 |  | 52.3 | 47.7 |
| Nyabihu | 31.2 | 68.8 |  | 44.0 | 56.0 |  | 35.9 | 64.1 |
| Ngororero | 15.6 | 84.4 |  | 19.4 | 80.6 |  | 16.9 | 83.1 |
| Rusizi | 37.4 | 62.6 |  | 36.9 | 63.1 |  | 37.2 | 62.8 |
| Nyamasheke | 26.3 | 73.7 |  | 31.7 | 68.3 |  | 28.3 | 71.7 |
| Rulindo | 19.5 | 80.5 |  | 25.5 | 74.5 |  | 21.2 | 78.8 |
| Gakenke | 12.8 | 87.2 |  | 21.7 | 78.3 |  | 15.5 | 84.5 |
| Musanze | 35.5 | 64.5 |  | 41.5 | 58.5 |  | 37.4 | 62.6 |
| Burera | 18.8 | 81.2 |  | 29.5 | 70.5 |  | 21.5 | 78.5 |


| Gicumbi | 22.3 | 77.7 | 21.9 | 78.1 | 22.2 | 77.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rwamagana | 29.1 | 70.9 | 30.9 | 69.1 | 29.8 | 70.2 |
| Nyagatare | 34.0 | 66.0 | 40.5 | 59.5 | 36.0 | 64.0 |
| Gatsibo | 28.2 | 71.8 | 25.2 | 74.8 | 27.2 | 72.8 |
| Kayonza | 34.6 | 65.4 | 39.7 | 60.3 | 36.1 | 63.9 |
| Kirehe | 26.8 | 73.2 | 35.5 | 64.5 | 29.7 | 70.3 |
| Ngoma | 27.8 | 72.2 | 34.0 | 66.0 | 30.1 | 69.9 |
| Bugesera | 17.5 | 82.5 | 31.0 | 69.0 | 21.6 | 78.4 |

Source: EICV3 Note: De facto female heads combined with female heads
Table 4.2 Animal types raised, by sex of householdhead

|  | Male Head |  |  |  | Female Head |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  | Yes | No |  | Yes | No |  |
| Cattle |  | 50.9 |  | 49.1 | 39.7 |  | 60.3 | 47.3 |  | 52.7 |
| Sheep |  | 16.6 |  | 83.4 | 13.9 |  | 86.1 | 15.7 |  | 84.3 |
| Goats |  | 52.4 |  | 47.6 | 54.2 |  | 45.8 | 53 |  | 47 |
| Pigs |  | 25.7 |  | 74.3 | 20.7 |  | 79.3 | 24.1 |  | 75.9 |
| Rabbits |  | 23.2 |  | 76.8 | 22.3 |  | 77.7 | 22.9 |  | 77.1 |
| Chickens |  | 47.7 |  | 52.3 | 40.9 |  | 59.1 | 45.5 |  | 54.5 |
| Other poultry |  | 2.1 |  | 97.9 | 0.9 |  | 99.1 | 1.7 |  | 98.3 |
| Other livestock |  | 8.8 |  | 91.2 | 7.9 |  | 92.1 | 8.5 |  | 91.5 |

Source: EICV3.Note: De facto female heads combined with female heads

For households that owned livestock - bearing in mind that a female-headed householdwas less likely to do so - animal ownership except cattle was similar for male and female heads. $51 \%$ of male heads owned cattle, compared with $40 \%$ of female heads.This may be due to cultural factors but this information is not available (readers should refer to the Social Protection thematic report for more information on the one-cow policy). Female-headed households were a little less likely to own sheep than male-headed households, but a little more likely to keep goats.

Animal ownership by province is shown in the table below. In Eastern Province female-headed households were almost as likely as males to own cattle - the issue could therefore relate to land availability as land is more available there than in other provinces.

Table 4.3 Animal types raised, by sex of householdhead and province

|  |  | Male headed |  | Female headed |  | All HH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Kigali City | Cattle | 43.4 | 56.6 | 35.8 | 64.2 | 41.2 | 58.8 |
|  | Sheep | 5.9 | 94.1 | 4.1 | 95.9 | 5.4 | 94.6 |
|  | Goats | 46.1 | 53.9 | 46.3 | 53.7 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
|  | Pigs | 6.0 | 94.0 | 1.0 | 99.0 | 4.5 | 95.5 |
|  | Rabbits | 17.3 | 82.7 | 16.9 | 83.1 | 17.2 | 82.8 |
|  | Chickens | 55.2 | 44.8 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 53.4 | 46.6 |
|  | Other poultry | 2.8 | 97.2 | 1.3 | 98.7 | 2.4 | 97.6 |
|  | Other livestock | 2.7 | 97.3 | 1.9 | 98.1 | 2.5 | 97.5 |
| Southern Province | Cattle | 50.9 | 49.1 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
|  | Sheep | 8.8 | 91.2 | 4.4 | 95.6 | 7.2 | 92.8 |
|  | Goats | 57.2 | 42.8 | 54.8 | 45.2 | 56.4 | 43.6 |
|  | Pigs | 41.5 | 58.5 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 37.6 | 62.4 |
|  | Rabbits | 31.1 | 68.9 | 27.1 | 72.9 | 29.7 | 70.3 |
|  | Chickens | 48.0 | 52.0 | 41.1 | 58.9 | 45.6 | 54.4 |
|  | Other poultry | 2.5 | 97.5 | 1.0 | 99.0 | 2.0 | 98.0 |
|  | Other livestock | 9.7 | 90.3 | 7.4 | 92.6 | 8.9 | 91.1 |
| Western Province | Cattle | 47.4 | 52.6 | 32.8 | 67.2 | 42.5 | 57.5 |
|  | Sheep | 20.5 | 79.5 | 18.8 | 81.2 | 19.9 | 80.1 |
|  | Goats | 49.3 | 50.7 | 52.7 | 47.3 | 50.4 | 49.6 |
|  | Pigs | 27.2 | 72.8 | 21.0 | 79.0 | 25.1 | 74.9 |
|  | Rabbits | 23.5 | 76.5 | 22.6 | 77.4 | 23.2 | 76.8 |
|  | Chickens | 38.1 | 61.9 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 36.5 | 63.5 |
|  | Other poultry | 1.6 | 98.4 | 1.1 | 98.9 | 1.4 | 98.6 |
|  | Other livestock | 13.9 | 86.1 | 15.3 | 84.7 | 14.4 | 85.6 |
| Northern Province | Cattle | 62.4 | 37.6 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 57.8 | 42.2 |
|  | Sheep | 35.2 | 64.8 | 37.1 | 62.9 | 35.8 | 64.2 |
|  | Goats | 39.4 | 60.6 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 39.3 | 60.7 |
|  | Pigs | 20.8 | 79.2 | 19.0 | 81.0 | 20.3 | 79.7 |
|  | Rabbits | 24.8 | 75.2 | 28.7 | 71.3 | 25.9 | 74.1 |
|  | Chickens | 42.1 | 57.9 | 33.6 | 66.4 | 39.7 | 60.3 |
|  | Other poultry | 0.6 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 99.6 |
|  | Other livestock | 10.8 | 89.2 | 8.8 | 91.2 | 10.2 | 89.8 |
| Eastern Province | Cattle | 45.9 | 54.1 | 41.9 | 58.1 | 44.6 | 55.4 |
|  | Sheep | 6.9 | 93.1 | 4.6 | 95.4 | 6.2 | 93.8 |
|  | Goats | 62.9 | 37.1 | 67.8 | 32.2 | 64.5 | 35.5 |
|  | Pigs | 16.7 | 83.3 | 14.0 | 86.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 |
|  | Rabbits | 14.7 | 85.3 | 12.9 | 87.1 | 14.1 | 85.9 |
|  | Chickens | 59.6 | 40.4 | 52.2 | 47.8 | 57.3 | 42.7 |
|  | Other poultry | 3.6 | 96.4 | 1.2 | 98.8 | 2.8 | 97.2 |
|  | Other livestock | 2.8 | 97.2 | 1.6 | 98.4 | 2.4 | 97.6 |

[^3]
### 4.1 Agricultural land ownership

The ownership of land is as common among male- as female-headed households (93\%), although the area owned is not yet availablebut will be reported on in the Agriculture thematic report. Male heads were twice as likely to have acquired land in the previous 12 months (17\%) as females (8\%) and twice as likely to have bought land in the preceding four years. Land sales were similar. It should be recalled that male heads are much younger than female heads and thus more likely to be in a position of wanting to expand their farming activities.

However, female heads were somewhat more likely to have rented land or to have sharecropped land.
Table 4.4 Land ownership and purchase, by sex of householdhead

|  |  | Male headed | Female headed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HHor any member currently owning farm land | Yes | 92.7 | 93.4 | 92.9 |
|  | No | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.1 |
| HHbought land in last 12 months | Yes | 17.0 | 8.1 | 14.0 |
|  | No | 83.0 | 91.9 | 86.0 |
| HHbought land in the fouryears that preceded last year | Yes | 26.9 | 13.9 | 22.6 |
|  | No | 73.1 | 86.1 | 77.4 |
| HHsold land in the last 12 months | Yes | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.0 |
|  | No | 90.9 | 91.1 | 91.0 |
| HHsold land in the fouryears preceding last year | Yes | 10.1 | 10.5 | 10.3 |
|  | No | 89.9 | 89.5 | 89.8 |
| HHrented out land in the last 12 months | Yes | 10.5 | 14.1 | 11.7 |
|  | No | 89.5 | 85.9 | 88.3 |
| HHsharecropped any land in the past 12 months | Yes | 4.9 | 7.2 | 5.7 |
|  | No | 95.1 | 92.8 | 94.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HHlent land to anybody in the past 12 months | Yes | 16.1 | 14.9 | 15.7 |
|  | No | 83.9 | 85.2 | 84.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HHreceived land gift in the last 12 months | Yes | 9.3 | 6.3 | 8.3 |
|  | No | 90.7 | 93.7 | 91.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HHgiven land gift in the last 12 months | Yes | 4.7 | 6.9 | 5.4 |
|  | No | 95.3 | 93.1 | 94.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HHapplied for agricultural loan in the last 12 months | Yes | 7.9 | 6.2 | 7.4 |


|  | No | 92.1 | 93.8 | 92.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of those that applied, agricultural loan approval | Yes | 89.3 | 93.7 | 90.5 |
|  | No | 10.7 | 6.3 | 9.5 |
| Purpose of the agricultural loan | Terracing | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | Irrigation | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
|  | Animal purchase | 14.1 | 14.6 | 14.2 |
|  | Equipment | 8.1 | 11.1 | 9 |
|  | Seeds and fertiliser | 49.1 | 51.6 | 49.8 |
|  | Purchase of land | 14.5 | 11.5 | 13.7 |
|  | Farm buildings | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
|  | Other | 12.2 | 9.5 | 11.4 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: EICV3.Note: De facto female heads combined with female heads.

### 4.1.1 Primary land ownership by individuals

The table below shows agricultural land ownership by relationship to the head of household. However, these estimates need to be interpreted with great caution.In the EICV3 questionnaire, there was only provision for one owner for each plot, which introduces a bias since joint and family ownership is possible (indeed, according to the Environment Joint Sector Review 2010/11, 84\% of registered private land has women as owners or co-owners).Further information should be obtained from the Rwanda Natural Resources Authority to compare these results.

The survey showed that $79 \%$ of male heads own land compared with $91 \%$ of female heads. Only $2 \%$ of female spouses were stated as primary owners of land, and just under $5 \%$ of sons and daughters.

