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## Foreword

The Manpower Survey project has been initiated by the Ministry of Public Service and Labor (MIFOTRA) following a meeting of Ministers in charge of labor in East Africa Community (EAC) countries that took place in Kampala in 2006. The Manpower Survey has been implemented by the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda in close collaboration with MIFOTRA. It has been funded by the Government of Rwanda.

The ultimate objective of the Manpower Survey is to establish a better understanding of the labour markets in the member countries of East African Community (EAC) as an essential prerequisite for implementing the Common Market Protocol in a successful and efficient manner.

The questionnaires of the Manpower survey were initially developed by the Regional Technical team composed of labour statisticians/economists from EAC member countries with the technical support of ILO. At National level, the questionnaires were reviewed by a team of national professionals from different organizations so as to introduce additions and or modifications in response to the national needs.

The manpower survey has numerous survey populations including Ministries and government institutions at central and local level, Private companies both formal and informal sectors, Non government organisations and Schools at all levels. For each selected establishments, two kinds of questionnaires were administered: the employer's questionnaire which was responded by the manager of the establishment and employees' questionnaires applied to a sample of employees from that establishment.

NISR is very grateful to all parties contributed to the successful implementation of the Manpower Survey. Special gratitude goes to the survey respondents who spare no efforts to cooperate with our field staff. Last but not least we hope that the survey results would serve their purposes.

## Yusuf MURANGWA

Director General of<br>National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR)

## Chapter 1: Background and Objectives of the Manpower Survey

The Manpower Survey project was initiated by the Ministry of Public Service and Labour and the National Institute of Statistics following a meeting of Ministers in charge of labour in East Africa Community (EAC) countries that took place in Kampala in 2006. The objective of this meeting was to prepare a protocol of free labour movement in the region and the establishment of the common market Protocol (CMP). The meeting urged Partner States undertake a Manpower Survey to establish better understanding of their respective labour markets as essential prerequisite for implementing the CMP in a successful and efficient manner. For reasons of comparability it was agreed to conduct these national manpower surveys in a harmonised way in all EAC member states.

The Manpower Survey was to include the 6 following modules:

- Employers module
- Employees module
- Educational institutions modules
- Informal sector modules
- Unemployement for skilled persons module
- Diaspora module.

The objectives of the proposed survey were manyfold:
(i) To determine the stock, characteristics and distribution of the Rwandan labour market by occupation, skill level, training needs, gender, age, etc;
(ii) To determine the extent of non- or underutilisation of existing manpower (unemployment, underemployment) and the reasons thereof;
(iii) To provide better understanding of Labour mobility, productivity, and elasticity aspects of Rwanda Labour Market
(iv) To estimate the number of vacant posts (by occupation, skills requirements, location) within the different sectors of the economy and the reasons thereof;
(v) To determine the future prospects for labour demand (by occupation, skills requirements, location) within the different sectors of the economy;
(vi) To understand the hiring procedures and identify the problems accompanying them; and
(vii) To determine the quantity, adequacy and suitability of the current and projected future supply of skilled manpower by public and private institutions;

From 12 October 2011, five modules for the manpower survey were conducted:

1. The Informal Sector Module: The definition of Informal Sector in Rwanda was one of the deliverables of the Establishment Census conducted in 2011. Two criteria were then taken into consideration: Registration to Rwanda Revenue Authority and Modified Employment size ${ }^{1}$, see Establishment Census Report (NISR, 2011). In the informal sector the establishments with one working person are excluded.
1.1 The first sub-module of the Informal sector module is the Employer's sub-Module to collect information on establishments
1.2 The second sub-module is the Employees' sub-Module which provides detailed information on employees in the informal sector.
2. The Formal Sector Module: is to collect data on employers and employment in public and private establishments of the formal sector. Politicians, military and Police forces were not considered as employees. This module comprises also of two sub-modules:
2.1 The Employer's sub-module : To collect information on Establishment characteristics , employment characteristics, total number of posts and number of filled posts, total gross remuneration, number and type of vacant posts and future manpower projections and staff development
2.2 The Employee sub-module: To collect information of employees from the sampled public and private establishments in formal sector.
3. The Education and training institutions module: To collect information on labour, current and future enrolment and training output. It covers both Public and Private Institutions in Primary and Secondary Schools; Technical and Vocational Training Schools and Tertiary institutions / Universities.

[^0]4. The Diaspora module: To collect information on the technical and entrepreneurial skills of Rwandans abroad as well as the likelihood, obstacles and opportunities to harness this potential.

The modules and sub-modules described above were organized into two groups and each group of module/sub-modules was to be conducted separately. Those are:
(i) Establishment-based Manpower Survey, covering the four modules Employers, Employees, Education and Training, and informal sector modules;
(ii) Web - based Diaspora Survey.

### 1.1. Establishment-based Manpower Survey

The establishment based survey took place at the establishments that were pre-selected using sampling techniques. Five distinct sampling frames have been used to select the establishment to be included in the survey.

Those are:
(i) Civil servant census for Public Sector
(ii) Establishment census of 2011 for private formal and informal Sector
(iii) MINEDUC Schools database of Primary and secondary schools.
(iv) Higher Type of learning institutions database from High Education Council (HEC)
(v) TVET database from WDA for TVET schools.

### 1.1.1. Employers (Formal sector) Module

The Employer questionnaire was developed to collect data from public and private establishments in the formal sector. Formality in the private sector is defined, in this context and for this purpose, as establishments that are registered with RRA and have at least 5 workers or establishments with less than 5 workers under condition that they maintain regular accounts.

For private formal establishments a stratified random sampling method has been applied with regard to establishment's size and location (district). Given the small number of large and medium-sized
enterprises (30 and above employees), full coverage of these establishments has been made. The sampling rates for small (10-29 employees) and micro establishments (below 10 employees) are 50\% and $10 \%$ respectively. The respondent for the employer questionnaire was generally the manager of the establishment. In addition to that, Human resource officers/Directors of Finance were appointed by the manager to respond to the specific questions included in the employer questionnaire.

For ministries and affiliated institutions, the respondent to the employer questionnaire was the Director General / Permanent secretary or appropriate staff indicated by him/ her like Human resource officer or one of the directors.

### 1.1.2. Employees (Formal sector) module

For the employee module a two-stage random stratified sampling approach was adopted, i.e. employees from the sampled establishments were randomly selected.

In selected Cooperative that are formally registered only the employees were interviewed, not members. When the manager of the establishment is at the same time the owner he was not considered as employee.

### 1.1.2. Education and Training Institutions Module

For this module, an employer questionnaire was developed to collect information on labour, current and future enrolment and training output. It covers both Public and Private Institutions:
(i) Primary and Secondary Schools;
(ii) Technical and Vocational Training Schools;
(iii) Tertiary institutions / Universities.

The respondent to this questionnaire in Primary, secondary and TVET schools was the Head Master. The selected employees responded to the employee questionnaire similar to the one used for formal establishments. With regard to the TVET, only those TVET institutions that are officially recognised by Workforce Development Authority (WDA) were considered.

For higher Type of learning institutions, every faculty was considered as a separate establishment and the dean of the faculty responded to the specific questions related to his faculty while other general questions were responded by the Rector. The employee questionnaires were administered to the
selected staff from the faculty. The support staffs of the faculty (who are not considered as faculty staff by the dean) were excluded.

### 1.2. Rwandans in the Diaspora Module

Due to spatial considerations, the Diaspora questionnaire must be administered as a web based questionnaire. The Diaspora questionnaire was developed to collect information on Rwandans living abroad. The link on questionnaire in three languages (English, French, Kinyarwanda) was posted on the website of Igihe, New times, NISR, MIFOTRA and MINAFFET. The publicity campaign was conducted in collaboration with MINAFFET through all embassies, Diaspora association and social networks (facebook, twitter). Nonetheless, only few responses were received.

## Chapter 2: Survey Methodology

This chapter summarises the sampling procedure; Questionnaires design; Questionnaires validation; Recruitment and Training of field staff; Data collection; Coding; Data entry; Data validation and cleaning; Tabulation; and Survey reports.

### 2.1 Sampling Procedures

### 2.1.1 Formal Sector

Four distinct universes have been identified: a- Private Business establishments which belong to formal sector; b-Public and private health facilities; c- Non-Governmental organizations; and Public/ governmental institutions. Each universe was considered a major survey stratum from which an independent sample was selected. The same survey Employer and Employee questionnaires were administered to all strata. The sampling plan is detailed in the following:

## a- Formal Private Business Establishments

The sample design is composed of two stages; the description of stage samples is given below:

- First stage: For formal private establishments, the stratification has been done according to 4 strata: Large establishments (>100 employees); Medium establishments (30-100 employees); small establishments (10-29 employees) and Micro (<10 employees).

The first stage sampling rate is $100 \%$ for the first and the second strata, while the third and forth strata have the sampling rate of $50 \%$ and $20 \%$ respectively. In addition to stratification by size, the administrative district was introduced as the second explicit stratifying variable where the same sampling rate was applied to all districts. An employer questionnaire was administered to each sample establishment where respondent was the head of such an establishment (the Manager) or any official designated by the manager.

- Second stage: The second stage is designed to select the employee sample from the establishments selected in the first stage sample. A question was included on the cover page of Employer questionnaire for the purpose of classifying employees, (in large, medium and small establishments) into three categories namely high skills (ISCO first digit 1 and 2), medium skilled (ISCO first digit 2-6) and low skills (remaining ISCO codes). From each above mentioned sample establishments, an independent employee sample was selected from each category such as $20 \%$ of employees were selected in large and medium establishments and $40 \%$ in small establishments. All employees of micro establishments were considered for the employee questionnaire.

For all above three size categories of establishments, the above mentioned sampling rates apply to all employee skill categories. If the result was not an integer the common rounding principles apply.

Field supervisors have been adequately trained on the procedure of selecting the simple systematic sample from each employee skill category.

In case of multi-branch enterprises a dummy sampling stage was introduced to select a sample of branches and a sample of employees within such branches. The sampling rates of the dummy stage and the employee stage were determined in such a way that the overall sampling rate (the product of sampling rates of the three stages) is $20 \%$.

## b- Public and private health facilities

- First stage: A unified frame of health establishments, irrespective of economic sector (private/public) was created from two sources: The Civil servant Census of public Sector, for public health establishments, and the Establishment Census for Private health establishments. A 50\% sample was selected with equal probability from such combined frame. The economic sector (Public/private) was considered as explicit stratifying variable. Within each economic sector, the frame was sorted by administrative district so that with the systematic selection the geographic location will implicitly be considered as another stratifying variable. An employer questionnaire was administered to the head of the health establishment.
- Second stage: The same procedure of business formal sector explained above was applied in the case of health establishments.


## c- Non-governmental organizations

The 2011 Establishment Census represents the source of sampling frame of NGO's which have been sampled following exactly the same procedure as of the formal sector establishments.

## d- Public/governmental Institutions

- First stage: The source of frame information is the 2011 Civil Servant Census, where public/governmental institutions were stratified into three strata: 1-Head offices and semiautonomous organizations, 2- Branches of governmental institutions and 3- Local government authorities (Districts and Sectors). A certainty sample was selected from the first stratum and $50 \%$ sample was selected from the second and third strata. The sample selection was made with equal probability method (simple systematic) within each stratum. The frames of the three strata were sorted by district so as to insure the inclusion of implicit stratification of the establishments' geographic location with the systematic random selection. Employer questionnaires were administered to first and third stratum only, as the second stratum is merely branches affiliated to ministries or head offices.
- Second stage: The employees of the selected institutions in the first stage sample were stratified according to skill level into high medium and low skilled. A 10\% systematic sample was selected from each skill stratum of the first institution stratum and $20 \%$ systematic sample was selected from each skill stratum of the second and third institution strata. The employee questionnaire was administered to the selected employee sample.


### 2.1.2 Informal Sector

The frame information was extracted from the 2011 Establishment Census, where establishments with only one worker were exempted. A 10\% systemic sample was selected from each District. Prior to selection, establishments of each district were sorted according to ISIC code so as to include Industry as an implicit stratifying variable. The employer questionnaire of informal sector was administered to the establishment owner/manager. Wherever the manager is not the owner he/she
was also eligible to employee questionnaire. Apart from the establishment owner, all workers were eligible to employment questionnaire.

### 2.1.3 Educational Institution

The educational institutions in Rwanda have been stratified into three main strata:
a- Primary and Secondary schools
b- TVET
c- Tertiary institutions and universities
A different sampling procedure has been applied to each stratum. The following subsections describe the sampling design of educational institutions

## a- Primary and Secondary Schools

The sample was selected in two stages, where the headmasters/ directors of the first stage school sample were eligible to employer questionnaire. While the second stage sample was designed for the purpose of selecting a sample of employee (teachers and others) from the selected school in the first stage.

- First stage: A $10 \%$ sample of primary and secondary schools were selected from the school frame that was stratified by cross-stratifying variables: school level (primary and secondary) and administrative District. Hence a simple stratified sampling technique was applied. Furthermore, the frame of each substratum was sorted by school type (public/private) and size (total number of staff). Thus, with the systematic selection an implicit stratification of those two variables would be introduced.
- Second stage: The staff members, of each school selected in the first stage sample, were categorized into three skill categories: high, medium and low, using ISCO codes as explained above, where a $20 \%$ sample was selected from each category. An equal selection probability was applied using a systematic selection method. The employee questionnaire was administered to each person selected in the sample


## b- TVET

- First stage: A sample of $50 \%$ of TVET was selected with equal probability (systematic). Prior to selection the sampling frame was stratified by administrative district. In addition the sampling frame of each district was sorted by school type (Private/Public). Hence with systematic selection this variable will be implicitly considered as an additional stratifying variable. The employer questionnaire was applied to headmasters/directors of such TVET schools.
- Second stage: The staff members, of each TVET school selected in the first stage sample, were categorized into three skill categories: high, medium and low, using ISCO codes as explained above, where a $40 \%$ sample was selected from each category. An equal selection probability was applied using a systematic selection method. The employee questionnaire was applied to each person selected in the sample


## c- Tertiary Education

- First stage: All tertiary educational institutions and universities (with each faculty considered as a separate unit) was covered in the first stage, where a single employer questionnaire was completed by the faculty with the assistance of the university administration. As such, the first stage is a certainty sample.
- Second stage: The staff members, of each faculty/institute selected in the first stage sample was categorized into three skill categories: high, medium and low, using ISCO codes as explained above, where a $20 \%$ sample was selected from each category. An equal selection probability was applied using a systematic selection method. The employee questionnaire was administered to each person selected in the sample.


### 2.1.4 Weighting and Estimation

## a- Weighting

- Employer Modules: In order for the sample estimates from the Manpower Survey to be representative of the population of organizations and establishments, it is necessary to multiply the data by a sampling weight, or expansion factor. The basic weight for each sample establishment would be equal to the inverse of its probability of selection. After calculating the weights in a spreadsheet file they have been merged to the data file of respective module. The tabulation programs have weighed the data automatically.

For the establishments/institution in the list frame included in the Manpower Survey sample with certainty, the probability of selection and corresponding basic weight would be equal to 1, since these establishments are self-representing. A stratified one-stage sample design is used for selecting the establishments in any non-certainty strata, so the probability of selection (or sampling rate) is defined as follows:
$p_{h} \xlongequal{n_{h}} N_{h}$,
Where:
$\mathrm{nh}=$ number of sample establishments/ institutions in the manpower sample of stratum h
$\mathrm{Nh}=$ total number of establishments in the frame from which the sample was selected for Stratum h

The basic weight for the sample establishments in each stratum is the inverse of this probability of selection, and can be expressed as follows:

$$
W_{h} \xlongequal{n_{h}}
$$

It is important to adjust the basic weights to take into account the non-response rate within each stratum, including the certainty strata. The weights should be adjusted for noninterviews as follows:

$$
W_{h}^{\prime} \neq V_{h}>\frac{n_{h}^{\prime}}{n^{\prime \prime}{ }_{h}}
$$

Where:
$W^{\prime}{ }_{h}=$ adjusted weight for the establishments in stratum $h$
$n_{h}^{\prime}=\quad$ number of valid sample establishments selected in stratum $h$
$n "{ }_{h}=$ number of establishments with completed interviews in stratum $h$

- Employee modules: The employee samples were selected in two stages in all subpopulations of the survey with the exception of informal sector where all employees of the establishments selected in the first stage sample were surveyed (certainty second-stage sample). In some cases of the Formal sector subpopulations, a dummy sampling stage was introduced to select a sample of branches from the multi-branch enterprises. Thus:

The overall selection probability of an employee $p_{i j h}=p_{j h}^{1} \cdot p_{j h}^{2} \cdot p_{i j h}^{3}$, where:
$p_{i j h}$ is the probability of selecting the ith employee from the jth establishment in the stratum h . where $h$ is combinatio $n$ of establishment strata and employee strata
$p_{j h}^{1}$ is the probability of selecting the jth establishment from stratum h , where h is the establishment stratum
$p_{j h}^{2}$ is the dummy stage of selecting a sample of branches from, the jth enterprise, if applicable $p_{i j h}^{3}$ is the conditional probability of selecting the ith employee given selecting the jth establishment from stratun $h$

The basic weight for the sample employee in each stratum is the inverse of this probability of selection, and can be expressed as follows:

$$
w_{i j h}=1 / p_{i j h}
$$

It is important to adjust the basic weights to take into account the non-response rate within each stratum, including the certainty strata. The weights should be adjusted for noninterviews as follows:
$w_{i j h}^{\prime}=w_{i j h} \frac{n_{j h}}{n_{j h}^{"}}$
where:
$\mathcal{W}_{i j h}^{\prime}=$ adjusted weight for the ith employee in jth establishments in stratum h
$n_{h}^{\prime}=$ number of valid sample employee in jth establishment in stratum $h$
$n "{ }_{h}=$ number of sample employee with completed interviews in stratum $h$

## b- Estimation

## - Types of Survey Estimates

The most common survey estimates to be calculated from the Manpower Survey are in the form of totals and ratios. The survey estimate of a weighted total can be expressed as follows:

$$
\hat{Y}=\sum_{h} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{i j h} \times y_{h i j}
$$

This weighted total of variable $y$ is summed across the sample establishments in all strata included in the domain of tabulation.

The survey estimate of a ratio is defined as follows:

$$
\hat{R}=\frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{X}}
$$

Where $\hat{Y}$ and $\hat{X}$ are estimates of totals for variables $y$ and $x$, respectively, calculated as specified previously.

The survey estimates of means and proportions are special types of ratios. In the case of the mean, the variable $x$, in the denominator of the ratio, is defined to equal 1 for each sample establishment so that the denominator is the sum of the weights. In the case of a proportion, the variable $x$ in the denominator is also defined to equal 1 for all elements; the variable $y$ in
the numerator is binomial and is defined to equal either 0 or 1 , depending on the absence or presence, respectively, of a specified characteristic for each sample establishment/employee.

## - Calculation of Sampling Errors

In the publication of the results from the Manpower Survey, it is important to include a statement on the accuracy of the sample estimates. In addition to presenting tables with calculated sampling errors for the most important survey estimates (Annex 1), the different sources of non sampling error should be described.

The standard error, or square root of the variance, is used to measure the sampling error, although it may also include a small part of the no sampling error. The variance estimator should take into account the different aspects of the sample design, such as the stratification and clustering. Programs available for calculating the variances for sample estimates from stratified sample designs such as those for the Manpower Survey are the Complex Samples module of SPSS and STATA. These software packages can be used to calculate the variances of totals, means, proportions and other ratios. They produce subpopulation estimates for each category of a classification variable, and these variables can be cross-classified. For each estimate, these software packages tabulate the standard error, coefficient of variation (CV), 95 percent confidence interval and the design effect (DEFF). Both Stata and the Complex Samples module of SPSS use a linearized Taylor-series variance estimator.

In order to use SPSS and STATA software, each record in the data file should include fields for the stratum and cluster codes, the weight and first-stage sampling rate, in addition to the classification and analysis variables that are required for the particular analysis. The classification variables are used to produce subpopulation estimates for all their respective categories. The analysis variables are generally count variables, which are equal to 1 if the unit has a certain characteristic and 0 otherwise, few analysis variables are continuous.

The formula for the variance of the survey estimate of a total used by SPSS Complex Samples can be expressed as follows:

## Variance Estimator of a Total

$$
V(\hat{Y})=\sum_{h=1}^{L}\left[\mathbf{<}-f_{h} \searrow \frac{n_{h}}{n_{h}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{h}}\left(\hat{Y}_{h j}-\frac{\hat{Y}_{h}}{n_{h}}\right)^{2}\right],
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{Y}_{h j}=\sum_{j} \sum_{i} W_{h i j} \times y_{h i j}=\text { weighted value of variable y for the i-th sample Establishment/ } \\
\quad \text { Employee in stratum } \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Y}_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} \hat{Y}_{h i}=\text { weighted total of variable y for stratum } \mathrm{h} \\
& f_{h}=\frac{n_{h}}{N_{h}}=\text { first stage sampling fraction for stratum } \mathrm{h} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=\quad \text { number of strata }
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression $\left(1-f_{h}\right)$ is the finite population correction factor based on the sampling rate for stratum $h$. In the case of the certainty strata, since $n_{h}=N_{h}$, the sampling rate $f_{h}$ is equal to 1 , so the corresponding finite population correction factor is equal to zero; as a result the variance component for the certainty strata is correctly calculated as zero. It is important to include the data from the certainty strata in the data file in order to obtain the combined estimates from all strata. The certainty strata generally contribute a large amount to the total estimate but have a zero variance, thus lowering the coefficients of variation for combined estimates from all strata.

The variance estimator of a ratio used by Complex Samples module of SPSS can be expressed as follows:

## Variance Estimator of a Ratio

$$
V(\hat{R})=\frac{1}{\hat{X}^{2}} \boldsymbol{V}(\hat{Y})+\hat{R}^{2} V(\hat{X})-2 \hat{R} \operatorname{COV}(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})_{-}^{-},
$$

Where:
$\operatorname{COV}(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})=\sum_{h=1}^{L}\left[\mathbf{\downarrow}-f_{h} \times \frac{n_{h}}{n_{h}-l} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}}\left(\hat{X}_{h i}-\frac{\hat{X}_{h}}{n_{h}}\right)\left(\hat{Y}_{h i}-\frac{\hat{Y}_{h}}{n_{h}}\right)\right]$
$V(\hat{Y})$ and ${ }^{V(\hat{X})}$ are calculated according to the formula for the variance of a total.

### 2.2 Response Rates

Table 2.2.1 below shows the response rates for the several modules applied in the Manpower Survey
Table 2.2.1 Response rates

| Module | Designed <br> Sample | Eligible <br> cases | Responses | Response <br> rate \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Employer, Formal <br> Sector | 2607 | 1734 | 1596 | $92 \%$ |
| Employee, Formal <br> Sector | 19052 | 19052 | 17345 | $91 \%$ |
| Employer, Informal <br> Sector | 2558 | 1813 | 1664 | $92 \%$ |
| Employee, Informal <br> Sector | 4147 | 4147 | 4012 | $97 \%$ |
| Education, <br> Employer | 509 | 509 | 505 | $99 \%$ |
| Education, <br> Employees | 2983 | 2983 | 2863 | $96 \%$ |

Clearly the response level of a survey of this nature is very high, it ranges from $91 \%$ for Formal sector employee to 99\% for Employers in educational organizations.

