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## Foreword

Achieving development for all requires formulation of policies that recognize the role of gender equality. Therefore, production and dissemination of timely and reliable sex-disaggregated/gender relevant data is extremely important to facilitate evidence based policies and decisions making that take into account women and men in the community.

It is from the above background that the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR) in collaboration with the Gender machinery: the Ministry of Gender and Family Promotion (MIGEPROF) and the Gender Monitoring Office (GMO) with the support of UN Women through ONE UN embarked on establishing and strengthening a comprehensive Gender Statistics Framework (GSF) resulting in the report entitled National Gender Statistics Report that reflects the status of gender equality in key development sectors. The aim of the report is not only to encourage policy debates in particular around gender gaps but also provide quantitative evidences for planning, monitoring and evaluation of programs.

This fourth edition of the National Gender Statistics Report provides the updated sex-disaggregated data in twelve fields: Population \& Youth; Education; Health \& Nutrition; Economic Activity \& time use; Poverty \& Social Protection; Justice, Human rights \& Gender based violence; Environment \& Natural Resources; Decision making\& Public life; Infrastructure, ICT \& Media; Trade \& Business \& Industry; Agriculture, Livestock\& Forestry, and lastly the Income \& Access to Finance. It should be noted that this report takes into account almost all quantitative indicators of the United Nations Minimum Set of Gender Indicators (UNMSGI) as developed by the United Nations Statistical Division (UNSD) as well as some of the quantitative SDGs gender related indicators.

In general, the fourth edition of this report shows that there are gaps between men and women and provides a viable benchmark on the gender status in different development sectors. More detailed sex disaggregated data are in annex $B$. We are confident that it will be a timely data bank of useful development information on Rwanda.

The National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda looks forward to continuing good collaboration with UN Women and all other interested partners to avail gender data and expects more users' needs to be considered in future editions.

Yusuf MURANGWA
Director General, NISR
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## Chapter 1: Population \& Youth

### 1.1 Introduction

The world's populations are in varying stages of a demographic transition from high to low rates of mortality and fertility (Bongaarts, 2009). In this process, the age structure gradually shifts toward older ages. Fertility is the principal component driving population dynamics, the other two being mortality and migration. A youth bulge results when decreases in fertility reduce the share of children in the population at a relatively fast rate while the proportion of elderly is still relatively small. Nations undergoing this transition can capitalise on the resulting high labour supply and low dependency rates. There is the potential to boost economic growth and poverty reduction as there are fewer dependents to support, savings are accumulated, and a relatively young population is likely to enhance investments in human capital and technology in the economy as a whole (Ashford, 2007; Bloom et al., 2003).

Reaping the benefits from this phase of the demographic transition requires, however, the right policy, economic and social environment. First and foremost, the growing youth population has to be absorbed into the labour market and enterprising young people have to be enabled to invest. They must have the opportunity to access high-quality education, matching the type of skills needed in the labour market. Also, young people will only be encouraged to save if there are efficient and trustworthy financial mechanisms to allow them to do so. Finally, investments in public health are necessary to allow a youthful population to maximise its productivity. In return, if the appropriate policy environment is not in place, unemployment and instability may result, and health, education, and social welfare systems may undergo considerable strain (Gribble and Bremner, 2012; Bloom et al., 2003).

Sub-Saharan Africa is still in the early stages of the demographic transition. While mortality has been reduced over the past decades, fertility has remained high in most countries. The result is a very young population in the region, with over $40 \%$ of the total population under 14 (United Nations, 2013).This is almost identical to the Rwandan situation as the results of RPHC conducted in 2012 showed that the proportion of children under 14 years of age was over $40 \%$.

### 1.2. Population share

Since 2014 to 2018, the female population in Rwanda has always been slightly higher than that of men. Data in figure 1 shows that in 2018, about $51.5 \%$ of total Rwanda inhabitants' population is constituted by females compared to $48.5 \%$ for males. The pattern in the three consecutive years presented in the figure 1 has shown little variation in female and male population share.

Figure 1: Population share by sex, 2014-2018


Source: Fourth Rwanda Population and Housing Census, 2012. Thematic Report: Population Projections

### 1.3. Youth and children population

Recent data suggest that Rwanda is progressing well toward a peak in the youth bulge. While the total fertility rate stagnated at high levels during the 1990 s and the beginning of the 2000 s, recent figures from the 2014-15 Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey (RDHS-V) showed an important decline, from 6.1 in 2005 to 4.2 in 2014. Fertility rates for all age groups have been falling since 2005. Moreover, the ideal number of children (3.3) reported by women aged 15-49 is well below the total fertility rate, and is particularly low among younger women (e.g. 2.7 among women aged 15-19). As a result, fertility rates are expected to decline over the coming years, accelerating further the reduction in dependency ratios and furthering the demographic transition.

Figure 2 shows that, in 2018, the proportion of young females aged 16-30 in Rwanda was slightly higher ( $14.3 \%$ ) than their male counterparts ( $13.7 \%$ ) and remained almost the same since 2016. The figure also shows that the proportion of children, defined as share of population aged 0-17, was almost the same for both females and males in 2018 ( $22.7 \%$ ) and reflects no significant differences between 2014 and 2018.

Figure 2: Share of children and youth population by sex


Source: Fourth Rwanda Population and Housing Census, 2012. Thematic Report: Population Projections

### 1.4 Household Headship

In Rwanda, the man is culturally considered the head of the household, but the recent law n ㅇ32/2016 of 28/08/2016 governing persons and family states that spouses (female and male) jointly provide management of the household including moral and material support to the household as well as its maintenance. Figure 3 presents the trend of women and men in terms of household headship where in 2018, about $25 \%$ of total households are reported to be permanently headed by females from $27.7 \%$ in 2014 . This may be due to the fact that women live longer than men and probably also due to the genocide against the Tutsi which left more widows than widowers. Contrary to the case of female where their headship is always linked with the absence of a husband, the majority of male-headed households includes those where both the husband and wife are present. There is also a small percentage of males who are heads of households and at the same time widower, separated or divorced. The majority of households are headed by males with 69\% in 2018 from 66.5\% in 2014.

Figure 3: Percentage of Households according to the sex of the head of HH


[^0]
## Chapter 2: Education

### 2.1. Introduction

Education is a fundamental human right and an essential tool to ensure that all Rwandan citizens - women and men, girls and boys - realize their full potentials. From this perspective, the government of Rwanda has committed to make education at all levels more accessible and for all and more relevant to the national needs while ensuring that disadvantaged persons such as women and girls, the poor, and people with disabilities have access to meaningful learning opportunities. The introduction of twelve years basic education programme (12 YBE), construction of education infrastructure (e. g. schools and education labs), and measures for quality assurance in the education sector, all contribute to the advancement of education for girls.

At policy level, the 2008 girl's education policy and the Education Sector Strategic Plan (ESSP) (2013-2018) aimed at guiding a progressive elimination of gender disparities in education and training that hamper education for women and girls. As result of the policy implementation alongside other programmes in the education sector, the status of girls' education has tremendously improved at primary, secondary, and tertiary education.

Figure 4 shows that girls' enrolment at primary education level has slightly increased from $1,217,724$ in 2014 to $1,244,361$ in 2018 while that of boys increased from 1,181,715 in 2014 to $1,259,344$ in 2018. Nevertheless, in 2018 the enrollment of girls in primary was slightly lower than that of boys with a gender parity index of $0.98 \%$, meaning there was 98 girls students for every 100 boys' students, while in 2014 and 2016 the reverse pattern was observed.

Figure 4: Trend of enrolment in primary education from 2014 to 2018


Source: MINEDUC, Education Statistics, 2018

Figure 5 shows that girls' enrolment at secondary education level has increased from 287,302 in 2014 to 349,918 in 2018 while that of boys increased from 256,634 in 2014 to 308,367 in 2018. Interestingly, the enrolment of girls at secondary level in 2018 was higher than that of boys with a gender parity index of $1.13 \%$, meaning there was 113 girls students for every 100 boys' students. The same trend was observed in 2014 and 2016 respectively with more girls enrolled than boys' students. In general there has been an increase of students from 2016 to 2018. This
increase is the result of school construction. Financing in school construction will play a crucial role in increasing the access to both girls and boys.

Figure 5: Trend of enrolment in Secondary education from 2014 to 2018


Source: MINEDUC, Education Statistics, 2018

In order to increase women's employment opportunities and diversified skills, Rwanda has continued to strengthen demand driven Technical and Vocational Education Training (TVET) programs to close the skills gap as stated in HeForShe Rwanda's impact commitment.

The government in collaboration with its partners has continued to mobilize women and girls to participate in TVET through mentorship and peer to peer approaches associated with the use of social media as well as special radio programs designed for young girls and women such as Ni Nyampinga, "Kazi ni Kazi". In addition to this, the National Employment Program (NEP) provides start-up kits to the young graduates to enable them create more jobs.

Figure 6 shows that female enrolment at TVET level has increased from 40,655 in 2014 to 44,842 in 2018 while that of male has increased from 52,369 to 57,643 in the same period. However, despite efforts made by the government to increase the participation of women and girls in TVET, their participation is still lower compared to that of male as shown by data in the three years taken into account in this report.

Figure 6: Trend of enrolment in TVET (level 1-7) education from 2014 to 2018 by sex


[^1]With regard to tertiary education, figure 7 shows that women and girls' enrolment has slightly decreased from 39,146 in 2014 to 38,041 in 2018 while that of men and boys increased from 47,867 in 2014 to 51,119 in 2018. In general, at tertiary level female lag behind their male counterparts in participating to education at higher level.

Figure 7: Percentage of tertiary students from 2014 to 2018.


Source: MINEDUC, Education Statistics, 2018

Despite efforts made by the government to increase the participation of women and girls in Sciences, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) related subjects, their participation is still limited and affects women's chances for employment and enhanced economic empowerment. Figure 8 shows that, in sciences $9.9 \%$ of students were males while females were only $6.8 \%$. In engineering, only $3.2 \%$ were females which is low compared to $7.8 \%$ of males. However, in social sciences females outnumber males participation by almost 20 percentage points higher than their male counterparts (55.5\% against 37.6\%).

Figure 8: Distribution of tertiary students by field of education in 2016


Source: MINEDUC, Education Statistics, 2017
Looking at the ICT industry, it is an emerging key sector facilitating rapid economic growth. Therefore, women's participation in this sector will yield significant contribution in social and economic transformation. The country has introduced multiple programmes meant to bridge the gender digital divide and attain parity in access, usage, and innovation. Some of the initiated
strategies comprise WEM TECH strategy, Girls in ICT mentorship and networking, and Digital Ambassador Program. Specific topics linking women and ICT are also aired on TV and radios to motivate women and increase their role in economic development through ICT.

Despite the efforts, figure 9 shows that computer literacy is still low but much lower among females than males aged 15-30 years (10.7\% compared to $13.8 \%$ ). The increase since 2014 to 2018 has been very low. The same trend has been observed for the females and males aged 1524 and in the population aged 15 and above.

Figure 9: Trend of Computer literacy rate by sex among population from 2014 to 2018


Source: EICV5, Gender Thematic Report, December 2018

## Chapter 3: Health and Nutrition

### 3.1. Introduction

Gender equality in health means that women and men, across the life-course and in all their diversity, have the same conditions and opportunities to realize their full rights and potential to be healthy, contribute to health development and benefit from the results.

In general, the Health sector in Rwanda has recorded tremendous achievements including improved access to health care, increased life expectancy, decline of infant, child and maternal mortality rates as well as improved use of family planning methods. It is important to highlight that such achievements are attributed to many factors including increased community health insurance coverage, role of community health workers, increased number of health facilities and skilled health professionals that enabled easy access to health care services etc.

The fourth Health Sector Strategic Plan 2018-2024 (HSSP IV) acknowledges that women and men have specific health needs at all stages of life that are related to both physiological differences and their societal roles. It therefore provides that the health sector has to eliminate gender barriers to receiving essential health services. In addition, the Law $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} 21 / 2016$ of 20/05/2016 related to Human Reproductive Health: Article 5 provides that all persons have equal rights in relation to human reproductive health and that no person shall be denied such rights based on any form of discrimination. The Art. 6 of the law also provides that a pregnant woman, a woman who has given birth and a new-born have the right to be cared for so as to ensure their wellbeing.

### 3.2 Ownership of a Health Insurance

Figure 10 shows that access to health insurance has increased from 70.5\% in 2013/14 to 74.5\% in $2016 / 17$ for females while for males it has increased from $69.4 \%$ to $73.4 \%$ in the same period. The use of community health insurance (Mutuelle de santé) that covers most of population in Rwanda, has greatly contributed to increasing community access to improved health care and services. Most especially the use of health insurance by women and children greatly reduced the rates of maternal and infant mortality in Rwanda.

Figure 10: Health Insurance coverage by sex


Source: EICV5, Gender Thematic Report, December 2018

### 3.3 Fertility

Fertility is one of the three principal components of population dynamics, the other two being mortality and migration (United Nations, 1973). For more than 30 years, Rwanda has collected socio demographic data to evaluate fertility levels, patterns, trends, and other general characteristics of its population. Figure 11 shows that, at current fertility levels, a woman in Rwanda will have an average of 4.2 children in her lifetime. Fertility has decreased from 6.2 births per woman in 1992 to 4.2 birth per woman in 2014, a decrease of 2.0 births per woman.

Figure 11: Fertility Trends


Source: Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15

### 3.4 Maternal Health

Monitoring of pregnant women through antenatal care visits helps to reduce risks and complications during pregnancy, delivery and the post-partum periods. Since 2005, maternal health indicators have improved. Figure 12 shows that the number of women receiving
antenatal care from skilled providers at least once during their pregnancy have kept increasing over the last 10 years from $94 \%$ in 2005 to $99 \%$ in 2014-15. Skilled assistance during delivery has increased from $39 \%$ to $91 \%$ and the facility-based deliveries have greatly increased from $28 \%$ to $91 \%$ in the same period. This is greatly attributed to increased coverage in community health insurance scheme (Mutuelle de santé), increased skilled birth attendants, and the role of community health workers who follow pregnant women from early pregnancy to delivery as well as government commitment to support child and maternal health programs, increased health infrastructure, the use of Rapid SMS system - whereby community health workers use mobile phones to monitor mothers and new born babies, and continuous capacity building for health service providers.

Figure 12: Tends in Maternal Health


Source: Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15
Findings in figure 13 show that maternal mortality ratio decreased from 1,071 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in 2000 down to 210 deaths per 100,000 live births in $2014 / 2015$. This reduction is attributed to the institutionalization of maternal death audits, the increased role of community health workers, skilled birth attendance as well as strengthened capacity of health professionals to deliver quality Health services.

A comparison of the maternal mortality ratios from these three surveys and the 2014-15 RDHS shows with evidence that there has been a steady decline in the maternal mortality ratio between 2000 and 2014-15. Nevertheless, the level of mortality ratio is still very high and requires more intervention to make sure no woman dies while giving life.

Figure 13: Trends in Maternal mortality


Source: Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15

## 3.5: Child Health

The nutritional status of children under age 5 is an important measure of children's health and growth. In fact, the high stunting prevalence has long-term effects on child development, school achievement and economic productivity in their adulthood.

Trends in the nutritional status of children under age 5 between 2005 and 2014-15 are shown in Figure 14. The results indicate that there have been improvements in the nutritional status of children over the past decade. The percentage of stunted female children fell from $44.4 \%$ in 2005 to $32.9 \%$ in 2014-15 while for males it declined from $46.3 \%$ to $42.7 \%$ in the same period. The percentage of female children who are wasted declined from $3.6 \%$ in 2005 to $2 \%$ in 2014-15 while for males it declined from $4.2 \%$ to $2.4 \%$ in the same period, and the proportion of female children who are underweight declined from $22.1 \%$ in 2005 to $9.3 \%$ in 2014-15 while for males it declined from $22.9 \%$ to $9.3 \%$ in the same period. These improvements may be attributable to the National Plan to Eliminate Malnutrition, which, since 2009, has included active nutrition screening of children by community health workers. Children who are determined to be at risk of malnourishment are referred to a health facility for appropriate treatment using therapeutic milks, ready-to-use therapeutic food for severe cases, and a corn-soy blend for moderate cases. Other sustainable approaches have been initiated and include infant and young child feeding, community-based nutrition programs, behavior change communication (including mass media), and home food fortification (using micronutrient powders).

Although there have been improvements in the nutritional status of Rwandan children in the past several years, the prevalence of malnutrition (stunting) is still high, and there remains a need for more intensive interventions. The scale up and implementation of the ECD model, Policy and Strategic Plan at national and decentralized levels is therefore highly required to ensure accountability of the sectors for child development to secure Rwanda's future.

Figure 14: Trend of malnutrition status for children under 5 years from 2005 to 2014/15


Source: Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15
In the last 10 years, Infant and Child Mortality have substantially declined nationally with observed drop for both males and females. Figure 15 shows that infant mortality (probability of dying before celebrating the first anniversary) has declined for female children from 99 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2005 to 39 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2014-15 while for males it has declined from 109 deaths per 1,000 live births to 45 deaths per 1,000 live births in the same period. Under-5 mortality also declined during this period, from 177 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2005 to 64 per 1,000 live births in 2014-15 for female children while for males it declined from 187 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2005 to 70 per 1,000 live births in 2014-15.

The decreases in infant and under-5 mortality are likely due to the implementation of integrated management of childhood illnesses in all health facilities, exclusive breast feeding, aggressive immunization, increased community health coverage which guarantees easy and affordable access to health care and the introduction of National Community Health Workers (CHW) Program which provides timely follow up, reporting and referral to ensure timely management and treatment of child diseases.

Figure 15: Trends in childhood mortality


Source: Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey 2014-15

### 3.5 HIV

HIV prevalence has been stable since 2005 and remains at $3 \%$ among adults age 15-49 years (figure 16). However, the trend shows that women have a higher prevalence than men of the same age group (15-49 Years). This gap is possibly due to biological but also social factors including economic dependence of women upon men and on the limited confidence of women to take decision to apply HIV prevention methods.

Figure 16: HIV Prevalence


[^2]
## Chapter 4: Economic Activity and Time Use

### 4.1 Introduction

Women's participation in the economy is key to achieving sustainable national development. The government of Rwanda is aware of this and therefore continues to improve women's opportunities for engagement in the economy through a number of mechanisms including implementing new enabling policies, laws and programmes to help women participate in and gain from the economic development process. For instance, law No 66/2018 of 30/08/2018 regulating Labour in Rwanda has been passed and is now operational. This law provides for equal rights to employment for both women and men, girls and boys, and guarantees equal remuneration for equal work for both females and males.

The country has further established various employment programmes including Create a Job programme (Hangumurimo), VUP, and National Employment Programme (NEP). The NEP programme aims at creating sufficient and productive jobs, increase skills and create positive work related attitudes within workforce in both public and private institutions with special focus on women and youth. The implementation of the programme cuts across various sectors and involves various stakeholders including those that are promoting skills development, entrepreneurship and business advancement, labour markets interventions, and job creation.

### 4.2. Labour force participation rate, employment to population ratio and unemployment rate

The labor force participation rate is a measure of the proportion of a country's working age population that engages actively in the labor market, either by working or by looking for work. As the sum of the employed and (searching) unemployed, this indicator signals the relative size of the supply of labor available to engage in the production of goods and services ${ }^{1}$. People are counted as a part of the labor force if they are engaged in activities that are included in the System of National Accounts or are available and searching for work in such activities. Persons are classified as not being in the labor force if they are attending an educational institution, engaged in household duties, retired, or infirm or disabled (and other reasons).