Table 4.5 Primary land ownership, by relationship to household head

| Male headed |  | Femaleheaded |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Relationship to HH head | Owns no land | Owns land | Owns no land | Owns land |
| HH head | 20.9 | 79.1 | 9.2 | 90.8 |
| Spouse of HH head | 97.8 | 2.2 | $*$ | $*$ |
| Son or daughter of HH head | 95.7 | 4.3 | 95.4 | 4.6 |
| Step or adopted or foster child of HH head | 96.2 | 3.8 | 98.2 | 1.8 |
| Father or mother of HH head | 93.2 | 6.8 | 93.1 | 6.9 |
| Brother or sister of HH head | 95.9 | 4.1 | 91.8 | 8.2 |
| Grand child of HH head | 92.9 | 7.1 | 92.2 | 7.8 |
| Parent in law of HH head | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
| Brother or sister in law to HH head | 100.0 | 0 | 95.8 | 4.2 |
| Other relationship to HH head | 96.1 | 3.9 | 98.1 | 1.9 |
| No relationship to HH head | 98.5 | 1.5 | 98.7 | 1.3 |
| Domestic worker | 98.8 | 1.2 | 96.9 | 3.1 |
| All | 50.5 | 49.5 | 73.7 | 26.3 |

[^4]Households were asked to report which crops had been grown on their agricultural plots and to give the name of the owner/ cultivator of that plot. However, the questionnaire did not make provision for more than one owner/cultivator of the plot to be entered, and this may have resulted in bias as the formal land registration process allows for family ownership (see also section 4.1.1 above). Up to two crops were listed for each plot for each of two seasons. The table below shows the crop typescultivated, by the sex of the primary owner/cultivator. It is important to note that this does not take into account the quantities produced for the respective crops. The findings should therefore be considered indicative only.

Plots owned/cultivated by women grow very similar crops to those owned/cultivated by men, although with slightly more focus on beans and green vegetables.

Men and women were also equally affected by land consolidation, crop regionalisation and measures to protect land from erosion (tables not shown).

Table 4.6 Crops grown by sex of primary owner/cultivator

|  | \% of crops grown, by sex of primary owner/cultivator |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male primary owner/cultivator | Female primary owner/cultivator |
| Rice | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Maize | 8.1 | 8.0 |
| Sorghum | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| Wheat | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Other cereals | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cassava for cooking | 4.5 | 4.1 |
| Cassava for flour | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| Yams | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Sweet potatoes | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| Potatoes | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Colocasia | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Soybeans | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Peanuts | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Beans | 15.7 | 17.1 |
| Small peas | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Sunflower (ibihwagari) | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Banana fruits | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Banana for cooking | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Banana beer | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| Mangoes | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Papayas or pawpaw | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Avocadoes | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Pineapples | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Guava | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Oranges | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Mandarins | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lemons or citrons | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lime or maracouja | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Plums | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Strawberries | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other fruits (ibifensi) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Fresh beans | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Green beans | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Tomatoes | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Onions | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Garlic | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Peppers | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweet peppers | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| Squash or vegetable marrows | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Zucchini or cucumbers | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Eggplants | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Carrots | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Leeks | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Cabbages | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Lettuce or laitue | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Parsley | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Small fresh peas | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Mushrooms or spinach | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Ibishayote | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Fresh small peas | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Cassava leaves | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Green vegetables (inyabutongo) | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Imbwija | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Tea | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Coffee | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Cane sugar | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Pyretre (ibireti) | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Ibobere | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others | 26.5 | 25.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: EICV3.Note: This table was requested by users but may be of limited value because of the limitations in the data on ownership/cultivation of land.

### 4.3 Crops sold

In addition to primary land ownership, households were also asked to name the primary person responsible for harvesting/ selling the various crops. ${ }^{2}$ As with land ownership above, this may introduce a bias where more than one person was responsible, meaning findings need to be interpreted with great caution.

The primary person named responsible for harvesting/selling the various cropsis in most cases the head of the household. Among the people named responsible for harvesting/selling the various types of crops, $89 \%$ are household heads, whereas household heads constitute only $38 \%$ of the overall population in that age group. Only around $8 \%$ of spouses are named as being responsible for harvesting/selling of crops.

Table 4.7 Household member mainly responsible for harvesting/selling crop, by type of sales

|  | Person mainly <br> responsible for <br> selling small-scale <br> crops regularly | Person mainly <br> responsible for <br> selling small-scale <br> crops occasionally | Person mainly <br> responsible for <br> selling large-scale <br> crops | All persons 16+ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HHhead | 89.1 | 89.6 | 89.5 | 38.3 |
| Spouse of HH head | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 25.5 |
| Son or daughter | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 25.4 |
| All others | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 10.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: EICV3. Base: Adults aged 16 years and over. Note: This table was requested by users but may be of limited value because of the limitations in the data on primary responsibility for crops.

[^5]Table 4.8 below shows that almost twice the proportion of adult men are responsible for selling small crops regularly or occasionally compared with adult women. Half of all adult men and three-quarters of adult women are not the designated persons responsible for crops. However, in the next section we shall see that almost 2 million Rwandese women work on family farms compared with 1.1 million men. The RDHS results show us the control women have over their own earnings (see the following section).

Table 4.8 Sales of small- and large-scale crops, by sex

|  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Small crops sold | Not primary person responsible for crops | $52.1 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ |
|  | Yes, sells regularly | $12.4 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
|  | Yes, sells occasionally | $22.5 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ |
|  | Never sells | $13.0 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Total |  | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| No. aged 16+ (000s) | 2,690 | 3,197 | 5,888 |  |
| Large crops sold | Not primary person responsible for crops | $52.9 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ |
|  | Yes, sells | $30.9 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
|  | Never sells | $16.1 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| All 16 years $+(000$ s) | 2,690 | 3,197 | 5,888 |  |

Source: EICV3.Base: Adults aged 16 years or over. Note: This table was requested by users but may be of limited value because of the limitations in the data on primary responsibility for crops.

The following tables show crops sold for both large-scale and small-scale crops. The tables are ordered by percentage sold, and do not indicate the volume of crops sold or grown. The reader is referred to the forthcoming Agriculture thematic report for more detailed information on crop harvests and sales. As mentioned above, the datahave limitations as only one responsible person can be entered on the questionnaires; therefore, the primary person responsible for crops mostly corresponds with the head of household.

Table $4.9 \quad$ Large-scale crops sold, by sex of person mainly responsible for crop

|  | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mushrooms | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Tea | 98.6 | 100.0 | 99.3 |
| Coffee | 99.0 | 99.1 | 99.0 |
| Pyretre (ibireti) | 98.0 | 100.0 | 98.8 |
| Tomatoes | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.3 |
| Rice | 79.2 | 76.3 | 78.4 |
| Cabbages | 74.2 | 68.7 | 72.5 |
| Avocadoes | 75.6 | 65.4 | 71.2 |
| Carrots | 63.2 | 61.4 | 62.8 |
| Sorghum | 58.4 | 56.1 | 57.6 |
| Sweet peppers | 100.0 | 0.0 | 51.8 |
| Leeks | 100.0 | 0.0 | 49.0 |
| Onions | 42.6 | 55.4 | 47.6 |
| Banana beer | 48.3 | 45.3 | 47.1 |
| Wheat | 47.8 | 43.6 | 49.3 |
| Banana fruits | 53.5 | 2.6 | 39.0 |


| Peanuts | 37.9 | 38.4 | 38.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cassava for cooking | 29.7 | 49.6 | 36.6 |
| Beans | 34.4 | 33.8 | 34.2 |
| Banana for cooking | 34.5 | 32.7 | 33.9 |
| Others | 41.6 | 23.5 | 33.8 |
| Sweet potatoes | 27.7 | 39.4 | 32.9 |
| Potatoes | 34.0 | 29.9 | 32.5 |
| Eggplants | 46.9 | 0.0 | 30.4 |
| Other cereals | 33.6 | 21.9 | 28.7 |
| Maize | 27.7 | 23.8 | 26.3 |
| Cassava for flour | 23.9 | 21.9 | 23.1 |
| Colocasia | 0.0 | 38.2 | 22.0 |
| Soybeans | 19.8 | 22.6 | 20.8 |
| Cane sugar | 20.0 | 16.6 | 18.9 |
| Small weight | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.7 |
| Yams | 23.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 |
| Green beans | 9.6 | 10.1 | 9.8 |
| Sunflower (ibihwagari) | 8.9 | 11.2 | 9.7 |
| Fresh small peas | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| Fresh beans | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 |

Source: EICV3. Note: This table was requested by users but may be of limited value because of the limitations in the data on primary responsibility for crops.
Table 4.10 Small-scale crops sold, by sex of person mainly responsible for crop

|  | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rice | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Sorghum | - | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Other cereals | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Pyretre (Ibireti) | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Sunflower (ibihwagari) | 100.0 | - | 65.5 |
| Leeks | 51.5 | 45.7 | 48.9 |
| Plums | 45.7 | 46.3 | 45.9 |
| Oranges | 47.5 | 43.1 | 44.8 |
| Cabbages | 42.2 | 48.6 | 44.4 |
| Coffee | 37.2 | 59.9 | 44.3 |
| bishayote | 44.4 | 40.1 | 42.7 |
| Mushrooms or spinach | 43.7 | 39.6 | 41.7 |
| Maize | 41.7 | 38.8 | 40.6 |
| Small fresh peas | 0.0 | 45.6 | 40.1 |
| Imbwija | 37.7 | 42.2 | 39.8 |
| Cassava for flour | 39.5 | 39.2 |  |
| Lime or maracouja | 39.3 | 37.9 |  |
| Eggplants | 37.4 | 35.9 | 37.7 |
| Fresh beans | 39.2 | 38.1 | 36.6 |
| Onions | 36.3 | 32.6 | 35.9 |


| Green vegetables (Inyabutongo) | 36.9 | 34.3 | 35.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tomatoes | 32.3 | 44.7 | 35.7 |
| Cassava for cooking | 36.2 | 33.3 | 35.2 |
| Yam | 33.8 | 38.3 | 35.1 |
| Fresh small weight | 33.8 | 36.3 | 34.9 |
| Mushrooms | 41.8 | 20.9 | 34.9 |
| Green beans | 38.2 | 30.8 | 34.6 |
| Sweet | 34.5 | 33.4 | 34.1 |
| Cane sugar | 40.9 | 14.8 | 33.8 |
| Banana for cooking | 34.6 | 32.2 | 33.7 |
| Papayas or pawpaws | 30.9 | 34.6 | 32.3 |
| Potatoes | 33.5 | 30.1 | 32.2 |
| Colocasia | 32.6 | 31.3 | 32.1 |
| Banana fruits | 32.7 | 30.5 | 31.9 |
| Cassava leaves | 29.8 | 33.0 | 31.0 |
| Avocado | 30.7 | 30.8 | 30.7 |
| Banana beer | 30.4 | 31.1 | 30.6 |
| Zucchini or cucumber | 14.1 | 100.0 | 30.2 |
| Others | 31.9 | 27.4 | 30.2 |
| Mangoes | 32.6 | 26.3 | 30.0 |
| Guava | 33.5 | 26.0 | 29.9 |
| Pineapples | 28.2 | 30.0 | 28.9 |
| Carrots | 28.9 | 28.9 | 28.9 |
| Pepper | 27.4 | 26.2 | 27.0 |
| Squash or vegetable marrows | 26.3 | 27.6 | 26.8 |
| Other fruits (Ibifensi) | 32.5 | 17.4 | 25.0 |
| Beans | 24.2 | 16.6 | 21.7 |
| Strawberries | 18.5 | 20.9 | 19.4 |
| Lemons or citrons | 20.9 | 9.8 | 16.3 |
| Sweet peppers | 18.6 | 11.8 | 16.1 |
| Wheat | 9.4 | - | 9.4 |
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## 5 Economic activity

### 5.1 Heads of household

Table 5.1 Householdheads:usual work status by sex

| Usual work status | Sex of HH head |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| Wage farm | 9.4 | 10.3 | 9.7 |
| Wage non-farm | 23.9 | 5.1 | 18.7 |
| Small-scalefarmer | 53.1 | 75.9 | 59.4 |
| Independent non-farm | 12.8 | 8.5 | 11.6 |
| Other and n.i | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total no. ofHHs(000s) | 1,551 | 593 | 2,144 |

Source: EICV3. Base: All HHheads in work in previous 12 months(excluding de facto heads)

Female household heads are concentrated in agricultural jobs as the main usual occupation. $76 \%$ are independent farmers and a further $10 \%$ are waged farm workers, meaning $86 \%$ of female household heads work in farming. This compares with $62 \%$ of male heads.