### 2.3 Questionnaire design

The questionnaires of Manpower survey were initially developped by the Regional technical working group at EAC level. The Regional technical working group was composed of officials from all countries, members of EAC, and each countries was represented by at least two persons: One from the Statistical Bureau and other from the Ministry that has Labour in its attribution. During different meetings, participants, with the support of ILO, has agreed on the content of the questionnaire for each module. For the sake of comparability core questionnaires have been agreed upon by all member countries. However each country was allowed to add specific questions according to its specific needs. In the questionnaires, the specific questions to the counties are marked by inscription"Option". See Annex II for Informal sector questionnaires

### 2.4 Questionnaire validation

At the National level, in addition to core questions agreed on at regional level, some questions suggested by different Ministries and govnement institutions were added. A The three- day meeting for the validation of the questionnaire took place in Musanze from 17th to 19th August 2012. In that meeting the following institutions were represented: National institute of statistics of Rwanda(NISR), Ministry of Public Service and Labour(MIFOTRA), Ministry of Commerce and Industry(MINICOM), Ministry of Education (MINEDUC), Private Sector Federation(PSF), Workforce Development Authority(WDA) and Kigali Institute of Education(KIE). During that meeting participants went through all questionnaires checking the formulation of questions and their modalities and they suggested improvments that were introduced in the final version of the questionnaires.

### 2.5 Recruitment and training of field staff.

The advertisment for the recruitment of Manpower survey fieldworkers was published in the popular newspaper of Rwanda (Imvaho nshya). The number of applicants reached 4636, where 2150 were shortlisted for written exam. The selection for shortlisters was based on a number of criteria like the level of education, and experience in data collection. The shortlisted candidates sat for a written exam and 252 were qualified.

The Training of Trainers(TOT) which preceded interviewers'training took place from $19^{\text {th }}$ to $23^{\text {rd }}$ September 2011. The trainers were selected staff from the National institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR), Ministry of Public Service and Labour, Workforce Development Authority and High Education

Concil. The training covered the interview techniques, questionnaires explanation question by question, fieldwork organisation, and sampling techniques to be used for the second stage sample selection.

The training of interviewers took place in the period from $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ October. It was attended by 241 participants who were judged qualified in a written recruitment exam. The trainers were selected from the capable employees of (NISR) who had attended the training of trainers program.

During the training workshop, trainees were taught interview techniques and they had the opportunity to practice what they learned through role playing.

Trainees were divided into 8 teams, each teams followed up by two supervisors to control their regular attendance and their performance.

Based on an evaluation test given after the training, 215 field workers were recruited. The trainees who obtained higher score were appointed as Team leaders and field editors.

### 2.6 Pre-test

Following the training of trainers program, the pre-test was undertaken in Kigali from 26th to 27th September. The key objectives of the pre-test were to test the procedures of data collection and completing survey questionnaires. The survey management has received feed backs from the field teams which have been accounted for in the main field work.

### 2.7. Data collection

The data collection started on 12/10/2011 with the education module, first in 6 districts and then in 24 remaining district. The data collection of education module ended on 28/10/2011. After the education module is completed, the data collection was extended to the 3 other modules: employers' module, employees' module, and informal sector module. A total of 30 teams of 5 interviewers, 1 field editor, 1 Team Leader and 1 driver were responsible for data collection. The Team Leader responsibility includes organizing team activity and assign the task to every interviewer and field editor on a daily basis and checking some completed questionnaires before sending them to the field supervisor. The field editor checked each and every questionnaire and edit or correct it if necessary. Uncompleted questionnaires were returned to the interviewer in order to complete them correctly. The field was
supervised by 15 field supervisors, each in charge of 2 data collection teams. Field Supervisors were responsible for the second stage sampling and questionnaire cross-checking before leaving the working spots. Data quality have been monitored throughout the data collection period by holding daily meetings by Team Leaders and Supervisors at the evening to review progress, address any emerging problems that would have been faced by any of the team member and prapare the work for the next day. There have been regular telephone communications between field supervisors, team leaders, editors and interviewer on one hand, and between the Supervisors and the Coordination team on the other so as to update on the progress and sort out any emerging problems. The Survey Coordinator followed up the progress of the field work through weekly meeting with assistants coordinator and all supervisors. Coordination team visited regularly teams on the field to monitor the work progress and sort out any technical and administratives problems.

All districts have been covered in the first phase of the field work before 26th of January 2012. However due to the non-response of some establishments for several reasons and of some employees in several establishments another phase of the field work was launched during the period $6^{\text {th }}$ to $17^{\text {th }}$ February in the City of Kigali and from $27^{\text {th }}$ February to $3^{\text {rd }}$ March 2012 in all other districts

### 2.8 Coding

After data collection is completed, the next stage was coding. In total 36 poeple were selected and trained for a periode of 8 days to perform that activity.

The training included:

1. International Standard Industrial Classification of all economic activity (ISIC-2008)
2. International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO-2008)
3. International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED)

The training program was followed by an evaluation test. Trainees who have scored better were appointed as verifiers.

The coding process was organized in teams and each team has 6 persons: 2 coders for ISCO, 2 coders for ISCED, 1 coder for ISIC and 1 verifier. The teams were supervised by 3 staff from NISR who were working closely with verifiers to resolve any technical or others administrative issues.

The figure below show the organization of the coding activity


Around 28,027 questionnaires have been coded. The coding activity has been completed in April 2012.

### 2.9 Data entry

In total 33 poeple were selected and traied for more than a week (from 30 december 2011 to 9 january 2012) to perform the data entry activity. The data entry started on $10^{\text {th }}$ January 2012 and continued for about three monthes.

The data entry program for each module was developped using CsPro. The program includes some key information on establishments like Unique identifier, geographical location, as a lookup file. This helped in controlling data entry errors in key variables.

### 2.10 Data validation and cleaning

The data cleaning of the Manpower Survey data sets started on 23 March 2012. The initial data cleaning was in most cases about identifying dupplications and wrong code in ISCO, ISIC and ISCED. The second phase of data cleaning was done by looking into to each variable and by checking consistancy between variables during the tabulation activity.

### 2.11 Tabulation

Statistical tabulations have been produced for each and every question in survey questionnaires. For the purpose of extracting the tables the data sets have been organized in such a way that :

A set of tables have been produced for Formal Sector employers, including Public/governmental sector, NGO's, and Private and public Health institutions. The classifying variable in most tables is the activity sector( Public, Private enterprises, Health and NGO);

A similar set of tables have been produced for formal sector employees using the same classifying variable as indicated above;

The third set of tables have been produced for Informal sector employers, using province as classifying variable in most cases;

The fourth set of tables have been produced for Informal Sector employees, using province as classifying variable in most cases

The fifth set of tables have been produced for Employers of Educational institutions, using the level of education as classifying variable in most cases (Primary, Secondary, Tertiary and TVT)

The sixth set of tables have been produced for employees in educational institutions using the level of education as classifying variable.

## Chapter 3: Summary results

### 3.1. Formal Sector Employer

- The summary results elaborate on the most important findings of the survey; a separate section is designated to each survey sub-module. The present section deals with formal sector employer, the next deals with employers of educational and training institutes, section 4.3 handles Informal sector employers, sections from 4.4 to 4.6 deals with employees of above mentioned study populations. Graphs have been included to underscore the important findings dealt with.
- A total estimate of 5757 formal sector organizations/enterprises have been reached, noteworthy is that such organizations/enterprises include four different categories: Governmental/public organizations; Private businesses; Health institutions (private and public) and Non-governmental Organizations (NGO's). The reason for including such distinct activity sectors in one data set is the application of unified employer and employee questionnaires to all of them, although different sampling plans have been adopted as explained before.
- With regard to the provincial distribution of formal sector units it has been found that more than 45 percent of formal sector units are located in Kigali. The provincial distribution of formal sector units varies to large extent according to activity sector: while 64.6 percent of public organizations and 52 percent of private businesses are located in Kigali, only 22.2 percent of health institutions and 25.7 percent of NGO's are located in the said province.

Graph 1. 1: Distribution of establishments by province according to the activity sector


## Responding owners (optional questionnaire section)

- Being limited to Private businesses and private health institution, most of responding owners are males ( 64 percent), with even higher percent in the health sector.

Graph 1. 2: Distribution of responding owners by gender according to activity sector


- Most responding owners ( 72.3 percent) are middle aged (30-49) and of Rwandan nationality (93.6 percent).
- The biggest percentage of responding owners ( 23.2 percent) are of secondary education -A level, followed by those holding bachelor degree (16.6 percent).


## Section A. Establishment characteristics

- The vast majority of formal sector businesses (88.7 percent) are in the form of a company.
- Registration is quite common among private businesses, health institutions and NGO's. About 86.1 percent and 63.8 percent of private businesses are registered at RRA and RDB respectively. Whereas Health institutions are mostly registered at RRA ( 90.1 percent). All enterprises irrespective of activity sector are registered in highest percentage at respective local district authorities.
- The overwhelming majority of registered establishments (78.3 percent) have started registration since 2004 onward.
- The main economic activity of Private businesses is wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles ( 36.6 percent) followed by accommodation and food service activities (19 percent).

Graph 1. 3: Distribution of establishments in the formal private businesses by main economic activity


- The legal status of private businesses is mostly sole proprietorship ( 64.9 percent) followed by limited by share -LTD- (19.9 percent).

Graph 1. 4: Distribution of establishments by legal status, according to activity sector


- The tenure type of establishment premises is rented in most cases ( 60.6 percent). The percent of establishments with rented premises varies between 16.3 percent for NGO's and 68.9 percent for private businesses. Most premises of NGO are fully owned (81.4 percent).

Graph 1. 5: Distribution of establishments by ownership of premises, according to activity sector


## Section B. Workload

- The average number of normal working days of an establishment per week is 5.1 days. It varies in a narrow range with activity sector where it increases from 4.7 days for NGO's to 5.2 days for private businesses.
- The average number of working hours per a working day of an establishment is 8.7 hours. It varies in a somewhat wide range with activity sector where it increases from 6.9 hours for NGO's to 9.3 hours for health institutions.
- In average, the number of working nights per establishment is 2.5 nights in a week.

Graph 1. 6: Average number of working hours by day and average number of working days per week


## Section C. Employee Characteristics and vacant posts

- The distribution of organizations/enterprises by number of employees indicates that about 68.3 percent of the establishments are of less than 10 workers and 21.1 percent are in the group of 10-29 workers. The distribution varies substantially with activity sector: while public organizations and health institutions tend to have much larger number of employees, private businesses and NGO's are inclined to have smaller numbers of workers.

Graph 1. 7: Percentage distribution of establishment by the number of their employee, according to activity sector


- Consistent with the distribution of organizations/enterprises by employment size, the average number of working persons varies considerably over activity sector categories: while it is as high as 122.9 persons in governmental/public organizations it is as low as 10.1 in NGO's and 13.4 in private businesses. The corresponding figure for health institutions is 42.4 . In total, the average size of a formal sector organizations/ enterprise s is 18.1 working persons.

Graph 1. 8: Mean number of working persons per establishment according to activity sector


- The estimate of total number of employees in the three years preceding the survey shows an increasing trend in all activity sectors excepting private businesses. Employees in public/governmental organizations increase from about 9200 employees in 2008 to 9500 employees in 2009 and to 10900 in 2010. The corresponding figures for private businesses are about 46800,41700 and 50400 in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively. While for health institutions the estimates are about 18000, 19400 and 20800 and for NGO's the estimates are 7000, 7500 and 8200 in 2008, 2009 and 2010.

Graph 1. 9: Estimation of total number of employed persons in 2008, 2009 and 2010 according to activity sector


- The estimate of labor turnover in the three years preceding the survey shows an increasing trend over 2008, 2009 and 2010 years irrespective of the type of activity sector. The labor turnover increases from about 6400 in 2008 to 8500 in 2009 and to 10200 in 2010. Notably, the
substantial increase in the turnover of private businesses from about 4000 in 2008 to 5600 in 2009 may partially explain the drop in the employee numbers in 2009 of private businesses.

Graph 1. 10: Estimation of total number of employees turnover in 2008, 2009 and 2010 according to activity sector


- The total number of permanent posts is estimated at 34600 posts approximately, The distribution of permanent posts according to minimum educational requirements shows that about 3.9 percent of the posts require post graduate diploma or higher. On the other extreme 30.5 percent of the posts require less than primary education. The remaining posts (about 65.3 percent) require minimum educational level ranges from under graduate diploma (8.4 percent) to certificate A2 (33.1 percent).

Graph 1. 11: Percentage distribution of permanent posts according to minimum education requirement


- About 85.6 percent of permanent posts concentrate in the five highest occupation categories: managers ( 20.7 percent); professionals (20.1 percent); technical and associate professionals (16.5 percent); clerical support workers (7.1 percent); and services and sales workers (21.2 percent). The occupational structure of permanent posts varies to large extent with activity sector, while 'managers' is the prime permanent post at public/government organizations ( 37.5 percent), 'services and sales workers' is the prime permanent post at private businesses ( 31.7 percent); 'Technical and associate professionals' is the prime posts at health institutions (33.5 percent); and finally 'professionals' is the prime post at NGO's (33.1 percent).

Graph 1. 12: Percentage distribution of permanent posts according to activity sector


- The predominant field of education required by the highest four occupation categories of permanent posts is 'Social Science, Business and Law'. The percentage of this educational field ranges from 32.4 percent for technical and associate professionals posts to 64.7 percent for Clerical support workers posts. As far as the lowest five occupational categories of permanent posts are concerned, the major required educational field varies from occupation to another, but in general it suits the nature of the occupation concerned. For example 'Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction' is the major field required by Craft and related trade workers posts ( 55.1 percent) and plant and machine operators and assemblers posts (47.7 percent)

Graph 1. 13: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by the field of education requirement


- The total number of permanent employees in the formal sector organizations/establishments is estimated at about 91200 as of the 30 September, 2011. The overwhelming majority of employees are Rwandans ( 97.9 percent) and males ( 63.5 percent). The gender structure of Rwandan employees is in favor of males for all occupations with the exception of clerical support workers where females exceed males to some extent.

Graph 1. 14: Estimation of the number of permanent employees by gender, nationality and occupation


- More than half of the permanent employees (53 percent) work at private business enterprises, and slightly above a quarter of the employees ( 25.9 percent) work for private and public health
institutions. The relative shares of employees working in the government and NGO's are respectively 13.1 percent and 8.1 percent.

Graph 1. 15: Percentage distribution of permanent employees by activity sector


- The prevalence of permanent employees that meet the minimum educational requirement of the post is about 91 percent for all posts combined. This prevalence level varies to some extent with occupation categories where it is lowest for services and sales workers ( 87.5 percent) and highest for elementary occupations ( 97 percent). It also fluctuates over the activity sector where it scores the lowest at NGO's ( 86.4 percent) and highest at the government/public organizations (94.1 percent).

Graph 1. 16: Percent of permanents employees who meet the minimum educational requirement of the post according to activity sector


- The gross remuneration of employee in the formal sector organizations/enterprises amounts to about 249.8 thousand FRW per month in average. As expected, remuneration is greater for higher occupation compared with lower occupation categories: It is highest for managers (528.5 thousand FRW) and lowest for elementary occupations ( 50.2 thousand FRW). Furthermore, while remuneration level is similar for private businesses ( 205.3 thousand FRW) and health institutions (200.8 thousand FRW), it is slightly higher for NGO's (287.4 thousand FRW) and greatly higher for the government/public organizations (492.4 thousand FRW).

Graph 1. 17: Average monthly gross remuneration (in thousands RWF) for permanent staff by occupation and activity sector.


- With reference to the Survey date, the total number of vacant posts is estimated at about 3600 posts. About two-thirds of these posts exist in private businesses ( 37.6 percent) and health institutions ( 28.8 percent) altogether. The governmental/public organizations have more than a quarter of total vacant posts ( 26 percent), while NGO's contain only 7.6 percent of them. The minimum educational requirement of most vacant posts is either bachelor degree ( 35.2 percent) or certificate A2 (31 percent). Only 8 percent of vacant posts require a post graduate degree. With regard to the field of education required for the vacant posts, about 35.8 percent require the field of Social Science, Business and Law and about 27.9 percent require the fields of Health and Welfare. In general, the required field of education is largely contingent upon the type of activity sector under consideration.

Graph 1. 18: Distribution of vacant posts by minimum education requirement according to activity sector


- The presence of vacant posts shows substantial variation over occupation categories: it is highest for technical and associate professionals ( 27.4 percent) and professionals ( 26.5 percent); nearly of the same value for managers ( 15.1 percent) and services and sales workers ( 14.3 percent); and of a moderate level for clerical and support workers ( 6.9 percent) and plant and machine operators and assemblers (4 percent). The presence of vacant post in other occupation categories is less than or equal 3 percent. The main cause of vacant posts is 'business growth' (74.6 percent), followed by 'job change' (19.9 percent).

Graph 1. 19: Percentage distribution of vacant post by occupation


- The number of vacancies which remained as such for one year or more is estimated at 1010 posts (more than a quarter of total vacant posts). They are mostly in health institutions (42.9 percent) and private businesses ( 36.2 percent). They concentrate in professional occupations ( 31.8 percent), technical and associate professionals ( 29 percent) and managers (11.8 percent). The main reason why the vacant posts remain vacant for one year or more is budget constraints (44.1 percent) and lack of qualified applicants (20 percent).

Graph 1. 20: Percentage distribution of post which have been vacant for one year or more by post and activity sector


## Section D. Future Manpower Projections

- Employers were asked if they have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees between 2012 and 2021. About 39.3 percent have answered affirmatively. The percentage with affirmative answers is low for NGO's ( 28.1 percent) and Public/government ( 30.3 percent), while it is relatively higher for private businesses ( 38.3 percent) and substantially higher for health institutions ( 64.1 percent). The net effect of employment change over the indicated period is a continuous increase in employment size over time.

Graph 1. 21: Percentage of establishments which reported that they have a plan to increase the number of employees between 2012 and 2021, according to activity sector


- The increase from 2012 to 2013 is estimated at 4268 workers. Most of them are of lower educational level: about 72.6 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate A2 or less. Most employment increase occurs in private businesses ( 61.9 percent), followed by health institutions (27.7 percent).
- The increase from 2013 to 2014 is estimated at 3628 workers. Most of them are of lower educational level: about 77.9 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate A2 or less. Most employment increase occurs in private businesses ( 68.5 percent), followed by health institutions (27.1 percent).
- The increase from 2014 to 2015 is estimated at 3378 workers. Most of them are of lower educational level: about 62.6 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate A2 or less. Most employment increase occurs in private businesses ( 55.7 percent), followed by health institutions (24.2 percent).
- The increase from 2015 to 2016 is estimated at 4203 workers. Most of them are of lower educational level: about 82.7 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate A2 or less. Most employment increase occurs in private businesses ( 78.0 percent), followed by health institutions (11.6 percent).
- The increase from 2016 to 2017 is estimated at 5538 workers. Most of them are of lower educational level: about 81.7 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate A2 or less. Most employment increase occurs in private businesses ( 74.8 percent), followed by health institutions (14.8 percent)
- The overall increase within the period (2113-2117) is estimated at 18786 workers. Most of them are of lower educational level: about 78.0 percent of the anticipated net increase is having
certificate A2 or less. Most employment increase occurs in private businesses (71.0 percent), followed by health institutions ( 21.2 percent)

Graph 1. 22: Trend of increased number of employees from 2012 to 2017, according to activity sector


## Section E: Staff Development

- In total, only 41.2 percent of all formal sector organizations/enterprises have staff training plan/policy in place. Considerable differential with the activity sector categories is noticed: while the prevalence of staff training plan/policy is as low as 31.4 percent in private businesses it is highest in the governmental/public organizations ( 85.9 percent). The prevalence level of staff training plan/policy in health institutions (67 percent) is in the same vicinity as of NGO's (70.7 percent).

Graph 1. 23: Percentage distribution of establishments which have a staff training policy/plan in place, according to activity sector


- The means of training of managerial staff in all formal sector units combined is basically 'workshops' which has been reported by 73.8 percent of units having training plan/policy in place, followed by 'on the job training' ( 64.6 percent)and 'Apprenticeship' ( 60 percent). An Intangible variation in training modes over activity sector categories is noticed, particularly with regard to the indicated most common training modes.
- Similarly, the means of training of professional and technical staff in all formal sector units combined is mainly 'workshops' which has been reported by 66.4 percent of units having training plan/policy in place, followed by 'on the job training' (62.1 percent)and 'Apprenticeship' (56 percent). An Intangible variation in training modes over activity sector categories is noticed, particularly with regard to the indicated most common training modes.
- Following the same pattern as above, but in much lower level, the means of training of clerical and casual staff in all formal sector units combined is mainly 'workshops' which has been reported by 31.5 percent of units having training plan/policy in place, followed by 'on the job training' ( 28.1 percent)and 'Apprenticeship' ( 27.7 percent). An insubstantial variation in training modes over activity sector categories is noticed, particularly with regard to the indicated most common training modes.
- In general, the frequency of training at formal sector units which have ever experienced staff training varies according to trainee occupation which starts with the highest managerial positions (PS and DG) and ends with the clerical positions. With regard to highest managerial positions the frequency of training is, in order of popularity, quarterly (reported by 25.2 percent of units); irregular/adhoc (reported by 24.3 percent of eligible units); annually (reported by 20.6 of eligible units) and Twice a year (reported by15.6 of eligible units). Whereas for Supervisory (director) positions, the training frequency is, in order of popularity, irregular/adhoc (reported by 27.3 percents of concerned units); quarterly (reported by 25.9 percent of units); annually (reported by 18.5 percent of units) and twice a year (reported by 15.7 percent of units). The training frequency of technical /professional staff is, in order of popularity, irregular/adhoc (reported by 26 percent of eligible units); quarterly (reported by 24.1 percent of units); annually (reported by 18.4 percent of units) and twice a year (reported by 14.5 percent of units). With regard to clerical staff, the training frequency is, in order of popularity, irregular/adhoc (reported by 32.4 percent of units); annually (reported by 18.8 percent of units); quarterly (reported by 17.6 percent of units) and monthly (reported by 14.8 percent of units). The pattern of training frequency for each job level varies to some extent over activity sector categories.

Graph 1. 24: Percentage distribution of establishments which have ever conducted training for their staff by the categories of staff and frequency of training


- About 56.9 percent of formal sector units having training plan/policy possess in-house training facilities for their staff. The prevalence of units with training facilities varies over activity sector categories where it ranges from 50.6 percent for private businesses to 71.1 percent for NGO's.

Graph 1. 25: Percentage of establishments that have in house training facilities for own staff according to activity sector


- The most common training facilities reported by formal sector units having any type of training facilities is 'Training space' which has been reported by about 88.5 percent of eligible units, followed by 'Training materials' reported by 83.4 percent of all eligible units. Specialized trainers,

Computers and Projectors are other fairly common training facilities reported respectively by 69.2 percent, 55.3 percent and 39.7 percent of all eligible units. No substantial differential pattern over activity sector categories is observed.

Graph 1. 26: Percent of establishments which have in-house training facilities by the type of those facilities


- The reported skills/qualifications which are in general lacking among staff are numerous: the most important of them are language skills (reported by 58.1 percent of units) followed by IT skills (54.8 percent), entrepreneurial skills (47.8 percent) and innovativeness/creativity (47.6 percent). Noteworthy is that IT skills are lacking in higher prevalence among staff of private businesses, Health institutions, and NGO's compared with government/public organizations.
- Less than a half of formal sector units ( 41.7 percent) have conducted training within the 12 months preceding the survey date. Substantial variation over activity sector categories is observed: While the incidence of training in the public/governmental organizations within the indicated period is as high as 87.9 percent, it is extremely low in private businesses (30.9 percent). Training incidence in Health institutions and NGO's are 79.4 percent and 68.2 percent respectively.

Graph 1. 27: Percentage of establishments which have conducted the training in 12 months before the survey, according to activity sector


- Approximately two in three formal sector units ( 67.9 percent) reported facing some challenges that limit staff training. The reported challenges are highest ( 89.6 percent) for health institutions and lowest ( 63.5 percent) for private businesses.
- Lack of funds has been reported as the first challenge by 46.1 percent of units, second challenge by 23.3 percent of units reported at least two challenges and 33 percent of units reported three challenges. While inadequate training materials has been reported as the first challenge by 14.2 percent of units, second challenge by 31.1 percent of units reported two challenges at least and by 21.4 percent of units reported three challenges.