Women's participation in the labor market varies greatly across countries, reflecting differences in economic development, social norms, education levels, fertility rates, and access to childcare and other supportive services. Female labor supply is, therefore, both a driver and an outcome of development. As more women enter the labor force, economies can grow faster in response to higher labor inputs. At the same time, as countries develop, women's capabilities typically improve, while social constraints weaken, enabling women to engage in work outside the home. For this reason, policymakers need to understand the nature of women's labor supply and to monitor women's labor force participation. Ultimately, labor force participation is the outcome of not only supply-side factors, but also of the demand for labor. The relationship between evolving socio-economic and demographic factors and how women participate in the world of work is multifaceted. In particular, whether a woman is working may be driven, on the one hand, by

[^3]poverty (as evident in low-income countries) and, on the other, by women's increasing educational attainment and the opportunities to work that are made available in a more modern economy. Moreover, during periods of crisis and in response to economic shocks, women are often required to take up (typically informal) employment to smooth household consumption. Beyond analyzing labor force participation, it is also important to look at the nature of women's employment. In general, when women work, they tend to be paid less and to be employed in lowproductivity jobs. Educational attainment is a major driver of better employment outcomes for women in both developed and developing countries ${ }^{2}$.

The present section provides information on historical trend of estimates of main indicators from the labour force survey since February 2017. Figure 17 shows that, from previous rounds of the LFS it was observed that unemployment rate for females and males fluctuates across low and high season of agriculture with a slight decreasing trend over time. However, the results from August 2018 shows that the unemployment rate for both females and males kept on decreasing even in low agricultural season. For females it slightly decreased from 17.3\% in February 2018 to 17\% in August 2018 while for males it decreased from $15 \%$ to $12.2 \%$ in the same period. The comparison of the current female unemployment rate with the one of August 2017 reveals that there was a decrease of 4 percentage points over 12 months' period while for male it shows a 3 percentage points decrease over 12 months' period, suggesting that the unemployment rate for females is decreasing more rapidly than for males or in other words the employment strategy of the government to create more off-farm jobs for women and youth is paying-off.

The employment to population ratio and the labour force participation rate have the similar patterns over time. Both indicators have decreased for females and males from February 2017 to august 2017 as the unemployment increased and kept on increasing from August 2017 to August 2018 as the unemployment rate decreased for both sexes.

[^4]Figure 17: Historical trend of Labour Force participation Rate (LFPR), Employment-toPopulation Ratio (EPR) and the Unemployment (UR)


Source: Labour Force Survey Rounds (February 2017, August 2017, February 2018 and August 2018)

### 4.3. Status in Employment

Status in employment classifies jobs held by persons at a given point of time with respect to the type of explicit or implicit contract of employment of the person with other persons or organizations. It may refer to the current job or jobs of an employed person or the last job of an unemployed person who had past work experience. The International Standard Classification of Status in Employment (ICSE-1993) identifies five main categories of persons with respect to their status in employment ${ }^{3}$.

- Employees: Persons working in "paid employment jobs", i.e., holding explicit (written or oral) or implicit employment contract with remuneration not directly dependent upon the revenue of the unit for which they work. Remuneration could be in the form of wages or salaries, commission from sales, piece-rates, bonuses, or in-kind payments such as food, housing or training.
- Employers: Persons working on own-account or with one or a few partners in "selfemployment jobs", i.e., (a) remuneration is directly dependent on the profits (or potential for profits) derived from the goods and services produced or for own consumption, and (b) engaging one or more "employees," on a continuous basis.
- Own-account workers: Persons working on own-account or with one or a few partners in a "self-employment job", not engaging any "employees," on a continuous basis.

[^5]- Contributing family workers: Persons working in a market-oriented establishment operated by a household member, who cannot be regarded as partner, in a "selfemployment job", not engaging any "employee" on a continuous basis.
- Members of producers' cooperatives: Persons working in a cooperative producing goods and services, in a "self-employment job", not engaging any "employee" on a continuous basis

The findings in figure 18 show that there is a slight difference between females and males who were employed in dependent jobs as employees in favour of males. The percentage of female employed as employees is $67.8 \%$ against $69.2 \%$ among males. But, the percentage of female employed in dependent jobs as contributing family workers (or in other words unpaid care workers) was 5.7 percentage points higher than among males ( $7.4 \%$ against $1.7 \%$ ).

Figure 18: Status in Employment


Source: Labour Force survey, August 2018

### 4.4 Own use production work out of agriculture

Information about participation and time-spent in own-use production work is essential to inform a wide range of policies including those targeting employment creation in rural areas, poverty reduction, food security, and provision of a wide range of services, including water supply, child and elderly care, domestic services, etc. It is also essential for addressing gender issues in the world of work and for better understanding participation and access to labour markets, and related issues such as work-life balance.

The results in figure 19 indicate that females devoted on average 25.3 hours per week on ownuse production work compared to only 13.5 hours for male which is almost twice lower than the time spent by females. The activities in which females were spending more time than men per week were cooking and shopping ( 12.5 hours against 5.5 hours) followed in second position by looking after children or eldery ( 8.8 hours against 3.9 hours), and in third position manufacturing household goods ( 7.1 hours against 5 hours) while males were spending more time than females
per week only in two main activities namely searching folder or grazing (10 hours against 7.3 hours) and constructing their dwelling or repairing own houses ( 7.4 hours against 4.4 hours).

Figure 19: Average time spent per week in own-use production (OWP) work by sex and type of own-use production


Source: Labour Force Survey, August 2018

### 4.5 Characteristics of agricultural workers

The focus in the figure 20 is on the two categories of agricultural workers namely workers in market oriented agricultural in main job and those involved exclusively in subsistence agriculture. The findings show that the bulk of agricultural workers is involved in subsistence agriculture. It was observed that market oriented agriculture is predominant among males than females and kept increasing from August 2017 to August 2018 (from 41.8\% to 47\% for males and from $31 \%$ to $34.3 \%$ for females). On the other hand, the number of people involved exclusively in the subsistence agriculture is higher among females than males but slightly decreasing from August 2017 to August 2018 (from 69\% to $65.7 \%$ for females and from $58.2 \%$ to $53 \%$ for males).

Round to round comparison show an increase of people involved in market oriented agriculture in Rwanda as well as among males and females. Contrary it was observed that people involved in subsistence agriculture decreased in Rwanda and among males and females with higher decrease of 2.0 percentage points among males than among females ( $0.3 \%$ ).

Figure 20: Trend of proportion of agricultural workers by sex
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## Chapter 5: Poverty and Social Protection

### 5.1 Introduction

In addition to the above macro-economic policies, strategies and plans, the government of Rwanda continues to implement policies and social protection programmes aimed at reducing poverty among the population and in particular women and girls. In spite of the on-going innovative and home-grown solutions, especially the social protection programmes like "One cow per poor family and Vision 2020 Umurenge Program (VUP)" meant to address poverty among the population, a significant number of women and girls still experience poverty.

### 5.2. Poverty

Findings in the figure 21 show that poverty levels of female headed households has declined to $39.5 \%$ in $2016 / 2017$ from $47 \%$ in $2010 / 2011$ while for male headed-households it declined to $37.8 \%$ from $44.3 \%$ in the same period. Taking into account the extreme poverty, $17.8 \%$ of female headed households are in extreme poverty in 2016/2017 down from 26\% in 2010/2011 while for male headed households it declined to $15 \%$ from $23.6 \%$ in the same period.

Figure 21: Percentage of household classified as poor by sex of HH head


Source: EICV5, Gender Thematic Report, December 2018

### 5.3. Social Protection

The national social protection policy identifies the need to support women's participation in productive activities, reduce vulnerability in general and promote sustainable economic and social development centered on risk management, good coordination of saving actions and protection of vulnerable people. In this regard, a number of social protection programmes were initiated to deal with poverty among the population and in particular women and girls. These include among others: Vision 2020 Umurenge Program (VUP), Ubudehe, One cup of milk per child program, and One Cow per Poor Family (Known as GIRINKA), the Genocide Survivors Support and Assistance Fund (FARG) and other social security initiatives. These pro-poor programmes provide direct support in terms of cash transfers, facilitation in accessing financial and credit
services, offer of employment opportunities through collective actions such as feeder road maintenance and construction of terraces for soil erosion control and provision of assets such as cows for improved social welfare and production purposes.

Females have increasingly been targeted as VUP beneficiaries for direct support, public works and financial support. Figure 22 shows that $64.4 \%$ of females benefited from the direct support scheme compared to $35.6 \%$ of males in $2016 / 2017$ while in $2013 / 2014,60.4 \%$ of females benefited the same scheme compared $39.6 \%$ of male. With regard to public works, the findings show that $56.4 \%$ of females benefited from the public works scheme compared to $43.6 \%$ of males in $2016 / 2017$ while in $2013 / 2014,54.7 \%$ of females benefited the same scheme compared $45.3 \%$ of males. If the financial support scheme is considered, the data show that $51.2 \%$ of females benefited from the financial support scheme compared to $48.8 \%$ of males in 2016/2017 while in $2013 / 2014,55.9 \%$ of females benefited the same scheme compared $44.1 \%$ of males.

Figure 22: Distribution of VUP Program Beneficiaries by sex


Source: EICV, VUP Report, December 2018
Findings in figure 23 reveal that, the percentage of female population with disability has slightly declined to $4.2 \%$ in $2016 / 17$ from $4.6 \%$ in 2013/14, while for males, it has declined to $4.2 \%$ in $2016 / 17$ from $4.3 \%$ in 2013/14. This is a vulnerable group of the population that requires special attention and assistance from the entire community.

Figure 23: Percentage of population aged 5 and above with disability


[^7]The trend shows that the number of males that contribute to pension fund is twice that of females (figure 24 ). The reason to this is that most people who contribute to the fund are those that have formal jobs whose large majority are men. A considerable number of women are still involved in the informal sector which most of time do not contribute for pension scheme.

Figure 24: Beneficiaries of Social Security Programs


[^8]
## Chapter 6: Justice, Human Rights and Gender Based Violence

### 6.1 Introduction

Rwanda has a robust legal and policy framework as well as, institutional mechanisms to create and sustain a peaceful and conflict-free nation. In addition, Rwanda has developed a National Action Plan (NAP) 2009-2012 and 2018-2022 to implement the United Nations Security Council Resolution 1325 (UNSCR 1325) on women peace and security, as a practical step to sustain and expand registered gains in the women, peace and security agenda. A lot has also been achieved by the Government of Rwanda in promoting and protecting the human rights of women. The principle of equality between women and men and the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of sex are enshrined in the constitution and other legal instruments.

### 6.2 Justice and Human rights

It is worth noting that at all levels women are playing a visible role in justice structures and conflict management. As figure 25 shows, women represent $42 \%$ of Supreme Court judges in 2018 slightly up from $41 \%$ in 2014 while men represent $58 \%$ of Supreme Court slightly down from $59 \%$ in the same period. With regards to Inspectors in the Supreme Court, women represent $40 \%$ in 2018 up from only $17 \%$ in 2014, while men represent $60 \%$ in 2018 down from $83 \%$ in 2014.

Figure 25: Percentage of judges and inspectors in Supreme Court from 2014 to 2018


Source: Supreme Court reported data, 2018
At prosecution level, figure 26 shows that women represent $46.5 \%$ in 2018 up from $38.6 \%$ in 2014 while men represent $53.5 \%$ down from $61.3 \%$ in the same period.

Figure 26: Percentage of women and men National Prosecutors


Source: National Prosecution Authority reported data, 2018
In general, as figure 27 shows, women constitute $49.7 \%$ of all judiciary sector staff in 2017 up from $43.6 \%$ in 2015 , while men constitute $51.3 \%$ down from $56.4 \%$ in the same period. Currently, women constitute $70.4 \%$ of the total staff of the National commission of Human rights in 2017 which rate was observed also in 2015, while male constitute $29.6 \%$ in the two points of reference as for females. Though women's representation in the police stands to be low ( $21 \%$ in 2017) , a gender policy was developed by Rwanda National Police to guide gender responsive interventions including efforts to mobilize more women to join the police.

Figure 27: Men and Women Representation in the Judiciary, National Commission for Human rights and the National Police


Source: Gender Monitoring Office, September, The state of gender equality in Rwanda, 2018
At correctional service level, women represent $24 \%$ of the staff in 2017 up from $20 \%$ in 2015 while men represent $76 \%$ down from $80 \%$ in the same period (figure 28). Currently, women
constitute $38.5 \%$ of the total staff of the National Law Reform commission in 2017 slightly up from $36.6 \%$ in 2015 , while men constitute $61.8 \%$ down from $63.4 \%$ in the same period. In the Commission for the fight against the Genocide, women represent $45.1 \%$ of staff in 2017 slightly lower than $47.9 \%$ observed in 2015, while men represent $54.9 \%$ in 2017 up from $52.1 \%$ in 2015.

Figure 28: Men and Women Representation in the Correctional service, Law reform commission and the Commission for the Fight against Genocide


Source: Gender Monitoring Office, September, The state of gender equality in Rwanda, 2018
In the Ombudsman office, findings in figure 29 show that women constitute $55.7 \%$ of all staff in 2017 slightly up from $55.2 \%$ in 2015 , while men constitute $44.3 \%$ slightly down from $44.8 \%$ in the same period. Currently, women constitute $66.7 \%$ of the total staff of the National commission for Human rights in 2017 up from $63 \%$ in 2015, while males constitute $33.3 \%$ down from $37 \%$ in the same period. In the Institute of legal practice, women representation stands to be low, $23.1 \%$ in 2017 compared to $22.9 \%$ in 2015 , while for men, it is $76.9 \%$ compared to $77.1 \%$ in the same period.

Figure 29: Men and Women Representation in the Institute of Legal Practice and Development, National Unity and Reconciliation Commission and the Ombudsman office


Source: Gender Monitoring Office, September, The state of gender equality in Rwanda, 2018
With regards to the community mediators (Abunzi), in 2018, women represent $45 \%$ compared to $55 \%$ of men, while women constitute $48 \%$ of the access to Justice Bureaus or Maison d'Access a la Justice (MAJ) compared to $52 \%$ of men who also contribute to providing free legal services to citizens including victims of GBV and child abuse (figure 30). It should be noted that $7.2 \%$ of the total inmate population in 2018 is female compared to a majority of males ( $92.8 \%$ ).

Figure 30: Percentage of women and men mediators, MAJ and inmates population in 2018


[^9]
### 6.3. Gender Based Violence

This section describes levels and trends in domestic violence in Rwanda. Gender based violence has negative health consequences for victims, especially with respect to the reproductive health of women and the physical, emotional, and mental health of their children. Acts of domestic violence may also be committed against men. The 2014-15 RDHS included a domestic violence module for both women and men, in recognition of the seriousness of the problem of domestic violence in Rwanda. Gender-based violence is defined as any act that results in, or is likely to result in, physical, sexual, or psychological harm or suffering among women and men, including threats of such acts and coercion or arbitrary deprivations of liberty, whether occurring in public or in private life (United Nations, 1993; United Nations, 1995).

### 6.3.1 Physical Violence

Results from the table 1 shows that $35 \%$ of women and $39 \%$ of men aged 15-49 have ever experienced physical violence since age 15 . Fourteen percent (14\%) and $11 \%$, respectively, experienced physical violence in the 12 months prior to the $2014 / 15$ DHS survey.

Table 1: Persons aged 15-49 who experienced physical violence since age 15 years old, from 2005 to 2014-15

| Sex | Ever experienced |  |  | In past 12 months |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2005 | 2010 | $2014-15$ | 2005 | 2010 | $2014-15$ |
| Female | 30.7 | 41.2 | 34.5 | 19.4 | - | 13.6 |
| Male | - | - | 39.4 | - | - | 10.7 |

Source: RDHS 2005; 2010 and 2014-15
Note: The dot (-) means there are no data

### 6.3.2 Sexual Violence

As shown in table 2, about $22 \%$ of women age 15-49 and $5 \%$ of men have ever experienced sexual violence and that $8 \%$ of women and $1 \%$ of men experienced sexual violence in the 12 months preceding the DHS survey.

Table 2: Persons aged 15-49 who experienced sexual violence since age 15 years old, from 2010 to 2014-15

| Sex | Ever experienced |  | In past 12 months |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2010 | $2014-15$ | 2010 | $2014-15$ |
| Female | 22.3 | 22.4 | - | 7.6 |
| Male | - | 5.1 | - | 1.2 |

Source: RDHS 2005; 2010 and 2014-15
Note: The dot (-) means there are no data

### 6.3.3 Forms of spousal violence

Different types of violence are not mutually exclusive, and people may report multiple forms of violence. Figure 31 shows the percentage of ever-married women and men aged 15-49, respectively, who have experienced various forms of violence by their spouse over the course of the marriage and in the 12 months preceding the survey. Note that respondents who are currently
married reported on violence by their current spouse, and respondents who are widowed, divorced, or separated reported on violence by their most recent spouse.

The findings show that $31 \%$ of ever-married women reported that they have ever had experienced any physical violence committed by their current or most recent husband or partner compared to only $10 \%$ of men, $12 \%$ reported any sexual violence compared to only $2 \%$ of men, and $27 \%$ reported any emotional violence compared to $17 \%$ of men.

Considering violence in 12 months preceding the survey, $18 \%$ of ever-married women reported experiencing spousal physical violence compared to $7 \%$ for men, $8 \%$ of women experienced sexual violence compared to $1 \%$, and $19 \%$ of women experienced emotional often or sometimes in the past 12 months compared $14 \%$ of men.

Figure 31: Ever married persons aged 15-49 who experienced various forms of violence committed by their husband/partner in 2015


Source: RDHS 2014-15

## Chapter 7: Environment and Natural Resources

### 7.1 Introduction

Environmental sustainability is one of the prominent policy and development areas in the overall development framework and strategies in Rwanda. Gender mainstreaming in the environmental sector is well captured and documented in the country's sector policies and strategies including the national energy policy (2015), the Infrastructure Gender Mainstreaming Strategy (20172022), the Energy Sector Strategic Plan (2013/14-2017/18) - (2018/19-2023/24), Water and Sanitation Sector Strategic Plan (2013-2018) as well as the policy/strategy for relocation of people from high risk zones including women. All these strategies integrate gender equality dimensions in various thematic areas such as health hazards, increased women's access to and control of land, water, energy, and other natural resources, promotion of education of women and girls in science, engineering, technology and other disciplines relating to the natural environment.

### 7.2. Access to energy for cooking

The current discourse regarding gender equality and empowerment as far as environmental sustainability is concerned in Rwanda, is the use of alternative fuels like cooking gas and biogas which are considered both as clean energy and time saving for women. Despite a persistent reliance on use of firewood by majority of women, figure 32 shows that the use of alternative energy sources among women has generally increased. About $0.9 \%$ of female headed households use gas and biogas in 2016/2017 up from $0.1 \%$ in 2013/2014 while for male headed household it has increased from $0.2 \%$ to $1.2 \%$ in the same period. More access to these alternative energy sources reduces women's workload in firewood collection and saves time for women to engage in other productive economic activities.

Figure 32: Access to cooking fuel by sex of household head


Source: EICV5, Environment and Natural Resources Thematic Report, December 2018

### 7.2. Access to Sanitation facility

Findings in figure 33 shows that, $80.6 \%$ of female-headed households were using an improved sanitation facility in $2016 / 2017$ up from $76.5 \%$ in $2013 / 2014$, while for male, $88.3 \%$ of male headed households are using improved sanitation from $85.9 \%$ in the same period. However, it
should be noted that $6.8 \%$ of female-headed households have no toilet compared to $6 \%$ three years earlier, while for male-headed households, $2.8 \%$ have no toilet compared to $2.1 \%$ three years earlier.

Figure 31: Access to sanitation facilities by sex of the Household head


Source: EICV5, Environment and Natural Resources Thematic Report, December 2018

### 7.3. Agriculture practices for environment protection

In a country like Rwanda, where land resources are scarce, irrigation of land can help to mitigate the effects of land scarcity and environment protection. It is therefore another important issue for the land sector, given that irrigation schemes allow protection of the environment and increased cultivation of otherwise unused or underused lands. Findings in figure 33 reveal that the overall proportion of female headed households' land irrigated is low, 3.5\% in 2016/2017 up from 2.6\% in 2013/2014 while for male headed households, $7 \%$ of their land was irrigated up from $4.4 \%$ in the same period. In general, female headed household land irrigated has slightly increased since the previous EICV as well as for male headed household.