### 5.2 Economic activity of all adults

Female economic activity rates are slightly higher than those of their male counterparts, both in the usual reference period over the previous 12 months and in the last seven days.This is largely because young men stay on in education longer than their female counterparts.

Table 5.2 Employment rate, by sex and age

|  | Usual employment (12-month <br> reference period) |  | Current employment (seven-day <br> reference period) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EICV1 | EICV2 | EICV3 | EICV3 |
| All Rwanda | 86.7 | 84.0 | 84.2 | 80.9 |
| Male | 85.4 | 82.6 | 83.1 | 79.6 |
| Female | 87.8 | 85.3 | 85.2 | 82.0 |
| 16-24 years | 77.1 | 70.0 | 63.7 | 59.2 |
| 25-34 years | 93.3 | 93.6 | 95.5 | 91.7 |
| 35-44 years | 95.8 | 95.7 | 97.1 | 94.4 |
| 45-54 years | 95.1 | 94.9 | 95.8 | 93.7 |
| 55-64 years | 91.6 | 91.9 | 95.4 | 93.2 |
| 65+ years | 76.7 | 77.1 | 80.3 | 79.5 |
| Persons aged 16+ years (000s) | 4,118 | 5,116 | 5,888 | 5,888 |

[^7]Table 5.3 Percentage of the population who were students and inactive, by sex

|  | \% of students (12-monthreference period) | \% of students (seven-day <br> reference period) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EICV1 | EICV2 | EICV3 | EICV3 |
| All Rwanda | 6.3 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 11.2 |
| Male | 7.4 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 12.6 |
| Female | 5.5 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 10.0 |

Base: Persons aged 16+ years. Source: EICV1,2,3 (from Economic Activity thematic report)

Whilst it was seen in the last section that women were less likely to be the main owners or cultivators of agricultural land, it can be seen that almost three-quarters of women ( $72 \%$ ) work in the agricultural sector on family farms. Women are much less likely to have paid non-farm work, at $8 \%$ compared with $27 \%$ of men. They are equally likely to be working as paid farm workers and only a little less likely to be working in their own non-farm small business.lt is therefore women who are working disproportionately on small farms.

Table 5.4 Main job, by sex and age

| EICV3 | Usual main job |  |  |  |  | Total | Total number of persons (000s)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage farm | Wage non-farm | Independent farmer | Independent non-farm | Unpaid non-farm, other and n.i |  |  |
| All Rwanda | 9.9 | 16.9 | 61.8 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 4,960 |
| Male | 10.2 | 27.4 | 49.4 | 11.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2,234 |
| Female | 9.7 | 8.3 | 71.9 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2,725 |
| 16-24 | 12.2 | 23.6 | 53.3 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1,249 |
| 25-34 | 10.1 | 19.7 | 56.5 | 11.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1,465 |
| 35-44 | 9.7 | 16.8 | 60.0 | 11.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 891 |
| 45-54 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 69.8 | 8.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 674 |
| 55-64 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 78.8 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 390 |
| 65+ | 3.7 | 2.1 | 88.7 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 290 |

[^8]Table 5.5 Employment type by sex, age group and urban/rural

|  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage farm | Wage nonfarm | Smallscale farmer | Indepen-dentnonfarm | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Other } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { n. } \mathrm{i} \end{array}$ | Total | Wage farm | Wage nonfarm | Smallscale farmer | Indepen-dentnonfarm | Other and n.i | Total |
| 16-18 | 15.7 | 28.6 | 45.3 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 100 | 10.8 | 19.9 | 58.7 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 100 |
| 19-24 | 12.2 | 33.5 | 42.7 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 100 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 63.2 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 100 |
| 25-29 | 9.5 | 33.3 | 42.9 | 13.4 | 0.9 | 100 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 67.4 | 9.4 | 2.9 | 100 |
| 30-34 | 9.4 | 30.9 | 43.4 | 15.5 | 0.8 | 100 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 68.5 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 100 |
| 35-39 | 9.6 | 29.8 | 43.6 | 15.9 | 1.1 | 100 | 10.5 | 6.9 | 70.0 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 100 |
| 40-44 | 7.9 | 28.2 | 49.1 | 13.4 | 1.4 | 100 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 72.7 | 8.6 | 1.9 | 100 |
| 45-49 | 9.8 | 23.3 | 55.1 | 11.0 | 0.8 | 100 | 10.3 | 3.9 | 77.0 | 7.6 | 1.2 | 100 |
| 50-54 | 10.1 | 17.3 | 61.0 | 10.1 | 1.5 | 100 | 8.7 | 2.7 | 81.3 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 100 |
| 55-59 | 9.9 | 13.6 | 65.9 | 9.5 | 1.1 | 100 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 87.4 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 100 |
| 60-64 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 69.2 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 100 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 86.7 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 100 |
| 65+ | 5.2 | 4.9 | 82.5 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 100 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 92.6 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Urban | 4.4 | 57.6 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 2.5 | 100 | 5.7 | 31.6 | 38.6 | 19.6 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Rural | 11.3 | 21.6 | 55.5 | 10.5 | 1.2 | 100 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 77.1 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Total | 10.2 | 27.4 | 49.4 | 11.7 | 1.4 | 100 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 71.8 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Population estimate (000s) | 227 | 612 | 1,105 | 260 | 31 | 2,234 | 263 | 227 | 1,958 | 219 | 58 | 2,725 |

Source: EICV3 persons aged 16+years who are usually working.

There are almost 2 million female small-scale farm workers compared with just over 1.1 million men. From the table above, it can be seen that the proportions of the workforce taking this kind of work fall dramatically in both sexes in the younger age groups; over $80 \%$ of women over 50 years of age do family farm work compared with just $60 \%$ of women under 25 year olds. For men the proportions decrease from over 60\% in family farming among those over 50 years of age to around $43 \%$ for those under 25.

### 5.2.2 Number of jobs in the economy

The number of net new jobs (subject to sampling and non-sampling error) in the economy is 661,000 since EICV2. There has been a fall in the number of men working in agriculture and a rise in the number of women doing so. While there has been arise from 336,000 to 612,000 in non-farm wage jobs for men, the rise for women has only been from 132,000 to 227,000. This shows that males have benefited much more from the growth in non-farm jobs observed since 2005/06.

Table 5.6 Number of jobs(main usual job)in 2005/06 and 2010/11 (in 000s), by sex

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | change | EICV3 | EICV2 | change | EICV3 | EICV2 | change |
| Wage farm | 227 | 194 | 16.9 | 263 | 157 | 67.2 | 490 | 352 | 39.2 |
| Wage non-farm | 612 | 336 | 81.9 | 227 | 132 | 71.6 | 838 | 468 | 79.1 |
| Small-scale farming | 1,105 | 1,176 | -6.1 | 1,958 | 1,888 | 3.7 | 3,063 | 3,065 | -0.1 |
| Independent non-farm | 260 | 204 | 27.4 | 219 | 142 | 54.1 | 479 | 347 | 38.0 |
| Other and n.i | 31 | 16 | 89.1 | 58 | 52 | 12.3 | 89 | 68 | 30.9 |
| All working 16+ years | 2,234 | 1,927 | 15.9 | 2,725 | 2,372 | 14.9 | 4,960 | 4,299 | 15.4 |

Source: EICV2 and EICV3. All adults (16+) working in the past 12 months.

### 5.2.3 Occupation and gender

Women are highly concentrated in the agricultural sector, some $82 \%$ of women work in agricultural occupations compared with $61 \%$ of men. The occupations in which women find work outside agriculture are sales and commerce, where similar proportions of men and women work. Skilled service occupations employ some $4 \%$ of women compared with $7 \%$ of men. Men find work outside farming as drivers and machine operators, or in semi-skilled occupations. Women seem to have much less access to this kind of occupation. $2 \%$ of women are in professional occupations compared with $3.5 \%$ of men.

Table 5.7 Main occupation by sex and age

| EICV3 | All <br> Rwanda | Sex |  | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 16-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Professionals | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Senior officials and managers | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Office clerks | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Commercial and sales | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 2.0 |
| Skilled service sector | 5.4 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 10.6 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Agricultural andfishery workers | 72.6 | 61.3 | 81.9 | 67.0 | 67.6 | 70.6 | 80.1 | 86.5 | 92.7 |
| Semi-skilled operatives | 7.4 | 12.9 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| Drivers and machine operators | 2.6 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Unskilled labourers | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Missing information | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| All 16+ years usually working (000s) | 4,960 | 2,234 | 2,725 | 1,249 | 1,465 | 891 | 674 | 390 | 290 |

Base: All persons aged 16+ years working in past 12 months. Source: EICV3 (from economic activity thematic report)

## 6 Time use

### 6.1 Hours spent on domestic and paid work adults

Almost all women spend time on cooking and on domestic chores around the home. About half of them also carry out domestic work which is classified by the International Labour Organisation as an economic activity, that is foraging firewood, searching for animal fodder and fetching water. Over half of all women do these tasks and the time spent on them averages 11 hours per week, overall women spend 20 hours a week on domestic tasks compared with men who spend just 9 hours. While men work an average of 31 hours a week in their paid or for profit jobs, women work just 24 hours; however when time at work and time on domestic duties is summed, then women work 51 hours a week compared with men who do just 40 hours of all work per week.

Table 6.1 EICV3, Hours spent per week on domestic duties and work by sex

| Domesticwork | Male |  | Female |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Worked in last sevendays | Yes | Median hours | Yes | Median hours | Yes | Median hours |
| Foraging firewood | 26.8\% | 2 | 51.0\% | 3 | 40.0\% | 3 |
| Fodder searching | 49.0\% | 7 | 55.0\% | 6 | 52.3\% | 7 |
| Water fetching | 35.7\% | 2 | 58.7\% | 2 | 48.3\% | 2 |
| Going to the market | 26.3\% | 3 | 53.2\% | 3 | 41.0\% | 3 |
| Cooking | 19.0\% | 4 | 93.7\% | 14 | 59.7\% | 12 |
| Other household chores | 36.4\% | 2 | 90.9\% | 5 | 66.1\% | 4 |
| All hours on domestic work |  | 9 |  | 27 |  | 20 |
| Hours worked in all current jobs |  | 31 |  | 24 |  | 27 |
| All hours domestic and work for profit or pay |  | 40 |  | 51 |  | 47 |

Base: EICV3. All working persons 16 years and over(Economic Activitythematic report).

On average adults are spending similar amounts of time per week on domestic tasks compared with EICV2, and two more hours worked in jobs. However, the EICV2 did not ask about the time people spent gathering fodder, which means the estimates for total hours spent on domestic chores from the two surveys are not directly comparable.

Table 6.2 EICV2, Hours spent per week on domestic duties and work by sex

| Domesticwork | Male |  |  | Female |  | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Worked in last sevendays | Yes | Median <br> hours | Yes | Median <br> hours | Yes | Median <br> hours |
| Foraging firewood | 24.0 | 3 | 44.0 | 3 | 34.9 | 3 |
| Water fetching | 37.1 | 2 | 55.2 | 3 | 46.9 | 3 |
| Going to the market | 22.3 | 3 | 43.2 | 3 | 33.6 | 3 |
| Cooking | 15.9 | 6 | 84.2 | 14 | 52.9 | 12 |
| Other household chores | 27.7 | 2 | 79.8 | 6 | 55.9 | 4 |
| All hours on domestic work |  | 7 |  | 26 |  | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours worked in all current jobs | 30 |  | 24 |  | 25 |  |
| All Hours domestic and work for profit or pay | 37 |  | 50 |  | 43 |  |

[^9]Table 6.3 For employees, average total number of hours worked in all jobs, by sector, EICV3

| Sector of work | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Median | Median | Median |
| Public | 48 | 44 | 45 |
| Parastatal | 45 | 48 | 47 |
| Private, formal | 50 | 45 | 48 |
| Private, informal non-farm | 42 | 60 | 46 |
| Private, informal farm | 30 | 24 | 26 |
| Total | 41 | 32 | 39 |

Base: All persons (16+) who were working in the previous seven days, in paid work

### 6.2 Children's time use

Children were asked to give the time they spent on domestic tasks in the previous seven days. Boys and girls under 10 spent a similar amount of time on their domestic duties, but from 10 upwards a clear gender role emerges. Girls begin to spend more time on domestic duties than boys; from the age of 10 to 14 girls are spending four hours a week more than boys on domestic duties, with boys tending to do more fodder collection and girls more cooking. By the age of 15 , girls are spending six hours more on domestic tasks than boys of the same age.