Graph 1. 28: Percentage distribution of establishments by the first, second and third challenge that limit the training of their staff


- About 60.4 percent of formal sector units have hired TVET graduates. Most Health institutions recruit such graduates ( 84.1 percent), followed by government/public organizations (70.4 percent). The prevalence of private businesses and NGO's which have experienced recruitment of TVET graduates is 59.2 percent and 47.6 percent respectively.

Graph 1. 29: Percentage of establishment which have ever hired graduates from TVET, according to activity sector


- The perceived satisfaction level of employers concerning TVET graduates is very high: about 48 percent reported 'fully satisfied' and '44 percent' reported 'satisfied'. There exists intangible variability in satisfaction level over activity sector categories.

Graph 1. 30: Percentage distribution of establishments by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning TVET graduate, according to activity sector


- More than a half ( 52.4 percent) of formal sector units has hired graduates of tertiary education level. Almost all Government/public organizations recruit such graduates (100.0 percent), followed by health organizations ( 87.0 percent). The prevalence of private businesses and NGO's which have experienced recruitment of tertiary education graduates is 40.9 percent and 46.6 percent respectively.

Graph 1. 31: Percentage of establishments that have hired graduates of tertiary education


- The perceived satisfaction level of employers concerning graduates of tertiary education is very high: about 58 percent reported 'fully satisfied' and ' 35 percent' reported 'satisfied'. There exists little variability in satisfaction level over activity sector categories.

Graph 1. 32: Percentage Distribution of establishments by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning higher institution graduate, according to activity sector


- The suggestions of all employers, regardless of recruiting TVET graduates, concerning ways and methods to improve education/training programs in such schools have been solicited; Improvement of technical skills have been reported as the prime suggestion by the greatest percentage of employers ( 42.9 percent); the second suggestion for those reported at least two suggestions is improvement language skills (21.4 percent); the third suggestion for those reported three suggestions is improvement of IT skills ( 25.6 percent).

Graph 1. 33: Distribution of establishments according to the most important, second and third important suggestions to improve education and training in TVET


- The suggestions of all employers, regardless of recruiting graduates of tertiary schooling, concerning ways and methods to improve education/training programs in such schools have also been solicited; Improvement of technical skills, improvement of entrepreneurial skills, improvement of managerial skills have been reported as the prime suggestion by 19.7 percent, 17.1 percent and 16.6 percent of employers respectively; the second suggestion for those reported at least two suggestions is improvement of innovativeness/creativity (19 percent) followed by improvement of language skills( 18 percent); the third suggestion for those reported three suggestions is improvement of IT skills ( 25.5 percent) followed by customer care skills (23.2 percent).

Graph 1. 34: Distribution of establishments according to the most important, second and third suggestions to improve education and training in tertiary education


- About 30.8 of formal sector units have an industrial attachment/internship program either institutionalized ( 13.7 percent) or occasionally/informal ( 17.1 percent). Internship program is highest in the government/public organizations (71 percent) and lowest in private businesses (27.3 percent) and NGO's ( 27.6 percent).

Graph 1. 35: Percentage distribution of establishments which have an industrial attachment according to activity sector


- The total number of interns usually receives practical training at formal sector units have been estimated at about 14100 interns annually, the number of male interns (7200) is slightly higher
than that of females (6900). The biggest number of interns (7700) is the share of private businesses.

Graph 1. 36: Estimate of total number of annually interns by gender, according to activity sector


- There exists an increasing trend in the numbers of interns eventually hired by formal sector units over the period 2009-2011. The number of hired interns rises from 1287 in 2009, to 1586 in 2010 and to 1925 in 2011

Graph 1. 37: Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2009, 2010 and 2011


## Section F. Capital/Expenditures/Revenue

- Employers of private businesses of formal sector, including private health institutions, have been asked about the major source of start-up capital: The key reported source is 'own saving' which has been declared by 40.6 percent of respondents, followed by 'loans from commercial banks' (19.7 percent) and 'public share issuing' (17.1 percent). In general, the source of start-up income is more or less similar in all provinces

Graph 1. 38: Percent distribution of establishments by the major source of their start-up capital


- Total expenditure on permanent labor at formal sector units in September 2011 amounts to 29600 million FRW, the biggest portion of permanent labor expenditure is wages and salaries which reaches 21300 million FRW. Mean establishment expenditure on permanent labor is estimated at 5600 million FRW.
- The percentage of formal sector units which reported that their products of goods or services are exportable is as low as 12.9 percent. The Public/government and private businesses produce exportable products in somewhat higher levels ( 20.5 percent for the former and 15 percent for the later).

Graph 1. 39: Percentage of establishments whose products are exportable, according to activity sector


- Format sector units producing exportable products were asked if they exported any products in 2011: less than have of such units ( 48.7 percent) have done so. While 78.7 percent of public/governmental units and 51.3 percent of private businesses producing exportable products have experienced exportation in 2011 only 24 percent of health institutions and 9.3 percent of NGO's have experienced the same. The destination markets were basically those of EAC countries ( 88 percent), followed by other African markets ( 43 percent) and the rest of the world (41.9 percent). Noteworthy is that a single enterprise may export to multiple locations.

Graph 1. 40: Percentage of establishments whose products are exportable which have exported their products in 2011.


- Plans for future exportation have been inquired about: The percentages of formal sector units producing exportable products having plans for future exportation is as high as 82.8 percent, it is highest ( 85.1 percent) for private businesses and lowest for NGO's ( 58.2 percent). The future destination markets are basically EAC markets ( 92.8 percent), followed by other African markets ( 65.8 percent) and the rest of the world ( 46.7 percent).

Graph 1. 41: Percentage of establishments whose products are exportable and have a plan to export them in the future, according to activity sector


## Section G. Sourcing of required staff

- Generally, friends/relatives are the prime source of recruiting low skilled personnel: this source has been reported by 62.5 percent of respondents, followed by other unspecified sources (18.8 percent) and media (12 percent). Friends/relatives still an important source for recruiting highand middle skilled personnel but in lower extent: 33.7 percent of respondents reported relying on this source as their first priority. Less than 23 percent of respondents rely on media advertisements for recruiting high and middle-skilled staff as a first priority.

Graph 1. 42: Distribution of establishment by mean of sourcing personnel to fill vacant posts, according to level of skills


- About 49 percent of formal sector units reported recruiting some staff in the previous year. A substantial variability among activity sector categories exists: while 84 percent of government/public units declared recruiting some staff in the previous year, only 46 private businesses and 37.7 percent of NGO units have done the same.

Graph 1. 43: Percentage of establishments which recruited some in the previous year , according to activity sector


- Government/public organizations were more likely to advertise for some posts in the previous year ( 72 percent), followed by health institutions ( 58 percent). Job advertising was very low for private businesses (11 percent) and NGO's (14.7 percent).
- The prevalence of formal sector units which have ever used LMIS is trivial (1.5 percent). The prevalence level is extremely low in private businesses (1 percent) and Health institutions (2 percent); it is nil in NGO's.
- Formal sector units which have never used LMIS have been asked about their awareness of LMIS: only 16.6 percent of respondents have heard about it. Awareness is relatively higher in Government/public ( 35.3 percent) and Health institutions ( 28.1 percent) compared with private businesses ( 14.5 percent) and NGO's (17.9 percent).
- Respondents who have heard about LMIS but never used it have been further questioned about the reason of not using it : The majority reported 'no need' ( 57 percent), followed by complicated/cumbersome (18 percent)
- Slightly more than a quarter of formal sector units ( 25.7 percent) envisage hiring non-nationals in the future. The level is highest in the health institutions ( 41.3 percent) and lowest in NGO's (20.5 percent). The main reason of hiring non-nationals is 'better qualified' - reported by 44.1 percent of units envisage hiring them, followed by 'more efficient' (21.9 percent)

Graph 1. 44: Percentage of establishments which envisage hiring non-nationals, according to activity sector


## Section H. Membership to employers' organizations

- Slightly more than a fifth ( 21.3 percent) of formal sector units is members of Employers organization/association. Membership to such organizations varies between 12.9 percent for Health institutions and 28.0 percent for public/government organizations.
- About 22.9 percent of members of above mentioned organizations received, in the year preceding the survey, some assistance or cooperation from these organizations. Recipients of such assistance/ cooperation are as high as 46.1 percent of eligible government/public units and 32.6 percent of eligible NGO's compared with private businesses ( 20.9 percent) and health institutions (21.8 percent).
- Besides the membership to external organizations, members were further asked whether they are also affiliated to any other organization/association. About 10.5 percent of eligible formal sector units are affiliated to other organization. The affiliation prevalence ranges from 8.3 percent for private businesses to 26.5 percent for NGO's.


## Section I. Challenges of Business expansion

- The overwhelming majority of formal sector units which produce commercial goods or services ( 90.2 percent) reported facing some difficulties that affect business growth. The prevalence of such units ranges from 70.0 percent for Public/government organizations to 92.0 percent for private businesses.

Graph 1. 45: Percentage of establishments which any have some difficulties affecting their growth/operations, according to activity sector.


- The most important reported challenges is 'lack of customers' declared by 30.5 percent of eligible respondents, followed by "access to finance' declared by 18.1 percent, 'non-payment of debts' declared by 9.5 percent, 'high taxes and license fees' declared by 7.6 percent and 'lack of skilled personnel' declared by 5.0 percent of respondents. The reported challenges next to the
most important ones for those reported at least two challenges are 'access to finance' (reported by 13.6 percent of eligible respondents) followed by 'non-payment of debts' (reported by 13.4 percent of eligible respondents', 'high taxes and license fees' (11.4 percent), 'lack of customers/marketing' (8.7 percent), 'lack of skilled personnel’ (8.3 percent), 'increased competition' ( 7.1 percent) and 'lack of raw materials/irregular supply' ( 7.0 percent). The third important challenges declared by respondents stated three challenges are 'high taxes and license fees' declared by 13.3 percent of eligible respondents followed by ' access to finance' (12.4 percent), 'increased competition' ( 8.8 percent', 'no new technology' ( 7.4 percent), 'lack of space/land' ( 7.3 percent), 'non-payment of debts' ( 5.7 percent), 'lack of customers/marketing' (5.4 percent), and 'lack of raw materials/irregular supply' ( 5.3 percent).

Graph 1. 46: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported most important, second and third challenges affecting their operation/growth, according to activity sector


## Section J. Gender

- A little more than two thirds ( 67.2 percent) of formal sector units has a gender policy in place. The prevalence of formal sector units with a gender policy ranges from 61.7 in private businesses to 93.1 in public/government organizations.

Graph 1. 47: Percentage of establishments which have a gender policy, according to activity sector


## Section K. HIV/AIDS Policy at work place

- In total, about 53.8 percent of formal sector units have HIV/AIDS workplace policy in place. The prevalence of such formal sector units is highest, as expected, in health institutions (93.6 percent) and lowest in private businesses (43.5 percent)
- The most common facilities involved in HIV/AIDS policy for formal sector units adopting such policy are VCT services (reported by 96.3 percent of eligible units) followed by workers rights ( 76.2 percent) and free condom distribution for workers ( 32.4 percent). Concerning the variability over activity sector categories with regard to the prevalence of the mentioned important facilities, it is noticed that although insubstantial variability exists for VCT services and workers' rights the free condom distribution has much higher prevalence in both health institutions ( 78.7 percent) and public /government organizations (71.1 percent)


## Section L. Use of ICT

- A little more than a half of formal sector units (51.2 percent) have introduced ICT use, The prevalence of ICT use is universal (100.0 percent) at public/governmental organizations and relatively low at private businesses ( 45.7 percent) and NGO's ( 47.5 percent).
- Formal sector units using ICT have been asked about the effects of ICT use with regard to various activities. About 86.1 percent of eligible units have reported that the ICT-use has resulted in an increase/ improvement in Production, marketing, human resource management and communication. Whereas about 94.7 percent of eligible units have declared ICT-use has resulted
in increase/ improvement in records management and 90.1 percent has reported improvement in accounting/finance/planning/budgeting.

Graph 1. 48: Percentage of establishments which introduced ICT use by the sector of use


### 4.2. Education Employer's module

## Section A. Learning institutions characteristics

- The present section deals with employers of formal education and training institutions. The total number of learning institutions is estimated in 2011 at 3987 education and training institutions. Noteworthy is that such institutions include four different categories: primary; secondary; TVET, and Universities and high learning institutes. More than 60 percent of the institutions are Primary schools (63.8 percent), 31 percent are Secondary schools, 4 percent are TVET and around 1 percent is Universities and higher learning institutions. The provincial differential in the distribution of educational institutions by type is insubstantial: while the percentage of primary school is 56.6 percent in Kigali, this percentage is almost similar in all provinces with 64.1, 67.1, 62.7, and 62.6 percents respectively in Southern, Western, Northern; and Eastern Provinces. The percentage of TVET represents respectively, 10.6, 4.2, 3.9, 3.0; and 3.5 percent in Kigali, Southern, Western, Northern; and Eastern Provinces. The same tendency is observed for universities and higher learning institutions where the percent is 3.6 in Kigali against less than 1 percent in all other provinces.

Graph 2. 1: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by type, according to province


- The vast majority of education and training institutions are either government or government aided schools ( 86.9 percent): Government/Public (37.3 percent) and Government aided establishment ( 49.6 percent); while 10 percent are private.

Graph 2. 2: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by ownership, according to type


- More than a third of education and learning institutions (37.2 percent) have started from 1995 onward. While more primary schools have started before 1974 ( 57.6 percent), a large percentage of secondary schools ( 43.0 percent) and tertiary institutions ( 46.9 percent) have started in 2005 and above, whereas 44.2 percent of TVET have been established between 19952004.

Graph 2. 3: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the starting year, according to type


- The tenure type of learning institutions premises is fully owned in most cases ( 57.5 percent). About 60 percent in secondary education ( 59.3 percent) and in TVET ( 67.5 percent) are fully owned.
In tertiary education and in primary education, fully owned learning institutions premises represent 40.6 percent and 56.1 percent respectively. A large percentage of premises of universities and higher learning institutes ( 43.8 percent) are government established, while government establishments represent 19.8 percent in TVET, 30.1 percent in secondary education, and 23.6 percent in primary education.

Graph 2. 4: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by ownership of premises, according to type of learning institution


## Section B. Staffing profile and labour turnover

- The Estimation of the total number of employees and permanent employees in the end of the three years preceding the survey 2008, 2009 and 2010 shows an increasing trend. The total number of employees increased from 61895 employees in 2008, to 69591 in 2009, and 76756 employees in 2010. The permanent employees increased from 59651 in 2008, to 66492 in 2009, and 73033 employees in 2010. The total employees in primary education increased from about 39692 employees in 2008, to 42673 in 2009 and 46368 employees in 2010. Total employees in secondary education increased from 15761 to 20151 and 23288 employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively. For TVET, the total employees increased slightly from 4754 to 4756 and 4839 employees in 2008, 2009, and 2010 respectively while for universities and high learning institutes the total employees increased from 1778 to 2011 and 2262 employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively.

Graph 2. 5: Estimation of total employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010, according to type of learning institution


- The total number of permanent employees in primary education increased from about 38067 employees in the end of 2008 to 40779 in the end of 2009 and 43996 employees in the end of 2010. The corresponding figures for secondary education are about 16281, 19794 and 22915 employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively. For TVET the total number of permanent employees changed from 4287 to 4234 and 4280 employees in 2008, 2009, and 2010 respectively, while for universities and higher learning institutions the total number of permanent employees increased from 1016 to 1684 and 1842 employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively.

Graph 2. 6: Estimation of total permanent employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010, according to type of learning institution


- The estimate of labor turnover in the three years preceding the survey shows an increasing trend over 2008, 2009 and 2010 irrespective of the type learning institutions. The total number of employee's turnover increased from 4551 employees in 2008 to 6517 and 8133 employees in 2009 and 2010 respectively.
The employees' turnover in primary education increased from 2854 employees in 2008 to 3900 in 2009 and 3964 employees in 2010. The corresponding figures in secondary education are about 1115, 1847 and 3269 employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively. For TVET, the employee' turnover increased from 421 to 566 and 674 employees in 2008, 2009, and 2010 respectively while in tertiary education the employee' turnover is estimated at 161,204 and 226 employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010 respectively.

Graph 2. 7: Estimation of total number of employees' turnover in 2008, 2009 and 2010, according to type of learning institution


- The reason for turnover in 2010 was answered only by institutions experienced staff turnover in the year 2010, otherwise the institution is considered not applicable. The common reasons for turnover of male staff were low pay (reported by 27.7 percent), dismissal ( 7.4 percent), poor working conditions ( 5.2 percent), and marital reasons ( 2.4 percent). The other 'non-specified' reasons expressed by55.7 percent of respondents.
The reasons for male staff turnover vary with the type of learning institutions.
Male staff turnover due to low pay is highest in universities and high learning institutions (50\%) followed by TVET ( 39.7 percent), secondary schools ( 32 percent) and primary schools (23 percent).
- For female staff, the common reasons for turnover were also low pay ( 21.4 percent) followed by marital reasons ( 16.5 percent), dismissal ( 5.1 percent), poor working conditions ( 5.5 percent), and other non-specified reasons (49.1).

The reasons for female staff turnover vary with the type of learning institutions.
Female staff turnover due to low pay is highest in universities and high learning institutions (60\%) followed by TVET (29.9 percent), secondary schools (26.5 percent) and primary schools (17.8 percent).

## Section C. Institutional and staffing capacity

- The total number of permanent posts is estimated in 30/9/2011 as of 38700 posts approximately. The distribution of permanent posts in learning institutions by occupation according to the type of learning institutions indicates that about 67.2 percent of the employees are professionals and 14.6 percent are Managers, 10.4 percent are services and sales workers. The occupational structure of permanent posts is, to somewhat, similar in all types of learning institutions.

The occupational structure of permanent posts varies to some extent with type of learning institutions. While 'professionals' are the prime permanent posts in primary education ( 68.6 percent), they remain the prime permanent posts in secondary establishments (66.7 percent); TVET (61.9 percent); and finally in 'universities and high learning institutions' (63.7 percent)

Graph 2. 8: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by occupation, according to type of learning institution


- The distribution of permanent posts according to minimum educational requirements shows that about 1.8 percent of the posts require post graduate diploma or higher. On the other extreme 13.2 percent of the posts require less than secondary education. The remaining posts (about
84.9 percent) require minimum educational level of under graduate diploma ( 39.9 percent) and certificate, A 2 level (45.1 percent).

Graph 2. 9: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by the minimum education requirement


- The distribution of permanent posts according to field of educational requirements shows that about 59.8 percent of the posts require educational training programs, 11.1 percent require social science, business and law; 9.9 percent require general programs, and 7.5 percent of the posts require sciences.

Graph 2. 10: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by the field of education requirement


- The predominant field of education required by the highest five occupation categories of permanent posts is 'education' ( 59.8 percent). The percentage of this educational field varies from 92.3 percent for managers, 66.9 percent for professionals, 1.2 percent for technical and associate professionals posts, 3.8 percent for clerical support workers and 11.4 percent for services and sales workers posts.
As far as the lowest remaining occupational categories of permanent posts are concerned, the major required educational field varies from occupation to another, but in general it suits the nature of the occupation concerned. For example 'Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction' is the major field required by plant, machine operators and assemblers ( 74.6 percent), and Craft and related trade workers posts ( 53.6 percent).
- The permanent employees were estimated at 81130 of whom 49256 were males and 31874 were females. By nationality, there were 78593 Rwandan nationals and 2537 foreigners.

Graph 2. 11: Estimate of total number of permanent employees by gender and nationality


- Permanent employees are distributed among learning institutions such as: 48271 are in Primary education, 25719 in secondary education, 4766 in TVET and 2374 in universities and higher learning institutions.
- The estimation of permanent employees according to whether they are qualified to the post by occupation shows that there are still gaps in qualification of permanent employees. Out of total permanent employees (81130), 67615 are qualified against 13515 are unqualified. The qualification gap is higher among professionals where 50039 permanent employees are qualified against 12306 unqualified employees. The gap is also observed for managers, services and sales workers, and elementary occupations.
- According to the type of learning institutions, the gap between qualified and unqualified permanent employees is highest in secondary education with 18321 qualified against 7398 unqualified, in primary 43050 are qualified against 5221 unqualified, in TVET 3927 are qualified against 839 unqualified, while for universities and higher learning institutions 2317 are qualified against 57 unqualified employees.

Graph 2. 12: Estimate of the total number of permanents employees by qualification, and type of learning institution


- The gross remuneration of employees in learning institutions amounts to about 100 thousand FRW per month in average. The remuneration is highest for technical and associate professionals (216.7) and lowest for elementary occupations (19.7 thousand FRW).

Graph 2. 13: Average monthly total gross remuneration for permanent staff in thousands RWF by occupation


- Furthermore, while remuneration level for primary education is as low as 62.9 thousand FRW it is close to double for secondary education and TVET (respectively 106.9 and 116.0 thousand FRW). Remuneration for universities and high learning institutions is the highest (638.7 thousand FRW).
- With reference to the Survey date, the total number of vacant posts is estimated at about 2800 posts. About 35 percent of vacant posts exist in primary and 55 percent in secondary education. The minimum educational requirement of most vacant posts is either bachelor degree ( 40.5 percent) or certificate A2 ( 35.8 percent). Only 5.5 percent of vacant posts require a post graduate degree.
- With regard to the field of education required for the vacant posts, about 58 percent require the field of education, 21.3 percent require Social Science, Business and Law, 7.1 percent require sciences, and about 3.8 percent require the fields of Health and Welfare. In general, the required field of education is largely contingent upon the type of learning institution under consideration.

Graph 2. 14: Percentage distribution of vacant posts by field of education required


- The presence of vacant posts shows substantial variation over occupation categories: it is highest for professionals ( 64.2 percent) and managers ( 17.7 percent); and of a moderate level for clerical, support workers ( 10.2 percent) and services and sales workers ( 4.6 percent). The presence of vacant posts in other occupation categories is less than or equal to 1.6 percent.

Graph 2. 15: Percentage distribution of vacant posts by occupation categories


- The main cause of vacant posts is 'business growth' (61.3 percent), followed by 'job change' (19.8 percent) and lack of qualification (12.5 percent).

Graph 2. 16: Percentage distribution of vacant posts by the cause


- The number of vacancies which remained as such for one year or more is estimated to about 862 posts (more than a quarter of total vacant posts). They concentrate in professional occupations
(43.7 percent), managers ( 23.5 percent) and clerical support workers ( 20.1 percent), services and sales workers ( 6.5 percent).

Graph 2. 17: Percentage distribution of post which have been vacant for one year or more according to occupation


- The main reasons why the vacant posts remain vacant for one year or more is budget constraints (51.4 percent), lack of qualified applicants ( 16.4 percent), other reasons ( 16.2 percent), internal (10.0 percent), and low activity ( 6.0 percent).

Graph 2. 18: Percentage distribution of vacant posts for one year or more by the cause of vacancies


- Employers were asked if they have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees between 2012 and 2021. About 67.5 percent have answered affirmatively. The percentage with affirmative answers is low for universities and high learning institutions ( 62.5 percent) and primary education ( 63.4 percent), while it is relatively higher for TVET ( 69.3 percent) and substantially higher for Secondary education ( 75.7 percent). The net effect of employment change over the indicated period is a continuous increase in employment size over time.