Among agricultural practices that can help in land scarce environments is soil erosion control. In this perspective, figure 34 shows that only $62.5 \%$ of female headed households' cultivated land is protected against erosion in 2016/2017 down from $71.5 \%$ in 2013/2014, while for male headed households $70.2 \%$ of their cultivated land was protected against soil erosion slightly down from $72.9 \%$ in 2013/2014. It should be noted that, the data shows a decreasing trends in female as well as in male headed households cultivated land protected against soil erosion.

With regard to land consolidation, the results show that only $11 \%$ of female headed household cultivated land has been used in land consolidation schemes in 2016/2017 slightly down from $12.3 \%$ in $2013 / 2014$, while for male headed households' land, it was $15.9 \%$ slightly down from $16.6 \%$ in the same period. In this regards, data shows that in general, female as well as in male headed households cultivated land, there has been a decreasing trend (although not so significant) in households cultivated land used in land consolidation.

Figure 34: Percentage of land area irrigated, protected against soil erosion, and affected by land consolidation by sex of head of household
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## Chapter 8: Decision-making and Public life

### 8.1 Introduction

From the local to the global level, women's leadership and political participation are restricted. Women are underrepresented as voters, as well as in leading positions, whether in elected office, the civil service, the private sector or academia. This occurs despite their proven abilities as leaders and agents of change, and their right to participate equally in democratic governance ${ }^{4}$.

Women face several obstacles to participating in political life. Structural barriers through discriminatory laws and institutions still limit women's options to run for office. Capacity gaps mean women are less likely than men to have the education, contacts and resources needed to become effective leaders ${ }^{5}$.

As the 2011 UN General Assembly resolution on women's political participation notes, "Women in every part of the world continue to be largely marginalized from the political sphere, often as a result of discriminatory laws, practices, attitudes and gender stereotypes, low levels of education, lack of access to health care and the disproportionate effect of poverty on women". ${ }^{6}$ Individual women have overcome these obstacles with great acclaim, and often to the benefit of society at large. But for women as a whole, the playing field needs to be level, opening opportunities for all.

However, in Rwanda women leadership participation is enshrined into the constitution and other laws and policies which has led to significantly improved women representation in the decision making spheres.

Women's empowerment and participation in decision making is mainly captured by the ratios of women in parliament, ministerial positions, and other positions in various structures of governance both in public, private, and civil society entities. Women's representation at various levels and in different sectors has influenced the design and implementation of policies, laws, and programs that are gender responsive.

### 8.2. Representation at Central Level

Figure 35 shows that women's representation in the cabinet has reached 50\% in 2018 up from $36.8 \%$ in 2014, while men representation reached $50 \%$ down from $63.2 \%$ in the same period.

[^11]Figure 35: Trend of Rwanda Cabinet Ministers from 2014 to 2018


Source: https://www.primature.gov.rw/index.php?id=33, accessed on 26 June 2019

Figure 36 shows that women's representation as permanent secretaries in ministries stands at $30 \%$ in 2018 down from 31.6 in 2014, while men representation reached $70 \%$ up from $68.4 \%$ in the same period. With regards to ambassadors, data shows that, only $19 \%$ are females in 2018 down from $23.8 \%$ in 2014 while males' representation stands at $81 \%$ up from $76.2 \%$ in 2014.

Figure 36: Trend of Permanent Secretaries and Ambassadors from 2014 to 2018


Source: Gender Monitoring Office, September, The state of gender equality in Rwanda, 2018
In the on-going term, data in figure 37 show that women parliamentarians stand at $61.3 \%$ in 2018 in the chamber of Deputies up from as low as $17.1 \%$ in 1990, while for male it stands at $38.7 \%$ down from $82.9 \%$ in the same period.

Figure 37: Rwanda Parliament from 1990 to 2018


[^12]With regard to the Senate, figure 38 shows that, women represent $38 \%$ in the on-going term (2011-2019) from $34 \%$ in the previous term (2003-2011) while men represent $62 \%$ down from $66 \%$ in the same period.

Figure 328: Proportion of seats in national parliament chamber of Senate in 2003 and 2011


Source: Forum for Female Parliamentarians of Rwanda (FFRP) Reports. 2018

### 8.3 Representation at Decentralised Level

In line with the gender commitment as enshrined in the decentralization policy, participation of women in some leadership positions at the local levels has kept improving. However, more efforts are needed to address the existing gaps and ensure that the constitutional requirement on the minimum quota is complied with at all levels.

Figure 39 shows that women District Mayors in the on-going term (2016-2021) stands at 26.7\% in 2018 up from $6.7 \%$ in 2014, while male mayors stands at $73.3 \%$ down from $93.3 \%$ in 2014. The data shows also that, only $17 \%$ of sector executive secretaries are females in 2018 up from $9.1 \%$ in 2014 while $83 \%$ are males down from $90.9 \%$ in the same period. However, at cell level the threshold of at least $30 \%$ as stated in the constitution has been reached. Data shows that, $36 \%$ of cell executive secretaries are females in 2018 slightly down from $37.7 \%$ in 2014 while $64 \%$ are males up from $62.3 \%$ in the same period

Figure 39: Leaders in Local Government


### 8.4. Representation in Private Sector Federation

In the private sector, the progress of women's representation (figure 40) is being observed and the current participation rate in the decision making organs varies between $30 \%$ for presidential positions and $70 \%$ as second vice president in various chambers of the private sector federation at national level. At district level, women represent $13.3 \%$ as the first vice-president positions and $80 \%$ as the second vice-president positions in the executive committee members.

Figure 33: Men and Women in Executive Committees of PSF Chambers at National and District Levels


Source: PSF, Private Sector Structures Elections, Executive Report, 2018

### 8.5 Decision making at household Level

The status of women in decision making at household level is an important factor in development, poverty reduction, and improvements in the standard of living. This section presents information on factors that affect the status of women in society: control over cash earnings, earnings relative to those of their husband, and participation in decision-making.

### 8.5.1 Control over women's cash earnings

To assess women's autonomy, currently married women who earned cash for their work in the 12 months preceding the survey were asked who usually decides how their earnings are spent. This information is an indicator of women's control over their own earnings, as it is expected that employment and earnings are more likely to empower women if women themselves control their own earnings.

Figure 41 shows that only $20 \%$ of women (in 2014-15) mainly decide for themselves how their earnings are used, whereas $68 \%$ of women say they make joint decisions with their husbands. Twelve percent ( $12 \%$ ) of women reported that decisions regarding how their earnings are spent are made mainly by their husbands. These rates are not much different from the ones observed in 2010.

Figure 41: Percent distribution of currently married women aged 15-49 who received cash earnings for employment in the 12 months preceding the survey by person who decides how wife's cash earnings are used in 2010 and 2014-15


Source: RDHS 2010 and 2014-15

### 8.5.2 Control over men's cash earnings

Figure 42 shows that (in 2014-15), 19\% of men report that they mainly decide how their cash earnings are used, $78 \%$ state that they make these decisions jointly with their wife, and only $2 \%$ state that these decisions are made mainly by their wives. In general, women's reports on who makes decisions about how their husband's earnings are spent are comparable to men's reports. Twenty-five percent ( $25 \%$ ) of women whose husbands have cash earnings report that their husband mainly decides how his cash earnings are used, a figure slightly higher than the $19 \%$ reported by men themselves. Seventy percent of women report that decisions are made jointly, as compared with $78 \%$ of men, and $4 \%$ of women report that they mainly decide how to use their husband's earnings. These rates are slightly different from the ones observed in 2010, but the pattern is the same.

Figure 42: Percent distributions of currently married men aged $15-49$ who receive cash earnings and of currently married women aged 15-49 whose husbands receive cash earnings, by person who decides how husband's cash earnings are used, in 2010 and 2014-15


Source: RDHS 2010 and 2014-15

### 8.5.3 Women's control over their own earnings and over those of their husbands

Figure 43 shows that (in 2014-15), 20\% of women report that they mainly decide how their cash earnings are used, $68 \%$ state that they make these decisions jointly with their wife, and only $12 \%$ state that these decisions are made mainly by their husbands. On the other hand, only $4 \%$ of women report deciding how their husband's cash earnings are to be spent, $70 \%$ report deciding jointly with their husbands and $25 \%$ of women report that their husbands decide how to use their own cash earnings. These rates are slightly different from the ones observed in 2010.

Figure 43: Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 with cash earnings in the last 12 months by person who decides how the wife's cash earnings are used and percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 whose husbands have cash earnings by person who decides how the husband's cash earnings are used, in 2010 and 2014-15


### 8.5.4 Participation in decision making about various issues

The ability of women to make decisions that affect their personal circumstances is essential for their empowerment and serves as an important factor in national development. To assess women's decision-making autonomy, the 2014-15 RDHS collected information on married women's participation in three types of decisions: their own health care, major household purchases, and visits to family, relatives, or friends. Also, to provide an understanding of gender differences in household decision-making, currently married men were asked about their participation in decisions about their own health care and major household purchases.

Women are considered to participate in decision-making if they make decisions alone or jointly with their husbands. The results in table 3 show that although $83 \%$ of women participate in making decisions about their own health care, only $23 \%$ of them decide solely about their own health care. On the other hand $16 \%$ of women have no say on their own health care decision, where their husbands decide for them. A higher proportion of men ( $97 \%$ ) are involved in decisions about their own health care, and $43 \%$ usually make decisions on their own. It should be noted that $3 \%$ of men have no say on their own health care decision, where their wives decide for them. Married men are also more likely than women to be involved in decisions regarding major household purchases ( $96 \%$ and $73 \%$, respectively); slightly over one-quarter of women ( $26 \%$ ) and men (29\%) say that such decisions are usually made by the husband alone. Seventeen percent ( $17 \%$ ) of women decide themselves on visits to their family or relatives, while over two-thirds (i.e over 68.4\%) say they decide jointly with their husbands.

Table 3: Percent distribution of currently married women and currently married men aged 15-49 by person who usually makes decisions about various issues, 201415

| Decision | Mainly <br> wife | Wife and <br> husband jointly | Mainly <br> husband | Someone <br> else | Missing | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own health care | 23.2 | 60.1 | 16.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Major household purchases | 12.4 | 60.8 | 26.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Visits to her family or <br> relatives | 16.9 | 68.4 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Own health care | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major household purchases | 3.9 | 53.7 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |  |

Source: RDHS 2014-15

## Chapter 9: Infrastructure, ICT and Media

### 9.1. Introduction

The Government of Rwanda (GoR) underpins the importance of infrastructure, not only for sustainable development but also for the development of a competitive private sector, and it continues to invest heavily in infrastructure. Mainstreaming gender in the Infrastructure sector is very vital to ensure that both men and women are contributing and equally benefiting from the designed and implemented programs. This report examines the extent to which gender mainstreaming in the development of national infrastructure has moved from rhetoric to action, as well as the extent to which the actions have addressed social equity and resulted in positive outcomes for the specific needs of women, men, boys and girls.

### 9.2 Access to improved water source

Findings in figure 44 reveal that access to improved drinking water sources has slightly increased for both female and male headed households in the past three years. The data shows, the proportion of female-headed households that use improved drinking water sources has slightly increased from $86 \%$ to $87 \%$ between 2013/14 and 2016/17 compared to male headed household which increased from $84 \%$ to $88 \%$.

Figure 44: Access to improved source of water by sex of head of household


Source: EICV5, Gender Thematic Report, December 2018

### 9.3. Access to Electricity for lighting

With regards to access to energy for lighting, figure 45 shows that the percentage of households using electricity as the main source of energy for lighting has increased over the last three years. Electricity use as a source of lighting among female headed households has increased slightly by 4 percentage points from $16 \%$ in $2013 / 14$ to $20 \%$ in $2016 / 17$ compared to male headed households which increased by 8 percentage points from $21 \%$ to $29 \%$ in the same period. In 2016/17, the percentage of female-headed households using firewood for lighting is low $7 \%$ compared to $2 \%$ of male-headed households. It should be noted that the biggest gain was in use of solar panel, which shows the strong impact of government promoting the use of solar panel to rapidly increase the access to electricity to the rural population. For female headed household, the use of solar panel has increased from $1 \%$ to $4.5 \%$ while for male headed household it has increased from $2 \%$ to $8.5 \%$ in three years.

Figure 45: Access to Energy for lighting by sex of head of HH


Source: EICV5, Gender Thematic Report, December 2018

### 9.4. Access to ICT tools

Rwanda aspires to become a knowledge-based economy focusing on information, communication and technology. ICT is a central engine for national economic transformation, knowledge transfer, facilitate financial transactions, contribute to improved health-care and capacity building. It has transformed the way women and men, boys and girls work, communicate, network, seek help and learn. ICT is one of global impact commitments that Rwanda has committed to in bridging the gender digital divide and attain parity in ICT access, usage and innovation by 2020

According to EICV, a person is considered "computer literate" if he/she expressed her/himself confident with using a computer. The findings in figure 46 show that, only $7 \%$ of female aged 15 years and above are computer literate in 2016/2017 slightly up from $6.8 \%$ in 2013/2014, while for male $10.9 \%$ are computer literate slightly up from $10.3 \%$ in the same period. The results further indicate the same gender imbalance in computer literacy for younger age group 15-24 years, male slightly lag behind compared to their female counterparts but on a decreasing trend from 2013/2014 to 2016/2017.

Figure 46: Computer literacy rate among population aged 15+ year and above.


Source: EICV5, Gender Thematic Report, December 2018
Regarding the ownership of ICT tools, the findings in figure 47 shows that ownership of mobile phone is the most dominant ICT tool used by female and male heads of households. Although it is the predominant ICT tool, female heads of households owning at least one mobile phone is 16.7 percentage points less than for male heads ( $54.4 \%$ for female against $71.1 \%$ for male). With regard to the change, there has been a same increase of 3 percentage points for female and male -headed households owning at least one mobile phone between 2013/14 and 2016/17. The status of ownership of computer and accessories has remained almost the same where femaleheaded households owning at least one computer stands at $2 \%$ compared to $3 \%$ of male-headed households in 2013/14 and 2016/17 respectively.

Figure 47: Ownership of household ICT tools by sex of household head
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### 9.5 Access to Media

The role of media is capital in promoting good governance and transformative information and communication. Media can play a significant role in promoting good governance by disseminating developmental information. The constitution of the republic of Rwanda guarantees media freedom. Consequently, the government of Rwanda has designed laws, policies, strategies, and programmes to guide practitioners in exercising media freedom. Both the revised national media policy (2014-2020) and gender mainstreaming strategy in the media sector (2013) aim to create a professional, responsible, plural and economically viable media sector that apprehends the principles of gender equality and non-discrimination of women in the media sector.

However, the media sector in Rwanda, particularly the leadership is male dominated. Data in figure 48 shows that only $24.5 \%$ of accredited journalists are female compared to $75.5 \%$ of male. The findings also show that women constitute just $14.1 \%$ of owners or managers of media houses compared to $85.9 \%$ for men. The percentage of women in decision making positions in the media governing bodies especially the chief editors stands at $12.4 \%$ compared to $87.6 \%$ for men.

Figure 48: Representation of Men and Women in Media Sector


Source: Gender Monitoring office (GMO): State of the Gender equality in Rwanda, from Transition to Transformation, September, 2018

## Chapter 10: Trade, Business and Industry

### 10.1. Introduction

Trade can play an important role in driving women's economic empowerment. The world trade organization (WTO) therefore seeks to build a more inclusive trading system that will allow more women to participate in trade and to reap the economic benefits of global trading ${ }^{7}$.

### 10.2. Exports and Imports businesses in informal cross-border trade

Informal cross-border trade is trade between neighbouring countries conducted by vulnerable, small, unregistered traders. Typically, it is proximity trade involving the move of produce between markets close to the border. The informality refers to the status of the trader (unregistered), not necessarily to the trade itself (captured or unrecorded by the official customs system). Informal cross-border trade has discrete gender impacts: in major cross-border posts within East Africa, women account for a high percentage of informal traders. This femaleintensive sector has broad poverty and development ramifications. It constitutes a vital source of employment and livelihood for the poor, in particular for low-income and low-skilled women, in border districts. Furthermore, if properly supported, it can generate significant rural non-farm income and become a catalyst for value chain creation and support in rural areas. This benefit, in turn, can lower rural unemployment and slow rural-urban migration, while empowering women ${ }^{8}$.

The findings in figure 49 show that there has been a significant increase in the number of women in informal cross border export trade in the last four years, from 546,520 in 2014 to 752,679 in 2018 compared to male where the increase changed from 299,351 to 326,987 in the same period of time. With regards to informal cross-border import trade, the data shows an opposite trend to the case of export trade where the number of female in informal cross border import trade decreased from 129,593 in 2014 to 105,641 in 2018, while for male the number decreased from 339,699 to 331,348 in the same period. Overall, the number females in import cross border trade is lower than that of males while the opposite pattern is observed in the informal export trade.

[^14]Figure 34: Trend of people in exports and imports businesses in informal cross border trade by sex


Source: NISR, Statistics Economic Unit, Informal Cross Border Trade reports 2014; 2016 and 2018

### 10.3 Sole proprietor establishment by size

Data from figure 50 shows that in general majority of female and male sole owrned establishments are in the category of micro enterprises. However, the number of female sole proprietor of establishment is more than twice lower than the male sole proprietor of establishment in all the sizes of the enterprise categories. The findings shows that the number of female sole proprietors of micro establishment has increased from 36,169 in 2014 to 54,813 in 2017, while for male sole proprietor of micro establishment has increaed from 96,987 to 112,242 in the same period. It should be noted that, only 16 large establishments (those employing 100 and above employees) are owned by female in 2017 up from only 4 establishements in 2014, while 47 establishments are owned by male up from 36 in the same period.

Figure 50: Distribution of number of sole proprietor establishment by size and sex of owner
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## Chapter 11: Agriculture, Livestock and Forestry

### 11.1 Introduction

Agriculture is one of the most widespread activities in the world and has a crucial role in food production, environmental protection, landscape preservation, rural employment and food security. Agriculture is not uniform throughout, there are different elements such as: the scale of farming; crop and livestock combinations; intensity of farming; ways and means of disposal of farm produce; the level of farm mechanisation (small-scale farmers/ informal small-scale agriculture, commercial farming/ plantation agriculture, self-sufficient farming, organic farming, etc. ${ }^{9}$.

From a gender point of view, there are significant gaps between women and men. For example, women farm holders have significantly smaller farms than men farm holders. Moreover, the share of female farm holders is particularly high on farms with no clear specialisation in livestock rearing or crop production ${ }^{10}$.

Women are key players in the Rwandan agricultural economy, producing food both for their families and the market. Therefore all interventions should be gender-responsive to tackle the gender issues in general and women farmers 'issues in particular to reach sustainable results.

### 11.2 Land Ownership

Access and use of land for production among women has generally improved because of the legal reform and effective implementation of the Land Tenure Regularization programme initiated in 2008. Subsequently, figure 51 shows that, $59.9 \%$ of married women and men (couples) own land. It should be noted that, $24.6 \%$ of women own land on their own alone and $14.3 \%$ of men own land alone.

[^16]Figure 51: Land ownership by category


Source: RNRA, Administrative Data, 2019

### 11.2 Agriculture extension services

Findings in figure 52 show that, among households who receive agricultural extension services, female headed households in crop production extension services are slightly higher than the male headed households ( $90.7 \%$ compared to $88.4 \%$ respectively). It should be noted that, the number of female and male headed households who received livestock extension services are very low for both (1.3\%).

Figure 52: Percentage of agricultural households by types of received agricultural extension services by sex of household head


Source: Seasonal Agriculture Survey 2017

### 11.3. Crop production extension services

Figure 53 shows that during the agricultural year 2017, 86.5\% of female headed agricultural households received extension services related to fertilizers application compared to slightly
higher male headed households (88.8\%), followed by those who received extension services related to cropping system ( $78.5 \%$ for female headed agricultural households against $75.9 \%$ for male headed households). It should be noted that, the number of female and male headed households who received mechanization as cropping extension services are very low for both (0.1\%).