Table 6.4 Children's time use on domestic jobs

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | Total | 6-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | Total |
| Foraging firewood for household last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of children doing this | 34.9 | 52.5 | 46.1 | 44.3 | 36.1 | 56.8 | 54.7 | 47.7 |
| Median hours spent | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Fodder searching or grazing for household animals last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of children doing this | 33.1 | 57.5 | 62.2 | 47.3 | 24.4 | 44.2 | 49.9 | 36.2 |
| Median hours spent | 4 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Water searching or fetching last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of children doing this | 72.9 | 83.7 | 81.5 | 78.8 | 72.1 | 85.3 | 84.3 | 79.6 |
| Median hours spent | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Going to the market for household last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of children doing this | 1.2 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 12.5 | 21.6 | 8.8 |
| Median hours spent | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cooking for household last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of children doing this | 16.1 | 38.2 | 43.0 | 29.0 | 25.0 | 70.6 | 83.6 | 52.1 |
| Median hours spent | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| Other household chores; laundry, cleaning, looking after children, etc. last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of children doing this | 19.8 | 44.8 | 55.9 | 34.9 | 38.7 | 75.9 | 84.3 | 60.6 |
| Median hours spent | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total time on domestic tasks (median) | 8 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 17 | 21 | 14 |

[^10]
## 7 Income and access to savingsIncome shares

### 7.1 Income shares

In line with the economic activity observed for household heads earlier, female-headed households derive a higher share of their income from agriculture than male-headed households and derive a lower share of their income from wages or business activities.

Female-headedhouseholds rely more on public and private transfers when compared to male-headed households. Such transfers constitute on average $12 \%$ of the income for female-headed households, but only $9 \%$ for male-headed households.

Table 7.1 \% of household income derived from various sources, by sex of householdhead

|  | Male headed | Female headed | De facto female headed | All Rwanda |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture | 44.2 | 49.8 | 43.2 | 45.7 |
| Wages | 27.2 | 19.3 | 32.0 | 25.3 |
| Business income | 11.8 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 10.5 |
| Public transfers | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| Private transfers | 6.0 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 6.9 |
| Rents | 7.9 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total number of <br> households (000s) | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 7}$ |  | 132 | $\mathbf{2 , 2 5 3}$ |

Source: EICV3. Note: Rents include revenue from lending out land and sharecropping, minus expenses.

### 7.2 Access to savings

The table below presents the percentage of individuals aged 18 and above that have a savings account. $21 \%$ of people in Rwanda have at least one savings account. More men than women have savings accounts, at $29 \%$ as compared to $14 \%$.

Table 7.2 Percentage of individuals (18+) with access to saving facilities by province, urban/rural and sex

| EICV3 |  | \% of individuals 18+ with a savings account | Total population 18+(000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda |  | 20.6 | 5395 |
| Province | Kigali City | 37.3 | 593 |
|  | Southern Province | 17.7 | 1284 |
|  | Western Province | 17.3 | 1267 |
|  | Northern Province | 19.8 | 987 |
|  | Eastern Province | 19.6 | 1264 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 33.2 | 869 |
|  | Rural | 18.2 | 4526 |
| Sex | Male | 28.7 | 2443 |
|  | Female | 13.9 | 2952 |


|  | Q1 | 9.6 | 894 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Quintile | Q2 | 12.9 | 978 |
|  | Q3 | 15.8 | 1046 |
|  | Q4 | 20.7 | 1154 |
|  | Q5 | 37.4 | 1323 |

Source: EICV3.

| EICV2 |  | \% of individuals $18+$ with a savings account | Total population 18+ (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda |  | 9.2 | 4612 |
| Province | Kigali City | 21.9 | 486 |
|  | Southern Province | 7.1 | 1213 |
|  | Western Province | 8.4 | 1074 |
|  | Northern Province | 7.1 | 830 |
|  | Eastern Province | 8.1 | 1009 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 20.3 | 816 |
|  | Rural | 6.8 | 3796 |
| Sex | Male | 14.1 | 2091 |
|  | Female | 5.1 | 2521 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 1.7 | 770 |
|  | Q2 | 2.7 | 857 |
|  | Q3 | 4.7 | 887 |
|  | Q4 | 8.1 | 961 |
|  | Q5 | 23.5 | 1137 |

[^11]
## 8 Cultural factors

### 8.1 Findings from RDHS on decision making in the household

The RDHS ${ }^{3}$ provides findings on women's empowerment that show a reasonably high level of independence among Rwandese women. The tables below are extracted from the 2010 RDHS and show that around $74 \%$ of women make decisions about their own health care, $71 \%$ about major purchases and over $80 \%$ participate in their own decisions to visit family or relatives. Working and older women show slightly higher levels of participation. However, just fewer than $11 \%$ do not participate in these decisions, and these women tend to be young, not employed and living outside Kigali.

Decisions about how a woman's cash earnings are spent tend to be decided jointly between husband and wife (60-70\%), which is also the case for decisions around the husbands' earnings (see Table 8.4). Around two-thirds of women earn less than their husbands and this is regardless of their own level of education.For example,only $14 \%$ of women with secondary level or higher education earn more than their husbands.

Men were asked to give the circumstances when a husband would be justified in hitting or beating his wife. For older men aged 40-45 years, $15 \%$ thought it justified. However, younger men were much more likely to think that it was acceptable, with almost $35 \%$ agreeing that it was justified.

Table 8.1 Women's participation in decision making (RDHS)

Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 who usually make specific decisions either by themselves or jointly with their husband by background characteristics, Rwanda 2010

| Background Characteristics | Specific decisions |  |  | Percentage who participate in all three decisions | Percentage who participate in none of the three decisions | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Woman's own health care | Making major household purchases | Visits to her family or relatives |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 69.8 | 68.5 | 73.1 | 54.8 | 15.7 | 89 |
| 20-24 | 68.8 | 68.2 | 79.3 | 54.3 | 11.9 | 998 |
| 25-29 | 71.3 | 69.3 | 79.3 | 54.9 | 11.5 | 1,773 |
| 30-34 | 76.6 | 72.2 | 81.7 | 61.1 | 10.5 | 1,458 |
| 35-39 | 73.3 | 72.1 | 84.0 | 59.4 | 9.8 | 1,112 |
| 40-44 | 78.2 | 75.3 | 81.6 | 65.6 | 10.3 | 780 |
| 45-49 | 77.2 | 72.5 | 84.2 | 61.9 | 9.3 | 688 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment (last 12 months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 63.7 | 62.9 | 70.6 | 53.4 | 22.5 | 666 |
| Employed for cash | 75.4 | 73.4 | 82.5 | 60.7 | 9.6 | 4,424 |
| Employed not for cash | 73.5 | 68.9 | 82.0 | 56.1 | 9.2 | 1,806 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{3}$ For the 2010 RDHS, 12,540 households were successfully interviewed.In these interviewed households, 13,790 women were identified as eligible for the individual interview. Interviews were successfully completed with $99.1 \%$ of these women. Of the 6,414 eligible men identified in half of the household sample, $98.7 \%$ were successfully interviewed. There is little variation in response rates by urban/rural residence. The fieldwork for data collection for the RDHS was conducted for about six months from 26 September 2010 to 10 March 2011; and the data entry took place from 1 November 2010 to 21 April 2011.

| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 70.4 | 76.1 | 83.7 | 59.1 | 7.9 | 429 |
| 1-2 | 72.2 | 71.3 | 81.0 | 57.5 | 10.6 | 2,478 |
| 3-4 | 74.1 | 69.6 | 80.0 | 57.6 | 11.3 | 2,133 |
| 5+ | 76.2 | 71.8 | 82.4 | 61.7 | 11.1 | 1,858 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 80.8 | 79.1 | 88.2 | 67.8 | 5.8 | 926 |
| Rural | 72.7 | 70.0 | 80.2 | 57.3 | 11.5 | 5,971 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Kigali | 78.9 | 78.6 | 87.8 | 66.6 | 6.7 | 726 |
| South | 71.5 | 73.2 | 82.1 | 56.5 | 8.0 | 1,614 |
| West | 75.9 | 68.7 | 80.2 | 60.4 | 13.3 | 1,675 |
| North | 70.5 | 69.3 | 81.6 | 54.5 | 10.1 | 1,151 |
| East | 73.7 | 69.8 | 78.4 | 58.8 | 13.1 | 1,731 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 69.2 | 67.9 | 78.3 | 55.4 | 14.5 | 1,355 |
| Primary | 73.6 | 70.8 | 80.8 | 58.0 | 10.6 | 4,816 |
| Secondary and higher | 83.3 | 80.0 | 89.3 | 69.6 | 4.9 | 727 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 68.3 | 67.4 | 75.9 | 52.7 | 14.5 | 1,352 |
| Second | 71.8 | 68.2 | 79.9 | 56.5 | 11.8 | 1,388 |
| Middle | 71.3 | 69.9 | 80.7 | 56.0 | 11.7 | 1,394 |
| Fourth | 76.0 | 71.8 | 82.5 | 60.7 | 9.8 | 1,415 |
| Highest | 81.4 | 78.9 | 87.1 | 67.9 | 6.0 | 1,348 |
| Total | 73.7 | 71.2 | 81.2 | 58.7 | 10.8 | 6,897 |

## Table 8.2 Control over women's cash earnings (RDHS)

Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 who received cash earnings for employment in the 12 months preceding the survey by person who decides how wife's cash are used and by whether she earned more or less than her husband, according to background characteristics, Rwanda 2010

|  | Persons who decides how the wife's cash earning are used: |  |  |  |  | Wife's cash earnings compared with husband's cash earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Characteristics | Mainly wife | Wife and husband jointly | Mainly husband | Missing | Total | More | Less | About the same | Husband has no earnings | Don't know / missing | Total | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.4 | 74.1 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.5 | 83.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 45 |
| 20-24 | 14.5 | 67.2 | 17.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 75.8 | 15.5 | 0.9 | 1,9 | 100.0 | 616 |
| 25-29 | 11.8 | 71.1 | 16.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 70.7 | 19.1 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,178 |
| 30-34 | 18.4 | 67.7 | 13.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 69.8 | 19.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 935 |
| 35-39 | 22.9 | 62.1 | 14.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 13.5 | 60.6 | 18.7 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 730 |
| 40-44 | 24.1 | 58.4 | 16.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 13.9 | 57.1 | 22.5 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 486 |
| 45-49 | 28.0 | 61.3 | 9.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 55.1 | 19.8 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 435 |



## Table 8.3 Women's empowerment (RDHS)

Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 who participate in all decision - making and the percentage who disagree with all of the reasons justifying wife beating, by value on each of the indicators of women's empowerment, Rwanda 2010

| Empowerment indicator | Percentage who <br> participate in all <br> decision making | Percentage who <br> disagree with all <br> reasons justifying wife <br> beating | Number of women |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^12]${ }^{2}$ See table 15.6 for the list of reasons