Graph 2. 19: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees, according to the type of learning institution


- The increase from 2012 to 2013 is estimated at 8002 workers. About 37.0 percent of the anticipated net increase is having a Bachelor's degree, 34.9 percent having a certificate A2 or less and 20.8 percent with a diploma between a bachelor's degree and a certificate.
Most employment increase occurs in secondary education (48.1 percent), followed by primary education (44.4 percent).
- The increase from 2013 to 2014 is estimated at 6448 workers. About 41.3 percent of the anticipated net increase is having Bachelor's degree followed by 34.2 percent having a certificate (A2 level). Most employment increase occurs in secondary education ( 55.4 percent), followed by primary education (38.8 percent).
- The increase from 2014 to 2015 is estimated at about 5761 workers. Most of them are of certificate (A2 level): about 47.0 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate (A2 level) followed by 26.4 percent having Bachelor's degree. Most employment increase occurs in primary education ( 62.2 percent), followed by secondary education ( 32.8 percent).
- The increase from 2015 to 2016 is estimated at 3724 workers. Most of them are of certificate (A2 level): about 46.3 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate (A2 level) followed by 26.8 percent having Bachelor's degree. Most employment increase occurs in primary education ( 51.1 percent), followed by secondary education ( 35.3 percent).
- The increase from 2016 to 2021 is estimated at about 5110 workers. Most of them are of certificate (A2 level): about 40.9 percent of the anticipated net increase is having certificate (A2 level) followed by 31.1 percent having Bachelor's degree. Most employment increase occurs in primary education (57.3 percent), followed by secondary education (36.0 percent).
- The enrollment at TVET and Tertiary learning institutions amounts to 121819, of which 65006 (53.4 percent) enrolled at TVET and 56813(46.6 percent) enrolled at tertiary learning institutions.


## Section E: Staff Development

- In total, about 79.5 percent of all learning institutions have staff training plan/policy in place. Considerable differential with the types of learning institutions is noticed: while the prevalence of staff training plan/policy is lowest in primary education ( 77.2 percent), it is highest in universities and higher learning institutions ( 90.6 percent). The prevalence level of staff training plan/policy in the TVET ( 81.8 percent) is in same vicinity as of secondary education (83.4 percent).

Graph 2. 20: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have a staff training policy/plan in place, according to type of learning institution


- The means of training of managerial staff in all education and training institutions is basically 'workshops' which has been reported by 83.2 percent of units having training plan/policy in place, followed by 'on the job training' (47.7 percent) and 'Apprenticeship' (42.3 percent).

A substantial variation in training modes over types of learning institutions is noticed, particularly with regard to the indicated common training modes.

- Similarly, the means of training of professional and technical staff in all educations institutions combined is mainly 'workshops' which has been reported by 71.3 percent of units having training plan/policy in place, followed by 'on the job training' ( 48.0 percent) and 'Apprenticeship' (33.8 percent). A substantial variation in training modes over types of learning institutions is noticed, particularly with regard to the indicated common training modes.
- Following the same pattern as above, but in much lower level, the means of training of clerical and casual staff in all learning institutions combined is mainly 'workshops' which has been reported by 23.0 percent of units having training plan/policy in place, followed by 'on the job training' (15.4 percent) and 'Apprenticeship' (15.1 percent). A substantial variation in training modes over types of learning institutions is noticed, particularly with regard to the indicated common training modes.
- In general, the frequency of training at learning institutions which have ever experienced staff training varies according to trainee's occupation which starts with the highest managerial positions (Rectors and Headmasters) and ends with the clerical and casual positions. With regard to highest managerial positions the frequency of training is, in order of popularity, irregular/adhoc (reported by 34.7 percent of eligible units); annually (reported by 30.8 of eligible units); quarterly (reported by 15.6 percent units); and twice a year (reported by 9.9 of eligible units).
- Whereas for Supervisory (directors) positions, the training frequency is, in order of popularity, irregular/adhoc (reported by 32.2 percents of concerned units); annually (reported by 30.3 percent of units); quarterly (reported by 22.5 percent of units); and twice a year (reported by 8.5 percent of units).
- The training frequency of technical /professional staff is, in order of popularity, annually (reported by 50.2 percent of units), quarterly (reported by 25.2 percent of units); irregular/adhoc (reported by 10.3 percent of eligible units); and twice a year (reported by 7.7 percent of units).
- With regard to clerical staff, the training frequency is, in order of popularity, irregular/adhoc (reported by 41.7 percent of units); annually (reported by 26.4 percent of units); quarterly (reported by 16.3 percent of units) and twice a year (reported by 8.7 percent of units).
The pattern of training frequency for each job level varies to some extent over the type of learning institutions.
- About 67.1 percent of training and learning institutions having training plan/policy possesses inhouse training facilities for their staff. The prevalence of units with training facilities varies over types of training and learning institutions where it changes from 89.7 percent for universities and high learning institutions to 72.5 percent for TVET, 65.7 percent for secondary education, and 67.1 for primary education.

Graph 2. 21: Percentage of establishment having in-house-training facilities for own staff, according to the type of learning institution


- The most common training facilities reported by learning institutions having any type of training facilities is 'Training materials' which has been reported by about 87.4 percent of eligible units, followed by 'Training space' reported by 81.8 percent of all eligible units. Specialized trainers, Computers, Projectors, and Laboratory are other fairly common training facilities reported respectively by 46.4 percent, 23.1 percent, 11.4 percent and 11.1 percent of all eligible units.
- The reported skills/qualifications which are in general lacking among staff are numerous: the most important of them are IT skills (reported by 72.3 percent of units), followed by language skills (reported by 69.9 percent of units) , entrepreneurial skills ( 56.3 percent), technical
skills(reported by 54.5 percent of units), innovativeness/creativity ( 53.6 percent), didactic and teaching skills (reported by 52.6 percent of units), managerial skills (reported by 40.9 percent of units) and communication skills (reported by 32.8 percent of units). Noteworthy is that IT skills are lacking in much lower extent among staff of tertiary learning institutions compared with learning institutions.

Graph 2. 22: Percentage of establishments by the kind of skills in general lacking among their staff


- More than three quarters of learning institutions ( 77.8 percent) have conducted training within the 12 months preceding the survey date. Substantial variation over types of learning institutions is observed: While the incidence of training in the universities and high learning institutions within the indicated period is as high as 87.5 percent, it is low in primary schools ( 76.1 percent). Training incidence in secondary schools and TVET are 81.7 percent and 72.2 percent respectively.

Graph 2. 23: Percentage of learning institutions which conducted staff training in the $\mathbf{1 2}$ month months before the survey, according to type of learning institutions


- Approximately 94.1 percent of all learning institutions reported facing some challenges that limit staff training. The reported challenge varies in a narrow range with the type of learning institutions where it is highest ( 95.0 percent) for primary education and lowest ( 88.4 percent) for TVET.

Graph 2. 24: Percentage of learning institutions facing some challenges that limit their staff training , according to type of learning institution


- Lack of funds has been reported as the first challenge by 57.3 percent of units, followed by inadequate materials (reported by 16.0 percent), inadequate facilities/space reported by 11.0 and shortage of skilled trainers reported by 10.1 percent of eligible units.
- As a second challenge, for those reported two challenges at least, inadequate material has been reported by 32.6 percent, while shortage of skilled trainers has been reported by 27.3 percent and lack of funds by 19.3 percent of units.
- Concerning the third challenge, for those reported three challenges, lack of funds has been reported by 29.4 percent,, inadequate materials reported by 25.8 percent and shortage of skilled trainers by 25.6 percent of eligible units.
- About 49.3 percent of leaning institution has hired TVET graduates in the last five years. Most TVET learning institutions recruit own graduates ( 83.7 percent), followed by universities and high learning institutions ( 80.0 percent). The prevalence in primary and secondary schools which have experienced recruitment of TVET graduates is 45.0 percent and 52.5 percent respectively.

Graph 2. 25: Percentage of learning institutions which have hired TVET graduate in last five years, according to type of learning institution


- The perceived satisfaction level of employers with TVET graduates is low: as about 28.6 percent reported 'fully satisfied' and 67.0 percent' reported 'partially satisfied'. Apart from TVET, there exists intangible variability in satisfaction level over type of learning institutions. The level of satisfaction of TVET with their own graduates is higher compared with other learning institutions hiring TVET graduates.
- Slightly less than a half (48.1 percent) of education and learning institutions has hired graduates of tertiary education level. Almost all universities and high learning institutions recruit such graduates (around 97.0 percent), followed by secondary schools ( 85.3 percent), TVET (78.8 percent) and primary schools (27.4 percent).

Graph 2. 26: Percentage of learning institutions which have hired graduates of higher institutions $s$ in last five years, according to the type of learning institution


- The perceived satisfaction level of employers concerning graduates of tertiary education is relatively high: about 41.8 percent reported 'fully satisfied' and 52.0 percent' reported 'partially satisfied'. There exists little variability in satisfaction level over type of learning institution. The level of satisfaction is high for universities and high learning institutions with 58.1 percent of eligible units are fully satisfied and 41.9 percent are partially satisfied.

Graph 2. 27: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the perceived level of satisfaction with the performance of higher institution graduates according to type of learning institution


- The suggestions of all employers, irrespective of recruiting TVET graduates, concerning ways and methods to improve education/training programs in such schools have been solicited: improvement of technical skills have been reported as the prime suggestion by the greatest percentage of employers ( 32.5 percent); the second prime suggestion for those reported at least two suggestions is improvement of language skills ( 20.2 percent) and the third prime suggestion for those reported three suggestions is improvement of IT skills (26.1 percent).
- The suggestions of all employers, regardless of recruiting graduates of tertiary schooling, concerning ways and methods to improve education/training programs in such schools have also been solicited; improvement of technical skills, improvement of language skills, improvement of didactics/teaching skills, improvement of managerial skills, have been reported as the prime suggestion by 18.5 percent, 15.0 percent, 12.4 percent and 12.0 percent of employers respectively ; the second suggestion for those reported at least two suggestions is improvement of IT skills (17.9 percent) followed by improvement of language skills( 16.7 percent); the third suggestion for those reported three suggestions is improvement of IT skills (23.9 percent) followed by didactics/teaching skills (17.3 percent).
- About 41.2 percent of learning institutions have an industrial attachment/internship program either institutionalized ( 20.1 percent) or occasionally/informal ( 21.1 percent). The prevalence of such training program is highest in universities and high learning institutes ( 65.6 percent) and lowest in secondary education ( 33.6 percent).

Graph 2. 28: Percentage of learning institutions having an industrial attachment/apprenticeship/internship program (whether institutionalized or occasionally) according to the type of learning institution


- The total number of annual interns usually receives practical training at learning institutions have been estimated at about 5522; the number of male interns (3168) is higher than that of females (2354). The biggest number of interns (3291) is the share of primary education while the smallest (460) is the share of TVET.
- There exists an increasing trend in the numbers of interns eventually hired by learning institutions over the period 2009-2011. The number of hired interns rises from 929 in 2009, to 1277 in 2010 and falls slightly to 1255 in 2011.

Graph 2. 29: Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2009, 2010 and 2011; according to the type of learning institution


## Section F. Capital/Expenditures/Revenue for private learning institutions

- Employers of private learning institutions of all levels (primary, secondary, TVET and universities), have been asked about the major source of start-up capital: the key reported source is 'public share issuing' which has been declared by 25.6 percent of respondents, followed by 'contribution from others' (10.7 percent) and 'inheritance' ( 2.1 percent).
- Total expenditure on permanent labor at learning institutions in September 2011 amounts to 8020.5 million FRW, the biggest portion of permanent labor expenditure is wages and salaries which reaches 5294.6 million FRW. The mean expenditure on permanent labor per learning institution is estimated at 2022.9 thousands FRW.
- Total expenditure on temporally employees at learning institutions in September 2011 amounts to 234 million FRW, the biggest portion of temporally labor expenditure is wages and salaries which reaches 210.3 million FRW. The mean expenditure on temporally employees per establishment is estimated at 59.5 thousands FRW.


## Section G. Sourcing of required staff

- Generally, friends/relatives are the prime source of recruiting for low skilled personnel: this source has been reported by 47.8 percent of respondents, followed by other unspecified sources (26.4 percent) and media advertisements (18.3 percent). Media advertisements are an important source for recruiting high and middle skilled personnel with 51.7 percent of respondents reported relying on this source as their first priority. About 33 percent of respondents rely on other unspecified means for recruiting high and middle-skilled staff as first priority.

Graph 2. 30: Percentage of learning institutions by means of sourcing personnel to fill vacant posts


- About 70.2 percent of learning institutions reported recruiting some staff in the previous year. A substantial variability among types of learning institutions exists: while 87.5 percent of university and high learning institutions declared recruiting some staff in the previous year, only 81.1
percent of secondary schools, 80.6 percent of TVET and 64.0 percent of primary school have experienced recruitment in the previous year.

Graph 2. 31: Percentage of learning institutions which have recruited some staff last year, according to the type of learning institution


- Universities and high learning institutions were more likely to advertise for some posts in the previous year ( 71.9 percent), followed by TVET ( 53.5 percent). Job advertising was low for primary education (37.4 percent).

Graph 2. 32: Percentage of learning institutions which have advertised some posts last year, according to type of learning institution.


- The prevalence of learning institutions which have ever used LMIS is trivial (2.8 percent). The prevalence level ranges from 2.7 percent in primary schools to 3.1 percent in TVET and higher institutions each.

Graph 2. 33: Percentage of learning institutions which have ever used the LMIS , according to type of learning institution


- Learning institutions which have never used LMIS have been asked about their awareness of LMIS: only 26.8 percent of respondents have heard about it. Awareness is relatively higher in universities and high learning institutions ( 36.7 percent) and TVET ( 35.5 percent) compared with secondary schools ( 29.3 percent) and primary schools ( 24.9 percent).

Graph 2. 34: Percentage of learning institutions which have not used LMIS but heard about it, according to type of learning institution


- Respondents who have heard about LMIS but never used it have been further questioned about the reason of not using it: a big portion reported 'no need' ( 35.3 percent), followed by complicated/cumbersome (29.3 percent) and other 'unspecified reasons' (27.5 percent).
- Slightly more than a third of learning institutions ( 34.2 percent) envisage hiring non-nationals in the future. The level is greatly higher in the universities and high learning institutions (93.8 percent) and lowest in Primary education (23.2 percent).

Graph 2. 35: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they envisage hiring non-nationals, according to type of learning institution


- The main reason of hiring non-nationals is 'better qualified', reported by 54.4 percent of learning institutions which envisage hiring non nationals, followed by 'more experienced' (15.3 percent).

Graph 2. 36: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non- nationals by the first important reason, according to type of learning institution


## Section H. Capacity utilization and relevance of training.

- This section is applicable only to higher learning institutions and TVET delivering A2 or higher certificate. Noteworthy is that few secondary schools (477) includes TVET sections delivering A2 or higher, hence they are applicable to this section too.
- About 14.8 percent of eligible learning institutions have reported that they face obstacles affecting capacity utilization. The obstacles are very wide spread amongst higher learning institutions ( 84.4 percent), followed by TVET ( 53.7 percent). The reported obstacles are numerous: the most imported of which is 'lack of teaching materials' ( 35.2 percent), 'financial/budget constraints' ( 26.4 percent) and lack of 'physical facilities <br>(building)' (22.9 percent). Lack of qualified staff is more profound in higher learning institutions ( 18.5 percent).
- The question of 'how do you ensure relevance of your training program to the labor market?' is meaningfully answered only by universities- as the unknown prevalence is very high for other learning institutions. The measures taken by universities to ensure relevance of training to the labor market are in order of importance: curriculum review with employers ( 37.5 percent)' and curriculum review with Council for higher education' (15.6 percent).


## Section I. Membership to employers' organizations

- About 19.0 percent of learning institutions is members of Employers organization/association. Membership to such organizations varies between 53.1 percent for universities and high learning institutions, 44.4 percent for TVET, 24.7 percent for secondary school and 14.1 percent for primary education.

Graph 2. 37: Percentage of learning institutions which are members of some organization or association, according to type of learning institution


- About 46.9 percent of members of above mentioned learning institutions, in the year preceding the survey, received some assistance or cooperation from these organizations. Recipients of such assistance/ cooperation are as high as 70.6 percent of eligible universities and high learning institutions compared with 63.7 percent of TVET, 52.2 percent of primary education and 35.3 percent of secondary education.

Graph 2. 38: Percentage of learning institutions which are members of organizations/associations and received some assistance from such organization/association, according to type of learning institution


- Besides the membership to external organizations, members were further asked whether they are also affiliated to any other organization/association. About 26.2 percent of eligible education establishments are affiliated to other organization. The affiliation prevalence varies from 29.1 percent for secondary education schools, 28.1 percent for universities and high learning institutions, 25.2 percent for primary education, and 19.2 percent for TVET.


## Section J. Gender

- A little more than 90 percent of learning institutions have a gender policy in place. The prevalence of learning institutions with a gender policy varies from 93.0 in primary education, to 89.0 in TVET, 85.6 in secondary education, and 81.3 for universities and high learning institutions.

Graph 2. 39: Percentage of learning institutions which have a gender policy, according to type of learning institution


## Section K. HIV/AIDS Policy at work place

- In general, about 96.7 percent of learning institutions have HIV/AIDS workplace policy in place. The prevalence of such learning institutions is highest in primary education (97.3 percent) and lowest in universities and high learning institutions ( 81.3 percent).

Graph 2. 40: Percentage of learning institutions having an HIV and AIDS workplace policy, according to type of learning institution


- The most common facilities involved in HIV/AIDS policy for learning institutions adopting such policy are VCT services (reported by 94.5 percent of eligible units) followed by workers rights (76.1 percent).


## Section L. Use of ICT

- A little more than a third of learning institutions ( 35.4 percent) have introduced ICT use. The prevalence of ICT use is universal ( 100.0 percent) at universities and high learning institutions. The prevalence is relatively low at primary education ( 23.3 percent) and secondary education (51.0 percent).

Graph 2. 41: Percentage of learning institutions which have introduced the use of ICT , according to type of learning institution


- Learning institutions using ICT have been asked about the effects of ICT use with regard to various activities. About 77.9 percent of eligible units have reported that the ICT-use has resulted in an increase/ improvement in Production or teaching, 91.5 percent in records management, 84.5 in Accounting, 56 percent in communication, 42.8 percent in human resource management, 10 percent in marketing, and 8.4 percent in employment.


### 3.3 Informal Sector Employer

## A. General information on Employer

- A total number of 1490 informal sector establishments have been surveyed. With regard to the gender distribution of respondent owners, 79.5 percent are males. Male respondent owners vary over provinces in such a way that about 67.3 percent of respondent owners in Kigali are males; 81.6 percent of respondent owners in Southern Province are males; 85.2 percent of respondent owners in Northern Province are males; 82.3 percent of respondent owners in Western province are males; and 85.7 percent of respondent owners in Eastern province are males.

Graph 3. 1: Percentage Distribution of Respondent Owners by Gender


- The majority of respondent owners ( 83.9 percent) are aged between 30 and 54 . While the very young respondent owner represent only 6.3 percent and respondent owners aged 50 and above amount to 9.8 percent of the whole sample. Generally, the sex specific age structure indicates younger male respondent owners population compared to that of females.
- The overwhelming majority ( 98.4 percent) of employees are of Rwandan nationality irrespective of Province.

Graph 3. 2: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by nationality


- The biggest percentage of responding owners (49.2 percent) are of primary education level, followed by those holding no level of education/ uneducated (19.4 percent) and then those with secondary O-level (12.9 percent).

Graph 3. 3: Percent Distribution of Owners by highest level of formal education


## B. Establishment Information

- A big percentage of establishments (41.5 percent) have started their operation between 2008 and 2010. In general about two thirds of all establishments in Rwanda were constructed since 2008. Kigali city and Southern province are the two provinces with the high number of new establishments (around 70 percent in each).

Graph 3. 4: Percentage distribution of establishments by the year of starting operations, according to Province


- The main economic activity of informal sector is wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motor cycles ( 42.1 percent) followed by accommodation and food service activities (26.2 percent).

Graph 3. 5: Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by main economic activity


- The legal status of private informal businesses is mostly sole proprietorship ( 92.1 percent) followed, but in a remote distance, by limited by share -LTD- (4.0 percent).
- The tenure type of establishment premises is rented in most cases ( 61.6 percent); followed by fully owned ( 34.8 percent). The percent of establishments with rented premises varies between 51.0 percent in Eastern Province to 77.9 in Kigali.

Graph 3. 6: Percentage distribution of establishments by ownership of premises


- The managers of establishments have been asked about the type of utilities they have as well as its sources. It has been found that 88.9 percents have toilet, 79.4 percent have waste disposal, 66.5 percent have electricity and 31.3 percent have water. Concerning the source of those utilities it was reported that water and electricity were provided by the government in 78.5 percent and 88.9 percent of establishments respectively. Toilet/Pit latrine and Waste disposal were provided by private sector in 93.4 percent and 88.3 percent of informal sector establishments respectively.


## C. Workload

- At provincial level, the average number of normal working days of an establishment per week in Kigali City is 6.4 days. In Southern Province, the average is 5.9 days. In Western province, the average is 6 days. In Northern Province, the average is 5.8 days. In Eastern Province the average is 6.1 days. At national level, the average number of normal working days of an establishment per week is 6.1 days.
- At provincial level, the average number of normal working hours of an establishment per day in Kigali City is 12 hours. In Southern Province, the average is 9.8 hours. In Western province, the
average is 10.5 hours. In Northern Province, the average is 10 hours. In Eastern Province the average is 10.6 hours. At National level, the average number of working hours per a working day of an establishment is 10.7 hours.

Graph 3. 7: Average working days per week and average working hours per day of Informal sector establishments


- Only 5.3 percent of informal sector establishments keep written business record. The percentages of establishments that keep written record vary slightly from one province to another. It varies from 4.1 percent in Northern Province to 6.6 percent in Southern province. For those that don't keep record, a question about the reason they don't do it. It was found that the main cause is the lack of skills ( 39.1 percent) followed by the lack of finance ( 35.5 percent), about 20.4 percent responded that records are not necessary.

Graph 3. 8: Percentage distribution of Establishments which don't keep regular business records by the reason


## D. Employee Characteristics and vacant posts

- The estimate of total number of employees in the three years preceding the survey shows an increasing trend. Employees increased from about 22.560 thousand employees in 2008 to 32.474 thousand employees in 2009 and to 41.930 thousand employees in 2010.

Graph 3. 9: Estimation of total number of working people in 2008, 2009 and 2010


- The estimate of labor turnover in the three years preceding the survey shows an increasing trend over the years of 2008, 2009 and 2010. The labor turnover increased from 3491 in 2008 to 3896 in 2009 and to 5783 in 2010.

Graph 3. 10: Estimation of working person's turnover in 2008, 2009 and 2010


- The total number of posts in informal establishments is estimated at 42856 posts approximately. The distribution of posts according to minimum educational requirements shows that about 1.3 percent of the posts require more than secondary A-level. On the other extreme 82.1 percent of the posts require less than secondary education. The remaining posts (about 16 percent) require secondary certificate A2.
- The predominant fields of education required by different occupation categories of permanent posts are 'general program ( 51.8 percent), Social sciences business and law (11.6 percent) and service ( 7.6 percent).
- The total number of employees in the informal sector organizations/establishments is estimated at about 61677 as of the 30 September, 2011. The overwhelming majority of employees are Rwandans ( 61227 representing 99.27 percent) and males ( 72.04 percent).

Graph 3. 11: Estimation of the total number of filled posts by nationality and gender


- The number of employees who do not meet the minimum educational requirements (unqualified) is estimated at 14355, equivalent to 23.3 percent of total employees. Most of unqualified employees ( 76.8 percent) are services and sales workers, followed by craft and related trade workers (11.4 percent) and managers ( 9.6 percent). With respect to the sex structure of unqualified employees in different posts, it was found that the majority of unqualified employees are males ( 71.9 percent). The percentage of unqualified males varies substantially with the occupation of occupied posts which it's minimum for professionals (48 percent) and maximum for plant and machine operators and assemblers ( 90.7 percent).