Figure 53: Percentage of agricultural households by types of delivered crop production extension services by sex of household head


Source: Seasonal Agriculture Survey 2017

### 11.4. Livestock extension services

Findings in figure 54 show that most livestock extension services received during agricultural year 2017 among female headed agricultural households is the animal feeding by $86.7 \%$ slightly higher compared to $79.1 \%$ among male headed agricultural households, followed by animal keeping ( $83.5 \%$ for female headed agricultural households against $83.9 \%$ for their male counterparts), followed by veterinary services (by $42.3 \%$ for female headed household against $46.8 \%$ for their male counterparts), followed by animal sanitation (by 32.6\% for both female and male headed households).

Figure 54: Percentage of agricultural households by types of delivered livestock extension services and sex of household head


Source: Seasonal Agriculture Survey 2017

### 11.5. Agricultural programs

Twigire Muhinzi program is designed to be operating in all Rwandan villages. The model is based on farmers to farmer extension approaches. The results from figure 55 shows that only $8.5 \%$ of female headed agricultural households belonged to Twigire Muhinzi groups, which is almost twice lower compared to male headed agricultural households (14.7\%).

Contract farming is an agricultural production carried out according to an agreement between a buyer and farmers, which establishes conditions for the production and marketing of a farm products. The findings also shows that $3.2 \%$ of all female agricultural households have got contract farming compared to $4.6 \%$ for male headed agricultural households.

A kitchen garden also known as "akarima k'igikoni" targets the current problem of malnutrition by sensitizing a home garden of vegetables within a family compound to fight malnutrition through vegetables consumption. The findings in figure 55 also show that $40.3 \%$ of all female agricultural households had a kitchen garden compared to a slighter higher rate of $46 \%$ for male headed agricultural households.

Girinka Program also known as "One Cow per Poor" Family aims to increase agricultural production in Rwanda, especially milk production and products, reduced high rate of childhood malnutrition and as a way to accelerate poverty reduction and integrate livestock and crop farming. The data show that country wide, of all agricultural households reared cows ( 1 million of households), female-headed households were advantageous with regard to rearing cow from Girinka Program when compared to the male-headed households ( $18.6 \%$ versus to $11.4 \%$ ).

Figure 55: Percentage of agricultural households who are members of Twigire Muhinzi group, get contract farming, reared cows from Girinka program and had kitchen garden by sex of household head
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## Chapter 12: Access to Finance and Income

### 12.1. Introduction on levels of financial inclusion

Given that Rwanda's population is relatively young, with low levels of education, residing mostly in rural areas, and being largely dependent on irregular/low sources of income (agricultural), the overall level of financial inclusion is remarkably high. In 2016, only $14 \%$ of the women adult population (aged 16 years and above) in Rwanda were financially excluded compared to $10 \%$ of men, meaning that they managed their financial lives without the use of any financial products or mechanisms (whether formal or informal mechanism). If they borrow, they rely on family/friends; and if they save, they save at home. In turn, $86 \%$ of women are financially included, i.e. they have/use formal and/or informal financial products and mechanisms compared to $90 \%$ of men.

It should be noted that, this does not mean that these individuals have the products in their name. They could also, for example, use someone else's bank account or be covered by some else's insurance.

As figure 56 shows, the financially included population includes those who are:

- Formally served: $63 \%$ of the women population compared to $74 \%$ of men have or use products or services from financial institutions that are regulated through an Act of law (formal financial institutions), which is driven by other formal (non-bank) financial products/services.
- Informally served: $71 \%$ of the women population (same rate as for men) have or use products or services from financial institutions (similar to national average of 71\%) that are not regulated (informal financial institutions and mechanisms) and/or use community based organisations/mechanisms to save or borrow money. That is mainly driven by the uptake of informal savings groups.

The formally served population further includes (figure 55) those who are:

- Banked: $24 \%$ of the women compared to $29 \%$ of men have or use products or services from licensed commercial banks that are regulated by the central bank.
- Served by other formal financial institutions (non-bank): $60 \%$ of women compared to $71 \%$ of men have or use products or services from non-bank financial institutions that are regulated through Acts of law but which are not commercial banks. That is mainly driven by the uptake of mobile money, $46 \%$ of men compared to $33 \%$ of women having/using mobile money account, as well as the continued increase of Umurenge SACCOs. About 30\% of women in Rwanda are members of Umurenge SACCOs compared to $38 \%$ of men.

Formal inclusion shows the biggest gender gap with almost 11 percentage points difference ( $63 \%$ for women against $74 \%$ for men) which is mainly driven by the uptake of other formal financial products/services. While $71 \%$ of men have/use other formal financial products/services, only $60 \%$ of women have/use these products/services. Men are also more likely than women to be banked, with $29 \%$ and $24 \%$ respectively. Given that formal inclusion is driven by the uptake of other formal financial products/services and that the gender differences in this product category
are the largest, promoting these products /services to women poses a real opportunity for financial inclusion of women.

Figure 55: Percentage of population aged 16 years and above by financial products /services uptake


Source: FinScope Survey 2015: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda

### 12.2 Savings and investments

Savings are the leading product type and one of the main drivers of financial inclusion for women in Rwanda. However, this category also shows the biggest gender differences, especially in terms of formal savings. Figure 56 shows that, in total, $84 \%$ of women save as compared to $87 \%$ of men, mainly to pay for living expenses when times are hard, which relates to the high incidence of no/low/irregular income. In turn, $16 \%$ of women do not save, mainly because they do not have money to save/invest compared to $13 \%$ of men. Both men and women seem to save for similar reasons.

However, there are some (minor) gender differences in terms of barriers which prevent women from saving. More women than men mentioned that they do not save because of monetary reasons: they do not have money to save/invest $56 \%$ of women do not save compared to $54 \%$ of men, do not have a job ( $23 \%$ of women compared to $19 \%$ of men), find it too expensive ( $5 \%$ compared to $3 \%$ respectively). Interestingly, $7 \%$ of women and $7 \%$ men who do not save, claiming to not save because they do not have a bank account, and $2 \%$ mentioned that they do not know enough about investments/savings which indicates a need for financial education.

The biggest gender gap can be seen in terms of formal savings. Only $11 \%$ of women save in banks compared to $15 \%$ of men and $38 \%$ of women have a formal savings product from a non-bank financial institution, e.g. SACCOs, mobile money compared to $51 \%$ of men. Informal savings groups are important savings (and borrowing) mechanisms both for women and men. Also figure 16 shows that $57 \%$ of women use other informal savings mechanisms such as savings groups compared to $55 \%$ of men, whereas $34 \%$ of women claim to save at home or with someone in the
household compared to $37 \%$ of men. Reasons for saving at home include convenience and/or accessibility.

As shown in figure 56, saving through SACCOs (other formal) and informal savings groups is particularly popular. They are not only a viable alternative for the vast number of women unlikely to be served by commercial banks, they are also the catalyst for enhanced social capital, improved gender relations, women's leadership, and community social and economic development. Further, the analysis indicates a rapid growth in number and scale of women borrowing from informal groups.

Figure 56: Overview (in \%) of savings products/services uptake


Source: FinScope Survey, 2015: Women and financial inclusion in Rwanda

### 12.3. Borrowing and credit

Women are less likely to borrow compared to men (especially from formal sources). Figure 57 shows that, $69 \%$ of women borrow compared to $71 \%$ of men. However, women are more likely than men to use borrowing as a risk management strategy in times of hardship, e.g. borrow money to cover living expenses ( $36 \%$ of women who borrowed compared to $31 \%$ of men), medical expenses/emergencies ( $12 \%$ of women, compared to $10 \%$ of men) and emergencies other than medical ( $9 \%$ compared to $7 \%$ respectively). Men are more likely than women to borrow money for developmental reasons (such as business, farming equipment/expenses, livestock, education, and dwelling) with $48 \%$ of men compared to $38 \%$ of women. In turn, $31 \%$ of women do not borrow, mainly because they are worried that they would not be able to service their debt; this may be partially point to interest rates or knowledge on how credit works.

The findings in figure 57 also show that the percentage of women taking a loan from a commercial bank is only $3 \%$ compared to $5 \%$ of men. Women also lag behind men in taking up credit from other formal (non-bank) institutions ( $11 \%$ against 16\%), as well as borrowing from friends and
family (29\% against 34\%). The importance of informal groups to enhance financial inclusion among women cannot be stressed enough especially in the rural areas.

Figure 57: Overview (in \%) of credit products/services uptake


Source: Fin Scope Survey, 2015: Women and financial inclusion in Rwanda

### 12.4. Informal services

The usage of informal mechanisms to manage financial needs in Rwanda is popular, with nearly three quarters of adults using the informal financial sector to manage their finances. Overall, figure 58 shows that, women at $53 \%$ are marginally likely than men at $52 \%$ to be members of Savings Group.

Figure 58: Savings groups' penetration (\%) in 2015


Source: FinScope Survey, 2015: Women and financial inclusion in Rwanda
The main reason for belonging to a savings group (figure 59) is to have someone to turn to when in financial need, while a secondary driver is to save.

Figure 59: Main reason for belonging to informal mechanism


Source: Fin Scope Survey, 2015: Women and financial inclusion in Rwanda

### 12.5 Income from employment

An attempt has been made in the labor force survey (LFS) to measure income from employment in cash and in-kind at the main job for both paid employees and self-employed workers. Because of the differences in the nature of income generation in self-employment and paid employment jobs, the international definition of employment related income distinguishes between paid employment and self-employment ${ }^{11}$. In the case of paid employment, the concept is defined in terms of its components, namely, remuneration in cash and in kind, profit-related pay and current receipts of employment related social benefits. In the case of self-employment, the concept is defined as the difference between gross value of output and operating expenses.

Figure 60 presents the resulting size distribution of cash monthly income from employment of employees at main job. According to these results, the average income from paid employment of female employees at main job was about 41,753 Frws per month which is about 1.6 times lower compared to 69,226 Frws for male employees.

When the age and area of residence are considered, data in figure 57 show that the lowest earning age group was the youngest group (16-24 years old). At national level, the difference between female employee average earnings at younger age compared to the one of male though visible, it increases significantly where female aged 55-64 years old average earnings is about 4.6 times lower compared to the one of male ( 25,563 Frws against 117,188 Frws respectively).

[^18]Figure 60: Average monthly income (in Frws) from main employment by age group and sex


Source: Labour Force Survey, Thematic Report on Gender, May 2019
The type of occupation is one of the variables that determine the level of earnings. Figure 61 presents the Average monthly income from main employment by occupation group by sex. As can be seen in the findings, in general except for females whose occupation group is in service and sales workers and clerical support workers, females earn less income on average than their males counterparts in all other occupation groups. Although the average monthly income earnings of females whose occupation is manager is the highest compared to other occupations, it is still 1.3 times lower compared to males managers (383,232 Frws against 509,456 Frws). On the other hand the elementary occupations is the lowest in terms of providing sufficient average monthly income, where females earn 1.4 times less than their males counterparts (19,085 Frws against 26,749 Frws). Considering the area of residence, data in table 21 show that in urban areas females consistently earn lower income compared to their males counterparts regardless of type of occupation groups they belong to, while in rural areas, in some occupations, females on average earn quite higher per month compared to their males counterparts in three occupations namely Technicians and associate professionals, Clerical support workers, Service and sales workers.

Figure 61: Average monthly cash income (in Frws) from employees aged 16+yrs at main job by occupation group


Source: Labour Force Survey, Thematic Report on Gender, May 2019

### 12.6 Gender wage gap

Globally, the gender wage gap is estimated to be 23 percent; in other words, women earn 77 percent of what men earn (ILO, 2011a) ${ }^{12}$. Gender pay gap measures the relative difference between the male average hourly pay and the female average hourly pay for doing the same type of work. In line with the ILO decent work indicator, gender pay gap may be measured as the difference between the gross average hourly earnings of male and female employees expressed as percentage of gross average hourly earnings of male employees ${ }^{13}$. The limitation in this methodology is that it overlooks different factors that may be the source of wage gap such as the level of education, length of work experience, occupation, area of residence, industry, etc. Not taking into account these factors may result to the over estimation of gender wage gap. In this report the extended Mincer equation ${ }^{14}$ have been used to control those differences in individuals characteristics as

[^19]well as differences in their jobs such as occupation, industry, formality status and the type of contract in order to compare, to the extent possible, the mean wages of male and female working in similar jobs.

After controlling for the above mentioned variables, the results in the table 4 shows that there is no significant gender pay gap in hourly earnings at 5 percentage level of significance, only a small gap of $3 \%$ which is statistically significant at $10 \%$ level of significance appears. However, if the monthly earnings is used, we observe women are paid around $13 \%$ lower than the monthly salary of men. The different results in using hourly or monthly earning may indicates that the monthly working time of men is higher than the one of females or high level of involvement of females in part time jobs than males.

Based on the results in both table 4 and figure 61, one can concludes that the lower wages of females compared to those of males are mostly due to the differences in occupations, level of education, etc.

First draft June 1998, Revised March 19, 2003.

Table 4: Gender wage gap using extended Mincer earning equation

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VARIABLES | Employee hourly | Employee public | Employee private | Employee monthly |
| female | -0.0284* | -0.0544 | -0.0196 | -0.1336*** |
|  | (-1.91) | (-1.38) | (-1.22) | (-12.03) |
| Age | 0.0333*** | 0.0251* | 0.0338*** | 0.0440*** |
|  | (9.25) | (1.70) | (9.12) | (16.45) |
| urban | 0.3376*** | 0.5537*** | 0.2751*** | 0.4354*** |
|  | (16.16) | (13.13) | (11.30) | (26.94) |
| Age squared | -0.0003*** | -0.0001 | -0.0003*** | -0.0005*** |
|  | (-7.04) | (-0.63) | (-7.23) | (-13.87) |
| Primary | 0.1115*** | 0.2319** | 0.1107*** | 0.1170*** |
|  | (6.06) | (2.03) | (5.93) | (9.07) |
| Lower_sec | 0.3013*** | 0.4777*** | 0.2920*** | 0.3253*** |
|  | (7.61) | (3.74) | (6.99) | (10.69) |
| Upper_sec | 0.5216*** | 0.7514*** | 0.4942*** | 0.5186*** |
|  | (14.46) | (7.65) | (12.20) | (18.32) |
| University | 1.4065*** | 1.6058*** | 1.2783*** | 1.3261*** |
|  | (31.80) | (15.75) | (21.89) | (36.43) |
| managers | 0.8606*** | 0.6759*** | 0.9394*** | 0.9404*** |
|  | (13.67) | (5.54) | (11.21) | (16.39) |
| professional | 0.3945*** | 0.1059 | 0.6334*** | 0.4462*** |
|  | (8.59) | (1.02) | (9.88) | (11.73) |
| Technician | 0.6808*** | 0.4044*** | 0.8043*** | 0.6517*** |
|  | (10.82) | (3.41) | (9.70) | (12.42) |
| clarical | 0.3936*** | 0.2145* | 0.4571*** | 0.4996*** |
|  | (6.32) | (1.66) | (6.17) | (9.24) |
| service | -0.1076*** | -0.0331 | -0.1303*** | 0.1723*** |
|  | (-2.82) | (-0.30) | (-3.19) | (5.99) |
| agriculture | 0.3323*** | 0.0692 | 0.3405*** | 0.1592** |
|  | (2.82) | (0.44) | (2.79) | (2.40) |
| craft_w | 0.5269*** | 0.5823*** | 0.5318*** | 0.5472*** |
|  | (14.14) | (4.24) | (13.86) | (18.76) |
| plant | 0.6206*** | 0.4914*** | 0.6364*** | 0.7455*** |
|  | (10.58) | (3.05) | (10.24) | (15.27) |
| Industry | 0.2743*** | -0.3312** | 0.2978*** | 0.4583*** |
|  | (12.07) | (-2.20) | (12.75) | (26.26) |
| Services | -0.0063 | -0.5759*** | 0.0365 | 0.1394*** |
|  | (-0.21) | (-4.59) | (1.12) | (6.16) |
| formal | 0.0173 | 0.0585 | 0.0168 | 0.2043*** |
|  | (0.74) | (1.02) | (0.64) | (10.52) |
| permanent | -0.3027*** | -0.1502*** | -0.3128*** | -0.0845*** |
|  | (-12.96) | (-2.72) | (-12.15) | (-4.56) |
| Constant | 4.3913*** | 4.6977*** | 4.3957*** | 8.9044*** |
|  | (63.59) | (16.24) | (61.53) | (175.52) |
| Observations | 12,884 | 1,517 | 11,367 | 12,884 |
| R-squared | 0.4408 | 0.5903 | 0.3696 | 0.6921 |

Source: Labour Force Survey, 2017
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## Annex B: Detailed Sex disaggregated data in 12 different development areas

## 1. GENDER AND POPULATION \& YOUTH

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Population | Number | Total | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 10,515,973 | 11,262,564 | 12,089,720 |
|  | Number | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 5,451,105 | 5,817,360 | 6,225,436 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 5,064,868 | 5,445,204 | 5,864,284 |
| Population share | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 51.8 | 51.7 | 51.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 48.2 | 48.3 | 48.5 |
| Sex ratio at National Level | Number of Males per 100 Females | Total | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 92.9 | 94 | 94 |
| Population by sex and broad specific interventions target age groups: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <5 years (immunization age) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 7.3 | 6.9 | 6.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 7.3 | 7 | 6.8 |
| 3-6 years <br> (nursery school <br> age) | Percent | Female | 2012 RPHC4, Main <br> Indicators Report | 6.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | 2012 RPHC4, Main Indicators Report | 6.1 | 5.4 | 5.2 |
| 7-12 years <br> (primary school age) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 8.2 | 8.1 | 7.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 8.1 | 8 | 7.5 |
| 13-18 years (secondary school age) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| 0-17 years (children in Rwanda) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 24 | 23.4 | 22.7 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 23.6 | 23.2 | 22.7 |
| Rwandan Youth(16-30yr) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report |  | 14.3 | 14.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report |  | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| 15-24 years <br> (youth at international level) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections <br> Report | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| 16-64 years (official working age) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 28 | 28.5 | 29 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 25.4 | 26 | 26.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-49 years } \\ & \text { (reproductive } \\ & \text { age) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 25.2 | 25.5 | 26.1 |
| 21 years and above (legal marriage age) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 24.6 | 24.6 | 25.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 21.5 | 21.5 | 22.8 |
| 65 years and above (older age) | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 60 years and above | Percent | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report | 2 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Birth registration of under 5 children with birth certificate. | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 62.9 | 55.9 | 55.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 63.6 | 56 | 56 |
| Percentage of population migrating in last 5 years (both internal and other country) | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 10.2 | 11.8 | 12.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 11.2 | 12.6 | 13.2 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Migrant population for work (migrated in last 5 years) sex, among population aged 16+ | Percent | Female | EICV3 Economic. Activity , EICV5 Main Indicators Report | 11 |  | 19.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV3 Economic. Activity , EICV5 Main Indicators Report | 30.2 |  | 27.2 |
| Life Expectancy at birth | Years | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections <br> Report | 66.2 | 67.5 | 68.4 |
|  | Years | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report | 62.6 | 63.7 | 64.5 |
| Life Expectancy at age 60 | Years | Female | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, <br> Population Projections Report |  | 18.2 | 18.2 |
|  | Years | Male | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Population Projections Report |  | 17 | 17 |
| Mean age of population | Years | Female | 2012 RPHC4, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 |
|  | Years | Male | 2012 RPHC4, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 21.9 | 21.9 | 21.9 |
| Median age at first intercourse (25-49 years) | Years | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 20.7 | 21.8 | 21.8 |
|  | Years | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 21.6 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Median age at first birth | Years | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 22.4 | 22.7 | 22.7 |
| Median age at first marriage (25-49 years) | Years | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 21.4 | 21.9 | 21.9 |
|  | Years | Male | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 25.6 |
| Percentage of population aged 12 and above by marital status and by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 38.7 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 50.5 | 48.2 | 48.2 |
| Married/ Living together | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 50.4 | 51.7 | 51.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 47.5 | 50.1 | 50.1 |
| Separated (divorced) | percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
|  | percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Widowed | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Marital Status of Households heads: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married monogamously | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 1 | 1 | 1.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 70.2 | 63.8 | 59.7 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 75.8 | 63 | 63.5 |
| Married polygamously | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 4.5 | 3.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 7.3 | 9.7 | 6 |
| Living together | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 1.4 | 1 | 1.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 16.9 | 24.2 | 26.4 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 16.9 | 27.3 | 30.5 |
| Divorced | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Separated | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 15.6 | 13.4 | 15 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Single | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 9.3 | 11.6 | 15.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 5 | 5.1 | 1.7 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Widow or widower | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 70.3 | 69 | 62.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of households according to the sex of the Head of household | Percent | Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 27.7 | 31.9 | 25 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV4/5, Gender Thematic Report | 66.5 | 68.1 | 68.6 |
|  | Percent | De facto Female headed | EICV4/5, Gender <br> Thematic Report | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Mean number of persons in the household by sex of the head of HH | Average number | Female headed | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
|  | Average number | Male headed | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 |