Table 8.4 Women's control over their own earnings and those of their husbands(RDHS)
Percent distributions of currently married women age 15-49 with cash earnings in the last 12 months by person who decides how the wife's cash earnings are used and of currently married women age 15-49 whose husbands have cash earnings by persons who decides how the husbands cash earnings are used, according to the relation between wife's and husband's cash earnings. Rwanda 2010

|  | Person who decides how the wife's cash earning are used: |  |  |  |  |  | Person who decides how husband's cash earnings are used: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainly wife | wife and husband Jointly | Mainly husband | Missing | Total | Number of women | Mainly wife | wife and husband jointly | Mainly husband | Others | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| More than husband | 37.1 | 54.4 | 8.5 | 0 | 100 | 425 | 15.4 | 54.4 | 29.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100 | 425 |
| Less than husband | 16.2 | 66.6 | 17.1 | 0 | 100 | 2.948 | 2.6 | 65.2 | 32.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 100 | 20.948 |
| Same as husband | 8.4 | 80 | 11.7 | 0 | 100 | 843 | 2.2 | 78.7 | 18.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 100 | 843 |
| Husband has no cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings or did not work | 65 | 29.3 | 507 | 0 | 100 | 129 | na | na | na | na | na | na | 0 |
| Woman worked but |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| has no cash earnings | na | na | na | na | na | 0 | 5.2 | 64.9 | 28.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 100 | 1,807 |
| Woman did not work | na | na | na | na | na | 0 | 4 | 59.6 | 35.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 100 | 666 |
| Don't know/ missing | 24.3 | 18.3 | 6.8 | 50.6 | 100 | 79 | 6.6 | 31.6 | 28.3 | 2.6 | 30.9 | 100 | 79 |
| Total | 18.3 | 66.0 | 14.7 | 0.9 | 100 | 4,424 | 4.2 | 65.2 | 29.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 | 6.769 |

na=not applicable

Table 8.5 Men's attitudes to wife beating(RDHS)

Percentage of all men age 15-49 who agree that a husband is justified in beaiting or hitting his wife for specific reasons, by background characteristics, Rwanda 2010

| Background <br> characteristics | Burns <br> the <br> food | Argues <br> with <br> him | Goes out <br> without <br> telling him | Neglects <br> the <br> children | Refuses to <br> have sexual <br> intercource with <br> him | Percentage who <br> agree with at least <br> one specified <br> reason | Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 6.1 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 26.4 | 14.6 | 34.6 | 1,449 |
| $20-24$ | 4.5 | 10 | 10.4 | 19.3 | 10.9 | 25.1 | 1,159 |
| $25-29$ | 5.1 | 10 | 113.1 | 18.7 | 9.6 | 25.1 | 1,038 |
| $30-34$ | 2.8 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 14.6 | 7.5 | 20.5 | 710 |
| $35-39$ | 2.6 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 12.7 | 5.8 | 17.9 | 490 |
| $40-44$ | 3.7 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 12.5 | 6.8 | 18.7 | 430 |
| $45-49$ | 3 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 11.3 | 7.3 | 15.3 | 412 |

## 9 Education and training

### 9.1 Primary, secondary and tertiary education

EICV3 results indicate that about $83 \%$ of the Rwandese population aged 6 and above have ever attended school. This represents an increase of about $6 \%$ compared to 2005/06. Attendance rates for girls are accelerating a little faster than for boys, but overall there is still a slightly higher proportion of women in Rwanda that have never attended school.

Table 9.1 Percentage of individuals (6+) that have ever attended school by province, urban/rural, sex, age groups and consumption quintiles

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% of population aged 6+ that have ever attended school | Population aged 6+ (000s) | \% of population aged 6+ that have ever attended school | Population aged 6+(000s) |
| All Rwanda |  | 83.2 | 8,821 | 78.7 | 7,644 |
|  | Kigali City | 91.4 | 870 | 88.4 | 747 |
|  | Southern Province | 81.8 | 2,082 | 78.4 | 1,964 |
| Province | Western Province | 82.1 | 2,112 | 77.1 | 1,837 |
|  | Northern Province | 83.9 | 1,648 | 76.7 | 1,404 |
|  | Eastern Province | 81.7 | 2,108 | 77.9 | 1,692 |
|  | Urban | 89.7 | 1,319 | 86.3 | 1,291 |
| Urban/rural | Rural | 82.0 | 7,502 | 77.1 | 6,353 |
|  | Male | 86.6 | 4,145 | 82.4 | 3,580 |
|  | Female | 80.2 | 4,676 | 75.3 | 4,065 |
|  | 6-10 | 79.4 | 1,557 | 71.9 | 1,317 |
|  | 11-15 | 98.6 | 1,376 | 96.3 | 1,211 |
|  | 16-18 | 97.3 | 733 | 92.7 | 770 |
|  | 19-24 | 93.9 | 1,229 | 89.3 | 1,183 |
|  | 25-29 | 88.5 | 885 | 84.7 | 687 |
|  | 30-34 | 85.9 | 648 | 82.4 | 492 |
| Age in fiveyear groups | 35-39 | 83.1 | 504 | 74.0 | 390 |
|  | 40-44 | 75.8 | 414 | 65.1 | 400 |
|  | 45-49 | 65.9 | 369 | 62.1 | 342 |
|  | 50-54 | 61.6 | 335 | 56.9 | 266 |
|  | 55-59 | 57.1 | 249 | 52.5 | 170 |
|  | 60-64 | 52.0 | 160 | 44.4 | 123 |
|  | 65 and above | 35.9 | 361 | 30.4 | 292 |

Source: Main Indicators Report

At the primary school level, gross and net attendance rates for both boys and girls have risen, and by similar amounts. Overall, net attendance rates are slightly higher for boys but gross attendance rates are slightly lower.

The big difference between net and gross attendance rates can be explained by late completion. Children in Rwanda are increasingly completing primary school at a higher age. The official age for completing primary school in Rwanda is 12. However, the percentage of children over the age of 12 still attending primary school (as a percentage of children aged 7 to 12) was more than $50 \%$ in $2010 / 11$, and similar for boys and girls.

Table 9.2 Net and gross attendance rates in primary school (EICV3 and EICV2)

|  |  | Net attendance rate |  | Gross attendance rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EICV3 | EICV2 | EICV3 | EICV2 |
| All Rwanda |  | 91.7 | 86.6 | 148.4 | 107.7 |
|  | Kigali City | 94.1 | 92.0 | 137.3 | 119.7 |
|  | Southern Province | 91.0 | 85.7 | 150.7 | 109.5 |
| Province | Western Province | 91.2 | 85.0 | 149.1 | 101.5 |
|  | Northern Province | 95.7 | 89.2 | 152.8 | 100.2 |
|  | Eastern Province | 88.9 | 85.0 | 145.7 | 114.9 |
| n/rura | Urban | 93.3 | 90.9 | 141.3 | 120.3 |
| ban/rural | Rural | 91.5 | 85.8 | 149.4 | 105.5 |
|  | Male | 90.7 | 85.8 | 149.8 | 107.3 |
| Sex | Female | 92.7 | 87.4 | 147.1 | 108.1 |

Source: Main indicators report

A direct consequence of children's late completion of primary school can be a lower net attendance rate at the secondary school level. The below table indicates that, in Rwanda, about 19\% of all boys aged 13 to 18 attend secondary schoolcompared to $23 \%$ of girls in that age group. The increase in the net secondary school attendance rates for girls is faster than that of boys when compared to 2005/06.

Table 9.3 Net and gross attendance rates in secondary school (EICV3 and EICV2)

|  |  | Net attendance rate |  | Gross attendancerate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EICV3 | EICV2 | EICV3 | EICV2 |
| All Rwanda |  | 20.9 | 10.4 | 40.9 | 19.7 |
|  | Kigali City | 41.0 | 24.6 | 73.7 | 45.2 |
|  | Southern Province | 18.4 | 8.8 | 37.5 | 17.8 |
| Province | Western Province | 18.3 | 8.8 | 37.8 | 17.7 |
|  | Northern Province | 21.3 | 7.3 | 37.5 | 15.0 |
|  | Eastern Province | 18.5 | 10.6 | 38.2 | 17.4 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 37.4 | 21.1 | 66.9 | 39.4 |
| an/rural | Rural | 18.2 | 8.3 | 36.6 | 15.8 |
| Sex | Male | 18.6 | 10.9 | 39.5 | 21.3 |
| Sex | Female | 23.3 | 10.0 | 42.3 | 18.2 |

Source: Main indicators report

The late completion phenomenon observed at the primary school level also exists at the secondary level. The official age for completing secondary school is 18 . The percentage of the population over 18 attending secondary school (as a percentage of children aged 13 to 18) was $20 \%$ in 2010/11. It is mainly females over 18 that remain in secondary school. Hardly any males remain in secondary school over the age of 18 , as shown in the figure below.

Figure 9.1 Children over 18 attending secondary school as percentage of children aged 13 to 18


Source: Main Indicators report

Access to tertiary education services in Rwanda is relatively low, with just $2.6 \%$ of individuals aged 16 to 35 currently attending tertiary education. Higher proportions of males go to university than females.

Figure 9.2 Percentage of population aged 16 to 35 currently attending tertiary education


Source: Main indicators report

### 9.2 School performance by boys and girls

Attendance is an important indicator, but educational outcomes should also be taken into account. The EICV does not ask about students' performance in school, but MINEDUC does provide information on this topic. The results show boys outperforming girls in most subjects other than Kinyarwanda. Secondary performance scores are reproduced below, but readers should refer to the Rwanda Education Statistics 2012reportpublished by MINEDUC for further information on this topic.

Table 9.4 Primary students' performance by subject in 2009 and 2010 (MINEDUC)


Source: MINEDUC
Table 9.5 Ordinary secondary performance by subject, 2009 and 2010 (MINEDUC)

|  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sat for exams |  | Passed |  | \% of students passed |  |  | Sat for exams |  | Passed |  | \% of students passed |  |  |
|  | F | M | F | M | F | M | Total | F | M | F | M | F | M | Total |
| Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 25,031 | 26,697 | 15,933 | 19,630 | 63.7 | 73.5 | 68.8 | 29,762 | 29,382 | 18,222 | 21,293 | 61.2 | 72.5 | 66.8 |
| Physics | 25,015 | 26,679 | 14,909 | 19,664 | 59.6 | 73.7 | 66.9 | 29,754 | 29,372 | 20,738 | 24,084 | 69.7 | 82.0 | 75.8 |
| Chemistry | 25,009 | 26,641 | 13,067 | 18,425 | 52.2 | 69.2 | 61.0 | 29,724 | 29,320 | 16,050 | 20,686 | 54.0 | 70.6 | 62.2 |
| Biology | 25,023 | 26,687 | 13,657 | 19,486 | 54.6 | 73.0 | 64.1 | 29,725 | 29,341 | 16,375 | 21,180 | 55.1 | 72.2 | 63.6 |
| Geography | 25,033 | 26,694 | 15,123 | 23,029 | 60.4 | 86.3 | 73.8 | 29,742 | 29,377 | 23,097 | 27,440 | 77.7 | 93.4 | 85.5 |
| History | 25,028 | 26,692 | 13,648 | 20,985 | 54.5 | 78.6 | 67.0 | 29,743 | 29,359 | 22,446 | 26,400 | 75.5 | 89.9 | 82.6 |
| Kinyarwanda | 25,031 | 26,689 | 20,934 | 22,633 | 83.6 | 84.8 | 84.2 | 29,760 | 29,385 | 27,069 | 27,932 | 91.0 | 95.1 | 93.0 |
| English | 25,033 | 26,692 | 15,456 | 18,790 | 61.7 | 70.4 | 66.2 | 29,751 | 29,371 | 18,704 | 23,598 | 62.9 | 80.3 | 71.6 |