Graph 3. 12: Percentage distribution of unqualified staff by gender, according to occupation


- At National Level, Out of 1664 interviewed respondents, 20.5 percent expressed that their establishments have plans to increase/ decrease the number of employees between 2012 and 2021. There exists substantial variation over provinces with regard to the intended plan to increase/decrease the number of employees: the percentage ranges from 16.9 percent in Kigali to 26.6 percent in Southern Province.
- The total number of vacant posts in informal sector establishments as of the $30^{\text {th }}$ of September 2011 is estimated at 10333 posts. Considering the level of education required for the vacant posts, it is observed that 80.3 percent of the vacant posts require less than secondary education, while 17 percent requires secondary school degrees. Only 0.5 percent of vacant posts require a bachelor degree or above.
- With regard to the field of education required to fill the vacant posts It is found that 'general programs' occupies the highest level (43.3 percent); followed by 'social science, business and law' (14.6 percent); 'services' (12.3 percent) and 'Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction' (10.4 percent). Substantial variation over provinces with regard to the required field of education exists.
- Regardless of the vacant post's occupation, business growth is expressed as the main cause of vacant post existence.
- More than a fifth of respondents (21.1 percent) have expressed lack of skills in their establishments. The lacking skills are mainly in the areas of 'technical skills' (28.8 percent), followed by 'language skills' ( 21.6 percent), 'entrepreneurial skills' (16 percent), 'managerial skills' (10.4 percent) and 'customer care' ( 9.6 percent).

Graph 3. 13: Percentage distribution of establishments by reported most lacking skills


- About 73 percent of respondents reported that their establishments face challenges that limit staff training. The first of such challenges is basically 'lack of fund' expressed by 50.7 percent of establishments, followed by 'inadequate facilities/space' (14.3 percent),' shortage of skilled trainers' (13 percent) and 'inadequate training materials' ( 9.8 percent). The second and third challenges for those reported them emphasize, more or less, the same areas of lacking skills mentioned above.
- About 19.7 percent of establishments have hired TVET graduates. The variability over provinces is very large where the percentage of establishments hiring TVET graduates range from 11.1 percent in Northern Province to 25.3 percent in Kigali. The level of satisfaction with the performance of TVET graduates is fairly high since about 43.1 percent of respondents are 'fully satisfied' and 41.5 percent are 'partially satisfied'.
- The prevalence of informal sector establishments hiring university graduates is as low as 3.7 percent. The level of satisfaction with the performance of university graduates is nearly average: about 42.6 percent of respondents reported 'fully satisfied' and 32.6 percent reported 'partially satisfied'.
- Suggestions to improve education and training at TVET and universities have been gauged. With regard to TVET, technical skills is the prime area that needs improvement (expressed by 40.2 percent of respondents), followed by entrepreneurial skills (16.5 percent). Concerning universities suggestions to improve education dealt basically with five areas: entrepreneurial skills (reported by 17.2 percent of respondents), followed by technical skills (15.6 percent),
managerial skills (12 percent), innovativeness/creativity ( 8.8 percent) and language skills ( 6.1 percent).

Graph 3. 14: Percentage distribution of by the most important suggestion to improve education in higher institutions and TVET


- The magnitude of informal sector establishments having institutionalized industrial attachment/apprenticeship or internship program is as low as 2.5 percent, however an additional 7.9 percent of establishments provide such training facilities in an informal/occasional basis.
- The total number of annual interns is estimated at 7944 , the number of male interns (4330) is fairly higher than that of females (3614). The total numbers of hired interns in 2009, 2010 and 2011 are estimated at 786,851 and 869 respectively. It is noticed that the Southern province hires the least number of interns in all years.


## E. Capital/Revenues

- Employers of informal sector businesses have been asked about the major source of start-up capital: The key reported source is 'own saving' which has been declared by 65.9 percent of respondents, followed by loans from commercial banks (11.6 percent). An Insubstantial variability over provinces is observed.

Graph 3. 15: Percentage distribution of establishments by major source of start-up capital


## F. Expenditure- Sourcing of required staff/ Hiring of Non-nationals

- The Total expenditure of informal sector establishments for permanent labor in September 2011 is estimated at 1147.3 million, the largest portion of which ( 93 percent) is directed to wages and salaries (including overtime payment) and the smallest portion (less than .02 percent) is devoted for training. The mean expenditure per establishment for permanent labor amounts to 63.5 thousand FRW, whereas the mean expenditure per permanent worker in the mentioned month is estimated at 80834 FRW. The main expenditure for permanent labor is highest in Kigali (109772) followed by Southern province (104682) and lowest in Eastern province (54518).
- The relative size of informal sector establishments producing exportable goods or services is extremely low ( 7.5 percent). The prevalence of such establishments ranges from 3.5 percent in Northern Province to 13 percent in Kigali. Only 18.5 percent out of the establishments producing exportable goods have experienced exportation in 2011. The EAC markets are the main exports destination ( 82.6 percent), followed by other African markets ( 34.2 percent) and Non-African markets ( 21.5 percent). Prior to 2011, about 12.1 percent of establishments producing exportable goods have experienced exportation, directed mostly to EAC markets ( 67.4 percent), followed by other African markets ( 39.4 percent) and non-African markets ( 33.2 percent). More than two fifths ( 42.4 percent) of establishments producing exportable products have a plan to export their products in the future.
- Generally, friends/relatives are the prime source of recruiting low skilled personnel: this source has been reported by 76.8 percent of respondents as the first priority, and by 18.4 percent of respondents, reported at least two sources, as the second priority. Similarly, establishments hiring high skilled workers depend mostly on friends/relatives for sourcing indicated staff (91.9 percent) as the first priority, and by 4.9 percent and 1.2 percent as the second and the third sourcing priority respectively.
- The percentage of establishments which envisage hiring non-nationals is as low as 8.7 percent. The prevalence of such establishments fluctuates between 6 percent in Northern Province to 11.8 percent in Kigali City. The key reasons why some establishments accept hiring non-nationals is 'better qualified' reported by 56.7 percent of eligible establishments as the first reason, and by 21.8 percent as the second reason ,for those reported at least two reasons, and by 10.2 percent as the third reason, for those reported three reasons. The second important reason of hiring non-nationals is 'productive' reported by 29.5 percent of eligible establishments as the first reason, and by 66.7 percent as the second reason, for those reported at least two reasons, and by 10.1 percent as the third reason, for those reported three reasons. The non-national workers are thought to be mainly from EAC or other African countries.


## G. Membership to employees' organizations

- The membership of informal sector establishments in employees' organizations is very limited: only 11.1 percent of the said establishments have reported such membership. Yet, only 27.7 percent of the member establishments have received some kind of cooperation from the organization which the establishment is member of.

Graph 3. 16: Percentage of establishments which are members of some organization / association, according to province


## H. Employment Working Condition

- The most common employee contractual mode of informal sector establishments is 'oral contract' (reported by 54.3 percent of establishments), followed by 'no contract' reported by 33.4 percent of establishments. Variability over provinces is insubstantial.


## I. HIV/AIDS Policy at workplace

- At national level, about 34.3 percent of informal sector units have HIV/AIDS workplace policy in place. The prevalence of such informal sector units is highest in Western Province (38.5 percent) followed by the Eastern Province ( 37.2 Percent). In third place comes the southern province with 34.7 percent, followed by the Northern Province with 34.1. Kigali City has the lowest percentage (29.4 percent)

Graph 3. 17: Percentage of establishments having a HIV/AIDS workplace policy by province


- The most common facilities involved in HIV/AIDS policy for informal sector units adopting such policy are VCT services (reported by 96.7 percent of eligible units) followed by workers rights ( 52.7 percent) and free condom distribution for workers (12.9 percent). Other facilities are the Free ARVs for workers who are HIV positive ( 3.6 percent) and Free food rations for workers who are HIV positive (3.1 percent)
- Among the reported difficulties affecting business operations/growth is the lack of customers/ marketing (expressed by 46.6 percent of respondents) followed by the difficulty to access finance (17.8 percent), high taxes and license fees ( 7.5 percent) and the nonpayment of debts by some customers ( 7 percent). Other difficulties have also been mentioned namely access to energy ( 4.8
percent), lack of space/ land ( 2.5 percent), increased competition (1.6 percent), lack of raw materials (1.5 percent).


## J. Challenges of business expansion

- Among the reported difficulties affecting business operations of responding establishments, the lack of customers/ marketing ranks high ( 46.6 percent) followed by the difficulty to access finance ( 17.8 percent), high taxes and license fees ( 7.5 percent) and the non payment of debts by some of their customers ( 7 percent). Other difficulties have also been mentioned namely access to energy ( 4.8 percent), lack of space/ land ( 2.5 percent), increased competition (1.6 percent), lack of raw materials (1.5 percent) etc...

Graph 3. 18: Percent distribution of establishments by the most important difficulty affecting their operations/growth


### 3.4. Formal Sector Employees

## A. General personal information

- The total size of implemented sample amounts to 17345 employees, about a half of them belongs to private businesses. While the samples of public/ government organizations and health institutions are in the same vicinity ( 20 percent of total sample), the NGO's sample represents only 5.7 percent of the whole sample.

Graph 4. 1: Percent distribution of sampled employee by activity sector


- In total, only about 37.5 percent of respondents are females. The prevalence of females is highest ( 57.1 percent) at health institutions and lowest at NGO's ( 24.0 percent). The majority of employees ( 60 percent) are aged between 20 and 34 . While the very young employees represent only 2.7 percent and employees aged 50 and above amount to 3.7 percent of the whole sample. Generally, the female age structure indicates younger female employee population compared to males.

Graph 4. 2: Percent distribution of employees by sex according to activity sector and age group



- More than a half (57.9 percent) of respondents is currently married, while never married (single) amounts to 39.4 percent. The marital structure shows some variation over activity sector categories: where for private businesses the relative sizes of currently married (49.7 percent) persons is nearly of the same magnitude as of never married ( 47.8 percent), the percentage of married is much higher and the percentage of never married is much lower for other activity sector categories

Graph 4. 3: Percentage distribution of employees by marital status according to activity sector


- The overwhelming majority ( 97.2 percent) of employees are of Rwandan nationality irrespective of the activity sector category.

Graph 4. 4: Percentage distribution of employees by nationality, according to activity sector


- The prevalence of disability among employees of formal units is as low as 1.7 percent: it is highest for health institutions ( 2.4 percent) and lowest for private businesses (1.4 percent). Physical disability, particularly related to limbs, is the most prevalent type ( 71.3 percent).

Graph 4. 5: Percentage of employees by whether they have disability or not, according to activity sector


## B. Nature of current employment

- The occupational structure of employees working for formal sector units reveals that about 21.2 percent of employees are technical and associate professionals, 17.7 percent are services and sales workers, 17.3 percent are of elementary occupations and 10.6 are managers. The occupational structure varies to large extent with activity sector categories: While for government/ public organizations employees concentrate on the occupations of managers (23.2 percent); professionals (23.8 percent) and technical and associate professionals (21.9 percent); most employees of private businesses are basically of elementary occupations ( 26.9 percent) or working as services and sales workers ( 25.3 percent), whereas for health institutions a little below a half of employees ( 46.5 percent) are technical and associate professionals and more than a quarter of them (27.1 percent) are professionals. As far NGO's are concerned, employees concentrate on the occupations of professionals ( 28.4 percent), technical and associate professionals ( 28.3 percent) and services and sales workers ( 16.4 percent). In general, the big majority of employees are permanent workers ( 88.4 percent), temporary and daily workers amount respectively to 5.6 percent and 4.6 percent. The distribution of employees according to the nature of employment contract shows slight variation over activity sector categories.

Graph 4. 6: Percent distribution of employee by occupation according to activity sector


- The most common method to obtain a job in the formal sector units is passing a test and get appointed (almost about 47.2 percent of employees have experienced this method), followed by nomination by higher authority ( 24.9 percent) and through relatives or friends (17.0 percent). These three methods of job hunting are common over activity sector categories though they have different values from a category to another.

Graph 4. 7: Percent distribution of employees by the method followed to get a job, according to activity sector


- A big majority of employees ( 88.9 percent) started working for their present unit from the year of 2000 and onward. Generally, there is an increasing trend over years, in the percentage of
employees who started working in the present unit for the first time. No substantial variation over activity sector is noticed.

Graph 4. 8: Percentage distribution of employee by the year of starting the job, according to activity sector


- Employees were asked whether their current job matches their official education/training. Most employees perceived a proper match between their job and education ( 84.6 percent). The percent reporting a proper match is highest (92.2 percent) in health institutions and lowest (78.9 percent) in private businesses

Graph 4. 9: Percentage distribution of employee by whether their current job matches their official education/trainings, according to activity sector


- About 56 percent of employees have had a previous job different from the current one after reaching 15 years of age. The percentage of such employees ranges from 48.6 percent for those
working in private businesses to 68.1 percent for those working in public/government organizations.

Graph 4. 10: Percentage of employees who previously worked in other establishment


- The type of establishment previously worked for is mostly either a company ( 46.2 percent) or ministry/public institutions (43.1 percent). These two major types of previous establishments are common for all activity sector categories but with, to somewhat, varying levels between a category and another.

Graph 4. 11: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the type of establishments they previously worked for, according to activity sector


- The previous occupational structure of employees who previously worked for other establishments shows higher prevalence of professionals (26.3 percent), technical and associate
professionals (17.9 percent), services and sales workers (16.3 percent) and managers (9.2 percent) compared to other occupational categories. The previous occupational structure of employees in private businesses is somewhat different from those of other categories of activity sector.

Graph 4. 12: Percentage of employees who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in establishment they previously worked for, according to activity sector


- As far as occupational mobility is concerned, it has been found that 68.2 percent of presently managers were moving from lower occupational categories in their previous establishment; About 39.4 percent of presently professionals were moving from other occupations, mostly lower, in their previous establishments; about 51.6 percent of presently technical or associate professional were moving from other occupations, mostly higher, in their previous establishments; about 68.5 percent of presently clerical and support workers were moving from other occupations, mostly higher, in their previous establishments; about 46.9 percent of presently services and sales workers were moving from other occupation categories, whether higher or lower, in their previous establishments; about 41.6 percent of presently craft and related trade workers were moving from higher occupational categories in their previous establishments; about 36.7 percent of presently plant and machine operators and assemblers were moving from other occupational categories ,mostly higher, in their previous establishments and finally about 58.6 of presently engaged in elementary occupations were moving from other occupations, mostly higher, in their previous establishments.

Graph 4. 13: Percentage distribution of employees by their current occupation according to the positions of previous occupations compared to the current one


- Regarding the duration of service in the previous job, it is found that slightly less than a half (48.6 percent) of employees previously worked in other establishments had a service duration there of no more than two years, about 21.2 percent had worked there for $3-4$ years, and 14.5 percent had worked for 5-7 years. Hence only 15.7 percent of them had worked there for more than 7 years.

Graph 4. 14: Percentage distribution of employees by working experience (in years) in establishment they previously worked for, according to activity sector


- Information was collected from employees previously worked for other establishments about the entire duration of work in specified type of establishments/organizations including the present one. In general, the mean work duration in all organizations is 9.4 years: divided as 4.4 in
'ministry and other public institutions'; 3.9 in a 'company' and less than 6 months in each of the remaining specified organizations. The mean work duration ranges from 8.9 years for those presently work at private businesses to 13.2 years for those presently work at NGO's.

Graph 4. 15: Mean service period in years of previous experience in different organizations


- Employees were asked about the reason why they quit their previous job. More than a quarter (26.5 percent) reported 'underpayment' was the main reason, 15.2 percent reported 'restructuring', 11.9 percent and 11.6 percent reported the reason was respectively 'poor working conditions' and 'family commitments'. The magnitude of unspecified answers is extraordinary too big ( 24 percent), most probably 'others' was mistakenly stated instead of one of given alternatives. So the answers of 'others' needs correction and/or recoding.

Graph 4. 16: Percent distribution of employee who worked in other establishment before by reason why they left their previous job, according to activity sector


- The first job, for those previously worked for other establishments, matched the employee education in 79.3 percent of the cases. The percent of employees with education matched first job ranges from 73.8 percent for those presently work at private businesses to 86.6 percent for those presently work at health institutions.

Graph 4. 17: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their previous job marched their education, according to activity sector


- All employees irrespective of their previous work status were asked about their educational attainment when they first entered the labor market: the concentration was noticed for the
educational categories of 'primary' (20.3 percent', 'vocational training/TVET' (16.3 percent), 'secondary' ( 35.8 percent) and 'tertiary' (16.1 percent). Slight variation over activity sector categories exists.

Graph 4. 18: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of education when they first entered the labour marker.


- Approximately a half of the employees ( 51.4 percent) found their first job within a year of their graduation. Those who kept searching for a job for 3 years or more amounts to 22.5 percent of all employees.


## C. Formal educational Background

- The most popular levels of educational attainment of employees in the formal sector units are' bachelors' (18.9 percent), 'secondary-A level' (20.8 percent), 'primary '(19.2 percent) and 'none' (11.2 percent). The employee educational structure varies considerably over activity sector categories.

Graph 4. 19: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of formal education, according to activity sector


- Employees with Secondary A-level and above were asked about the field of study: the results indicate that about 44.7 percent of employees have social sciences, business and law as the field of study, followed by health and welfare programs ( 25.7 percent). The employee field of study varies to large extent over activity sector categories.

Graph 4. 20: Percentage distribution of employees with secondary A level and above by the field of studies, according to activity sector

D. Vocational training/ other training/type of training undertaken in the past

- About a half of the employees ( 50.4 percent) have received other training since they joined the present employer. The prevalence of such kind of training is as low as 29.4 percent in private businesses and as high as 77.7 percent in Health institutions. The most common training fields are social sciences, business and law (37.9 percent) and health and welfare ( 31.8 percent). About one third of pertinent NGO's employees have received training in humanities and arts.

Graph 4. 21: Percentage of employees who have received any kind of training since they joined the current employer


- The most common training modes were 'on-the- job' (reported by 56.9 percent of concerned employees), followed by formal training institutions ( 26.2 percent) and apprenticeship (16 percent). Slight variability in the structure of training mode over activity sectors was observed. The training period is averaged less than a month ( 0.8 month), it ranges from 0.3 month in health institutions to 3.3 months in NGO's.

Graph 4. 22: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the means of training, according to activity sector


- Generally, the purpose of such mentioned training was not to get a formal degree, as 'no educational qualification' was reported by 51.2 percent of those undertook such training, and only a certificate was received by 48.5 percent of concerned trainees. Mainly the training has been undertaken in Rwanda (94.1 percent)
- Employees did not receive any training since they had joined the present employers were asked about the reason, The reported main reasons are 'not offered to me personally' ( 46.7 percent), 'no training policy in place' (24.4 percent) and ' no training needed for my job profile' (23.9 percent). Apparently the reason for not having training has similar pattern for public/government units and health organizations and other similar pattern for private businesses and NGO's.
- Almost one third of employees are involved in designing the training plan of the enterprise/organization, employee involvement is much lower in private businesses (24.1 percent) compared to other activity sector categories for which the level exceeds 40 percent.
- A big majority of employees (78.4 percent) expressed the need for acquiring some skills to improve their performance. The prevalence of those in need for some skills is lowest in private businesses ( 67.8 percent) and highest in health institutions ( 92.1 percent). The area of lacking skills is largely dependent on the nature of the activity sector: the lacking skills in social sciences, business and law is highest in public/government units ( 47.8 percent) and private businesses (31.1 percent), whereas the area of health and welfare is highest in health institutions (72.5 percent) and humanities and arts is highest in NGO's (42 percent).

Graph 4. 23: Percentage of employees who have reported that they need any specific skills to improve their performances, according to activity sector


- Language proficiency has been inquired about. In general, 41.8 percent of employees in formal sector units are able to speak English and 41.3 percent are able to write in English; about 58.4 are able to speak French and 57.7 percent are able to write in French; about 98.2 percent are able to speak Kinyarwanda and 91.7 percent are able to write in Kinyarwanda and about 28.9 percent are able to speak Swahili and 40.8 percent are able to write in Swahili.


## E. Performance appraisal

- Slightly more than a quarter of employees (26.1 percent) in formal sector units have never had performance appraisal, Ad-hoc appraisal is done for about 26.4 percent of employees having had performance appraisal. When appraisal is done regularly it is almost quarterly (24.4 percent) or annually ( 20.2 percent). In most cases, appraisal is done either by immediate supervisor (31.7 percent), general manager/director (23.9 percent), department head (20 percent) or HR officer (14.4 percent). The overwhelming majority of employees receive appraisal feedback.


## F. Career growth

- In total, approximately one in five employees has been promoted since he/she joined the present enterprise/organization. Promotion is more prevalent at NGO's (28.1 percent) and less prevalent at health institutions (17.8 percent). More than a half of the promotions occurred within the two years preceding the survey. Such recent promotion is more widespread in private businesses ( 59.7 percent) and the public/government units ( 56.4 percent).

Graph 4. 24: Percentage of employees who reported that they have promoted, according to activity sector


## G. Working terms and conditions

- The average working hours per week of employees in formal sector units is about 53.3 hours. It is lowest at NGO's ( 44.6 hours) and highest at private businesses ( 55.6 hours). The average working hours is relatively higher ( 67.3 hours) for services and sales workers and relatively lower (48.4 hours) for professionals.

- In total, a little below two thirds of employees (66.2) are entitled to annual leave. Annual leave entitlement varies considerably over activity sector: it is as low as 44.9 percent for private businesses employees and as high as 96 percent for health institutions. The mean length of annual leave is about 28 calendar days, equivalent to about 20 working days. Slight variations across activity sector categories and occupation categories are observed.

Graph 4. 26: Percentage of employees who are entitled to annual leave days, according to activity sector


- It takes more than half an hour to get to work place for only 37.5 percent of all employees, particularly those of elementary occupations ( 61 percent). The most common mode of transport is 'on foot' (59.7 percent) followed by 'public transport' (22.7 percent).
- About 57.9 of employees are entitled to medical care assistance from the employer. The prevalence of this benefit is as low as 34.5 percent in private businesses and as high as 87.4 percent in health institutions and 87 percent in public/government organizations. The prevalence of health care assistance is extremely low (19.3 percent) among employees with elementary occupations and relatively low ( 35.7 percent) among services and sales workers. The coverage of health care assistance is only partial for the big majority of employees ( 88.4 percent). The coverage extends to families of about 72.7 percent of employees.

Graph 4. 27: Percentage of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance according to occupation


- Employees may enjoy some other benefits provided by employers, the most common of such benefits are maternal and paternal leave (provided totally to about 72.1 percent of employees and partially to about 2.1 percent of employees ); paid annual leave (provided totally to about 61.7 percent of employees and partially to about 2.5 percent of employees ); clothing/uniform (provided totally to about 43.4 percent of employees and partially to about 5.6 percent of employees ); terminal benefits (provided totally to about 42.7 percent of employees and partially to about 3.3 percent of employees ) and protective gear (provided totally to about 40.6 percent of employees and partially to about 6.0 percent of employees ).

Graph 4. 28: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by occupation


- More than a half of employees ( 55.5 percent) reported that no salary raise is granted, this is particularly more common among employees of health institutions ( 72.2 percent). Even for those reported receiving salary increment, about 15.9 percent of them receives the raise once every a period exceeding three years. The vast majority of employees ( 80.2 percent) receive no annual inflation-adjustment raise.


## H. Labor rights and related issues

- A little below one third of all employees (32 percent) are members of a trade union or other collective bargaining association, such membership varies to large extent with occupation: it ranges from 17.9 percent for services and sales workers to 44.6 percent for technical and associate professionals. Concerning activity sector differentials membership in mentioned organizations is lowest ( 22 percent) for private businesses and highest ( 51.1 percent) for health institutions. The non-membership of the majority of non-member employees is mostly attributed to unawareness of such trade unions or collective bargaining associations (81.4 percent).