## 2: GENDER AND EDUCATION

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II.1. PRE-PRIMARY SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolment in Pre-primary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 81,419 | 94,310 | 114,662 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 77,872 | 91,356 | 112,044 |
| Gender parity index of gross <br> Enrollment in Pre-primary Education ( <br> Female to male) | Ratio | Females to Males | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.02 |
| Girl's percentage of Pre-primary Education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 51 | 51 | 50.6 |
| Gross Enrolment ratio in Pre-primary Education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 18 | 24 | 24.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 17 | 23 | 24.0 |
| Net Enrolment ratio in Pre-primary Education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 13.5 | 17.9 | 21.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 13 | 17.12 | 20.4 |
| Percentage of teachers for PrePrimary education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 80.3 | 77.9 | 84.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 19.7 | 22.1 | 15.6 |
| Number of Students with disabilities in Pre-primary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 629 | 620 | 533 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 758 | 925 | 829 |
| Number of Orphans Students in Preprimary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 4032 | 3286 |  |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3988 | 3319 |  |
| Pre-nursery students | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook 2018 |  |  | 3292 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook 2018 |  |  | 3199 |
| Number of staff/Teachers for preprimary school | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3750 | 4562 | 5286 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 921 | 1297 | 994 |
| II.PRIMARY SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolment in primary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1,217,724 | 1,275,093 | 1,244,361 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1,181,715 | 1,271,170 | 1,259,344 |
| Gender parity index of gross Enrollment in Primary Education (Female to male) | Ratio | Females to Males | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Girl's percentage of Primary Education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 50.8 | 50.1 | 49.7 |
| Gender parity index for primary gross attendance | Ratio | Females to Males | DHS 2010 \& DHS 2014-15 | 1.0 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Gender parity index for primary net attendance | Ratio | Females to Males | DHS 2010 \& DHS 2014-15 | 1.0 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| Gross Enrolment rate in Primary Education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 136 | 139 | 136.1 |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 133 | 140 | 139 |
| Net Enrolment rate in Primary Education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 97.3 | 98 | 98.5 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 96.2 | 97.3 | 98 |
| Number of qualified teachers at Primary education level | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 21029 | 22050 | 23780 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 18341 | 18871 | 20161 |
| Number of Students with disabilities in Primary Education level | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 8812 | 8479 | 7464 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 10964 | 10639 | 9669 |
| Number of Orphans Students in Primary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 105284 | 93099 |  |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 105151 | 94357 |  |
| Completion rate | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 66.1 | 71.10 |  |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 56.4 | 59.3 |  |
| GIR (Gross Intake Rate)/Over all | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 138.00 | 133.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 147.2 | 142.8 |
| GIR P6 (Gross Intake Rate in P6) | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 71.1 | 89.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 59.3 | 76.1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NIR (Net Intake rate) | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 76.10 | 78.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 80.4 | 81.9 |
| NIR P6 (Net Intake Rate in P6) | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 16.70 | 25.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 12.9 | 19.4 |
| Transition rate in Primary Education (P6 To S1) | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 70.7 | 70.4 | 71 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 75 | 72 | 72.3 |
| Promotion rate | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 70.5 | 77.4 | 81.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 67.5 | 74.4 | 78.6 |
| Repetition rate | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 20 | 16.9 | 12.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 21.4 | 19.9 | 14.4 |
| Dropout rate | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 11.1 | 6 | 7 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net attendance ratio in Primary | Percent | Female | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education, EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report. | 88.9 | 90.7 | 88.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education, EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report. | 86.8 | 88.4 | 87.1 |
| Gross attendance ratio in Primary | Percent | Female | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education, EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report. | 143.6 | 134.6 | 131.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education, EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report. | 1444.4 | 134.2 | 133 |
| Percentage of qualified teachers for primary | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 95.9 | 98.6 | 99.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 95.2 | 97.7 | 98.2 |
| Literacy rate of people aged $15+$ years by sex | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 64.5 | 67.6 | 69.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 75.5 | 77.3 | 77.6 |
| Literacy rate of youth people aged 1630 years by sex | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 83.2 | 83.2 | 85.0 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 83.8 | 83.8 | 84.2 |
| Literacy rate of people aged 15-24 years by sex | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 84.2 | 87.5 | 88.5 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Main indicators Report | 84.9 | 84.3 |

## II.3.SECONDARY SCHOOL

| Enrolment in secondary education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 287,302 | 293,060 | 349,918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 256,634 | 260,679 | 308,367 |
| Enrolment in Technical secondary school | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 30,172 | 29,254 | 44,842 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 37,284 | 36,329 | 57,643 |
| Transition rate in Secondary Education (S3 To S4) | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 80.8 | 81.1 | 82.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 90.6 | 84.8 | 88.7 |
| Gross Enrolment ratio in Secondary education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 39.1 | 38.5 | 36.2 |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 36.9 | 35.8 | 33.5 |
| Net Enrolment ratio in Secondary education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 30 | 34.6 | 25.1 |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 26.4 | 31.2 | 21.3 |
| Promotion rate in Lower Secondary Education 2012-02014 | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 73.4 | 81.3 | 86.7 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 75.8 | 81.9 | 87.1 |
| Promotion rate in Upper Secondary <br> Education 2012-2014 | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 88.2 | 90.5 | 95.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 92.2 | 92.6 | 95.7 |
| Repetition rate in Lower Secondary Education 2012-2014 | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 5.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 11.4 | 12 | 6.4 |
| Repetition rate in Upper Secondary Education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3.4 | 7 | 5.3 |
| Dropout rate in Lower Secondary Education 2012-2014 | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 14.8 | 6.7 | 7.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 13.9 | 6.3 | 6.5 |
| Dropout rate in UPPER Secondary <br> Education 2012-2014 | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 7.2 | 3 | 1.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Gender parity index for secondary gross attendance | Ratio | Females to Males | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1.03 | 1.07 | 1.08 |
| Gender parity index for secondary net attendance | Ratio | Females to Males | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.18 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEM students enrolled in Upper Secondary | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 56783 | 63915 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 67384 | 76141 |
| Number of boarding secondary students | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 83431 | 83462 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 81607 | 79673 |
| Number of students in upper secondary by field of education: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sciences | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 43,770 | 41543 | 25933 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 37,612 | 33733 | 27273 |
| Humanities | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 15,679 | 15294 | 11854 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 13,294 | 13548 | 11342 |
| Languages | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 16,442 | 15739 | 3956 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 14,346 | 12055 | 4874 |
| Teacher training colleges | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 4,526 | 5591 | 2204 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3838 | 3870 | 2226 |
| Technical secondary schools | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 30,201 | 29254 | 27318 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 35,912 | 36329 | 27715 |
| Girls' share of secondary enrolment | Percent | Female of total enrolment | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 53.2 |
| Net attendance ratio in Secondary | Percent | Female | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education; EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Education | 19 | 25 | 25.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education; EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Education | 16.5 | 20.8 | 21.3 |
| Gross attendance ratio in secondary | Percent | Female | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education; EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Education | 33.4 | 42.7 | 36.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | RPHC 2012 Thematic Report on Education; EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Education | 32.5 | 39.5 | 33.5 |
| Net enrolment Ratio in Lower Secondary education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 25.3 | 24.6 | 30.2 |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 24.2 |
| Gross Enrolment ratio in Lower secondary education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 49.4 | 45 | 51.2 |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 43.7 | 39.9 | 44.3 |
| Net enrolment Ratio in Upper Secondary education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 28 | 24.3 | 23.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 26.5 | 22.7 | 20.7 |
| Gross Enrolment ratio in Upper secondary education | Ratio | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 34.2 | 31.3 | 31 |
|  | Ratio | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 34.5 | 31.1 | 29.8 |
| Percentage of female and male teachers for secondary education | percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 26.1 | 27.5 | 28.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 73.9 | 72.5 | 71.9 |
| Number of Qualified Teachers in Secondary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 5157 | 5629 | 6683 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 13607 | 14294 | 16283 |
| Percentage of Qualified Teachers in Secondary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 62.5 | 64.5 | 71.1 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 70.2 | 71.2 | 78.9 |
| Number of Students with disabilities in Secondary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 2172 | 2655 | 2304 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 2451 | 2910 | 2253 |
| Number of Orphan Students in Secondary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 53318 | 47179 | 76631 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 50947 | 46690 | 72631 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of teachers for secondary education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 28.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 70.1 | 69.7 | 71.9 |
| II. TVET EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Students in Technical Vocational Education and training (level 1-7) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 40655 | 41068 | 44842 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 52369 | 52090 | 57643 |
| Number of students in vocational training Center (VTC) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 9301 | 7637 | 5253 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 12265 | 10948 | 4397 |
| Number of Students in Technical Secondary Schools (level 1-5) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 30201 | 29254 | 35803 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 35912 | 36329 | 43585 |
| Number of Students in polytechnic tertiary Schools (level 6-7) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 2068 | 3786 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 6922 | 9661 |
| Percentage of female and male teachers for Vocational Training Centers | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 29.5 | 32.3 | 26.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 70.5 | 67.7 | 73.5 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of female and male teachers for TVET education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 20.1 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 79.9 | 79.1 | 79.1 |
| Number of teachers for TVET (level 1-5) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2016 to 2018 |  | 991 | 1481 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2016 to 2018 |  | 3332 | 3684 |
| Number of teachers for TVET polytechnic (level 6-7) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2016 to 2018 |  | 89 | 270 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2016 to 2018 |  | 591 | 1172 |
| TVET graduates ( level 6-7) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2016 to 2018 |  | 443 | 682 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2016 to 2018 |  | 2294 | 2013 |
| Number of Students with disabilities in Vocational Training Centers | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 325 | 149 | 235 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 269 | 141 | 254 |
| Number of Orphan Students in Vocational Training Centers | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 2937 | 1597 |  |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3510 | 1638 |  |
| Girl's percentage of Vocational Training Centers | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 43.1 | 41.1 | 42.6 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of VTC students by sex and by Trade + polytechnics (Level 1-7) in 2018: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Crop | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 222 | 192 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 5 | 12 |  |
| Beauty Therapy (hairdressing) | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 95 | 12 | 867 |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 7 | 22 | 222 |
| Dressmaking | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 1598 | 1490 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 125 | 257 |  |
| Food processing ( +agriculture) | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 390 | 561 | 3569 |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 43 | 347 | 3184 |
| panel beating | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 390 | 88 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 43 | 140 |  |
| Screen Printing | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 59 | 12 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 7 | 112 |  |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hairdressing- Aesthetics | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 1482 | 750 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 225 | 252 |  |
| Front Office | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 257 | 122 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 47 | 111 |  |
| Crochet Embroidery | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 658 | 455 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 126 | 210 |  |
| Leather Craft (pottery, culinary arts, dressmaking) | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 287 | 168 | 4215 |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 58 | 218 | 1072 |
| Pottery | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 86 | 31 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 18 | 49 |  |
| Culinary arts | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 1541 | 1047 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 420 | 986 |  |
| Knitting ( hospitality and tourism) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 607 | 318 | 4913 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 255 | 158 | 2102 |
| Food and Beverage (business services) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 73 | 408 | 9911 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 37 | 200 | 2341 |
| ICT | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 76 | 86 | 6409 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 122 | 81 | 7055 |
| House Keeping | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 10 | 208 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 18 | 114 |  |
| Welding | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 504 | 217 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 1239 | 849 |  |
| Plumbing | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 80 | 70 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 265 | 599 |  |
| Domestic Electricity (technical services, plumbing, welding)) | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 226 | 155 | 3350 |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 975 | 524 | 10334 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carpentry | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 250 | 338 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook | 1326 | 1018 |  |
| Masonry (construction, building services, electricity, masonry) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 523 | 249 | 5729 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3820 | 1951 | 25430 |
| Moto Vehicle Engine Mechanics ( transportation) | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 277 | 125 | 867 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3127 | 2325 | 222 |
| Film making | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 68 | 49 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 108 | 63 |
| Crop production | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 159 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 22 |  |
| Painting | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 261 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 73 |  |
| Veterinary (technician) | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 20 |  |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 101 |  |
| Sport and medical massage | Number | Female | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 18 |  |
|  | Number | Male | 2016-18 Education Statistics Yearbook |  | 87 |  |
| II. 4 TERTIARY SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enrolment in tertiary education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: 2011-2012 Higher Education Statistics \& 2018 Education Statistics Year Book | 39146 | 36438 | 38041 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: 2011-2012 Higher Education Statistics \& 2018 Education Statistics Year Book | 47867 | 45375 | 51119 |
| Number of students in tertiary education in Public institutions | Number | Female | MINEDUC: 2011-2012 Higher Education Statistics \& 2018 Education Statistics Year Book | 12383 | 10411 | 9844 |
|  |  | Male | MINEDUC: 2011-2012 Higher Education Statistics \& 2018 Education Statistics Year Book | 25376 | 21896 | 19085 |
| Number of students in tertiary education in Private institutions | Number | Female | MINEDUC: 2011-2012 Higher Education Statistics \& 2018 Education Statistics Year Book | 26763 | 26027 | 24411 |
|  |  | Male | MINEDUC: 2011-2012 Higher Education Statistics \& 2018 Education Statistics Year Book | 22491 | 23479 | 22373 |
| Women's share of tertiary enrolment | Percent | Female of total enrolment | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 45 | 42.4 | 42.7 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women's share of tertiary enrolment/ Public institutions | Percent | Female of total enrolment | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 32.8 | 30 | 31.5 |
| Women's share of tertiary enrolment/ Private institutions | Percent | Female of total enrolment | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 54.3 | 51.8 | 51.1 |
| Numbers of Students in tertiary/polytechnics | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 2068 | 3786 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 6922 | 9661 |
| Number of students in tertiary education per 100000 inhabitant | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 688 | 647 | 611 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 901 | 937 | 872 |
| Total number of academic staff for tertiary education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 801 | 692 | 762 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3237 | 2589 | 3324 |
| Number of Students with disabilities in Tertiary Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 46 | 195 | 75 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 96 | 237 | 98 |
| Total tertiary students graduates from 2015 to 2017 | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 9680 | 10382 |
|  |  | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 13026 | 12718 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total graduates in TVET Higher Learning from 2015 to 2017 | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 443 | 682 |
|  |  | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 |  | 2294 | 2013 |
| Number of tertiary students by sex and by field of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 5375 | 5059 | 3425 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 9006 | 7709 | 5513 |
| Humanities and arts | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 2607 | 773 | 609 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3944 | 2014 | 924 |
| social sciences, business and law | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 23243 | 21357 | 18147 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 20196 | 19676 | 16222 |
| Science \& information | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3398 | 2634 | 3574 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 5858 | 5192 | 6950 |
| Engineering, manufacturing and construction | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1249 | 1250 | 2850 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 5462 | 4087 | 11391 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 1430 | 1380 | 851 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 2018 | 2720 | 1725 |
| Health and welfare | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3350 | 3985 | 3809 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 3355 | 3977 | 4442 |
| Services | Number | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 216 | 2068 | 4736 |
|  | Number | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 124 | 6922 | 3952 |
| Percentage of tertiary students by field of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 7.5 | 13.1 | 4.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 7.3 |
| Humanities and arts | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 6.6 | 2 | 2.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 7 |
| social sciences, business and law | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 59.4 | 55.5 | 53.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 42.2 | 37.6 | 50.8 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 16.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 8.3 |
| Engineering, manufacturing and construction | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 16.5 | 7.8 | 12.5 |
| Agriculture | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 8.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.5 |
| Health and welfare | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 7.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
| Services | Percent | Female | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 2.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINEDUC: Education Statistical Yearbook, 2014 to 2018 | 0.2 | 13.2 | 1.5 |
| Percentage of Population aged 16-30 that attended tertiary education | Number | Female | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Number | Male | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Men and Women Graduated in ICT and Related courses | number | Female | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda |  | 550 | 561 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | number | Male | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda |  | 956 | 954 |
| Students using Open Distance and Elearning | number | Female | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda | 1767 | 2108 |  |
|  | number | Male | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda | 2607 | 3251 |  |
| Computer literacy rate among population aged 15 and above | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 10.3 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| Computer literacy rate among population aged 15-30 | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 13.1 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
| Computer literacy rate among population aged 15-24 | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 10.3 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Literacy rate among population aged$15-24 y r$ | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 87.5 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Education Thematic Report | 84.9 | 84.3 | 84.3 |

## 3. GENDER AND HEALTH \& NUTRITION

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | 2010 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adolescent birth rate for adolescent women aged 15-19 | Per <br> thousand | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Percentage of women aged 25-49 who gave birth by age 15 , | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Proportion of women aged 15-19 who have had a live birth | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
|  | Percent | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
|  | Percent | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Percentage of women aged 15-19 who are pregnant with first child | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Percentage of women aged 15-19 who have begun child bearing | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
|  | Percent | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
|  | Percent | Rural | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Proportion of women aged 20-24years who have/were married /in union by age 15 | Percent | female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Percentage of women aged 25-49 who gave birth by age 18 , | Percent | female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 8.3 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Total fertility rate | Average number of children per woman | In urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
|  | Average number of children per woman | in rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
|  | Average number of children per woman | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Proportion of married women who have not given birth by age 45-49 | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Percentage of women aged $15-49$ receiving antenatal care from a skilled provider | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 98 | 99 | 99 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | 2010 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women with antenatal care coverage with at least one visit | Percent | Total | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 98 | 99 | 99 |
|  | Percent | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 98.1 | 98.9 | 98.9 |
|  | Percent | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 98.2 | 99.2 | 99.2 |
| Percentage of women with antenatal care coverage with at least 4 visits | Percent | Total | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& $2014 / 15$ | 35.4 | 43.9 | 43.9 |
|  | Percent | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 40.4 | 44.3 | 44.3 |
|  | Percent | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 34.7 | 43.9 | 43.9 |
| Births attended by skilled health personnel | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 69 | 90.7 | 90.7 |
|  | Percent | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 82.1 | 96.7 | 96.7 |
|  | Percent | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 67.1 | 89.4 | 89.4 |
| Percentage of children aged 12-23 months immunized for Measles by sex | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | 95.2 | 95.2 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | 94.7 | 94.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | 95.7 | 95.7 |
| Proportion of children who received all basic vaccinations by sex | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 90.1 | 92.6 | 92.6 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 90.2 | 92.3 | 92.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 90 | 93 | 93 |
| Proportion of stunted children (height for age below minus two standard deviations from the median) under the age of 5 years by sex | Percent | Total | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& $2014 / 15$ | 44.2 | 37.9 | 37.9 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 41.1 | 32.9 | 32.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 47.4 | 42.7 | 42.7 |
| Proportion of wasted children (weight for height below minus two standard deviation from the median) under the age of 5 years by sex | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2.4 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Prevalence of children under age 5 who are | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 11.4 | 9.3 | 9.3 |