[^13]Table 9.6 Advanced secondary performance by subject, 2009 and 2010 (MINEDUC)

|  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sat for exams |  | Passed |  | \% of students passed |  |  | Sat for exams |  | Passed |  | \% of students passed |  |  |
|  | F | M | F | M | F | M | Total | F | M | F | M | F | M | Total |
| Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 4,968 | 6,991 | 3,277 | 5,090 | 66.0 | 72.8 | 70.0 | 5,445 | 7,187 | 3,581 | 4,946 | 65.8 | 68.8 | 67.5 |
| Physics | 2,357 | 3,897 | 1,733 | 3,236 | 73.5 | 83.0 | 79.5 | 2,512 | 4,037 | 1,869 | 3,223 | 74.4 | 79.8 | 77.8 |
| Chemistry | 4,293 | 5,930 | 4,061 | 5,579 | 94.6 | 94.1 | 94.3 | 4,922 | 6,584 | 4,371 | 5,973 | 88.8 | 90.7 | 89.9 |
| Biology | 3,203 | 3,860 | 2,942 | 3,708 | 91.9 | 96.1 | 94.2 | 3,477 | 4,270 | 2,935 | 3,904 | 84.4 | 91.4 | 88.3 |
| Geography | 5,081 | 6,863 | 4,463 | 6,518 | 87.8 | 95.0 | 91.9 | 5,501 | 7,065 | 4,719 | 6,648 | 85.8 | 94.1 | 90.5 |
| History | 4,226 | 5,497 | 3,599 | 5,174 | 85.2 | 94.1 | 90.2 | 4,548 | 5,644 | 3,489 | 5,036 | 76.7 | 89.2 | 83.6 |
| Economics | 4,269 | 5,610 | 3,868 | 5,344 | 90.6 | 95.3 | 93.2 | 4,687 | 5,931 | 3,972 | 5,335 | 84.7 | 90.0 | 87.7 |
| Computer science | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 98.4 |
| English | 704 | 1,428 | 550 | 1,339 | 78.1 | 93.8 | 88.6 | 1,086 | 1,641 | 769 | 1,505 | 70.8 | 91.7 | 83.4 |
| French | 348 | 663 | 195 | 491 | 56.0 | 74.1 | 67.9 | 385 | 659 | 323 | 597 | 83.9 | 90.6 | 88.1 |
| Kinyarwanda | 676 | 1,418 | 663 | 1,406 | 98.1 | 99.2 | 98.8 | 712 | 1,523 | 668 | 1,455 | 93.8 | 95.5 | 95.0 |
| Kiswahili | 328 | 756 | 293 | 737 | 89.3 | 97.5 | 95.0 | 327 | 864 | 294 | 836 | 89.9 | 96.8 | 94.9 |

Source: MINEDUC

### 9.3 Literacy and computer skills

Female heads of households were much less literate than their male counterparts, but it should be noted that the much older age profile is a driving factor behind this finding for female heads. The younger defacto female household headshad literacy rates more similarto their male counterparts, albeit still considerably lower.

Table 9.7 Literacy of householdheads by sex

|  |  | Male head | Female head | De facto female head |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Able to read a simple written note | Yes | 74.1 | 42.9 | 64.5 |
|  | No | 25.9 | 57.1 | 35.5 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Able to write a simple letter | Yes | 70.2 | 37.6 | 59.6 |
|  | No | 29.8 | 62.4 | 40.4 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Able to do a written calculation | Yes | 77.2 | 45.5 | 66.8 |
|  | No | 22.8 | 54.5 | 33.2 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Ever used a computer | Yes | 6.0 | 2.3 | 6.0 |
|  | No | 94.0 | 97.7 | 94.0 |
|  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: EICV3 Household heads
Taking into account all persons over the age of 6 years, women are less likely to be able to read and write. They are also less likely to have used a computer before or be able to perform a written calculation.

Table 9.8 Literacy of all persons (6+), by sex

|  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Able to read a simple written note | Yes | 64.4 | 60.5 | 62.4 |
|  | No | 35.6 | 39.5 | 37.6 |
|  |  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Able to write a simple letter | Yes | 61.4 | 56.7 | 58.9 |
|  | No | 38.6 | 43.3 | 41.1 |
|  |  | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Able to do a written calculation | Yes | 71.0 | 66.0 | 100.0 |
|  | No | 29.0 | 68.4 |  |
|  |  | Total | 100.0 | 31.6 |
| Ever used a computer | Yes | 7.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | No | 92.3 | 5.5 | 6.5 |
|  |  | Total | 100.0 | 94.5 |
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## Annex A District tables for selected indicators

A. 1 Number of females per 100 males, by district

| District | Number of females per 100 males |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Nyarugenge | 109 |
| Gasabo | 106 |
| Kicukiro | 100 |
| Nyanza | 112 |
| Gisagara | 108 |
| Nyaruguru | 112 |
| Huye | 116 |
| Nyamagabe | 112 |
| Ruhango | 115 |
| Muhanga | 117 |
| Kamonyi | 108 |
| Karongi | 115 |
| Rutsiro | 110 |
| Rubavu | 118 |
| Nyabihu | 110 |
| Ngororero | 110 |
| Rusizi | 111 |
| Nyamasheke | 123 |
| Rulindo | 112 |
| Gakenke | 115 |
| Musanze | 118 |
| Burera | 112 |
| Gicumbi | 109 |
| Rwamagana | 109 |
| Nyagatare | 103 |
| Katsibo | 108 |
| Kirehen | 110 |
| Ngoma | 103 |
| Bugesera | 113 |
| All Rwanda | 106 |
|  | 111 |

A. 2 Female-headed households by district, EICV3

| District | Maleheaded | Femaleheaded | De facto femaleheaded | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nyarugenge | 74.5 | 22.8 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| Gasabo | 69.6 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 100.0 |
| Kicukiro | 73.1 | 20.3 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| Nyanza | 56.5 | 35.3 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| Gisagara | 67.8 | 26.3 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
| Nyaruguru | 66.1 | 29.0 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| Huye | 55.2 | 35.6 | 9.2 | 100.0 |
| Nyamagabe | 64.8 | 27.4 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
| Ruhango | 59.4 | 35.6 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| Muhanga | 67.0 | 27.7 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| Kamonyi | 64.4 | 27.1 | 8.5 | 100.0 |
| Karongi | 61.1 | 31.1 | 7.7 | 100.0 |
| Rutsiro | 67.1 | 28.0 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| Rubavu | 65.9 | 30.1 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Nyabihu | 63.1 | 32.5 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| Ngororero | 66.1 | 24.5 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
| Rusizi | 67.4 | 26.2 | 6.4 | 100.0 |
| Nyamasheke | 64.0 | 28.4 | 7.6 | 100.0 |
| Rulindo | 70.8 | 26.4 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
| Gakenke | 69.5 | 25.2 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| Musanze | 67.7 | 27.1 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| Burera | 74.2 | 21.5 | 4.3 | 100.0 |
| Gicumbi | 68.8 | 25.0 | 6.2 | 100.0 |
| Rwamagana | 59.3 | 32.8 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
| Nyagatare | 70.0 | 24.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| Gatsibo | 67.1 | 28.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 |
| Kayonza | 70.5 | 24.9 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
| Kirehe | 67.0 | 28.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| Ngoma | 63.3 | 31.8 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| Bugesera | 70.2 | 23.1 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| All Rwanda | 66.5 | 27.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 |

A. 3 Mean number of persons in household, by sex of head of household and district

| District | Male head | Female head | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nyarugenge | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 |
| Gasabo | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Kicukiro | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Nyanza | 5 | 3.9 | 4.6 |
| Gisagara | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Nyaruguru | 5.4 | 3.9 | 5 |
| Huye | 5 | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| Nyamagabe | 5 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Ruhango | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| Muhanga | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| Kamonyi | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Karongi | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Rutsiro | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.7 |
| Rubavu | 5.5 | 4.4 | 5.2 |
| Nyabihu | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| Ngororero | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.6 |
| Rusizi | 5.8 | 4 | 5.4 |
| Nyamasheke | 5.3 | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| Rulindo | 5 | 3.7 | 4.7 |
| Gakenke | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Musanze | 5.2 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| Burera | 5.4 | 3.4 | 5 |
| Gicumbi | 5.5 | 3.7 | 5.1 |
| Rwamagana | 5 | 4 | 4.7 |
| Nyagatare | 5.4 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| Gatsibo | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| Kayonza | 5 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| Kirehe | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.6 |
| Ngoma | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 |
| Bugesera | 5.3 | 3.7 | 4.9 |

A. 4 Employment type (usual main job), by sex, province and district

|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage farm | Wage nonfarm | Smallscale farmer | Indep-endentnonfarm | Other | Total | Wage farm | Wage nonfarm | Smallscale farmer | Indep-endentnonfarm | Other and n.i | Total |
| Nyarugenge | 2.1 | 63.5 | 7.2 | 23.8 | 3.3 | 100 | 3.5 | 40.6 | 22.6 | 26.8 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Gasabo | 3.7 | 61.6 | 13.5 | 17.8 | 3.5 | 100 | 6.3 | 35.0 | 36.4 | 18.1 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Kicukiro | 3.3 | 71.9 | 7.4 | 15.4 | 2.0 | 100 | 4.2 | 48.0 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Nyanza | 12.0 | 21.4 | 56.6 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 100 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 82.6 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Gisagara | 15.0 | 11.9 | 62.1 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 100 | 15.9 | 2.8 | 77.3 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Nyaruguru | 11.1 | 19.6 | 57.4 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 100 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 83.5 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Huye | 8.4 | 26.8 | 53.3 | 10.6 | 0.9 | 100 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 75.9 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Nyamagabe | 11.0 | 21.5 | 57.0 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 100 | 13.7 | 6.5 | 70.3 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Ruhango | 13.9 | 11.8 | 62.2 | 10.3 | 1.8 | 100 | 9.9 | 4.3 | 77.7 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Muhanga | 4.2 | 23.5 | 60.0 | 11.9 | 0.5 | 100 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 85.4 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Kamonyi | 6.8 | 22.0 | 57.4 | 13.1 | 0.7 | 100 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 83.0 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Karongi | 11.9 | 16.6 | 63.7 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 100 | 11.2 | 3.8 | 81.0 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Rutsiro | 14.2 | 23.7 | 47.5 | 12.6 | 2.1 | 100 | 14.1 | 4.9 | 74.5 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Rubavu | 12.0 | 41.4 | 29.6 | 16.4 | 0.6 | 100 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 44.1 | 29.6 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Nyabihu | 22.1 | 22.3 | 41.2 | 13.6 | 0.9 | 100 | 23.2 | 2.0 | 58.9 | 13.2 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Ngororero | 10.2 | 21.3 | 57.5 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 100 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 82.8 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Rusizi | 6.7 | 28.4 | 48.0 | 14.1 | 2.7 | 100 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 69.5 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Nyamasheke | 12.9 | 35.5 | 40.3 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 100 | 14.8 | 7.0 | 67.4 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Rulindo | 15.4 | 28.8 | 45.6 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 100 | 15.0 | 5.4 | 75.0 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Gakenke | 7.7 | 20.3 | 60.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 100 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 86.3 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Musanze | 9.4 | 41.7 | 36.9 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 100 | 10.1 | 6.1 | 73.3 | 9.8 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Burera | 16.0 | 21.3 | 50.6 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 100 | 12.7 | 1.7 | 77.5 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Gicumbi | 11.4 | 26.5 | 53.0 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 100 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 77.9 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Rwamagana | 7.2 | 21.0 | 57.5 | 12.1 | 2.3 | 100 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 77.6 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Nyagatare | 12.8 | 16.8 | 57.1 | 12.1 | 1.2 | 100 | 13.6 | 4.4 | 74.5 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 100 |
| Gatsibo | 13.6 | 13.6 | 63.9 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 100 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 78.7 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Kayonza | 6.4 | 18.0 | 60.6 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 100 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 79.7 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Kirehe | 7.8 | 12.8 | 68.7 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 100 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 84.2 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Ngoma | 9.7 | 15.0 | 61.0 | 12.4 | 1.9 | 100 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 83.2 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Bugesera | 7.9 | 21.9 | 61.3 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 100 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 74.6 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 100 |
| All Rwanda | 10.2 | 27.4 | 49.4 | 11.7 | 1.4 | 100 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 71.8 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 100 |
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## Annex B 95\% confidence intervals for selected indicators