Graph 4. 29: Percentage of who are member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to occupation


- About 72.9 percent of employees reported that Employers regularly contribute to employee social security fund. The level varies considerably with occupation: it is lowest (46.3 percent) for elementary occupations and highest ( 90.8 percent) for managers. In addition, an intangible differential over activity sector categories exists: the level ranges from 57.3 percent for Private businesses to 94 percent for health institutions.

Graph 4. 30: Percentage of employees whose employer contributes for them regularly to the social security fund, according to activity sector


- The information on exposure to hazardous work conditions indicates that about 32.1 percent of employees are exposed to extreme dust or toxic gases; 23 percent are exposed to extreme
noises; 26.1 percent are exposed to extreme temperature or humidity and 39 percent are exposed to dangerous tools or animals.

Graph 4. 31: Percentage of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to specified dangers


## I. Challenges at workplace

- The reported challenges at workplace are mostly fatigue (reported by 33.7 percent of employees); followed by excessive workload/hours (reported by 32.1 percent of employees); underpayment of salary (18.9 percent) and dependants (13 percent). Moderate variations in challenges prevalence over activity sector and occupational categories exist. The reported most common ways of reacting against faced challenges at work place are 'talk to supervisor' (50.2 percent); 'talk to a family member' ( 44.6 percent); 'talk to a friend' (42 percent); 'ignore them' (35 percent) and 'inform HR management' (33.4 percent). Insubstantial differential by economic sector and occupational categories is observed.


## J. Gender

- About 69.6 percent of employees in formal sector enterprises/organizations reported that their organizations have a gender policy in place. The reported prevalence of such policy is lowest in private businesses ( 59.1 percent) and highest in public/governmental units ( 85.4 percent). In addition, the vast majority of employees ( 83.9 percent) declared that there is no preferential treatment due to sex in their organizations. Furthermore, employee opinion on whether organizations/enterprises should practice some specified form of preferential treatment based on sex has been gauged, the suggested major preferential treatments are in order 'maternity
leave' (89.3 percent); 'quota for women in management'(57.7 percent); 'overall quota for women'(51.2 percent);' differential retirement age'(36.2 percent) and 'preferential recruitment for women' (24.3 percent).

Graph 4. 32: Percentage of employees by their opinions on whether any establishment should practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of preferential treatment


## K. Use of ICT

- Employees in formal sector units reported that the majority of their organizations/enterprise ( 78.2 percent) has introduced ICT facilities; the reported prevalence of ICT facilities is lowest at NGO's (62.1 percent) and highest at health institutions ( 92.4 percent) and public/government organizations (89.9 percent). Employees whose organizations have ICT facilities in place have been asked about what ICT is used for: about 84.8 percent responded it is used for 'records management'; 82.3 percent responded it is used for' 'accounting/finance/budgeting'; 79 percent responded it is used for 'production'; 67.4 percent responded it is used for 'communication'; 48.5 percent responded it is used for 'human resource management 'and 42.5 percent responded it is used for 'marketing'. The big majorities of employees perceived that ICT use has resulted in an increase/improvement in different aspects of performance including 'records management' ( 81.9 percent); 'accounting/finance/budgeting' ( 79.6 percent); 'production ( 77.4 percent); communication' (65.1 percent); 'human resource management' ( 46.3 percent) and 'marketing' (41.1 percent). Slight variations over activity sector categories are observed.

Graph 4. 33: Percentage of employees reported that their organisation has introduced the use of ICT , according to activity sector


- Employees have been inquired whether they personally use any of ICT facilities shown on a list: The responses indicate that 'access to internet' is the most used ICT facilities( 46.4 percent), followed by 'private access to email'(43.9 percent), 'shared computer' ( 38.9 percent) , 'individual computer' (34.4 percent) and 'common access to email' (34.3 percent). Generally, ICT facilities irrespective of its type are least utilized in private businesses compared to other activity sector categories. In addition, the needs of employees for specified ICT facilities to help them perform their daily work has been evaluated: the need for 'access to internet' has been expressed by 63.9 percent of respondents, followed by 'private access to email' ( 59.5 percent), 'individual computer' ( 58.6 percent), 'common access to email' (51 percent) and 'shared computer' ( 44.5 percent). The needs for individual ICT facilities have been expressed in lower levels at private businesses compared with other activity sector categories. Employees using individual computers have been asked whether they feel properly equipped to make full use of the potential of ICT at workplace: less than a half of concerned employees responded positively. The prevalence of self-reported properly equipped employees to make full use of ICT is lowest (33.6 percent) in health institutions and as high as 52.5 percent for NGO's, 55.8 percent for public/governmental units and 59.5 percent for private businesses.


## L. Earnings

- The overall monthly gross and net earnings of employees in formal sector enterprises/organizations from their present employment is averaged at 202.2 thousand FRW for the former and 146.9 thousand FRW for the latter. The average gross monthly salary ranges from 142.8 thousand FRW in private businesses to 336.4 thousand FRW in public/governmental units. In regard with occupational differential, the average gross monthly salary ranges from as low as 32.4 thousand FRW for elementary occupations to as high as 459.9 thousand FRW for managers.

Graph 4. 34: Mean monthly earning (in thousands RWF) by occupation


- About 8.8 percent of employees have secondary occupation. The most common secondary occupation for those having it is 'skill agricultural, forestry and fishery workers' (49.9 percent) followed by 'service and sales workers' (16.3 percent), the activity sector differential is insubstantial. The average annual gross income from all additional jobs is estimated at 880 thousands


## M. HIV/AIDS at workplace

- Employees were inquired whether their organizations/enterprises have an HIV/AIDS policy in place: about 53.1 percent responded positively, the self-reported prevalence of HIV/AIDS policy ranges from 32.3 percent in private businesses to 87.4 percent in health institutions. In addition, the provided services have been asked about: the availability of VCT services is reported by 96.9 percent of employees whose organizations have the mentioned policy; followed by ensuring equal rights ( 74.6 percent); free condom distribution ( 54.6 percent); free ARVs for HIV+ workers (38.1 percent) and free food for HIV+ workers (17.7 percent). Apart from VCT services, a
substantial differential in the prevalence of other services over activity sector categories is demonstrated.

Graph 4. 35: Percentage of employees who reported that their establishments have an HIV/AIDS policy, according to activity sector


## N. Job search and candidate preferences

- The results reveal high stability in the present job: only 10.2 percent of employees looking for a different job. In most cases the targeted occupation is similar or higher than the present one. Conversely, the majority of employees ( 76.9 percent) are willing to change their current residence. The main purpose for their desire to move is to get better salary/benefit ( 82.6 percent). Most of those willing to move ( 63.7 percent) are indifferent regarding the destination country, while 30 percent of them are willing to move to other place within Rwanda. Those who are willing to move to other place inside Rwanda or to any other EAC country are basically for family reasons (58.2 percent).


### 3.5. Education Sector Employee

## A. General personal information

- The estimate of total employees in learning institutions amounts to 82494 employees, over a half of them belongs to primary education ( 58.5 percent), followed by employees in secondary level(33.0 percent) while the employees of TVET education represents 5.7 percent, the university 's employees represents only 2.7 percent of the whole study population.

Graph 5. 1: Percentage distribution of employees by learning institutions


- In total, only about 41 percent of respondents are females. The prevalence of males is highest (77.4 percent) in TVET and lowest in Primary (50.2 percent).

Graph 5. 2: Percent distribution of employee by sex according to learning institution


- The majority of employees ( 63.7 percent) are aged between 25 and 39 . While the very young employees, below 20, represent only 0.3 percent and employees aged 50 and above amount to
3.9 percent of the whole employee population. Generally, age structure indicates no major difference between males and females employees.

Graph 5. 3: Percent distribution of employees by age group


- More than a half ( 58.2 percent) of respondents is currently married, while never married (single) amounts to 38.6 percent. The marital structure shows some variation among learning institution types : where for secondary level the relative sizes of currently married (49.7 percent)persons is nearly of the same magnitude as of never married (47.9 percent), the percentage of married is higher and the percentage of never married is lower in other types of learning institution

Graph 5. 4: Percentage distribution of employees by marital status according to learning institution


- The overwhelming majority ( 95.7 percent) of employees in learning institutions are of Rwandan nationality irrespective of institution type. The prevalence of disability among employees of learning institutions is as low as 1.8 percent: it is the same in primary and TVET ( 2.1 percent) and lowest in University (1.1 percent).Physical disability, particularly related to limbs, is the most prevalent type (54.4 percent).


## B.NATURE OF CURRENT EMPLOYMENT

- The occupational structure of employees in learning institutions are about 77.3 percent of employees working as professionals, 10.5 percent as services and sales workers, 4.4 percent as of elementary occupations and 5 percent are managers. The occupational structure varies to large extent with learning institution types: Primary learning institution employees concentrate on the occupations of Professionals ( 87.6 percent); managers ( 3.7 percent) and services and sales workers ( 5.5 percent). Most employees in Secondary schools are similarly of professional occupations ( 63.5 percent), managers ( 6.3 percent), working as services and sales workers (17.9 percent), whereas for TVET institutions a little higher percentage of employees (23.4 percent) work as services and sales workers, and slightly more than a half of them ( 53.2 percent) are professionals. As far as universities are concerned, employees concentrate on the occupations of professionals ( 75.4 percent) and managers ( 15.8 percent).

Graph 5. 5: Percentage distribution of employee by occupation according to learning institution


- In general, the big majority of employees are permanent workers ( 93 percent), temporary and daily workers amount respectively to 5.3 percent and 0.6 percent.
- The distribution of employees according to the nature of employment contract shows slight variation over learning institution categories, but most work on the basis of written contract (42.1 percent) or under statute (45.2 percent).

Graph 5. 6: Percentage distribution of employee by the type of contract


- The most common method to obtain a job in learning institutions is to be nominated (almost about 43.8 percent of employees have experienced this method), followed by passing a test and get appointed ( 35.4 percent) and through relatives or friends ( 9.6 percent). These three methods of job hunting are common over all types of learning institutions thought they have different values from one type to another.


## Graph 5. 7: Percentage distribution of employees by the method followed to get a job



- A big majority of employees (39 percent) started working for their present unit from the year of 2010 and above, and during the years 2004-2009 where they were ( 36.5 percent). Generally, there is an increasing trend over years, in the percentage of employees who started working in the present unit for the first time. No substantial variation over learning institution is noticed.

Graph 5. 8: Percentage distribution of employee by the year of starting the job


- Employees were asked whether their current job matches their official education/training: most employees perceived a proper match between their job and education ( 83.3 percent). The percent reporting a proper match is highest ( 92.2 percent) in university and lowest (75.4 percent) in TVET.

Graph 5. 9: Percentage distribution of employee by whether their current job matches their official education/trainings, according to Type of learning institution


- About 47.2percent of employees have had a previous job different from the current one after reaching 15 years of age. The percentage of such employees ranges from 44.2 percent for those working in primary schools to 65.3 percent for those working in universities.

Graph 5. 10: Percentage distribution of employees by whether the current employment is the first one, according to Type of learning institution


- The type of establishment previously worked for is mostly either a company ( 25.6 percent) or ministry/public institutions ( 58.6 percent). These two major types of previous establishments are common for all Learning institutions but with, to somewhat, varying levels between one learning institution type and another.

Graph 5. 11: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the type of establishment they previously worked for, according to Type of learning institution


- The previous occupational structure of employees who previously worked for other establishments shows higher prevalence of professionals ( 63 percent), services and sales workers (12.2 percent) and managers ( 6.9 percent) compared to other occupational categories. The previous occupational structure of employees in universities is somewhat different from those of other categories of learning institutions.

Graph 5. 12: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in establishment they previously worked for, according to type of learning institution


- As far as occupational mobility is concerned, it has been found that 80.8 percent of presently managers were moving from lower occupational categories in their previous establishment; About 23.7 percent of presently professionals were moving from other occupations, mostly lower, in their previous establishments; about 79.8 percent of presently technical or associate professional were moving from other occupations, mostly higher, in their previous establishments; about 44 percent of presently clerical and support workers were moving from other occupations, mostly higher, in their previous establishments; about 42.5 percent of presently services and sales workers were moving from other occupation categories, whether higher or lower, in their previous establishments; about 71.9 percent of presently skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers were mostly moving from lower occupation in their previous establishment; about 50.3 percent of presently craft and related trade workers were moving mostly from higher occupational categories in their previous establishments; about 10 percent of presently plant and machine operators and assemblers were moving from other occupational categories ,mostly higher, in their previous establishments and finally about 45percent of presently engaged in elementary occupations were moving from other occupations , mostly higher, in their previous establishments.

Graph 5. 13: Percentage distribution of employees by their current occupation according to the positions of previous occupations compared to the current one


- Regarding the duration of service in the previous job, it is found that slightly less than a half (47.3 percent) of employees previously worked in other establishments had a service duration there of no more than two years, about 20.8 percent had worked there for $3-4$ years, and 15.4 percent had worked for 5-7 years. Hence only 16.5 percent of them had worked there for more than 7 years.

Graph 5. 14: Percentage distribution of employees by working experience (in years) in establishment they previously worked for


- Employees were asked about the reason why they quit their previous job. Some staff (14.7 percent) reported 'underpayment' was the main reason, 10.3 percent reported 'restructuring', 12.3 percent and 19.4 percent reported the reason was respectively 'poor working conditions' and 'family commitments'. The magnitude of unspecified answers is extraordinary too big (26.5 percent), most probably 'others' was mistakenly stated instead of one of given alternatives. So the answers of 'others' needs correction and/or recoding.
- Information was collected from employees previously worked for other establishments about the entire duration of work in specified type of establishments/organizations including the present one. In general, the mean work duration in all organizations is 9.7 years: divided as 6.8 in 'ministry and other public institutions'; 1.5 in a 'company' and less than 6 months in each of the remaining specified organizations. The mean work duration ranges from 7.8 years for those currently work for secondary schools to 11.2 years for those presently work in universities.
- The first job, for those previously worked for other establishments, matched the employee education in 69.8 percent of the cases. The percent of employees with education matched first job ranges from 61.1 percent for those presently work in secondary level to 95.3 percent for those presently work in universities

Graph 5. 15: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their previous job marched their education, according to Type of learning institution


- All employees irrespective of their previous work status were asked about their educational attainment when they first entered the labor market: the concentration was noticed for the educational categories of 'secondary' ( 64.0 percent', 'vocational training/TVET' (12.8 percent),
'tertiary' ( 9.8 percent) and 'primary' (8.7 percent). Some variation over learning institutions categories exists.

Graph 5. 16: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of education when they first entered the labour marker, according to Type of learning institution


- More than a half of the employees ( 57.7 percent) found their first job within a year of their graduation. Those who kept searching for a job for 3 years or more amounts to 17.2 percent of all employees.

Graph 5. 17: Percent distribution of employees by the time it took them to find their first job after turning 15 years old


## B. Formal educational Background

The most popular levels of educational attainment of employees in learning institutions are 'secondary-A level' (46.8 percent),"certificate level-TVET"(15.5 Percent) "bachelors" (11.5), and 'primary and Secondary-O Level '(10.3 percent) and "Diploma level-A1" ( 8.3 percent). The employee educational structure varies considerably over learning institutions categories.

Graph 5. 18: Percentage distribution of employee by their highest level of formal education, according to Type of learning institution


- Employees with Secondary A-level and above were asked about the field of study: the results indicate that about 56.9 percent of employees have education as the field of study, followed by Social sciences, Business and Law (19.1) and Sciences programs (13.0 percent). The employee field of study varies to large extent over learning institutions types.

Graph 5. 19: Percentage distribution of employees with at least secondary A level by the field of studies, according to Type of learning institution


- The overwhelming majority of employees with secondary A-level or above ( 89.7 percent) have obtained their education in Rwanda. This is true for all learning institution categories.
C. Vocational training/ other training/type of training undertaken in the past
- About 67.8 percent of learning institution employees have received other training since they joined the present employer. The prevalence of such kind of training is as low as 48.3 percent in TVET education and as high as 77.5 percent in primary learning institutions.

Graph 5. 20: Percentage of employees who have received any kind of training since they joined the current employer, according to Type of learning institution


- The most common training fields are Humanities and Arts (54.4 percent) and education (19.4 percent). In general the most common training fields in all types of learning institutions are education, humanities and arts

Graph 5. 21: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the major field of training


- The most common training modes were 'on-the- job' (reported by 55.8 percent of concerned employees), followed by apprenticeship (22.7) and formal training institutions (18 percent). Slight variability in the structure of training mode over learning institutions was observed. The training period is averaged 1 month for all types of learning institutions.
- Generally, the purpose of such mentioned training was not to get a formal degree, as 'no educational qualification' was reported by 69 percent of those undertook such training, and only
a certificate was received by 30.6 percent of concerned trainees. Mainly the training has been undertaken in Rwanda (99.1 percent)
- Employees did not receive any training since they had joined the present employers were asked about the reason, the reported main reasons are 'not offered to me personally' ( 57.8 percent), 'no training needed for my job profile' ( 24.5 percent) and 'no training policy in place' (4.9 percent). Apparently the reason for not having training has similar pattern in all types of learning institutions.
- More than one fifth of employees (23.5 percent) are involved in designing the training plans in their learning institutions. Substantial variability by types of leaning institutions exists: while the involvement level is similar in primary ( 22.6 percent) and secondary ( 22.4 percent) schools, it is slightly higher at TVET (25.1 percent) and greatly higher in tertiary learning institutions (53.8 percent).
- A big majority of employees ( 89.4 percent) expressed the need for acquiring some skills to improve their performance. The prevalence of those in need for some skills is lowest in TVET (83.3 percent) and highest in Primary learning institutions ( 91.9 percent).

Graph 5. 22: Percentage of employees who need any specific skills to improve their performances


- The area of lacking skills is largely dependent on the type of the learning institutions: the lacking skills in Humanities and Arts is highest in primary schools ( 38.6 percent) followed by education (28.4 percent) ; In secondary schools the needed skills are highest in social sciences (22.8 percent), followed by education ( 20.5 percent) and sciences ( 20.4 percent); for TVET, the reported needed skills is highest in the field of social sciences, business and law ( 21.6 percent)
followed by sciences (17.2 percent) and services (17.1 percent) and for tertiary learning institution the area of lacking skills is highest ( 30.5 percent) in social sciences, business and law followed by sciences (20.3 percent) and education (12.4 percent).

Graph 5. 23: Percentage distribution of employees who have reported that they need specific skills to improve their performances by area of lacking skills, according to Type of learning institution


- Language proficiency has been inquired about. In general, 72.7 percent of employees in Learning institutions are able to speak English and 70.6 percent are able to write in English; about 80.1 are able to speak French and 80.2 percent are able to write in French; about 95.5 percent are able to speak Kinyarwanda and 98.2 percent are able to write in Kinyarwanda and about 19.6 percent are able to speak Swahili and 121 percent are able to write in Swahili.


## D. Performance appraisal

- About 96.1 percent of employees in learning institutions have ever had performance appraisal. It is insuffiently done in universities ( 91.4 percent) and highly done in primary ( 97.4 percent).
- About 70 percent of employees have performance appraisal either monthly ( 36.3 percent) or Quarterly ( 33.7 percent). Ad hoc performance appraisal is also done (20.3 percent) and some employees have had it annually ( 6.6 percent).
- In most cases, appraisal is performed by general manager/director ( 51.8 percent), by immediate supervisor ( 22.4 percent), by HR officer (12.4 percent) or department head ( 6.4 percent). The overwhelming majority of employees ( 94.9 percent) receive appraisal feedback.


## E. Career growth

- Promotion is not quite prevalent at learning institutions: It has ever taken place for only 18.7 percent of all employees. Yet, promotion occurs at higher levels in tertiary learning institutions (32.2 percent) and TVET ( 22 percent).

Graph 5. 24: Percentage of employees who have been promoted, according to Type of learning institution


- Almost ( 40.0 percent) of the promotions occurred within the two years preceding the survey. Such recent promotion is more dominant in TVET institutions ( 56.3 percent), secondary institutions (53.9) and in universities (51.2 percent).


## F. Working terms and conditions

- The average working hours per week of employees in learning institutions is about 42.6 hours. It is lowest at Primary level ( 41.7 hours) and highest at TVET ( 47.3 hours). The average working hours is relatively higher ( 43.4 hours) for Secondary. The average working hour is substantially higher for services and sales workers (74.1).

Graph 5. 25: Average weekly working hours by occupation


- In total, about three quarters of employees (75.2) are entitled to annual leave. Annual leave entitlement varies considerably over learning institutions: it is as low as 66.9 percent for TVET employees and as high as 93.4 percent for Universities. The mean length of annual leave is about 31calendar days, equivalent to about 21 working days. Slight variations across types of learning institutions and occupation categories are observed.

Graph 5. 26: Percentage of employees who are entitled to annual leave days, according to Type of learning institution


- It takes more than half an hour to get to work place for only 37.5 percent of all employees, particularly those of plant and machine operators and assemblers ( 58.5 percent). The most
common mode of transport is 'on foot' (82.1 percent) followed, but very distant, by 'public transport' (7.9 percent).
- About 75.2 percent of employees are entitled to medical care assistance from the employer. The prevalence of this benefit is as low as 66.9 percent in TVET institutions and as high as 93.4 percent in universities. The prevalence of health care assistance is extremely low (12.5 percent) among employees with elementary occupations and among services and sales workers (15.6 percent). It is as high as 87.9 percent for professionals' occupations and 93.4 percent for managers. The coverage of health care assistance is only partial for the big majority of employees ( 96.2 percent). The coverage extends to families of about 69.1 percent of employees.

Graph 5. 27: Percentage of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance, according to occupation


- Employees may enjoy some other benefits provided by employers, the most common of such benefits are maternal and paternal leave (provided in full to about 88.2 percent of employees and partially to about 2.2 percent of employees ); paid annual leave (provided in full to about 73.2 percent of employees and partially to about 2.6 percent of employees ); terminal benefits provided in full to about 59.9 percent of employees and partially to about 5.9 percent of employees; clothing/uniform provided in full to about 44.9 percent of employees and partially to about 6.8 percent of employees; and protective gear provided in full to about 32.7 percent of employees and partially to about 8.3 percent of employees.

Graph 5. 28: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by occupation


- More than a half of employees ( 56.8 percent) reported that no salary raise is granted, this is slightly higher among employees of secondary institutions (59.5 percent). Even for those reported receiving salary increment, about 35.5 percent of them receives the raise once every a period exceeding three years. The vast majority of employees ( 90.8 percent) receive no annual inflation-adjustment raise.


## G. Labor rights and related issues

- A little over a half of all employees (53.7 -percent) are members of a trade union or other collective bargaining association, such membership varies to large extent with occupation: it ranges from 4.9 percent for plant and machine operators and assemblers to 60.3 percent for professionals. Concerning learning institutions differentials, membership in mentioned organizations is lowest ( 31.7 percent) for TVET and highest ( 60.6 percent) for Primary institutions. The non-membership of the majority of non-member employees is mostly attributed to unawareness of such trade unions or collective bargaining associations (72.7 percent)

Graph 5. 29: Percentage of employees who are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to occupation


- About 86.7 percent of employees reported that Employers regularly contribute to employee social security fund. The level varies considerably with occupation: it is lowest ( 60.7 percent) for services and sales workers and highest (100 percent) for skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers. In addition, an intangible differential over types of learning institutions exists: the level ranges from 79.8 percent for TVET to 90 percent for universities.
- The information on exposure to hazardous work conditions indicates that about 46.3 percent of employees are exposed to extreme dust or toxic gases; 18.3 percent are exposed to extreme noises; 26.6 percent are exposed to extreme temperature or humidity and 10.3 percent are exposed to dangerous tools or animals.