National Gender Statistics Report, 2019

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | 2010 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| underweight (weight for age below minus two standard deviation from the median) by sex | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 10.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 12.7 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| Proportion of overweight children (weight for height above plus two standard deviations from the median under the age of 5 years sex | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 6.6 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| Prevalence of obesity or overweight among adults population aged 15-49 years by sex | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Prevalence of total Thin among population 15-49 years by sex | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
| Neonatal mortality rate | Deaths per 1000 live births | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Deaths per 1000 live births | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Deaths per 1000 live births | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 25 | 25 |
| Infant mortality rate by sex | Deaths per 1000 live births | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 32 | 32 |
|  | Deaths per 1000 live births | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 39 | 39 |
|  | Deaths per 1000 live births | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 45 | 45 |
| Under 5 mortality rate by sex | Deaths per 1000 live births | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 76 | 50 | 50 |
|  | Deaths per 1000 live births | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 97 | 64 | 64 |
|  | Deaths per 1000 live births | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 107 | 70 | 70 |
| Maternal mortality ratio | Maternal deaths per 100,000 live births | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 476 | 210 | 210 |
| Percentage of children under age five sleeping | Percent | Total | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& $2014 / 15$ | 69.6 | 67.7 | 67.7 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | 2010 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| under insecticide-treated net | Percent | Female | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 70.6 | 67.5 | 67.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 68.6 | 67.9 | 67.9 |
| Percentage of children under 5 years with fever who tested for malaria | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 15.8 | 18.7 | 18.7 |
|  | Percent | Female | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 19.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 16.5 | 18.1 | 18.1 |
| Prevalence of Malaria among children aged 659 months | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Percentage of children under 5 years with diarrhea who received ORT treatment within 24 hours | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 48.1 | 42.8 | 42.8 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 45.7 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 50.2 | 39.8 | 39.8 |
| Percentage of children under 5 years who received Vit A in the last six months preceding the survey | Percent | Total | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 92.9 | 80.1 | 80.1 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 92.4 | 79.6 | 79.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 93.4 | 80.5 | 80.5 |
| HIV prevalence rate among youth population 15-24 years | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| HIV prevalence rate among urban population 15-24 years | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| HIV prevalence rate among rural population 15-24 years | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Percentage of population 15-24 year-olds who | Percent | Female | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 52.6 | 64.6 | 64.6 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | 2010 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have comprehensive correct knowledge of HIV/AIDS | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 47.4 | 64.3 | 64.3 |
| Condom use at last highrisk sex among youth 1524 years | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 20.5 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 10.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Percentage of young people aged 15-24 years who had sex before age 15 | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \& \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3.8 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 11.3 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
| Percentage of young people 15-24 who had more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Percentage of Young people aged 15-24 years who tested for HIV and received the results in the 12 months. | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 59.4 | 59.5 | 59.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 49.3 | 48.9 | 48.9 |
| Percentage of young people 15-24 who had more than one sexual partner in the past 12 months | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Percentage of people aged 15-49 who had more than one sexual partner in 12 months, who reported using condom during last sexual intercourse | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 28.9 | 48.4 | 48.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 27.5 | 30.9 | 30.9 |
| HIV prevalence rate among population aged 15-24 years old | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| HIV prevalence rate among population aged 15-24 years old, urban | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| HIV prevalence rate among population aged 15-24 years old, rural | Percent | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DHS } 2005 \text { \& } 2010 \text { \& } \\ & 2014 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Female | DHS 2005 \& 2010 \& 2014/15 | 1.1 | 1 | 1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percent | Male |  <br> $2014 / 15$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| HIV prevalence rate <br> among population aged <br> 15-49 years old | Percent | Total | Female |  <br> $2014 / 15$ | 3 | 3 |
|  | Percent | Male |  <br> $2014 / 15$ | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | SOURCE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proportion of women <br> married or in a union of <br> reproductive age ( aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-49yrs) who have their <br> need for family planning <br> satisfied with modern <br> methods | Percent | Female |  <br> $2014 / 15$ | 47.5 | 47.5 |  |
| Percentage of ever- <br> married women and men <br> age $15-49$ who were ever <br> tested for the HIV virus <br> as a couple | Percent | Fercent | Male |  <br> $2014 / 15$ | 83.6 | 92.5 |

HIV prevalence rate among couples:

| both positive | Percent | couples | DHS 2005-2010- <br> $2014-15$ | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| man positive, woman <br> negative | Percent | couples | DHS 2005-2010- <br> 2014-15 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| man negative, woman <br> positive | Percent | couples | DHS 2005-2010- <br> $2014-15$ | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Both negative | Percent | couples | DHS 2005-2010- <br> 2014-15 | 95.4 | 95.1 | 95.1 |
| Percentage HIV positive <br> among women and men <br> age15-49 who ever had <br> sex and were tested for | Percent | Percent | Total | Female | DHS 2005-2010- <br> HIV, by whether they had <br> an STI in the past 12 <br> months. | Percent |

## 4. GENDER AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY \& TIME USE

| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labour force participation rate for persons aged 16 years and above by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 41.9 | 45.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 60.7 | 63.9 |
| Labour force participation rate for persons aged 1624, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 36.9 | 39 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 44.5 | 47.1 |
| Labour force participation rate for youth aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 43.7 | 47.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 57.1 | 57.5 |
| Employment rate to the population age $16+$ years by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 32.4 | 37.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 51.1 | 55.2 |
| Youth employment rate for persons aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 32 | 34.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 47.3 | 46.4 |
| Youth employment rate for persons aged 16-24, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 27.2 | 30 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 36.2 | 38.2 |
| Youth unemployment rate for persons aged 16-24, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 36.6 | 22.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 24.9 | 18.9 |
| Youth unemployment rate for persons aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 26.9 | 21.9 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 17.1 | 16 |
| Unemployment rate for population aged 16+, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 22.7 | 17.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 15.7 | 13.5 |
| Accounting for the young population (16-30 years) with respect to employment and education or training: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth in education or in training for persons aged $16-30$, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 23.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 26.7 |
| Youth employment rate for persons aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 32 | 34.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 47.3 | 46.4 |
| Youth both in employment and in education for persons aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 1.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 3 |
| Youth in NEET for persons aged 16-24, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 36.5 | 40.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 24.8 | 23.9 |
| Youth in NEET for persons aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 41.1 | 42 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 26 | 25.2 |
| Youth unemployment rate for persons aged 16-30, by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 26.9 | 23.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 17.1 | 36.1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potential labour force in youth 16-30yr | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 56 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 44.2 |
| Others | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 20.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  |  | 19.6 |
| Number of Youth not in employment nor in education (16-30yr) | number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2017 |  |  | 744724 |
|  | number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2017 |  |  | 401721 |
| Number of population aged 16 years and above by Main occupation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 9627 | 12698 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 24151 | 25125 |
| Professionals | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 67998 | 78109 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 100699 | 117711 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 12147 | 9733 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 30698 | 22722 |
| Clerical support workers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 15478 | 16627 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 9913 | 13855 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service and sales workers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 247455 | 262389 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 254538 | 296983 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 114365 | 89748 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 119763 | 117115 |
| Craft and related trades workers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 50284 | 57806 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 239143 | 173445 |
| Plant and machine operators, and assemblers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 2701 | 5416 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 74824 | 80738 |
| Elementary occupations | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 612806 | 844226 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 716622 | 871833 |
| Percentage of population aged 16 years and above by Main Industry: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, fishing and forestry | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 81.6 | 78.8 | 79.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 61.4 | 59.2 | 58.5 |
| Mining and Quarrying | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 2 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Manufacturing | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Construction | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 5.9 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 8 | 9.3 | 8.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 10.1 | 9.2 | 8.7 |
| Transportation and storage | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.4 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.2 | 0.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Information and communication | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Financial and insurance activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Real Estate Activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  |  | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  |  | 0.1 |
| Professional, scientific and technical activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Administrative and support service activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Public administration and defense | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 6.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Education | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 1.9 | 2 |
| Human health and social work activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.9 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Arts, entertainment and recreation | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Other service activities | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 3.4 | 1 | 0.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 5.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Activities of households as employers; producing for own use | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 3.3 | 4.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organizations/bodies | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Industry not stated | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV3/4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Employment status by sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 71.9 | 67.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 71.6 | 69.2 |
| Employer | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 0.8 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Self-employed: (in agriculture and non-agriculture) | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 20 | 23.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 24.7 | 27.1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contributing family worker (Unpaid care work) | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 6.8 | 7.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Producers' cooperative member | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 0.5 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Others/ Occupation not stated | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Reports 2016 to 2018 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percentage of the economically inactive population aged 16 and above by type of inactivity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Looking after family/home | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 9.7 | 13.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 3.2 | 6.4 |
| No work available/future starters/discouraged seekers | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 5 | 15.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 1.3 | 7.8 |
| Old-age/retired | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 12.7 | 7.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 7.5 | 4.4 |
| Student | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 55.8 | 49.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 62.6 | 60.2 |
| Other (Sickness, disability)/not stated | Percent | Female | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 6.2 | 13.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Economic Activity |  | 16.9 | 19.9 |
| Average number of hours spent in own use production activities by population aged 16 years and above by sex | Mean hours | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 31 | 25.7 |
|  | Mean hours | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 16 | 13.1 |
| Mean Hours spent per week on own use production activities (domestic duties) by type and sex for population 16 years and above): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collect firewood | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 2.9 | 4.7 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 1.6 | 3.9 |
| Searching fodder or grazing | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 2.9 | 7.3 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 3.6 | 10 |
| Water fetching | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 3 | 4.3 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 2.2 | 4 |
| Manufacturing household goods for own for family use | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 0.7 | 7.1 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 0.1 | 5 |
| Construction your dwelling, making majors repairs on it, farm building, and private roads. | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 0.4 | 4.4 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 0.9 | 7.4 |
| Go on market and Cooking | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 10 | 12.5 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 2.2 | 5.5 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Looking children and elderly | Mean hour | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 6.5 | 8.8 |
|  | Mean hour | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 1.4 | 3.9 |
| Average number of actually weekly hours worked by main job type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage Farm | Median hours | Female | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 19.7 | 26.9 | 26.9 |
|  | Median hours | Male | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 25 | 33.8 | 31.8 |
| Wage Non-farm | Median hours | Female | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 42.1 | 48.2 | 51.8 |
|  | Median hours | Male | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 40 | 46.8 | 49.7 |
| Independent Farmer | Median hours | Female | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 20.7 | 24.8 | 23.8 |
|  | Median hours | Male | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 20.6 | 28.5 | 26.7 |
| Independent Non-farm | Median hours | Female | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 24.6 | 39.7 | 42.8 |
|  | Median hours | Male | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 34.4 | 48.9 | 49.9 |
| Unpaid non-farm and other | Median hours | Female | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 24.3 | 42.1 | 43.1 |
|  | Median hours | Male | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Economic Activity | 18.8 | 36.8 | 46.2 |
| Employed population in informal sector | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 883,264 | 1,089,484 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 1,176,842 | 1,265,386 |
| Number of employed population in formal sector | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 162,657 | 171,535 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 314,337 | 311,098 |
| Number of employed population in formal sector out of Agriculture | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  |  | 146,830 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  |  | 271,800 |
| Labour force participation by marital status and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married monogamously | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 43 | 46.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 65 | 66.6 |
| living together | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 51 | 58.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 78 | 80.2 |
| Divorced | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 58 | 58.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 73 | 68.1 |
| Separated | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  |  | 68.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  |  | 75.8 |
| Single | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 40 | 53 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 51 | 43.9 |
| Widow/Widower | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 29 | 40 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 28 | 33.9 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Informal employment rate among employees by sex | Percent | Female | EICV4:Thematic Economic Activity, RLFS Feb 2017 report | 88.6 |  | 86.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4:Thematic Economic Activity, RLFS Feb 2017 report | 88.6 |  | 80.2 |
| Combined rate of unemployment and potential labour force | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 to 2018 |  | 54.4 | 45.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 to 2018 |  | 35.4 | 29.1 |
| Combined rate of unemployment and time-related underemployment | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 to 2018 |  | 49.7 | 43.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 to 2018 |  | 40 | 35.3 |
| Time-related underemployed | percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 to 2018 |  | 31.7 | 32 |
|  | percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 to 2018 |  | 30.3 | 25.2 |
| Labour force subsistence foodstuff producers | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 58 |  |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 42 |  |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 114,365 | 102,356 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 119,763 | 126,206 |
| Craft and related trades workers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 50284 | 62,127 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 23,9143 | 204,358 |
| Plant and machine operators, and assemblers | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 2701 | 3935 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 74,824 | 88,088 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary occupations | Number | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 612,806 | 813,742 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 716,622 | 882,474 |
| Percentage of formal Employment by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 26.7 | 21.1 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 73.3 | 78.9 |
| Employees | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 34.2 | 36.4 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 65.8 | 63.6 |
| own account worker | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 34.8 | 29.4 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 65.2 | 70.6 |
| member of cooperative | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 33 | 56.9 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 67 | 43.1 |
| contributing family worker | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 100 | 85.1 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 0 | 14.9 |
| Percentage of informal Employment by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 38.9 | 24.1 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 61.1 | 75.9 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- GROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employees | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 40.7 | 43.6 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 59.1 | 56.4 |
| Own account worker | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 42.7 | 41,1 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 57.3 | 58.9 |
| Member of cooperative | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 56.4 | 54.9 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 43.6 | 45.1 |
| Contributing family worker (Unpaid care worker) | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 83.6 | 77.2 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 16.4 | 22.8 |
| Percentage of population aged 16+years old in /attended trade and technical training by sex | Numbers | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 370,609 | 422,632 |
|  |  | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 456,267 | 534,140 |
| Percentage of total informal sector employees by gender and activity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining and quarrying | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.41 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 2 | 0.7 |
| Manufacturing | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 4 | 3.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 9 | 8.5 |
| Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.08 | 0.3 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Construction | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.01 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.03 | 0 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 20.8 | 23.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 21.6 | 20.1 |
| Transportation and storage | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.05 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.37 | 0 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 10.9 | 9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 15.3 | 19 |
| Information and communication | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.43 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.37 | 0.8 |
| Financial and insurance activities | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.0 |  |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Real estate activities | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| Professional, scientific and technical activities | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.08 | 0.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.46 | 0.4 |
| Administrative and support service activities | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.32 | 0.7 |
| Arts, entertainment and recreation | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.01 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 0.11 | 0.2 |
| Activities of household | Percent | Female | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 2.4 | 2.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR, Integrated Business Survey Report, 2015/2016 |  | 11.3 | 8.8 |
| Employment status in youth population aged 16 to 24 years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inactivity rate for persons aged 16-24 by sex | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5:Thematic Economic Activity |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5:Thematic Economic Activity |  | 28 | 36.3 |
| Youth 16-30 years old Outside labour force | Percent | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2017 |  |  | 52.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2017 |  |  | 42.4 |

## 5. GENDER AND POVERTY \& SOCIAL PROTECTION

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households classified as poor by sex of head of household | Percent | Female headed | EICV3/4/5: Gender Thematic Report | 47 | 43.8 | 39.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV3/4/5: Gender Thematic Report | 44.3 | 36.9 | 37.6 |
| Percentage of households classified as extremely poor by sex of head of household | Percent | Female headed | EICV3/4/5: Gender Thematic Report | 26 | 19.5 | 17.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed | EICV3/4/5: Gender Thematic Report | 23.6 | 14.6 | 15 |
| Poverty rate of population by demographic group by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult | Percent | Female | EICV5, Rwanda poverty Profile |  | 35.9 | 34.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV5, Rwanda poverty Profile |  | 33.2 | 31.6 |
| Child | Percent | Girls | EICV5, Rwanda poverty Profile |  | 44.6 | 44.8 |
|  | Percent | Boys | EICV5, Rwanda poverty Profile |  | 44.7 | 44.2 |
| Percentage of population aged 5+yr with major disabilities by sex | Percent | Female | EICV3/4/5: Gender Thematic Report | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV3/4/5: Gender Thematic Report | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| Percentage of population with disability by type of disability: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vision disability | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 7.6 | 6.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 9.8 | 6.8 |
| Deaf or mute | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 8.5 | 8.4 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 8.5 | 8.8 |
| disability in the arms | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 11.2 | 12.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 13.8 | 13 |
| disability in the legs | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 22.4 | 21.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 28.6 | 28.8 |
| mental inability | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 18.3 | 17 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 17.9 | 20.7 |
| traumatised | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 3.3 | 2.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| very old | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 24.1 | 19 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 14.5 | 8.9 |
| other | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 4.7 | 12.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Main indicators Report |  | 5.2 | 11.2 |
| Percentage of population covered by a health insurance by sex | Percent | Female | EICV4, DHS 2014/15, EICV5 2017 | 70.5 | 74 | 74.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4, DHS 2014/15, EICV5 2017 | 69.4 | 72.8 | 73.4 |
| Percentage of population by type of medical insurance by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutuelle de santé (Mutual/ Community) | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 2017 | 95.3 | 94.1 | 94.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 2017 | 95.8 | 93.7 | 92.8 |
| RAMA/RSSB | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| MMI | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 2017 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| Private Commercial Insurance Companies | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Others Medical insurance (FARG) | Percent | Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.2 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15, EICV5 2017 |  | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Percentage of children under age 5 whose births are registered with the civil authorities by sex | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 |  | 62.9 | 55.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 |  | 63.6 | 56 |
| Percentage of children under age 5 whose births are registered with the civil authorities and have Birth certificate by sex | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 |  | 6.4 | 2.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 |  | 6.8 | 2.7 |
| Percentage of population aged 0-17 years old by biological parents' survivorship by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother alive, father alive | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 85.5 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 85.5 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
| Mother alive, father dead/survivorship unknown | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Mother dead/survivorship unknown, father alive | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Mother dead/survivorship unknown, father dead/survivorship unknown | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 1.7 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 1.9 | 1 | 1 |
| Survivorship for one or both parent not stated | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Percentage of population aged 0-17 years old by cohabitation with biological parents by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lives with both parents | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 60.6 | 62.8 | 62.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 61.4 | 63.6 | 63.6 |
| Lives with mother only | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 23.1 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 22.6 | 22 | 22 |
| Lives with father only | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 2.2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lives with no parent | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| Percentage of population aged 0-17 years old currently attending school by survivorship of parents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both parents deceased | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 83.8 | (90.5) | (90.5) |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 91.2 | 77.1 | 77.1 |
| Both parents alive and living with at least one parent | Percent | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 96 | 95.8 | 95.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 96.2 | 93.8 | 93.8 |
| Ratio of the percentage with both parents deceased to the percentage with both parents alive and living with a parent | Ratio | Female | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 0.95 | (0.95) | (0.95) |
|  | Ratio | Male | RDHS 2010/11, RDHS 2014-15 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Percentage distribution of households which have taken part in the VUP public works programme by sex of HH headed | Percent | Female headed | NISR: EICV4 (2013/2014) Social Protection and VUP report |  | 34.1 |  |
|  | Percent | Male headed | NISR: EICV4 (2013/2014) Social Protection and VUP report |  | 65.9 |  |
| Percentage distribution of individuals who have taken part in VUP public works programme by sex | Percent | Female | NISR: EICV4 ( 2013/2014) Social Protection and VUP report |  | 55.4 |  |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: EICV4 (2013/2014) Social Protection and VUP report |  | 44.6 |  |
| Among VUP participating households, distribution of VUP component by sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public works | Percent | Female | EICV3/4 : Thematic Report on Social Protection; EICV5 Main Indicators | 27.9 | 34.1 | 43.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV3/4 : Thematic Report on Social Protection; EICV5 Main Indicators | 72.1 | 65.9 | 56.9 |
| Direct support | Percent | Female | EICV4 : Thematic Report on Social Protection; EICV5 Main Indicators |  | 64.6 | 70.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4 : Thematic Report on Social Protection; EICV5 Main Indicators |  | 35.4 | 29.8 |
| Financial services | Percent | Female | EICV4 : Thematic Report on Social Protection; EICV5 Main Indicators |  | 22.3 | 20 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4 : Thematic Report on Social Protection; EICV5 Main Indicators |  | 77.7 | 80 |
| Percentage of population beneficiaries of Social Security Programs by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of contributors of pension insurance | Number | Female | GMO: State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 104,508 | 106,942 | 106,942 |
|  | Number | Male | GMO: State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 247,577 | 253,342 | 253,342 |
| Number of beneficiaries of pension | Number | Female | GMO: State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 14,862 | 15,057 | 15,057 |
|  | Number | Male | GMO: State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 19,040 | 19,200 | 19,200 |
| Number of beneficiaries of occupational hazard | Number | Female | GMO: State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 985 | 999 | 999 |
|  | Number | Male | GMO: State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 1,132 | 1,149 | 1,149 |