B. 1 \% of male-headed households, EICV3

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.665 | 0.005 | 0.656 | 0.674 | 14,308 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.719 | 0.015 | 0.691 | 0.748 | 1,348 |
| Southern Province | 0.626 | 0.009 | 0.609 | 0.643 | 3,840 |
| Western Province | 0.650 | 0.009 | 0.633 | 0.666 | 3,360 |
| Northern Province | 0.699 | 0.013 | 0.674 | 0.725 | 2,400 |
| Eastern Province | 0.670 | 0.009 | 0.653 | 0.687 | 3,360 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.687 | 0.011 | 0.665 | 0.710 | 2,149 |
| Rural | 0.661 | 0.005 | 0.651 | 0.671 | 12,159 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.745 | 0.023 | 0.700 | 0.790 | 449 |
| Gasabo | 0.696 | 0.025 | 0.647 | 0.746 | 450 |
| Kicukiro | 0.731 | 0.025 | 0.682 | 0.780 | 449 |
| Nyanza | 0.565 | 0.024 | 0.517 | 0.613 | 480 |
| Gisagara | 0.678 | 0.024 | 0.631 | 0.725 | 480 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.661 | 0.023 | 0.616 | 0.705 | 480 |
| Huye | 0.552 | 0.026 | 0.502 | 0.603 | 480 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.647 | 0.026 | 0.597 | 0.698 | 480 |
| Ruhango | 0.594 | 0.027 | 0.541 | 0.646 | 480 |
| Muhanga | 0.670 | 0.021 | 0.629 | 0.712 | 480 |
| Kamonyi | 0.644 | 0.022 | 0.599 | 0.688 | 480 |
| Karongi | 0.611 | 0.022 | 0.568 | 0.655 | 480 |
| Rutsiro | 0.671 | 0.021 | 0.629 | 0.713 | 480 |
| Rubavu | 0.658 | 0.022 | 0.615 | 0.702 | 480 |
| Nyabihu | 0.631 | 0.023 | 0.585 | 0.677 | 480 |
| Ngororero | 0.661 | 0.021 | 0.621 | 0.701 | 480 |
| Rusizi | 0.674 | 0.026 | 0.622 | 0.726 | 480 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.640 | 0.022 | 0.596 | 0.684 | 480 |
| Rulindo | 0.708 | 0.019 | 0.671 | 0.746 | 480 |
| Gakenke | 0.695 | 0.022 | 0.651 | 0.739 | 480 |
| Musanze | 0.677 | 0.026 | 0.625 | 0.728 | 480 |
| Burera | 0.742 | 0.019 | 0.704 | 0.780 | 480 |
| Gicumbi | 0.688 | 0.036 | 0.618 | 0.758 | 480 |
| Rwamagana | 0.593 | 0.022 | 0.550 | 0.635 | 480 |
| Nyagatare | 0.700 | 0.023 | 0.655 | 0.745 | 480 |
| Gatsibo | 0.671 | 0.021 | 0.630 | 0.712 | 480 |
| Kayonza | 0.705 | 0.023 | 0.659 | 0.750 | 480 |
| Kirehe | 0.670 | 0.023 | 0.626 | 0.715 | 480 |
| Ngoma | 0.633 | 0.018 | 0.598 | 0.668 | 480 |
| Bugesera | 0.702 | 0.026 | 0.650 | 0.754 | 480 |

B. 2 \% of female-headed households, EICV3

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.277 | 0.004 | 0.268 | 0.285 | 14,308 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.236 | 0.014 | 0.208 | 0.263 | 1,348 |
| Southern Province | 0.305 | 0.008 | 0.289 | 0.321 | 3,840 |
| Western Province | 0.287 | 0.008 | 0.271 | 0.302 | 3,360 |
| Northern Province | 0.251 | 0.012 | 0.227 | 0.275 | 2,400 |
| Eastern Province | 0.275 | 0.008 | 0.259 | 0.292 | 3,360 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.257 | 0.011 | 0.235 | 0.279 | 2,149 |
| Rural | 0.28 | 0.005 | 0.271 | 0.29 | 12,159 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.228 | 0.02 | 0.189 | 0.267 | 449 |
| Gasabo | 0.261 | 0.026 | 0.211 | 0.311 | 450 |
| Kicukiro | 0.203 | 0.021 | 0.161 | 0.245 | 449 |
| Nyanza | 0.353 | 0.026 | 0.303 | 0.404 | 480 |
| Gisagara | 0.263 | 0.024 | 0.215 | 0.311 | 480 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.29 | 0.021 | 0.25 | 0.331 | 480 |
| Huye | 0.356 | 0.027 | 0.303 | 0.408 | 480 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.274 | 0.025 | 0.225 | 0.323 | 480 |
| Ruhango | 0.356 | 0.027 | 0.303 | 0.409 | 480 |
| Muhanga | 0.277 | 0.017 | 0.244 | 0.309 | 480 |
| Kamonyi | 0.271 | 0.02 | 0.233 | 0.31 | 480 |
| Karongi | 0.311 | 0.021 | 0.27 | 0.352 | 480 |
| Rutsiro | 0.28 | 0.019 | 0.243 | 0.318 | 480 |
| Rubavu | 0.301 | 0.023 | 0.255 | 0.346 | 480 |
| Nyabihu | 0.325 | 0.023 | 0.279 | 0.371 | 480 |
| Ngororero | 0.245 | 0.018 | 0.209 | 0.28 | 480 |
| Rusizi | 0.262 | 0.023 | 0.217 | 0.307 | 480 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.284 | 0.021 | 0.243 | 0.325 | 480 |
| Rulindo | 0.264 | 0.019 | 0.227 | 0.301 | 480 |
| Gakenke | 0.252 | 0.022 | 0.208 | 0.296 | 480 |
| Musanze | 0.271 | 0.025 | 0.222 | 0.319 | 480 |
| Burera | 0.215 | 0.018 | 0.179 | 0.25 | 480 |
| Gicumbi | 0.25 | 0.033 | 0.185 | 0.316 | 480 |
| Rwamagana | 0.328 | 0.022 | 0.284 | 0.371 | 480 |
| Nyagatare | 0.245 | 0.022 | 0.203 | 0.287 | 480 |
| Gatsibo | 0.288 | 0.019 | 0.249 | 0.326 | 480 |
| Kayonza | 0.249 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.288 | 480 |
| Kirehe | 0.28 | 0.022 | 0.238 | 0.323 | 480 |
| Ngoma | 0.318 | 0.019 | 0.28 | 0.356 | 480 |
| Bugesera | 0.231 | 0.029 | 0.174 | 0.288 | 480 |

B. 3 \% of de facto female-headed households, EICV3

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.059 | 0.002 | 0.054 | 0.063 | 14,308 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.045 | 0.006 | 0.033 | 0.057 | 1,348 |
| Southern Province | 0.069 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 0.077 | 3,840 |
| Western Province | 0.064 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 0.074 | 3,360 |
| Northern Province | 0.05 | 0.005 | 0.041 | 0.059 | 2,400 |
| Eastern Province | 0.055 | 0.004 | 0.046 | 0.063 | 3,360 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.056 | 0.005 | 0.046 | 0.066 | 2,149 |
| Rural | 0.059 | 0.002 | 0.054 | 0.064 | 12,159 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.027 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.044 | 449 |
| Gasabo | 0.043 | 0.01 | 0.022 | 0.063 | 450 |
| Kicukiro | 0.066 | 0.013 | 0.04 | 0.091 | 449 |
| Nyanza | 0.081 | 0.011 | 0.059 | 0.104 | 480 |
| Gisagara | 0.059 | 0.014 | 0.031 | 0.087 | 480 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.049 | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.066 | 480 |
| Huye | 0.092 | 0.013 | 0.066 | 0.118 | 480 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.079 | 0.01 | 0.058 | 0.099 | 480 |
| Ruhango | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.031 | 0.07 | 480 |
| Muhanga | 0.053 | 0.01 | 0.032 | 0.074 | 480 |
| Kamonyi | 0.085 | 0.015 | 0.055 | 0.115 | 480 |
| Karongi | 0.077 | 0.017 | 0.045 | 0.11 | 480 |
| Rutsiro | 0.049 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.068 | 480 |
| Rubavu | 0.041 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.064 | 480 |
| Nyabihu | 0.044 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.071 | 480 |
| Ngororero | 0.095 | 0.014 | 0.067 | 0.122 | 480 |
| Rusizi | 0.064 | 0.013 | 0.039 | 0.089 | 480 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.076 | 0.015 | 0.046 | 0.106 | 480 |
| Rulindo | 0.028 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 0.043 | 480 |
| Gakenke | 0.053 | 0.011 | 0.032 | 0.074 | 480 |
| Musanze | 0.053 | 0.01 | 0.034 | 0.072 | 480 |
| Burera | 0.043 | 0.01 | 0.025 | 0.062 | 480 |
| Gicumbi | 0.062 | 0.01 | 0.042 | 0.081 | 480 |
| Rwamagana | 0.08 | 0.014 | 0.053 | 0.107 | 480 |
| Nyagatare | 0.055 | 0.011 | 0.033 | 0.076 | 480 |
| Gatsibo | 0.041 | 0.011 | 0.021 | 0.062 | 480 |
| Kayonza | 0.047 | 0.011 | 0.026 | 0.068 | 480 |
| Kirehe | 0.05 | 0.011 | 0.028 | 0.071 | 480 |
| Ngoma | 0.049 | 0.01 | 0.029 | 0.068 | 480 |
| Bugesera | 0.067 | 0.014 | 0.04 | 0.094 | 480 |

B. 4 \% of working women(16+) in small-scale farming

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.718 | 0.007 | 0.704 | 0.731 | 20,321 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.284 | 0.027 | 0.231 | 0.338 | 2,070 |
| Southern Province | 0.793 | 0.01 | 0.775 | 0.812 | 5,378 |
| Western Province | 0.682 | 0.012 | 0.659 | 0.706 | 4,879 |
| Northern Province | 0.78 | 0.024 | 0.733 | 0.827 | 3,388 |
| Eastern Province | 0.787 | 0.011 | 0.765 | 0.809 | 4,606 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.384 | 0.022 | 0.341 | 0.427 | 3,282 |
| Rural | 0.77 | 0.007 | 0.756 | 0.784 | 17,039 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.223 | 0.051 | 0.124 | 0.323 | 687 |
| Gasabo | 0.36 | 0.047 | 0.267 | 0.452 | 704 |
| Kicukiro | 0.212 | 0.038 | 0.139 | 0.286 | 679 |
| Nyanza | 0.826 | 0.023 | 0.781 | 0.871 | 666 |
| Gisagara | 0.773 | 0.021 | 0.732 | 0.814 | 648 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.835 | 0.017 | 0.801 | 0.869 | 665 |
| Huye | 0.759 | 0.037 | 0.686 | 0.832 | 707 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.703 | 0.031 | 0.642 | 0.764 | 660 |
| Ruhango | 0.777 | 0.026 | 0.726 | 0.829 | 648 |
| Muhanga | 0.854 | 0.028 | 0.799 | 0.91 | 709 |
| Kamonyi | 0.829 | 0.025 | 0.78 | 0.878 | 675 |
| Karongi | 0.81 | 0.021 | 0.77 | 0.851 | 678 |
| Rutsiro | 0.743 | 0.019 | 0.705 | 0.781 | 653 |
| Rubavu | 0.439 | 0.04 | 0.361 | 0.517 | 701 |
| Nyabihu | 0.589 | 0.036 | 0.517 | 0.66 | 677 |
| Ngororero | 0.828 | 0.015 | 0.798 | 0.858 | 645 |
| Rusizi | 0.695 | 0.041 | 0.615 | 0.776 | 780 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.674 | 0.03 | 0.615 | 0.733 | 745 |
| Rulindo | 0.75 | 0.021 | 0.708 | 0.791 | 688 |
| Gakenke | 0.863 | 0.017 | 0.83 | 0.897 | 667 |
| Musanze | 0.733 | 0.034 | 0.666 | 0.799 | 696 |
| Burera | 0.775 | 0.028 | 0.721 | 0.83 | 662 |
| Gicumbi | 0.779 | 0.077 | 0.628 | 0.931 | 675 |
| Rwamagana | 0.776 | 0.03 | 0.717 | 0.835 | 660 |
| Nyagatare | 0.745 | 0.036 | 0.674 | 0.816 | 655 |
| Gatsibo | 0.787 | 0.025 | 0.739 | 0.836 | 675 |
| Kayonza | 0.796 | 0.026 | 0.745 | 0.846 | 655 |
| Kirehe | 0.841 | 0.017 | 0.807 | 0.875 | 640 |
| Ngoma | 0.832 | 0.022 | 0.789 | 0.875 | 673 |
| Bugesera | 0.746 | 0.039 | 0.67 | 0.822 | 648 |