Graph 5. 30 Percentage distribution of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to specified dangers


## H. Challenges at workplace

- The reported challenges at workplace are mostly fatigue (reported by 52.1 percent of employees); followed by underpayment of salary ( 49.4 percent) excessive workload/hours (reported by 30.4 percent of employees); delayed payments of salary ( 27.4 percent) and delayed payments of benefits ( 21.2 percent). Moderate variations in challenges prevalence over learning institutions and occupational categories exist.

Graph 5. 31: Percentage of employees who reported that they face some specified challenges at work by type of faced challenges


- The reported most common ways of reacting against faced challenges at work place are 'talk to supervisor' ( 61.1 percent); 'talk to a friend' ( 55.4 percent); 'talk to a family member' (52.6 percent); 'inform HR management' ( 46.3 percent), inform manager/directors ( 35.2 percent), and 'Ignore them' (30.8 percent). Insubstantial differentials by types of learning institutions and occupational categories are observed.

Graph 5. 32: Distribution of employees by the first priority way of reacting against faced challenge, according to occupation


## I. Gender

- About 83.6 percent of employees in learning institutions reported that their organizations have a gender policy in place. The reported prevalence of such policy is lowest in universities (68.5 percent) and highest in primary levels (88.2percent). In addition, the vast majority of employees (81.5 percent) declared that there is no preferential treatment due to sex in their organizations.

Graph 5. 33: Percentage of employees who repowered that their organisation has a gender policy, according to Type of learning institution


- Furthermore, employee opinion on whether learning institutions should practice some specified form of preferential treatment based on sex has been gauged, the suggested major preferential
treatments are in order 'maternity leave' (91.7 percent); 'quota for women in management'(62 percent); 'overall quota for women'(57.2 percent);' differential retirement age'(44.9 percent) and 'preferential recruitment for women' ( 29.9 percent).

Graph 5. 34: Percentage of employees by their opinions on whether any establishment should practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of preferential treatment


## J. Use of ICT

- Less than a half of the employees in learning institutions (45.2 percent) reported that their institutions have introduced ICT facilities; the reported prevalence of ICT facilities is lowest at primary level (26.8 percent) and highest at university institutions (99.3 percent) and TVET learning institutions (92.1percent).

Graph 5. 35: Percentage of employees who reported that their organisation has introduced the use of ICT, according to Type of learning institution


- Employees whose organizations have ICT facilities in place have been asked about what ICT is used for: about 86.3 percent responded it is used for 'records management'; 79 percent responded it is used for' 'accounting/finance/budgeting'; 76.1 percent responded it is used for 'production'; 46.1percent responded it is used for 'communication'; 41.5 percent responded it is used for 'human resource management 'and 24.8 percent responded it is used for 'marketing'.

Graph 5. 36: Percentage of employees who have reported that their establishments have introduced the use of ICT by type of its utilisation


- The big majorities of employees perceived that ICT use has resulted in an increase/improvement in different aspects of performance including 'records management' (80.4 percent); 'accounting/finance/budgeting' (71.7 percent); 'production (72.6 percent); communication' (42.3 percent); 'human resource management' (37.2 percent) and 'marketing' (22.3 percent). Slight variations over learning institutions categories are observed.
- Employees have been inquired whether they personally use any of ICT facilities shown on a list: The responses indicate that 'shared computer' ( 54.2 percent) is the most used ICT facilities, followed by 'access to internet'( 33.6 percent), 'private access to email '( 32.5 percent) 'common access to email' ( 20.8 percent) and 'individual computer' ( 18.7 percent). Generally, ICT facilities irrespective of its type are least utilized in primary schools compared to other learning institution types.

Graph 5. 37: Percentage of employees who have reported that they have access to specified ICT facilities


- In addition, the needs of employees for specified ICT facilities to help them perform their daily work has been evaluated: the need for 'access to internet' has been expressed by 73.0 percent of respondents, followed by' individual computer' ( 67.2 percent) 'private access to email' ( 65.8 percent), 'shared computer' ( 57.9 percent)and 'common access to email' ( 52.0 percent).The needs for individual ICT facilities have generally been expressed in lower levels in TVET institutions compared with other learning categories.

Graph 5. 38: Percentage of employees who reported that they need different specified ICT facilities to perform their duty in their daily work


- Employees using individual computers have been asked whether they feel properly equipped to make full use of the potential of ICT at workplace: less than a third of concerned employees (29.2 percent) responded positively. The prevalence of self-reported properly equipped employees to make full use of ICT is lowest ( 24.1 percent) in Primary institutions and as high as 67.8 percent for universities.

Graph 5. 39: Percentage distribution of employees using individual computers by whether they feel properly equipped to make full use of the potential of ICT at work place, according to Type of learning institution


## K. Earnings

- The overall monthly gross and net earnings of employees in learning institutions is averaged at 76 thousand FRW for the former and 58.1 thousand FRW for the latter. The average gross monthly salary ranges from 48.0 thousand FRW in primary schools to 647.1 thousand FRW in universities. In regard with occupational differential, the average gross monthly salary ranges from as low as 22.1 thousand FRW for elementary occupations to as high as 185.8 thousand FRW for managers.

Graph 5. 40: Mean monthly earning (in thousands) by type of learning institution


- About 16.0 percent of employees have secondary occupation. The most common secondary occupation for those having it is 'skill agricultural, forestry and fishery workers' ( 64.7 percent), distantly followed by 'service and sales workers' ( 10.3 percent). The differential by type of learning institution is insubstantial. The average annual gross income from all additional jobs is estimated at 473.6 thousands FRW.


## L. HIV/AIDS at workplace

- Employees were inquired whether their Learning institutions have an HIV/AIDS policy in place: about 88.0 percent responded positively, the self-reported prevalence of HIV/AIDS policy ranges from 46.3 percent in universities to 91.2 percent in primary.

Graph 5. 41: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their establishment have an HIV/AIDS policy, according to Type of learning institution


- In addition, the provided services have been asked about: the availability of VCT services is reported by 92.6 percent of employees whose learning institutions have the mentioned policy; followed by ensuring equal rights ( 63.3 percent); free condom distribution (10.2 percent); free ARVs for HIV+ workers (8.7percent) and free food for HIV+ workers (3.2 percent). Apart from free condom distribution in universities which is reported by about 50.2 percent of relevant employees, the reported prevalence of other HIV/AIDS services is nearly in the same vicinity for all types of learning institutions


## M. Job search and candidate preferences

- The results reveal high stability in the present job: only 13.5 percent of employees looking for a different job. In most cases the targeted occupation is similar or higher than the present one.
- Conversely, the majority of employees ( 76.1 percent) are willing to change their current residence. The main purpose for their desire to move is to get better salary/benefit (76.5 percent). Slightly less than a half of employees willing to move ( 46.0 percent) are indifferent regarding the destination country, while 43.2 percent of them are willing to move to other place within Rwanda. Those who are willing to move to other place inside Rwanda or to any other EAC country are basically for family reasons (58.9 percent).


### 3.6. Informal Sector Employee

## O. General personal information

- The total size of implemented sample amounts to 4012 employees
- In total, only 33.7 percent of respondents are females. The prevalence of females is highest (41.7 percent) in Eastern province and lowest (28.2 percent) Western province.

Graph 6. 1: Percentage distribution of respondents by sex


- The majority of employees ( 67.8 percent) are aged between 20 and 34 . While the very young employees represent 11.2 percent and employees aged 55 and above amount to 1.7 percent of the whole sample. Generally, the female age structure indicates that adult (30-49) female employee population is greater than that of male.

Graph 6. 2: Percentage distribution of respondents by age group, according to sex


- More than a half ( 51.3 percent) of respondents is currently never married (single), while married amounts to 47.3 percent. The marital structure shows some variation over provinces where the percentage of married is higher (54.1) in Northern province and Southern province (53.1) , it is low (38.5) in Kigali.
- The overwhelming majority ( 98.1 percent) of employees are of Rwandan nationality irrespective of the province.

Graph 6. 3: Percentage distribution of respondents by nationality


- The prevalence of disability among employees of informal units is as low as 1.5 percent: it is highest in Western province ( 2.5 percent) and lowest in Kigali city (1.2 percent). Physical disability is the most prevalent type ( 80.7 percent) .


## A. NATURE OF CURRENT EMPLOYMENT

- The occupational structure of employees working for informal sector units reveals that about 56.2 percent of employees are services and sales workers, 19.5 percent are craft and related trade workers, 12.8 percent are of elementary occupations and 2.8 are managers. In general, the big majority of employees are permanent workers ( 83.6 percent), temporary and daily workers amount respectively to 9.3 percent and 5.7 percent.

Graph 6. 4: Percentage distribution of employees by occupation


- The predominant type of employment contract in the informal sector units is oral ( 53.7 percent of all employees) while 37.7 percent of employees of informal sector do not have employment contract.
- About a half of employees ( 55.2 percent) have been working for their present establishment for one year and above while 44.7 percent of the employees of informal sector units have an experience of less than one year in the present unit.
- Employees were asked whether their current job matches their official education/training: most employees perceived a proper match between their job and education ( 63.6 percent). The percent reporting a proper match is highest ( 76 percent) in Kigali city and lowest ( 53.4 percent) in Western province.

Graph 6. 5: Percentage of employees who reported that their current job matches their official education


- About 36.4 percent of employees have had a previous job different from the current one after reaching 15 years of age. The percentage of such employees ranges from 31.6 percent for those working in Eastern province to 42.7 percent for those working in Kigali city.

Graph 6. 6: Percentage of employees who reported that their current job is not the first


- The type of establishment previously worked for is mostly a company ( 80.6 percent). These major types of previous establishments are common for all provinces.
- The previous occupational structure of employees who previously worked for other establishments shows higher prevalence of services and sales workers ( 40.1 percent), craft and related trade workers (18.8 percent), elementary occupations (15.4 percent) and skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (10 percent) compared to other occupational categories.

Graph 6. 7: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in the establishment they previously worked for


- As far as occupational mobility is concerned, it has been found that 93 percent of presently managers were moving from lower occupational categories in their previous establishment; About 59.5 percent of presently professionals were moving from other occupations, mostly lower, in their previous establishments; About 80.2 percent of presently working as technical or associate professional were moving from lower occupations in their previous establishments; about 60.9 percent of presently clerical and support workers were moving from other occupations, mostly lower, in their previous establishments; about 45.7 percent of presently services and sales workers were moving from other occupation categories, mostly lower, in their previous establishments; about 38.3 percent of presently craft and related trade workers were moving from higher occupational categories in their previous establishments; about 73.4 percent of presently plant and machine operators and assemblers were moving from other occupational categories ,mostly higher, in their previous establishments and finally about 43.8 of presently engaged in elementary occupations were moving from other occupations, mostly higher, in their previous establishments.

Graph 6. 8: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by previous occupation, according to current occupation


- Regarding the duration of service in the previous job, it is found that slightly less than a half (46.9 percent) of employees previously worked in other establishments had a service duration there of no more than two years, about 19.9 percent had worked there for $3-4$ years, and 14.4 percent had worked for 5-7 years. Hence only 18 percent of them had worked there for more than 7 years.
- Employees were asked about the reason why they quit their previous job. More than a fifth (21.6 percent) reported poor working conditions as the main reason, about 21.1 percent reported 'underpayment', 17.9 percent reported 'marital/family commitments', 10.5 percent reported 'restructuring', 2.3 percent and 1.9 percent reported the reason was respectively 'going back to school or training' and 'late payment'. The magnitude of unspecified answers is extraordinary too big (23.9 percent), most probably 'others' was mistakenly stated instead of one of given alternatives. So the answers of 'others' needs correction and/or recoding.

Graph 6. 9: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by reason for leaving the previous employment


- Information was collected from employees previously worked for other establishments about the entire duration of work in specified type of establishments/organizations including the present one. In general, the mean work duration in all organizations is 7.4 years: divided as 0.7 in 'ministry and other public institutions'; 5.8 in a 'company'; o. 1 in 'parastatal'; 0.4 in 'cooperative'; 0.1 in 'NGO/CSO/CBO' and 0.3 years in other organizations. The mean work duration ranges from 6.2 years for those presently work in Western province to 9.4 years for those presently work in Northern Province.

Graph 6. 10: Mean service period (years) of previous experience in different organisations


- The previous job, for those previously worked for other establishments, matched the employee education in 58.5 percent of the cases. The percent of employees with education matched previous job ranges from 42.4 percent of those presently work in Western province to 69.6 percent for those presently work in Kigali city.

Graph 6. 11: Percentage of employees who worked in other establishment before who reported that their previous job matched their education


- All employees irrespective of their previous work status were asked about their educational attainment when they first entered the labor market: the concentration was noticed for the educational categories of 'primary' ( 48.2 percent), followed by 'no education' ( 29.6 percent) 'vocational training/TVET' (14 percent), 'secondary' ( 7.6 percent) and 'tertiary' ( 0.5 percent). Slight variation exists in Northern Province and Kigali city where Northern Province has the lowest secondary and tertiary learning institutions ( 3.5 and 0.1 percent) while Kigali city has the highest percentages of those learning institutions (12.7 and 1.3 percent).

Graph 6. 12: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of education when they first entered the labour market


- Less than a half of the employees ( 45.8 percent) found their first job within a year of their graduation. Those who kept searching for a job for 3 years or more amounts to 31.6 percent of all employees.


## B. Formal educational Background

- The most popular levels of educational attainment of employees in the informal sector units are' primary' (47.7 percent), 'none' (28.4 percent), 'secondary - O Level '(12.1 percent) and 'secondary - A Level' (6.1 percent).

Graph 6. 13: Percentage distribution of employee by their highest level of formal education


- Employees with Secondary A-level and above were asked about the field of study: the results indicate that about 29.9 percent of employees have social sciences, business and law as the field of study, followed by engineering, manufacturing and construction programs (21.3 percent).
- The overwhelming majority of employees with secondary A-level or above ( 87.3 percent) have obtained their education in Rwanda.


## C. Vocational training/ other training/type of training undertaken in the past

- Only 8.9 percent have received other training since they joined the present employer. The prevalence of such kind of training ranges from 6.4 percent in Eastern province to 10.8 percent in Southern province.
- The most common training modes were 'on-the- job' (reported by 58.9 percent of concerned employees), followed by apprenticeship ( 26.1 percent) and formal training institution (10.9 percent). The training period is averaged one month.

Graph 6. 14: Percentage distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by the mean of training.


- Generally, the purpose of such mentioned training was not to get a formal degree, as 'no educational qualification' was reported by 81.7 percent of those undertook such training, and only a certificate was received by 17 percent of concerned trainees and only 0.3 percent of
trainees got a TVET certificate. Mainly the training has been undertaken in Rwanda (98.4 percent)
- Employees who did not receive any training since they had joined the present employers were asked about the reason, the reported main reasons are 'no training policy' (49.5 percent), 'not offered to me personally' (26.9 percent) and 'no training needed for my job profile' (18.1 percent).

Graph 6. 15: Percentage distribution of employees who did not receive any training since they joined the current employer by reasons of not being trained


- Slightly above one fourth of employees (28.7 percent) are involved in designing the training plan of the enterprise, employee involvement is much lower in Southern province (10.9 percent) compared to other provinces.
- A big majority of employees (70.3 percent) expressed the need for acquiring some skills to improve their performance. The prevalence of those in need for some skills is equally lowest in Southern and Northern provinces ( 65.5 percent) and highest in Eastern province ( 76 percent). The areas of lacking skills are mostly social sciences, business and law (29.9 percent), services (26.9 percent) and engineering, manufacturing and construction (26 percent).

Graph 6. 16: Percentage distribution of employees who have reported that they need specific skills to improve their performances by area of lacking skills


- Language proficiency has been inquired about. In general, 7.2 percent of employees in informal sector units are able to speak English and 7.1 percent are able to write in English; about 12.2 are able to speak French and 11.7 percent are able to write in French; about 98.6 percent are able to speak Kinyarwanda and 80.8 percent are able to write in Kinyarwanda and about 10.3 percent are able to speak Swahili and 7.9 percent are able to write in Swahili.


## D. Working terms and conditions

- The average working hours per week of employees in informal sector units is about 58 hours. It is lowest in Southern province ( 49 hours) and highest in Kigali city ( 67 hours). The average working hours is relatively higher ( 67 hours) for clerical support workers and relatively lower ( 46 hours) for skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers.

Graph 6. 17: Weekly working hours by occupation


- In total, a very little proportion of employees (5 percent) are entitled to annual leave. The mean length of annual leave is about 23 calendar days, equivalent to about 14 working days. Slight variations across occupation categories are observed.
- It takes more than half an hour to get to work place for only 20.6 percent of all employees, particularly those of craft and related trade workers ( 31 percent). The most common mode of transport is 'on foot' ( 87 percent), distantly followed by 'public transport' ( 6.2 percent).
- About 21.7 of employees are entitled to medical care assistance from the employer. The prevalence of this benefit is as low as 9.3 percent for craft and related trade workers and as high as 44.8 percent for skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers and 40.2 percent for managers.

Graph 6. 18: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance


- The coverage of health care assistance is totally for the majority of employees ( 63.4 percent). The coverage extends to families of about 59.3 percent of employees in informal sector.
- Employees may enjoy some other benefits provided by employers, the most common of such benefits food (provided totally to about 36.7 percent of employees and partially to about 10.5 percent of employees ); maternal and paternal leave (provided totally to about 30 percent of employees and partially to about 7 percent of employees ); accommodation (provided totally to about 19.2 percent of employees and partially to about 9 percent of employees ); clothing/uniform (provided totally to about 16.8 percent of employees and partially to about 9.4 percent of employees ) and protective gear (provided totally to about 16.3 percent of employees and partially to about 10.1 percent of employees ).

Graph 6. 19: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by those benefits


- The information on exposure to hazardous work conditions indicates that about 38.1 percent of employees are exposed to dangerous tools or animals; 37.4 percent are exposed to extreme dust or toxic gases; 31.9 percent are exposed to extreme noises; 30.1 percent are exposed to extreme temperature or humidity.

Graph 6. 20: Percentage of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to different kind of dangers


- More than a half of employees ( 60.5 percent) reported that no salary raise is granted, this is particularly more common among elementary occupations ( 67.3 percent).


## E. Labor rights and related issues

- A little below one fifth of all employees in informal sector (18.9 percent) are members of a trade union or other collective bargaining association, such membership varies to large extent with occupation: it ranges from 12.9 percent for services and sales workers to 42.5 percent for plant and machine operators and assemblers.

Graph 6. 21: Percentage distribution of employees who are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to occupation


- The non-membership of the majority of non-member employees is mostly attributed to unawareness of such trade unions or collective bargaining associations (85.4 percent).

Graph 6. 22: Percentage distribution of employees who are not a member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining organization by reason


- Only 1.7 percent of employees in informal sector reported that Employers regularly contribute to employee social security fund. The level varies considerably with occupation: it is nil (zero percent) for skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers and highest (8.2 percent) for professionals.


## F. Challenges at workplace

- The reported challenges at workplace are mostly fatigue (reported by 18.7 percent of employees); followed by excessive workload/hours (reported by 13.2 percent of employees); underpayment of salary ( 9.6 percent) and neglect ( 5.5 percent). Moderate variations in challenges prevalence over occupational categories exist.
- The reported most common ways of reacting against faced challenges at work place are 'talk to supervisor' ( 25 percent); 'ignore them' ( 22.1 percent); 'talk to a family member' ( 22 percent); 'inform HR management' (11 percent) and 'talk to a friend' (10.6 percent). Insubstantial differential by occupational categories is observed.


## G. Gender

- About 39.5 percent of employees in informal sector businesses reported that their units have a gender policy in place. The reported prevalence of such policy is lowest in Western Province ( 36.4 percent) and highest in Eastern province ( 42.7 percent).

Graph 6. 23: Percentage of employees who reported that their organisation has a gender policy


- In addition, the vast majority of employees ( 80.3 percent) declared that there is no preferential treatment due to sex in their units. Furthermore, employee opinion on
whether organizations/enterprises should practice some specified form of preferential treatment based on sex has been gauged, the suggested major preferential treatments are in order 'maternity leave' ( 85 percent); 'quota for women in management'(52.5 percent); 'overall quota for women’(48.7 percent);' differential retirement age'(33.3 percent) and 'preferential recruitment for women' (25.1 percent).


## H. Use of ICT

- Employees in informal sector units reported that very limited percentage of their organizations/enterprise (4.1 percent) has introduced ICT facilities; the reported prevalence of ICT facilities in informal sector is lowest in Northern (1.4 percent) and highest in Kigali city (5.2 percent).
- Employees whose organizations have ICT facilities in place have been asked about what ICT is used for: about 91.2 percent responded it is used for 'production'; 65.8 percent responded it is used for' 'records management'; 57.9 percent responded it is used for 'accounting/finance/budgeting'; 36.7 percent responded it is used for 'communication'; 23.5 percent responded it is used for 'marketing 'and 21.3 percent responded it is used for 'human resource management'.

Graph 6. 24: Percentage of employees who have reported that their establishments have introduced the use of ICT by type of its utilisation


- The big majorities of employees perceived that ICT use has resulted in an increase/improvement in different aspects of performance including 'production' (88.4 percent); 'records management' (63.5 percent); 'accounting/finance/budgeting' (53.5 percent); communication' (35.3 percent); 'marketing' (20.6 percent) and 'human resource management' (19.8 percent).
- Employees have been inquired whether they personally use any of ICT facilities shown on a list: The responses indicate that 'private access to email' is the most used ICT facilities used ( 30.5 percent), followed by 'individual computer'( 29.2 percent), 'access to internet' (27.5 percent) , 'shared computer' ( 26.9 percent) and 'common access to email' (7.2 percent).

Graph 6. 25: Percentage of employees who have reported that they have access to different ICT facilities


- In addition, the needs of employees for specified ICT facilities to help them perform their daily work has been evaluated: the need for 'access to internet' has been expressed by 52.4 percent of respondents, followed by 'private access to email' ( 50.6 percent), 'individual computer' (48.7 percent), 'shared computer' (16.6 percent) and 'common access to email' (2.3 percent).

Graph 6. 26: Percentage of employees who reported that they need different specified ICT facilities to perform their duty in their daily work


- Employees using individual computers have been asked whether they feel properly equipped to make full use of the potential of ICT at workplace: slightly more than a quarter (26.4 percent) of concerned employees responded positively. The prevalence of selfreported properly equipped employees to make full use of ICT is lowest ( 9.6 percent) in Southern province and as high as 38.3 percent in Northern Province.


## I. Earnings

- The overall monthly gross and net earnings of employees in informal sector enterprises/organizations from their present employment is averaged at 26,982 FRW for the former and 26,745 FRW for the latter. In regard with occupational differential, the average gross monthly salary ranges from as low as 14.9 thousand FRW for skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers to as high as 49.7 thousand FRW for managers.

Graph 6. 27: Mean of monthly earning according to occupation


- About 18 percent of employees have secondary occupation. The most common secondary occupation for those having it is 'skill agricultural, forestry and fishery workers' (68.3 percent) followed by 'services and sales workers' (12.3 percent), the provincial differential is substantial specially between Kigali and other provinces.
- The average annual gross income from all additional jobs is estimated at 227,197 Rwf. This income is highest in Kigali city with 532,191 Rwf and lowest in Northern Province with 165,225 Rwf.


## J. HIV/AIDS at workplace

- Employees were inquired whether their organizations/enterprises have an HIV/AIDS policy in place: about 29.5 percent responded positively, the self-reported prevalence of HIV/AIDS policy ranges from 21.8 percent in Kigali city to 39.4 percent in Western province.