## 6. GENDER AND JUSTICE, HUMAN RIGHT AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

| INDICATOR | UNIT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SUB- } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of judges in Supreme Court by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 41 | 37 | 42 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 59 | 63 | 58 |
| Percentage of Inspectors in Supreme Court by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 17 | 40 | 40 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 83 | 60 | 60 |
| Percentage of Judges in High Courts by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: $2014,2016 \text { \& } 2018$ | 32 | 33 | 34 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 68 | 67 | 66 |
| Percentage of Judges in intermediate Courts by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: $2014,2016 \& 2018$ | 41 | 34 | 36 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 59 | 66 | 64 |
| Percentage of Judges in lower/primary Courts by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 42 | 45 | 50.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: $2014,2016 \text { \& } 2018$ | 58 | 55 | 49.6 |
| Percentage of Judges in Commercial Courts by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 27 | 23 | 20 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 73 | 77 | 80 |
| Percentage of Judges in high Commercial Courts by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: $2016$ |  |  | 33 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: $2016$ |  |  | 67 |
| Percentage of national prosecutors by sex | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 38.6 | 46.5 | 46.5 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2014, 2016 \& 2018 | 61.3 | 53.5 | 53.5 |
| Judges and magistrate | Percent | Female | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2016 \& 2018 |  | 44 | 49.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme court: Human resources Department: 2016 \& 2018 |  | 56 | 50.3 |
| Percentage of Principal registrars | Percent | Female | Supreme Court: Annual report of 2017/18 |  |  | 52.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme Court: Annual report of 2017/18 |  |  | 47.4 |
| Percentage of All registrars | Percent | Female | Supreme Court: Annual report of 2017/18 |  |  | 60.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme Court: Annual report of 2017/18 |  |  | 39.7 |
| All administrative staff of the Ministry of Justice (MINIJUST) | Percent | Female | Supreme Court: Annual report of 2017/18 \& GMO: <br> State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 50.3 | 47.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | Supreme Court: Annual report of 2017/18 \& GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 49.7 | 52.6 |
| Staff of National commission for the fight against Genocide | Percent | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 47.9 | 45.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 52.1 | 54.9 |
| Staff of National Commission for Human Rights | Percent | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 70.4 | 70.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 29.6 | 29.6 |
| Percentage of men and women in decision making position in the National Public Prosecution Authority | Percent | Female | NPPA, Human Resources Department 2016 \& 2018 | 40 | 40 | 31 |
|  | Percent | Male | NPPA, Human Resources Department 2016 \& 2018 | 60 | 60 | 69 |
| Number of GBV cases received at Isange One Stop Centers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GBV victims with symptoms of physical violence | Number | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 4629 | 4665 |
|  | Number | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 2017 | 1715 |
| GBV victims with symptoms of sexual violence | Number | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 7322 | 7509 |
|  | Number | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 273 | 300 |
| Number of women victims of GBV assisted by legal aid providers | Number | Female | MINIJUST, Annual report 2014/15 \& 2018/19 |  | 12728 | 43273 |
| Percentage of women and men who have ever experienced physical violence since age 15 | Percent | Female | RDHS2014-15 | 41.2 | 35 | 35 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS2014-15 |  | 39 | 39 |
| Percentage of women and men aged 15-49years old who have experienced physical violence within the 12 months preceding survey | Percent | Female | RDHS2014-15 |  | 14 | 14 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS2014-15 |  | 11 | 11 |
| Percentage of women and men aged 15-49years old who have ever experienced sexual violence | Percent | Female | RDHS2014-15 | 22.3 | 22 | 22 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS2014-15 |  | 5 | 5 |
|  | Percent | Female | RDHS2014-15 |  | 8 | 8 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women and men aged 15-49years old who have experienced sexual violence at least once in the 12 months preceding survey | Percent | Male | RDHS2014-15 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Proportion of women and men aged 15-49 subjected to physical and /or sexual violence by a current or former intimate partner, in the last 12 months | Percent | Female | RDHS2014-15 |  | 20.7 | 20.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS2014-15 |  | 7 | 7 |
| Proportion of women and men aged 15-49 subjected to sexual violence by a person other than an intimate partner since age 15 | Percent | Female | RDHS2014-15 |  | 60.9 | 60.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | RDHS2014-15 |  | 65.8 | 65.8 |
| Percentage of female and male inmates | Percent | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 7.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 92.8 |
| Rwanda correctional services (RCS) personnel | Percent | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 13 | 24 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 87 | 76 |
| Mediators (Aabunzi) | Percent | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 45 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 55 |

## 7. GENDER AND ENVIRONMENT \& NATURAL RESOURCES

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households by main mode of household waste disposal by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost dumping | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 54.1 | 47.1 | 38.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 61.4 | 53.2 | 44 |
| Private dust (Rubbish collection service) | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 4.2 | 5.4 | 7.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 5.2 | 6.5 | 8.7 |
| Public refuse dumps | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 2 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| On the farms / in the bush | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 37.1 | 45.6 | 52 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 28.8 | 38.4 | 44 |
| In a river/Stream/Drain/Gutter | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Other modes of waste disposal | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment, EICV5 Main Indicators | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Percentage of households using improved sanitation by type of toilet facility by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flush toilet/Water closet (WC) system | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pit latrine with solid slab | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 65.8 | 76.5 | 79.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 75.5 | 85.7 | 86 |
| Pit latrine- not shared | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report |  | 55.3 | 59.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report |  | 66.3 | 68.7 |
| Percentage of households using unimproved sanitation by type of toilet facility by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bush/ no toilet | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 10.1 | 6 | 6.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 4.6 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| Pit latrine without slab (unimproved facility) | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 22.9 | 17.4 | 12.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report | 18 | 12.1 | 9 |
| Others | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report |  |  | 0.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Main Indicators Report |  |  | 0.1 |
| Percentage of households possessing an energy saving stove (efficient cook stove) by sex of the head of household | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Thematic Report on Households and Housing \& EICV5 Utilities and amenities report |  | 33.1 | 13.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: 2012 RPHC4, Thematic Report on Households and Housing \& EICV5 Utilities and amenities report |  | 35.9 | 13.5 |
| Percentage of households by type of cooking stove by sex of head of HH: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Three stone | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 58.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 51.5 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-Built Stove | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 13.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 15.2 |
| Manufactured Stove | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 1.4 |
| Charcoal/ fire stove | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 13 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 17.3 |
| Efficient cook stove | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 13.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 13.5 |
| Other | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 0.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 1.1 |
| Percentage of HH by cooking stove installation place and by sex of head of HH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In dwelling not situated in the sleeping area | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 23.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 18.3 |
| In dwelling situated in the sleeping area | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 6.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 4.4 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In a separate dwelling | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 48.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 55.1 |
| In a veranda | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 1.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 1.3 |
| Outdoors | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 20.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 20 |
| Others | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV5 Thematic report on Utilities and amenities |  |  | 0.9 |
| Percentage of households by main source of energy for cooking by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Gas | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Biogas | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Kerosene | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firewood | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 88.5 | 86.3 | 84.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 85.5 | 82.2 | 78.3 |
| Charcoal | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 8.1 | 12.2 | 13 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 11.6 | 16.2 | 18.9 |
| Grass/Leaves | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 3 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Other sources | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5 Thematic Report on Utilities and amenities | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1 |
| Percentage of HHs that received information on environmental issues, by sex of HH headed | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment | 63.3 | 78.7 | 78.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment | 51.9 | 87.0 | 87.0 |
| Percentage of individuals reporting any illness over past 4 weeks, by environment related characteristics by sex of head HH | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment |  | 27.7 | 27.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment |  | 22.7 | 22.7 |
| Percentage of Male and Female who are members of Charcoaling Cooperatives | Percent | Female headed HH | RCA, Administrative Data, 2018 | 37.90 | 38.7 | 44.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | RCA, Administrative Data, 2018 | 62.10 | 61.3 | 55.4 |

## 8. GENDER AND DECISION-MAKING \& PUBLIC LIFE

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cabinet Ministerial Positions | Percent | Female | Prime Minister's Office Website, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2019 | 36.8 | 40 | 50 |
|  | Percent | Male | Prime Minister's Office Website, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2019 | 63.2 | 60 | 50 |
| Permanent Secretaries | Percent | Female | GMO: The State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 31.6 | 35.3 | 30 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: The State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 68.4 | 64.7 | 70 |
| Director General in the Ministries | Percent | Female | GMO: The State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 40 | 34.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: The State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 60 | 65.6 |
| Ambassadors | Percent | Female | MINAFET, reported data 2014, 2016 \& 2017 | 23.8 | 22.6 | 32 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINAFET, reported data 2014, 2016 \& 2017 | 76.2 | 77.4 | 68 |
| Percentage of female and male Head of Public institutions | Percent | Female | GMO: Gender Profile on Governance and Security, 2014; GMO: The State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 15.7 | 19.5 | 20 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: Gender Profile on Governance and Security, 2014; GMO: The State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 84.3 | 80.5 | 80 |
| Directors of Units | Percent | Female | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 21.4 | 24.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 78.6 | 75.5 |
| Members of Parliament in the Chamber of Deputies | Percent | Female | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 56.4 | 64 | 61 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 43.6 | 36 | 39 |
| Members of Parliament in chamber of Senate | Percent | Female | Parliament Administrative Data, 2018 | 38.5 | 38 | 38 |
|  | Percent | Male | Parliament Administrative Data, 2018 | 61.5 | 62 | 62 |
| Governors and Mayor of Kigali City | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 25 | 40 | 40 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 75 | 60 | 60 |
| District Mayors | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 6.6 | 16.7 | 26.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 93.3 | 83.3 | 73.3 |
| District Vice-Mayor in charge of Economic Affairs | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 20 | 16,7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 80 | 83.3 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Vice Mayor in charge of Social Affairs | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 76.7 | 66.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 23.3 | 33.3 |
| Member of Districts Consultative Council | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 44.8 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 | 55.2 | 54.8 | 54.8 |
| Member of Sectors Consultative Council | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 |  | 42.7 | 42.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 |  | 57.3 | 57.3 |
| Member of Cells Consultative Council | Percent | Female | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 |  | 34.5 | 41.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC Local Government Elections Report 2016 |  | 65.5 | 58 |
| Member of village (Umudugudu) Executive Committees by sex | Percent | Female | NEC 2016,/2018 NEC 2017 |  |  | 39.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NEC 2016,/2018 NEC 2017 |  |  | 60.6 |
| District Executive Secretaries | Percent | Female | MINALOC Administrative Records, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2018 | 6.7 | 7 | 10 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINALOC Administrative Records, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2018 | 93.3 | 93 | 90 |
| Sector Executive Secretaries | Percent | Female | MINALOC Administrative Records, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2018 | 9.1 | 11 | 17 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINALOC Administrative Records, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2018 | 90.9 | 89 | 83 |
| Cell Executive Secretaries | Percent | Female | MINALOC Administrative Records, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2018 | 37.7 | 35 | 36 |
|  | Percent | Male | MINALOC Administrative Records, 2014 \& 2016 \& 2018 | 62.3 | 65 | 64 |
| Share of female police officers | Percent | Female | Data from RNP 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 16 | 21 |
|  | Percent | Male | Data from RNP 2016 \& GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  | 84 | 79 |

## 9. GENDER AND INFRASTRUCTURE, ICT \& MEDIA

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households accessing improved source of water by the sex of the head of HH | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities Amenities | 72.4 | 85.9 | 86.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities Amenities | 73.3 | 84.4 | 87.7 |
| Households with access to improved water sources within 200 meters and 500 meters by sex of head of HH: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| within 200 meters | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic report on Environment \& EICV5 Main Indicators Report | 14.8 | 24.6 | 34.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic report on Environment \& EICV5 Main Indicators Report | 14.6 | 27 | 34.4 |
| within 500 meters | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic report on Environment \& EICV5 Main Indicators Report | 38 | 51.6 | 60.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic report on Environment \& EICV5 Main Indicators Report | 36 | 52.9 | 60 |
| Mean time to improved drinking water source (minutes) | minutes | Female headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Utilities and Amenities report | 14.7 | 11.4 | 9.6 |
|  | minutes | Male headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Utilities and Amenities report | 14.3 | 11.2 | 9.6 |
| Percentage of households by main source of water by sex of the head of household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal pipe-born water | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Pipe-born water in the compound | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 4.8 | 7 | 7.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 7.9 | 8.5 | 10.1 |
| Public tap out of the compound | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 26.7 | 32.7 | 37.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 28 | 34.5 | 38.7 |
| Protected spring/Well | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 39.9 | 41.7 | 42 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 36 | 37.2 | 38.8 |
| Unprotected Spring/Well | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 13.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 12.9 | 7.7 | 7 |
| Rain water | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| River | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.5 | 11.1 | 1.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.4 | 11.8 | 1.2 |
| Lake/Stream/Pond/Surface Water | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.4 | 5 | 5.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.4 | 5.4 | 4.1 |
| Other sources | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.2 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.2 | 2.4 | 1 |
| Percentage of households by main source of energy for lighting by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity by REG | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 7.3 | 16.2 | 20.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 12.1 | 21.1 | 29.4 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solar power | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.1 | 1.1 | 4.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.4 | 1.9 | 8.3 |
| Lattern | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 38 | 14.6 | 4.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 33.5 | 12.6 | 3.3 |
| Oil Lamp | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 8.3 | 4.7 | 1.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 10.2 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| Candle | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 5.7 | 7.4 | 6 |
| Firewood | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 14.9 | 10 | 7.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.4 | 4 | 2.5 |
| Other sources (batteries) | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 25.1 | 46 | 55.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 31.6 | 47.9 | 49.1 |
| Percentage of households by type of habitat by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Umudugudu (Clustered rural settlement) | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 36.8 | 49.2 | 60.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 37.7 | 49.2 | 58.5 |
| Dispersed/Isolated housing | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 39.9 | 27.4 | 24.6 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 36.2 | 24.9 | 22.9 |
| Planned urban housing | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.7 | 1.6 | 3.1 |
| Spontaneous/squatter housing | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 18.2 | 19.7 | 13.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 20 | 22 | 15.5 |
| Other type of housing | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 4.4 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0 |
| Percentage of households by type of building by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House occupied by one household | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 92.6 | 90.4 | 89.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 92.4 | 90.4 | 88.7 |
| House occupied by several households | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 53.9 | 5.1 | 3.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2.6 | 4.4 | 4 |
| Storey building occupied by one or more households | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Several buildings in a compound occupied by several households | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2.6 | 2.7 | 6.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 3.3 | 2.7 | 5.8 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other type of building | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Percentage of households by tenure of the household by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 85.1 | 81.1 | 76.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 83.6 | 80.8 | 76.6 |
| Tenant | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 6.6 | 10.3 | 14.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 11 | 14.6 | 17.5 |
| Free lodging | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 7.3 | 4.1 | 8.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 4.7 | 8.1 | 5.1 |
| Staff housing | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Refuge/Temporary camp settlement | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Other type of tenure | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households by main material of the walls of the household by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood/Mud | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 39.8 | 33.1 | 27.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 33.5 | 27.7 | 21.5 |
| Wood/ Cement mud | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 15.5 | 19.7 | 25.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 20 | 25.7 | 31.9 |
| Sun dried bricks | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 35.8 | 36.5 | 36 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 36.3 | 35.9 | 35.2 |
| Cement Blocks/Concrete | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 5.6 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 5.5 | 6 | 6.5 |
| Timber | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Burnt bricks | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2.7 | 3 | 3 |
| Other materials | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iron sheets | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 53.9 | 60.7 | 66.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 54.6 | 61.2 | 67.6 |
| Local tiles | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 42.6 | 39 | 32.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 42.5 | 38.2 | 33.4 |
| Cartoons/Sheathing/plywood | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Grass/Thatch or leaves | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| concrete | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| other | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of households by type of floor of the household by sex of the household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earth/Sand | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 81.1 | 76.8 | 72 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 77.4 | 73 | 66.9 |
| Hardened dung | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Concrete/cement | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 14.8 | 18.5 | 22.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 18 | 22.1 | 27 |
| Burnt Bricks | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1.1 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Other type of floor | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Mean number of persons per bedroom (residential promiscuity index) of households by sex of household head | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Percentage of households possessing specific ICT assets by sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Radio | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 46.5 | 41.5 | 59.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 65.5 | 66.1 | 78.6 |
| Television | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 3.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 7.6 | 11.2 | 11.9 |
| Cell/mobile phone | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 35.1 | 50.9 | 54.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 49 | 68 | 71.1 |
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| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computer | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 2 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| Bicycle | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 5.3 | 6.5 | 4.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4,5: Thematic Report on Utilities and Amenities | 16.4 | 18.9 | 16.2 |
| Percentage of Population aged 15-24years old who is a Computer literate by sex | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Gender | 5.5 | 10.3 | 9.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Gender | 7.6 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
| Percentage of Population aged 15 years and above who is a Computer literate by sex | Percent | Female | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Gender | 3.9 | 6.8 | 7.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Gender | 7 | 10.3 | 10.9 |
| Percentage of households whose members have access to Internet by sex of the household head | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Gender | 2.6 | 8 | 13.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV 4,5: Thematic Report on Gender | 4 | 9.6 | 18.5 |

## 10. GENDER AND TRADE, BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number (percentage) of people in exports business in informal cross-border trade by sex | Number | Female | NISR Statistics Economic Unit, 2013, 2016 \& 2018 | 546,520 | 571,984 | 752,679 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR Statistics Economic Unit, 2013, 2016 \& 2018 | 299,351 | 305,775 | 326,987 |
| Number (percentage) of people in imports business in informal cross-border trade by sex | Number | Female | NISR Statistics Economic Unit, 2013, 2016 \& 2018 | 129,593 | 166,870 | 105,641 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR Statistics Economic Unit, 2013, 2016 \& 2018 | 339,699 | 399,980 | 331,348 |
| Distribution (in numbers) of sole proprietor establishments (enterprises) by sex of owner | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 38,017 | 56,859 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 101,665 | 117,254 |
| Distribution (in numbers) of sole proprietor establishments by sex of owner and size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro (1-to-3 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 36,169 | 54,813 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 96,987 | 112,242 |
| Small (4-to-30 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 1,817 | 2,005 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 4,538 | 4,824 |
| Medium (31-to-100 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 27 | 25 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 104 | 141 |
| Large ( +100 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 4 | 16 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 36 | 47 |
| Distribution (in numbers) of Establishments (enterprises) by size and by sex of manager: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro (1-to-3 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 37,609 | 56,740 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 101,285 | 114,306 |
| Small (4-to-30 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 3,424 | 3,638 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 9,938 | 9,719 |
| Medium (31-to-100 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 351 | 366 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 1,234 | 1,332 |
| Large ( +100 employees) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 33 | 42 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 243 | 305 |
| Distribution (in numbers) of sole proprietor establishments by economic activity and sex of owner: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing business | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 31 | 150 | 25 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 359 | 601 | 111 |
| Mining and quarrying | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 14 | 32 | 21 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 14 | 250 | 155 |
| Manufacturing business | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 3,652 | 4,112 | 5,172 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 355 | 6,630 | 7,970 |
| Electricity, gas , steam and air conditioning supply | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 36 | 2 | 11 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 53 | 23 | 39 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activity | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 46 | 15 | 120 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 101 | 49 | 248 |
| Construction industry | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments <br> Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 49 | 150 | 63 |
| Wholesale and retail trade ; repair of motor vehicles | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 18,727 | 23,986 | 34,888 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 44,096 | 54,478 | 58,705 |
| Transportation and storage | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 16 | 37 | 10 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 91 | 251 | 138 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 6,984 | 9,660 | 13,290 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 25,578 | 34,966 | 37,864 |
| Information and communication | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 46 | 28 | 82 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 413 | 375 | 922 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial and insurance activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 22 | 307 | 122 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 46 | 842 | 296 |
| Real estate activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 10 | 3 | 50 |
| Professional, Scientific and technical activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 308 | 249 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 654 | 667 |
| Administrative and support services activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 328 | 572 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 601 | 722 |
| Education service industries | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 39 | 875 | 132 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 129 | 2,602 | 339 |
| Health and social service activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 58 | 406 | 163 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 | 180 | 833 | 318 |
| Arts, entertainment and recreation | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 31 | 27 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 124 | 254 |
| Other services activities | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 1132 | 2230 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 9,268 | 9,288 |
| Number of employees by institutional sector by sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private sector | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 107,506 | 149,755 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 207,632 | 259,748 |
| Public sector | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 25,785 | 31,308 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 37,167 | 46,860 |
| Public Private Partnership | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 21,913 | 25,276 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 28,245 | 31,440 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooperative | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 14,576 | 18,736 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 21,575 | 29,311 |
| NGO (Local) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 7,659 | 6,799 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 17,472 | 13,570 |
| NGO(International) | Number | Female | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 1,709 | 1,529 |
|  | Number | Male | NISR: Establishments Census Report 2014 \& 2017 |  | 2,063 | 2,405 |