B. 5 \% of working men (16+) in small-scale farming

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.494 | 0.007 | 0.481 | 0.508 | 17,184 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.1 | 0.014 | 0.073 | 0.128 | 1,935 |
| Southern Province | 0.583 | 0.012 | 0.559 | 0.607 | 4,415 |
| Western Province | 0.468 | 0.014 | 0.441 | 0.495 | 4,024 |
| Northern Province | 0.497 | 0.019 | 0.46 | 0.535 | 2,833 |
| Eastern Province | 0.615 | 0.013 | 0.589 | 0.641 | 3,977 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.179 | 0.015 | 0.15 | 0.209 | 2,964 |
| Rural | 0.554 | 0.008 | 0.539 | 0.569 | 14,220 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.072 | 0.026 | 0.022 | 0.123 | 644 |
| Gasabo | 0.136 | 0.027 | 0.084 | 0.189 | 612 |
| Kicukiro | 0.074 | 0.016 | 0.043 | 0.105 | 679 |
| Nyanza | 0.566 | 0.042 | 0.484 | 0.649 | 535 |
| Gisagara | 0.619 | 0.029 | 0.563 | 0.676 | 547 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.574 | 0.031 | 0.513 | 0.634 | 594 |
| Huye | 0.533 | 0.04 | 0.454 | 0.612 | 550 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.569 | 0.028 | 0.515 | 0.623 | 558 |
| Ruhango | 0.623 | 0.034 | 0.556 | 0.69 | 505 |
| Muhanga | 0.6 | 0.036 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 565 |
| Kamonyi | 0.574 | 0.038 | 0.5 | 0.647 | 561 |
| Karongi | 0.637 | 0.031 | 0.576 | 0.699 | 562 |
| Rutsiro | 0.475 | 0.035 | 0.407 | 0.543 | 546 |
| Rubavu | 0.296 | 0.041 | 0.216 | 0.375 | 577 |
| Nyabihu | 0.413 | 0.047 | 0.321 | 0.505 | 577 |
| Ngororero | 0.574 | 0.025 | 0.524 | 0.624 | 538 |
| Rusizi | 0.481 | 0.042 | 0.4 | 0.563 | 677 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.403 | 0.031 | 0.342 | 0.463 | 547 |
| Rulindo | 0.457 | 0.037 | 0.386 | 0.529 | 535 |
| Gakenke | 0.608 | 0.028 | 0.554 | 0.662 | 547 |
| Musanze | 0.368 | 0.042 | 0.286 | 0.45 | 564 |
| Burera | 0.505 | 0.026 | 0.455 | 0.556 | 590 |
| Gicumbi | 0.53 | 0.052 | 0.427 | 0.633 | 597 |
| Rwamagana | 0.578 | 0.035 | 0.51 | 0.647 | 607 |
| Nyagatare | 0.571 | 0.036 | 0.501 | 0.642 | 573 |
| Gatsibo | 0.639 | 0.03 | 0.58 | 0.698 | 558 |
| Kayonza | 0.605 | 0.036 | 0.535 | 0.675 | 556 |
| Kirehe | 0.687 | 0.03 | 0.628 | 0.746 | 541 |
| Ngoma | 0.61 | 0.034 | 0.543 | 0.678 | 562 |
| Bugesera | 0.615 | 0.037 | 0.542 | 0.688 | 580 |

B. 6 \% of female-headed households (both types) owning a mobile phone

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.376 | 0.009 | 0.359 | 0.393 | 4,822 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.742 | 0.034 | 0.676 | 0.809 | 374 |
| Southern Province | 0.29 | 0.014 | 0.262 | 0.317 | 1,435 |
| Western Province | 0.324 | 0.016 | 0.293 | 0.355 | 1,184 |
| Northern Province | 0.341 | 0.028 | 0.286 | 0.396 | 714 |
| Eastern Province | 0.425 | 0.017 | 0.391 | 0.458 | 1,115 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.653 | 0.023 | 0.607 | 0.698 | 681 |
| Rural | 0.332 | 0.009 | 0.314 | 0.35 | 4,141 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.773 | 0.049 | 0.677 | 0.87 | 114 |
| Gasabo | 0.695 | 0.06 | 0.576 | 0.813 | 138 |
| Kicukiro | 0.798 | 0.039 | 0.722 | 0.875 | 122 |
| Nyanza | 0.344 | 0.033 | 0.279 | 0.408 | 209 |
| Gisagara | 0.235 | 0.032 | 0.173 | 0.298 | 156 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.238 | 0.038 | 0.164 | 0.311 | 162 |
| Huye | 0.344 | 0.04 | 0.265 | 0.424 | 217 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.144 | 0.036 | 0.073 | 0.214 | 165 |
| Ruhango | 0.281 | 0.044 | 0.194 | 0.368 | 198 |
| Muhanga | 0.363 | 0.037 | 0.291 | 0.436 | 159 |
| Kamonyi | 0.347 | 0.039 | 0.27 | 0.424 | 169 |
| Karongi | 0.256 | 0.034 | 0.19 | 0.323 | 189 |
| Rutsiro | 0.229 | 0.031 | 0.168 | 0.289 | 157 |
| Rubavu | 0.389 | 0.059 | 0.274 | 0.505 | 166 |
| Nyabihu | 0.359 | 0.048 | 0.265 | 0.453 | 177 |
| Ngororero | 0.314 | 0.037 | 0.242 | 0.386 | 164 |
| Rusizi | 0.369 | 0.042 | 0.286 | 0.451 | 154 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.343 | 0.03 | 0.284 | 0.401 | 177 |
| Rulindo | 0.321 | 0.051 | 0.22 | 0.422 | 141 |
| Gakenke | 0.372 | 0.045 | 0.283 | 0.46 | 146 |
| Musanze | 0.364 | 0.051 | 0.263 | 0.465 | 147 |
| Burera | 0.248 | 0.042 | 0.166 | 0.331 | 123 |
| Gicumbi | 0.361 | 0.074 | 0.216 | 0.506 | 157 |
| Rwamagana | 0.528 | 0.038 | 0.453 | 0.603 | 193 |
| Nyagatare | 0.52 | 0.058 | 0.406 | 0.635 | 145 |
| Gatsibo | 0.445 | 0.035 | 0.375 | 0.514 | 160 |
| Kayonza | 0.404 | 0.056 | 0.294 | 0.515 | 145 |
| Kirehe | 0.289 | 0.037 | 0.215 | 0.362 | 158 |
| Ngoma | 0.382 | 0.04 | 0.304 | 0.46 | 176 |
| Bugesera | 0.373 | 0.042 | 0.291 | 0.456 | 138 |

B. 7 \% of male-headed households owning a mobile phone

|  | Estimate | Standard error | Lower | Upper | Unweighted count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Rwanda | 0.490 | 0.008 | 0.475 | 0.505 | 9,486 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 0.817 | 0.019 | 0.780 | 0.855 | 974 |
| Southern Province | 0.386 | 0.012 | 0.362 | 0.409 | 2,405 |
| Western Province | 0.447 | 0.016 | 0.416 | 0.479 | 2,176 |
| Northern Province | 0.452 | 0.023 | 0.406 | 0.497 | 1,686 |
| Eastern Province | 0.513 | 0.015 | 0.484 | 0.543 | 2,245 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.743 | 0.018 | 0.708 | 0.779 | 1,468 |
| Rural | 0.444 | 0.008 | 0.428 | 0.461 | 8,018 |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nyarugenge | 0.819 | 0.029 | 0.762 | 0.876 | 335 |
| Gasabo | 0.782 | 0.033 | 0.716 | 0.847 | 312 |
| Kicukiro | 0.869 | 0.034 | 0.802 | 0.935 | 327 |
| Nyanza | 0.428 | 0.035 | 0.360 | 0.497 | 271 |
| Gisagara | 0.273 | 0.029 | 0.215 | 0.331 | 324 |
| Nyaruguru | 0.330 | 0.024 | 0.283 | 0.377 | 318 |
| Huye | 0.400 | 0.043 | 0.317 | 0.484 | 263 |
| Nyamagabe | 0.283 | 0.029 | 0.226 | 0.339 | 315 |
| Ruhango | 0.374 | 0.037 | 0.302 | 0.446 | 282 |
| Muhanga | 0.505 | 0.037 | 0.433 | 0.577 | 321 |
| Kamonyi | 0.512 | 0.035 | 0.443 | 0.582 | 311 |
| Karongi | 0.343 | 0.043 | 0.258 | 0.428 | 291 |
| Rutsiro | 0.403 | 0.030 | 0.344 | 0.462 | 323 |
| Rubavu | 0.518 | 0.042 | 0.436 | 0.601 | 314 |
| Nyabihu | 0.458 | 0.043 | 0.373 | 0.542 | 303 |
| Ngororero | 0.324 | 0.033 | 0.259 | 0.390 | 316 |
| Rusizi | 0.545 | 0.045 | 0.457 | 0.633 | 326 |
| Nyamasheke | 0.517 | 0.041 | 0.436 | 0.598 | 303 |
| Rulindo | 0.476 | 0.034 | 0.409 | 0.542 | 339 |
| Gakenke | 0.428 | 0.031 | 0.366 | 0.489 | 334 |
| Musanze | 0.545 | 0.054 | 0.439 | 0.650 | 333 |
| Burera | 0.438 | 0.025 | 0.389 | 0.488 | 357 |
| Gicumbi | 0.392 | 0.066 | 0.262 | 0.522 | 323 |
| Rwamagana | 0.616 | 0.039 | 0.540 | 0.693 | 287 |
| Nyagatare | 0.538 | 0.033 | 0.474 | 0.602 | 335 |
| Gatsibo | 0.416 | 0.040 | 0.338 | 0.494 | 320 |
| Kayonza | 0.542 | 0.027 | 0.490 | 0.594 | 335 |
| Kirehe | 0.494 | 0.035 | 0.425 | 0.563 | 322 |
| Ngoma | 0.490 | 0.035 | 0.421 | 0.559 | 304 |
| Bugesera | 0.540 | 0.045 | 0.451 | 0.629 | 342 |

## NOTES

EICV3 THEMATIC REPORT - Gender


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is in line with projections from the United Nation's Population Division (UN DESA 2011, World Population Prospects: 2010 Revision).

[^1]:    Source: EICV3

[^2]:    Source: EICV3.Based on female-headed households (including de facto female-headed households).

[^3]:    Source: EICV3.Note: De facto female heads combined with female heads

[^4]:    Source: EICV3. * sample size too small.Notes: EICV3 allowed just one owner to be entered on the questionnaire. De facto female heads combined with female heads. This tablewas requested by users but may be of limited value because of the limitations in the data on ownership/cultivation of land.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ Responsibility for sales is inferred here from overall responsibility for a crop.

[^6]:    Source: EICV3. Note: This table was requested by users but may be of limited value because of the limitations in the data on primary responsibility for crops.

[^7]:    Base: All persons aged 16+ years. Source: EICV1,2,3 (Economic Activity thematic report)

[^8]:    Base: Persons aged 16+ years who are usually working. Source: EICV3

[^9]:    Base: EICV2. All working persons (16+) (Economic Activitythematic report). Note: No information on fodder searching available in EICV2.

[^10]:    Source: EICV3 children aged 6 to $19 y$ years.

[^11]:    Source: EICV2.

[^12]:    Na - Not applicable
    ${ }^{1}$ See table 15.5 for the list of decisions

[^13]:    Source: MINEDUC

[^14]:    Source: EICV3 all persons aged 6 years and above.

[^15]:    Source: EICV3. All aged 16 years or more and working