## 11. GENDER AND AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK \& FORESTRY

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households with any member currently owning farm land by sex of head of HH | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Gender | 93.4 | 88.8 | 81.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV3/4/5: Thematic Report on Gender | 92.7 | 89.5 | 79.5 |
| Land Ownership at individual level by sex | Percent | Women only | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 24 |
|  | Percent | Men only | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 14 |
|  | Percent | Married couples | GMO, State of Gender Equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 58.3 |
| Percentage of HHs with any livestock raised, by sex of household head | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Thematic Report on Gender | 64.6 | 59.5 | 57.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Thematic Report on Gender | 69.6 | 66.2 | 60.3 |
| Percentage of households who raised cattle by type of cattle source by sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIRINKA Program | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 18.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 11.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 13 |
| NGOs | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.9 |
| Gift from friends (pass on) | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 31.9 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 30.4 |
| Bought | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 22.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 29.2 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 27,6 |
| Own raised | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 34.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 34.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 34.5 |
| Percentage of Household raising different types of livestock by sex of head of household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cattle | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 51 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 64.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 61 |
| Goats | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 60.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 51,2 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 53.6 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheep | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 18.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 17.9 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 18.1 |
| Pigs | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 28 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 31.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 30.6 |
| Rabbits | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 12.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 15.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 15 |
| Hen | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 26.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 36.2 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 33.7 |
| other poultry | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 7,8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.2 |
| other livestock | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 9.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.9 |
| Average total area (in Ha) cultivated per HHs by sex of head of Household | Hectare <br> (surface area) | Female | NISR: EICV-4 (2013/2014): Thematic Report Environment and natural resources \& EICV5 (2016/2017) Gender Thematic Report | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Hectare (surface area) | Male | NISR: EICV-4 (2013/2014): Thematic Report Environment and natural resources \& EICV5 (2016/2017) Gender Thematic Report | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Percentage of Agricultural HH by irrigation technique type and by sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of agricultural HH who practiced irrigation | Percent | Female | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 11.5 |
| Surface irrigation | Percent | Female | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 21.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25 |
| Flood irrigation ( for paddy) | Percent | Female | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 18.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 12.4 |
| Drip irrigation | Percent | Female | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.7 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sprinkler irrigation, | Percent | Female | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  |
| Traditional technique | Percent | Female | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 61.1 |

Percentage of Households engaging in crop production incurring expenditure on fertilizers (inorganic and organic) by sex of head of household:

| Inorganic /Chemical fertilizers | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: EICV-4 Thematic Report on Environment and Natural resources \& EICV5 Thematic Report on Gender | 20.9 | 25.7 | 27.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: EICV-4 Thematic Report on Environment and Natural resources \& EICV5 Thematic Report on Gender | 32.1 | 40.3 | 40.8 |
| Organic fertilizers | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: EICV-4 Thematic Report on Environment and Natural resources \& EICV5 Thematic Report on Gender | 6.3 | 7.9 | 9.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: EICV-4 Thematic Report on Environment and Natural resources \& EICV5 Thematic Report on Gender | 10.5 | 13.4 | 13.8 |

Percentage of agricultural households who used organic fertilizers during season A and by sex of HH head:

| Own prepared organic fertilizers | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 79.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 75.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 76.6 |
| Bought | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 7,6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 12.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 11.4 |
| One part bought and the other own prepared | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 3.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 5.3 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 4.8 |
| Gift | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 9.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 6.3 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 7.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of HHs cultivating at least one <br> parcel/plot by sex of HH headed (SAS) | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 <br> Gender thematic Report |  |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4, Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 <br> Gender thematic Report | 89.2 92.7 |

Percentage of agricultural HH who owned or rented agricultural land in different means for season A of 2017 and by sex of HH head:

| Cultivated owned land | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 93.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 92.9 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 93.1 |
| cultivated rented land by cash payment | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 21.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 35.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 31.7 |
| cultivated rented land by share of production | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 10.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 13 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 12.2 |
| cultivated land rent by others without payment | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 9.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 9.5 |
| Land transactions made during the last 12 months by Sex of Head of HH: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of HHs that bought land | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 6.8 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 16.8 | 14.7 | 11.6 |
| Percentage of HHS sold land | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 8.8 | 6.3 | 7.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 9.1 | 7.6 | 8.2 |
| Percentage of HHs that rented out land | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 14.3 | 13.1 | 12.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 10.7 | 9.2 | 9.7 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of HHs that share cropped land in the last 12 months | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 15.1 | 10 | 11.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 15.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 |
| Percentage of HHs that received land from others as gift, dowry, inheritance, or otherwise | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 6 | 3.7 | 3.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4 Thematic Report on Environment \& EICV5 Gender Thematic Report | 9.2 | 7.9 | 5.7 |
| Percentage of agricultural household with at least one person who received agricultural extensions | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 23.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 31.9 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 29.6 |
| Percentage of HH who received funds for agriculture purpose during 2017 by sex HH head | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 5.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 5.7 |
| Percentage HH with at least on member received funds for agricultural purpose and its source during 2017 by sex of HH head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of HH who received funds for agricultural purpose | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 5.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 5.7 |
| Government source fund | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 50.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 46.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 47.7 |
| NGOs source fund | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 13 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 10.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 11.3 |
| Friends/relatives | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 31.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 39.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 37.3 |
| Other sources fund | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.2 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.8 |
| Percentage of agriculture household who are cooperative members by cooperative type and by sex of head of household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| crop production based cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 83.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 85.2 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 84.7 |
| Livestock based cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.2 |
| both crop production and livestock cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6.6 |
| Percentage of crop producing household engaged in market oriented crop production by season and by sex of HH head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season A 2017 | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 51.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 60.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 58.1 |
| Season B 2017 | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 51.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 58.9 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 56.8 |
| Percentage of estimated agricultural household by type of main agricultural activity by sex of head of household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop production | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 20.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 21.8 |
| Livestock | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.8 |
| Both crop production and livestock | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 73.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 77.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 76.4 |
| Percentage of farmers by type of agricultural activity and by sex of head of Household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop production only | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 30.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 28.6 |
| Crop production and livestock | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 66.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 64.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 65.8 |
| Livestock only | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.5 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.8 |
| Both agricultural and non-agricultural activities | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.8 |
| Percentage of farmers who are cooperative members by cooperative type and by sex of head of household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop production only | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 30.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 28.6 |
| Crop production and livestock | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 66.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 64.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 65.8 |
| Livestock only | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.8 |
| Both agricultural and non-agricultural activities | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.8 |
| Percentage of agricultural laborers aged 16+ by highest level of education and by sex: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | Percent | Female | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 57.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 63.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 59.9 |
| Secondary | Percent | Female | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 9.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 9.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 9.4 |
| Tertiary | Percent | Female | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.3 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.8 |
| No education | Percent | Female | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 32.9 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 29.9 |
| Percentage of agricultural households who are cooperative members by cooperative type and sex of Household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop production based cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 83.1 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 85.2 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 84.7 |
| Livestock based cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.2 |
| Both Crop production and livestock cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6.6 |
| Water user | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | - |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.2 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Percentage of agricultural households by season, type of seeds and sex of HH head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traditional seeds | Percent/ Season A | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 98.6 |
|  | Percent/ SEASON A | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 98.7 |
|  | Percent/ <br> Season B | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 98.9 |
|  | Percent/ <br> Season B | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 98.8 |
| Improved seeds | Percent/ <br> Season A | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 18.6 |
|  | Percent/ <br> SEASON A | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 25.9 |
|  | Percent/ <br> Season B | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 26.9 |
|  | Percent/ <br> Season B | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 33.6 |

Percentage of agricultural households who are members of Twigire Muhinzi group, get contract farming, reared cows from Girinka program and had kitchen garden by sex of household head:

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of agricultural households belonging to Twigire Muhinzi group | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 14.7 |
| Percentage of agricultural household who have got contract farming | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.6 |
| Percentage of agricultural households who had a kitchen garden | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 40.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 46 |
| Percentage of Agricultural households who reared cow from GIRINKA Program | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 18.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 11.4 |
| Percentage of agricultural households by types of received agricultural extension services by sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of agricultural households who received crop production extension services | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 90.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 88.4 |
| Percentage of agricultural households who received livestock extension services | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.3 |
| Percentage of agricultural households who received both crop production and livestock extension services | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 10.3 |
| Percentage of agricultural households by types of delivered crop production extension services by sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cropping system | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 78.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 75.9 |
| Fertilizers application | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 86.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 88.8 |
| Crop protection | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 26.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 29 |
| Post-harvest handling and storage | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 7.9 |
| Mechanization | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Plant breeding | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 32.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 33.1 |
| Water harvesting techniques | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.3 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB-GROUP | DATA SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil protection | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 12.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 13.4 |
| Horticulture skills | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4 |
| Cooperative cropping-based trainings | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.2 |
| Other cropping related extension service | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.2 |
| Percentage of agricultural households by types of delivered livestock extension services, province and sex of household head: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal keeping | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 83.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 83.9 |
| Animal feeding | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 86.7 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 79.1 |
| Veterinary services | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 42.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 46.8 |
| Sanitation | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 32.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 32.6 |
| Fodder storage | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 6.5 |
| Bee keeping | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 2.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.9 |
| Cooperative livestock-based trainings | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 3.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 4.7 |
| Other livestock related extension services | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  |  | 1.2 |

## 12. GENDER AND INCOME \& ACCESS TO FINANCE

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of population aged 18 years and above with savings products/services uptake (formally and informally) by sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Save in general | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 84.3 | 84.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 86.9 | 86.9 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Formal saving | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 41.3 | 41.3 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 56.0 | 56.0 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| Banked | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 10.6 | 10.6 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Others formal (non-bank) | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 38 | 38 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 51 | 51 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 43 | 43 |
| Informally served | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 56.5 | 56.5 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 55.4 | 55.4 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 56 | 56 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Save at home | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 36.7 | 36.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 36.9 | 36.9 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 36 | 36 |
| Do not save | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 15.7 | 15.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 13.1 | 13.1 |
|  | Percent | total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 15 | 15 |

Percentage of population aged 18 years and above with savings accounts by financial institution and by sex

| Savings account at commercial Bank | Percent | Female | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 30.7 | 26.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 38.5 | 33.3 |
| Savings account at MFIs or a non Umurenge Sacco | Percent | Female | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 5.6 | 5.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 5.4 | 7 |
| Savings and credit Cooperatives (SACCOs) | Percent | Female | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 61 | 66.1 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 53.4 | 57.4 |
| Saving cooperatives bank | Percent | Female | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 2.7 | 1.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | EICV4, 5: Thematic report on Gender (2013/14 \& 2026/17) | 2.8 | 2.8 |

Percentage of population aged 18 years and above by financial institutions which they would trust most with their saving by sex

| Bank | Percent | Female | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 29.1 | 29.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 25.7 | 25.7 |
| Umurenge SACCO | Percent | Female | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 58.8 | 58.8 |
|  | Percent | Male | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 57 | 57 |
|  | Percent | Total | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 58 | 58 |
| MFIs or a non Umurenge SACCO | Percent | Female | NISR: Computed from the FinScope 2015 Survey dataset | 2.6 | 2.6 |



| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Others formal (non-bank) | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 11 | 11 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 16 | 16 |
|  | Percent | Total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 13 | 13 |
| Informally served | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 59.7 | 59.7 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 59.3 | 59.3 |
|  | Percent | Total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 59.5 | 59.5 |
| friends/family | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 29.4 | 29.4 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 34.3 | 34.3 |
|  | Percent | Total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 31.5 | 31.5 |
| Do not borrow | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 31.2 | 31.2 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 28.6 | 28.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  | 30.1 | 30.1 |
| Percentage of households with credit from various sources in the last 12 months, according to sex of head of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Bank | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 6.1 | 7 | 7.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 5.1 | 6.3 | 6 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit cooperative | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 8.4 | 4.5 | 4 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 7.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| Microfinance (MFIs) | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2 |
| SACCOs | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 0.4 | 3.5 | 3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 1.2 | 5.7 | 6.8 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 1 | 5.2 | 5.9 |
| VUP financial service Loan | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 1.7 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Ubudehe loan | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 0.6 | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 0.6 | 1 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Employer Loan | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 0.8 | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 1.2 | 0.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Informal lenders | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 60.1 | 41.4 | 13.9 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 55.1 | 38.7 | 12.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 56.4 | 39.9 | 12.7 |
| Tontine (Community) / Ikimina | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 18.2 | 35.2 | 40.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 20.1 | 36.1 | 40.7 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 19.6 | 35.9 | 40.5 |
| Borrowed from relatives | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 27.1 | 30.1 | 56.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 26.9 | 29.2 | 50.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 27 | 29.4 | 51.8 |
| Others sources | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 4.4 | 1.9 | 4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 4.6 | 1.5 | 4.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) | 4.6 | 1.6 | 4.3 |
| Percentage of households borrowing for various purposes in the 12 months preceding the survey, according to sex of head of Household: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural Equipment | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 11.8 | 9.1 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 15.1 | 10.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 14.4 | 9.9 |
| Agricultural inputs | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 1.6 | 2.3 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 2.8 | 3.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Business expansion | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 14.8 | 13.4 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 23.9 | 19.1 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 21.8 | 17.8 |
| Home improvement | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 8.2 | 6.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 12.3 | 8.9 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 11.3 | 8.2 |
| Education | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 4.9 | 7.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 6.9 | 6.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 7.8 | 6.7 |
| Medical treatment | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 11.7 | 10.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 11.1 | 11.4 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 11.2 | 11.3 |
| Ceremonial | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 4.5 | 4.8 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 4.2 | 3.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| Purchase of household items | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 41.7 | 24.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 34.6 | 23.6 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 36.2 | 23.7 |
| Livestock purchase | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 2.9 | 3.4 |
|  | Percent | Male <br> headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 4.1 | 4.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 3.8 | 4.3 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other reasons | Percent | Female headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 21 | 47.5 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 20.4 | 41.5 |
|  | Percent | Total | EICV4/5: Main Indicator Report (2013/2014 and 2016/2017) |  | 20.6 | 42.9 |
| Percentage of currently married women $15-49$ by decision making on use of husband's income | Percent | Female decide | NISR: DHS 2010/11-2014/15 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 2.6 |
|  | Percent | Male decide | NISR: DHS 2010/11-2014/15 | 23.7 | 24.9 | 23.7 |
|  | Percent | Jointly decide | NISR: DHS 2010/11-2014/15 | 73.6 | 70 | 73.6 |
| Percentage of married women with cash earning deciding how their earning are used | Percent | Female decide | NISR: DHS 2010/11-2014/15 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
|  | Percent | Male decide | NISR: DHS 2010/11-2014/15 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
|  | Percent | Jointly decide | NISR: DHS 2010/11-2014/15 | 66 | 67.5 | 67.5 |
| Percentage of population using a mobile money account by sex. | Percent | Female | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  |  | 33 |
|  | Percent | Male | AFR: Finscope Survey Report: Women and Financial Inclusion in Rwanda, 2016 |  |  | 46 |
| Gender wage gap using extended Mincer earning | Percent | Female | Labour Force Survey: Gender Thematic Report, 2019 |  |  | 13.3 |
| Median total monthly earnings at main job | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 18200 | 18200 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 26000 | 26000 |
| Average monthly cash income from employment of employees aged $16+$ yrs at main job by sex | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 39443 | 39979 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 66857 | 69301 |
| Average monthly cash income from employment of employees aged $16+y$ yrs at main job by sex in urban | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 81848 | 91068 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 127944 | 160395 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  | 23560 | 23746 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | SOURCE | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average monthly cash income <br> from employment of employees <br> aged 16+yrs at main job by sex <br> in rural | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 |  |  |
| Average monthly cash income <br> from employment of employees <br> aged 16-24yrs at main job by sex | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 | 39661 |  |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2018 | 15600 | 21065 |

Average monthly cash income (in Frws) from employment of employees aged $16+$ yrs at main job by level of education attainment:

| None | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 19439 | 18716 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 34443 | 26234 |
| Primary | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 22837 | 22069 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 50,880 | 44,966 |
| Lower education | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 42,547 | 38,051 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 74,370 | 73,893 |
| Upper secondary | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 68,610 | 71,259 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 | 99,214 | 87,625 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 228,376 | 268,077 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 317,395 | 384,681 |
| Average monthly cash income (in Frws) from employment of employees aged 16+yrs at main job by level of occupation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 321546 | 394468 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 488099 | 439086 |
| Professionals | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 123922 | 141877 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 155380 | 241755 |
| technicians and associate professions | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 184701 | 202826 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 229647 | 348680 |
| clerical support workers | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 176330 | 159569 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 151869 | 154527 |
| service and sales workers | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 53304 | 78923 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 67890 | 58589 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 19306 | 19355 |


| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUB- <br> GROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 30859 | 25320 |
| craft and related trades workers | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 33804 | 53468 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 85712 | 88485 |
| plant and machine operators, and assemblers | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 150133 | 47811 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 110372 | 104273 |
| elementary occupations | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Female | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 20330 | 19171 |
|  | Rwanda Francs (Frws) | Male | NISR: Labour Force Survey Report 2016 \& 2017 |  | 28071 | 24882 |
| Mobile Money account holders | Percent | Female | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 33 |
|  | Percent | Male | GMO: State of Gender equality in Rwanda, 2018 |  |  | 46 |

Percentage of HH with at least one member who requested agricultural loan during 2017 and whose requested loan has been approved during 2017 and by sex of headed:

| HH who requested agricultural loan | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 2.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 5.6 |
| Percentage of approved loan | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 95.6 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Agriculture Household Survey (AHS) 2017 |  | 96.4 |

Percentage of Households by source of agricultural loan by sex of HH headed:


National Gender Statistics Report, 2019

| INDICATOR | UNIT | SUBGROUP | SOURCE | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 8.6 |
| Sacco | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 25.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 37.2 |
| VUP financial service loan | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 3.9 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 4 |
| Ubudehe loan | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 0.4 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 0.2 |
| Employer loan | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 0 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 0.4 |
| friends/relatives | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 9.2 |
|  | Percent | Male headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 4.1 |
| Tontine (solidarity fund) | Percent | Female headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 51.3 |
|  | Percent | Male <br> headed HH | NISR: Seasonal Agriculture Survey, 2017 |  |  | 36.8 |
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