Graph 6. 28: Percentage distribution of employees who reported that their establishment has an HIV/AIDS policy, according to province


- In addition, the provided services have been asked about: the availability of VCT services is reported by 95.6 percent of employees whose organizations have the mentioned policy; followed by ensuring equal rights ( 49.8 percent); free condom distribution (16.3 percent); free ARVs for HIV+ workers ( 4.8 percent) and free food for HIV+ workers (4.1 percent).
- Apart from VCT services, a substantial differential in the prevalence of other services over provinces is demonstrated.


## K. Job search and candidate preferences

- The results reveal high stability in the present job: only 10.6 percent of employees looking for a different job. In most cases the targeted occupation is similar or higher than the present one.

Graph 6. 29: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are looking for a different job, according to occupation


- Conversely, the majority of employees (73.1 percent) are willing to change their current residence. The main purpose for their desire to move is to get better salary/benefit (78.1 percent).
- Most of those willing to move (48.3 percent) are indifferent regarding the destination country, while 44.1 percent of them are willing to move to other place within Rwanda.
- Those who are willing to move to other place inside Rwanda or to any other EAC country only, are basically for family reasons ( 50.5 percent) and language problems ( 21.6 percent).


## Chapter 4: Statistical tabulation

### 4.1. Formal sector employer's module

## Section 0. General Personal information

Table 4.1-1: Percentage distribution of establishments by province according to the activity sector

| PROVINCE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Kigali city | 64.6 | 52.0 | 22.2 |  | 45.9 |
| Southern Province | 10.8 | 12.5 | 22.2 | 17.3 | 14.1 |
| Western Province | 7.5 | 13.9 | 20.2 | 17.3 | 14.8 |
| Northern Province | 5.8 | 10.5 | 15.6 | 33.1 | 13.8 |
| Eastern Province | 11.3 | 11.2 | 19.8 | 6.5 | 11.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-2: Percentage distribution of responding owners by gender according to activity sector

| Sex | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES |  |  |
| Female | 36.6 | 14.7 | 35.8 |
| Male | 63.2 | 85.3 | 64.0 |
| Not stated | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-3: Percentage distribution of responding owners by age group according to activity sector

| Age group | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $20-29$ | 9.8 | 4.7 | 9.6 |
| $30-39$ | 40.1 | 20.0 | 39.3 |
| $40-49$ | 32.2 | 23.7 | 31.9 |
| $50-59$ | 12.2 | 34.3 | 13.0 |
| 60 and above | 4.3 | 17.3 | 4.8 |
| Not stated | 1.4 |  | 1.4 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-4: Percentage distribution of responding owners by marital status according to activity sector

| Marital status | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Single/Never married | 13.0 | 6.9 | 12.7 |
| Married | 82.7 | 88.3 | 82.9 |
| Separated | 0.6 |  | 0.5 |
| Divorced | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| Widowed | 3.2 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| Not stated | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1- 5: Percentage distribution of responding owners by nationality according to activity sector

| Nationality | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Burundian | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| Kenyan | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| Rwandan | 93.5 | 97.4 | 93.6 |
| Tanzanian | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Ugandan | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |
| The rest of Africa | 1.0 |  | 0.9 |
| The rest of the world | 2.9 | 2.8 |  |
| Not stated | 0.5 |  | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-6: Percentage distribution of responding owners by main occupation according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Managers | 42.1 | 68.1 | 43.0 |
| Professionals | 4.1 | 19.6 | 4.6 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 3.1 | 12.3 | 3.5 |
| Clerical support workers | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Services and sales workers | 43.4 |  | 41.8 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 5.9 | 5.7 |  |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 0.6 |  | 0.5 |
| Not stated | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-7: Percentage distribution of responding owners by the year of starting of operation according to activity sector

| Interval | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| 2010-2012 | 27.5 | 21.3 | 27.3 |
| 2007-2009 | 41.4 | 21.8 | 40.7 |
| 2004-2006 | 14.8 | 17.5 | 14.9 |
| 2001-2003 | 4.3 | 11.9 | 4.6 |
| 1998-2000 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 5.9 |
| Below 1997 | 5.5 | 18.1 | 5.9 |
| Not stated | 0.6 |  | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.1-8: Percentage distribution of responding owners by whether their current job match their official education or not according to activity sector

| Does your current job match your off. <br> Education? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Yes | 71.9 | 97.9 | 72.9 |
| No (other job than qualification.) | 17.9 | 2.1 | 17.3 |
| No (lower level than qualification.) | 2.9 |  | 2.8 |
| No (higher level than qualification.) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| Not applicable (no training) | 3.6 |  | 3.5 |
| Not stated | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-9: Percentage distribution of responding owners by whether they have worked for other establishments before starting their own business or not, according to activity sector

| Have ever worked for other establishments <br> before starting your current business? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Yes | 61.6 | 92.5 | 62.7 |
| No | 38.4 | 7.5 | 37.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-10: Percentage distribution of responding owners by employment status in their previous job, according to activity sector

| Employment status in previous job | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE |  |  |
| Own account worker | BUSINESSES | HEALTH | 23.7 |
| Employer | 24.5 | 10.4 | 5.1 |
| Employee | 4.9 | 8.1 | 70.3 |
| Unpaid family worker | 69.7 | 81.5 | 0.6 |
| Not stated | 0.6 |  | 0.3 |
| Col \% | 0.3 |  | 100.0 |
| Count | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1568 |

Table 4.1-11: Percentage distribution of responding owners by the kind of establishment they worked for before starting their own business, according to activity sector

| TYPE OF ESTABLISHMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Ministry and other institutions | 30.0 | 53.6 | 31.2 |
| Parastatal | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| Company | 59.7 | 31.5 | 58.2 |
| Co-operative | 2.3 |  | 2.1 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 5.0 | 10.7 | 5.3 |
| Other | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1484 | 83 | 1568 |

Table 4.1-12: Percentage distribution of responding owners by the economic activity of previous establishment they worked for, according to activity sector

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | Total |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 6.4 |  | 6.0 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |
| Manufacturing | 5.2 |  | 4.9 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.9 |  | 0.8 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities | 1.2 |  | 1.1 |
| Construction | 6.6 |  | 6.2 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles | 21.4 | 10.5 | 20.8 |
| Transportation and storage | 3.3 |  | 3.1 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 8.7 |  | 8.3 |
| Information and communication | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 2.0 |  | 1.9 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 7.4 |  | 7.0 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 0.7 |  | 0.6 |
| Public administration defense and compulsory social security | 13.5 | 5.5 | 13.1 |
| Education | 6.1 |  | 5.8 |
| Human health and social work activities | 7.7 | 78.9 | 11.4 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 1.7 |  | 1.6 |
| Other services activities | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 2.4 |  | 2.3 |
| Not stated | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1484 | 83 | 1568 |

Table 4.1-13: Percentage distribution of responding owners by their occupation in previous establishment they worked for, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY THEIR OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE | HEALTH |  |
| Managers | 17.7 | 21.1 | 17.9 |
| Professionals | 19.9 | 45.9 | 21.3 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 10.2 | 33.1 | 11.4 |
| Clerical support workers | 7.2 |  | 6.8 |
| Services and sales workers | 21.1 |  | 19.9 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 4.8 |  | 4.5 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 8.9 |  | 8.4 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4.0 |  | 3.8 |
| Elementary occupations | 1.1 |  | 1.0 |
| Armed forces occupations | 3.3 |  | 3.1 |
| Not stated | 2.0 |  | 1.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.1-14: Average working period (in years) in the previous job by the current activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | AVERAGE WORKING PERIOD IN THE PREVIOUS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | JOB |  |
|  | Mean | Total |
| PUBLIC | NA | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 6.7 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 6.2 | 564 |
| NGO | NA | 752 |
| Total | 6.7 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-15: Percentage distribution of responding owners by their highest level of education, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY THEIR HIGHEST LEVEL <br> OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 0.6 | 9.8 | 0.9 |
| Masters Degree | 1.9 | 10.0 | 2.2 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 1.1 | 12.0 | 1.4 |
| Bachelors | 16.5 | 18.2 | 16.6 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 6.4 | 23.2 | 7.0 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 7.3 | 2.6 | 7.2 |
| Secondary-A Level | 23.4 | 17.1 | 23.2 |
| Secondary-O Level | 14.5 | 2.5 | 14.1 |
| Primary | 23.7 | 2.1 | 22.9 |
| Other | 4.2 |  | 4.1 |
| None | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |
| Not stated |  | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.1-16: Percentage distribution of responding owners by the field of education, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY THE FIELD OF | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Education | 7.2 |  | 6.8 |
| Humanities and Arts | 5.0 | 2.4 | 4.9 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | 46.0 | 7.4 | 43.8 |
| Sciences | 9.1 |  | 8.6 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 18.0 |  | 17.0 |
| Agriculture | 2.8 |  | 2.6 |
| Health and Welfare | 7.3 | 87.5 | 11.9 |
| Services | 2.4 |  | 2.3 |
| Not known or Not stated | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1379 | 84 | 1462 |

Table 4.1-17: Percentage distribution of responding owners by the place they got their highest level of education, according to activity sector.

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY THE PLACE THEY GOT THEIR | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Rwanda | 62.3 | 45.8 | 61.4 |
| Other EAC countries | 15.7 | 12.4 | 15.5 |
| Rest of Africa | 10.8 | 20.3 | 11.4 |
| Europe | 4.5 | 16.0 | 5.2 |
| Americas | 1.0 |  | 0.9 |
| Asia | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| Not stated | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1379 | 84 | 1462 |

Table 4.1-18: Percentage distribution of responding owners by whether they are enrolled in further training or not, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY WHETHER THEY ARE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE | HEALTH |  |
| Yes | BUSINESSES |  | 13.0 |
| No | 12.7 | 18.9 | 87.0 |
| Total | 87.3 | 81.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2500 |

Table 4.1-19: Percentage distribution of responding owners who are enrolled in further training by the type of training, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS WHO ARE ENROLLED IN | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Education | 2.5 |  | 2.3 |
| Humanities and Arts | 21.1 |  | 20.0 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | 49.2 | 13.2 | 47.3 |
| Sciences | 11.4 |  | 10.8 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 3.3 |  | 3.2 |
| Agriculture | 3.2 |  | 3.1 |
| Health and Welfare | 6.3 | 86.8 | 10.5 |
| Services | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Not known or Not stated | 1.9 |  | 1.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.1-20: Percentage distribution of responding owners who are enrolled in further training by the kind of degree they are expecting from it, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS WHO ARE ENROLLED IN | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FURTHER TRAINING BY THE KIND OF DEGREE THEY ARE <br> EXPECTING FROM IT | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 0.7 |  | 0.6 |
| Masters Degree | 3.5 | 25.3 | 4.7 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 7.2 |  | 6.8 |
| Bachelors | 35.4 | 38.2 | 35.5 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 4.5 |  | 4.2 |
| Secondary-A Level | 5.1 |  | 4.8 |
| Certificate | 36.8 | 11.2 | 35.5 |
| Other (specify) | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |
| None | 3.2 |  | 3.0 |
| Not stated | 3.3 | 25.2 | 4.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 307 | 17 | 324 |

Table 4.1-21: Percentage distribution of responding owners by whether they have any disability or not, according to activity sector

| RESPONDING OWNERS BY WHETHER THEY HAVE ANY <br>  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE | HEALTH |  |
| Yes | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |
| No | 96.9 | 97.8 | 96.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2410 | 90 | 2500 |

## A. Establishment Characteristics

Table 4.1-22: Percentage distribution of establishments by the type of establishment, according to activity sector

| TYPE OF ESTABLISHMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Ministry and other institutions | 100 | 0.3 | 65.5 |  | 8.7 |
| Parastatal |  | 0.8 | 9.5 |  | 1.5 |
| Company |  | 88.7 | 23.3 | 0.3 | 69.0 |
| Co-operative |  | 8.8 | 0.4 |  | 6.7 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO |  | 1.3 | 1.3 | 99.7 | 13.1 |
| Other | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-23: Percentage distribution of establishments by the type of establishment, according to province

| TYPE OF ESTABLISHMENT | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Ministry and other institutions | 4.2 | 12.9 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 16.9 | 8.7 |
| Parastatal | 0.4 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 1.5 |
| Company | 84.3 | 55.8 | 60.6 | 48.6 | 58.9 | 69.0 |
| Co-operative | 2.6 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 12.4 | 6.7 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 7.3 | 16.2 | 15.5 | 31.2 | 7.9 | 13.1 |
| Other | 1.2 | 0.9 | 2.1 |  |  | 1.0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2641 | 810 | 853 | 797 | 656 | 5757 |

Table 4.1- 24: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they are legally registered or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Establishment legally registered |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 100.0 |  |  | 100 |
| HEALTH | 100.0 |  |  | 100 |
| NGO | 95.8 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Total | 99 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
|  | 5226 | 30 | 2 | 5257 |

Table 4.1-25: Percent of registered establishment by the type of registration, according to activity sector

| LEVEL OF REGISTRATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Registrar of companies (RDB) | 63.8 | 36.4 | 21.3 | 56.7 |
| Rwanda Revenue Authority(RRA) | 86.1 | 90.1 | 51.0 | 81.3 |
| Registrar of cooperatives(RCA) | 15.5 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 13.6 |
| Private Sector Federation | 43.9 | 32.4 | 8.0 | 38.3 |
| District | 92.5 | 90.4 | 86.4 | 91.5 |
| Sector | 92.1 | 87.0 | 86.9 | 91.1 |
| Social Security Fund(CSR) | 51.2 | 83.7 | 64.7 | 54.3 |
| Others | 5.0 | 15.7 | 20.2 | 7.5 |
| Total | 4311 | 195 | 752 | 5257 |

Table 4.1-26: Distribution of establishments by the year of registration at the highest level, according to activity sector

| YEAR OF REGISTRATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| 2010-2012 | 43.1 | 20.2 | 9.1 | 37.4 |
| 2007-2009 | 31.8 | 37.1 | 13.5 | 29.4 |
| 2004-2006 | 9.9 | 15.2 | 19.3 | 11.5 |
| 2001-2003 | 2.5 | 6.7 | 9.0 | 3.6 |
| 1998-2000 | 1.3 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 2.7 |
| Below 1997 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 3.3 |
| Other | 8.6 | 5.4 | 34.4 | 12.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.1-27: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they are affiliated to an international organisation or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Establishment affiliated to an International. <br> Organization / MNC |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 5.9 | 94.0 | 0.1 | 100 |
| HEALTH | 8.5 | 91.5 |  | 100 |
| NGO | 26.9 | 72.1 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Total | 9.0 | 90.8 | 0.2 | 100 |
|  | 473 | 4772 | 13 | 5257 |

Table 4.1-28: Percentage distribution of establishments by main economic activity, according to activity sector

| MAIN ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR <br>  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 4.1-29: Percentage distribution of establishments by main economic activity, according to province

| MAIN ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 0.5 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Manufacturing | 5.3 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply Water supply, sewage, waste management and | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| remediation activities | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| Construction | 2.3 | 0.7 |  | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles | 35.2 | 23.6 | 16.4 | 19.0 | 26.0 | 27.5 |
| Transportation and storage | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 13.2 | 10.2 | 20.2 | 13.7 | 16.8 | 14.3 |
| Information and communication | 1.7 |  | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 3.6 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 5.8 |
| Real estate activities | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 7.0 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.8 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Public administration defense and compulsory social security | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Education | 0.3 | 0.5 |  | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| Human health and social work activities | 5.6 | 16.6 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 17.9 | 10.5 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 1.1 | 0.9 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.7 |
| Other services activities | 12.6 | 22.3 | 25.1 | 37.0 | 7.5 | 18.6 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 0.2 |  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Not stated | 2.1 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2641 | 810 | 853 | 797 | 656 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-30: Percentage distribution of establishments by second economic activity, according to activity sector

| SECOND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing <br> Mining and quarrying <br> Manufacturing <br> Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply <br> Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities <br> Construction <br> Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and moot <br> Transportation and storage <br> Accommodation and food service activities <br> Information and communication <br> Financial and insurance activities <br> Real estate activities <br> Professional scientific and technical activities <br> Administrative and support service activities <br> Education <br> Human health and social work activities <br> Arts, entertainments and recreation <br> Other services activities | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 8.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.2 \\ 27.4 \\ 2.4 \\ 25.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 8.1 \\ 0.4 \\ 5.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 1.7 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 7.5 \end{gathered}$ | 20.6 | 6.7 <br> 2.6 <br> 2.4 <br> 8.3 <br> 4.6 <br> 11.7 <br> 1.0 <br> 15.1 <br> 33.1 <br> 0.9 <br> 13.6 | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 0.1 \\ 7.6 \\ 0.6 \\ \\ 0.8 \\ 2.0 \\ 25.6 \\ 2.1 \\ 23.5 \\ 2.2 \\ 7.7 \\ 0.4 \\ 5.7 \\ 4.6 \\ 2.6 \\ 4.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 7.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 2205 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.1-31: Percentage distribution of establishments by second economic activity, according to province

| SECOND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 |  | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.1 |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing | 6.9 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 5.0 | 7.6 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.8 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities | 0.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 4.7 | 0.8 |
| Construction | 2.7 | 1.5 |  | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles | 28.0 | 26.7 | 21.6 | 24.6 | 21.3 | 25.6 |
| Transportation and storage | 4.3 |  |  | 1.9 |  | 2.1 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 19.3 | 22.4 | 31.2 | 28.7 | 25.5 | 23.5 |
| Information and communication | 3.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 |  | 2.2 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 3.8 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 15.1 | 7.6 | 7.7 |
| Real estate activities | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 9.0 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 5.7 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 2.5 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 |
| Education | 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 2.6 |
| Human health and social work activities | 5.0 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 |  |  | 0.8 |
| Other services activities | 9.6 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 7.5 |
| tal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 975 | 364 | 337 | 292 | 237 | 2205 |

Table 4.1-32: Percentage distribution of establishment by the third economic activity, according to activity sector

| THIRD ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing <br> Mining and quarrying <br> Manufacturing <br> Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply <br> Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities <br> Construction <br> Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles <br> Transportation and storage <br> Accommodation and food service activities <br> Information and communication <br> Financial and insurance activities <br> Real estate activities <br> Professional scientific and technical activities <br> Administrative and support service activities <br> Education <br> Human health and social work activities <br> Arts, entertainments and recreation <br> Other services activities <br> Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 7.2 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 4.3 \\ \\ 29.9 \\ 2.4 \\ 26.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.0 \\ 4.3 \\ 4.6 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.4 \\ 3.3 \\ 7.1 \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 | 8.6 <br> 4.0 <br> 3.9 <br> 3.6 <br> 27.3 <br> 18.5 <br> 34.2 | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 0.4 \\ 6.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.9 \\ 3.8 \\ 28.9 \\ 2.1 \\ 23.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.9 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 7.7 \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 547 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 621 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 4.1- 33: Percentage distribution of establishments by third economic activity, according to province

| THIRD ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing <br> Mining and quarrying <br> Manufacturing <br> Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply <br> Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities <br> Construction | 0.8 |  |  | 3.1 |  | 0.8 |
|  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
|  | 8.0 | 19.2 |  | 7.6 |  | 6.6 |
|  | 2.1 |  |  |  | 7.3 | 1.8 |
|  | 2.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |
|  | 5.8 |  |  | 8.8 |  | 3.8 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles | 18.3 | 43.0 | 27.6 | 33.4 | 48.0 | 28.9 |
| Transportation and storage | 4.6 |  |  | 1.1 |  | 2.1 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 11.9 | 22.5 | 43.0 | 29.4 | 26.1 | 23.4 |
| Information and communication | 0.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 1.3 | 8.2 |  |  |  | 1.4 |
| Real estate activities |  |  |  | 5.7 |  | 0.9 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 6.8 | 2.6 |  |  | 7.5 | 4.1 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 6.0 |  | 0.9 |  | 11.1 | 4.1 |
| Education | 0.4 |  | 20.5 |  |  | 4.0 |
| Human health and social work activities | 3.9 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 4.0 |  | 2.9 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 4.7 |  | 5.3 |  |  | 2.9 |
| Other services activities | 15.4 |  | 1.0 | 6.9 |  | 7.7 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 6.0 | 1.6 |  |  |  | 2.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 259 | 68 | 117 | 98 | 79 | 621 |

Table 4.1- 34: Percentage distribution of establishments by their type, according to activity sector

| TYPE OF ESTABLISHMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES |  | HEALTH | NGO |
| Head office | 8.4 | 3.1 | 18.1 |  |
| Stand alone establishment | 89.2 | 95.8 | 71.2 | 86.9 |
| Branch of international establishment | 2.1 |  | 10.2 | 3.2 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4311 | 195 | 752 | 5257 |

Table 4.1-35: Mean of number of branches including head office held for a head office, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Mean of branches |
| :--- | :---: |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 5.9 |
| HEALTH | 2.0 |
| NGO | 9.0 |
| Total | 6.7 |

Table 4.1-36: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they are self accounting or not according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | self accounting/Financial autonomous |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 95.4 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100 |
| HEALTH | 94.3 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 100 |
| NGO | 79.2 | 20.3 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Total | 93.0 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 100 |
|  | 4892 | 331 | 35 | 5257 |

Table 4.1-37: Percentage distribution of establishments by legal status, according to activity sector

| LEGAL STATUS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Sola proprietorship | 64.9 | 45.9 |  | 54.9 |
| Limited by share(LTD) | 19.9 | 9.2 |  | 16.7 |
| Limited by guarantee | 0.3 | 1.0 |  | 0.3 |
| Limited by Both share and guarantee | 0.8 | 1.2 |  | 0.7 |
| Unlimited | 0.8 | 1.2 |  | 0.7 |
| Other | 13.2 | 40.3 | 100 | 26.7 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 1.3 |  | 0.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4311 | 195 | 751 | 5257 |

Table 4.1-38: Mean number of shareholders by nationality, according to activity sector

| NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Rwandese | 564.2 | 3.6 | 552.0 |
| EAC citizen | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| Other Africa | 2.0 | . | 2.0 |
| Other from overseas | 2.9 | . | 2.9 |

Table 4.1- 39: Percentage distribution of establishments by ownership of premises, according to activity sector

| Ownership of premises | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Fully owned | 26.9 | 49.0 | 81.4 | 35.5 |
| Joint ownership | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Rented | 68.9 | 47.4 | 16.3 | 60.6 |
| Permitted by others to use site | 1.0 |  | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Donated by Government | 1.6 |  |  | 1.3 |
| Donated by Local authority owned | 1.2 | 3.0 |  | 1.1 |
| Not applicable | 0.0 |  | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 195 | 752 | 100 |
| Total | 4311 |  | 5257 |  |

B. Workload

Table 4.1-40: Average number of working hours by day and average number of working days per week, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Mean |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WORKING HOURS/DAY |  | WORKING DAYS/WEEK |  |
|  | Day | Night (if any) | Normal | Overtime |
| PUBLIC | 9 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 0.3 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 8.9 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 0.8 |
| HEALTH | 9.3 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 1.0 |
| NGO | 6.9 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 0.7 |
| Total | 8.7 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 0.8 |

C. Employee characteristics and vacant posts

Table 4.1-41: Percentage distribution of establishment by the number of their employee, according to activity sector

| Number of employee | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| $1-9$ | 2.5 | 77.5 | 15.9 | 65.2 | 68.3 |
| $10-29$ | 16.1 | 16.4 | 46.6 | 29.9 | 21.1 |
| $30-99$ | 53.4 | 4.3 | 28.3 | 4.5 | 7.7 |
| $100+$ | 25.5 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 2.4 |
| Not stated | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 |  | 0.5 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-42: Mean number of working persons by working status gender and activity sector

| WORKING PERSONS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
| Working owners-male | PUBLIC | BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Group Total |
| Working owners-Female | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Working owners-total | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Contributing family workers-Male | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |
| Contributing family workers-Female | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Contributing family workers-total | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Paid employees-Male | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| Paid employees-Female | 77.1 | 8.1 | 17.2 | 6.4 | 10.2 |
| Paid employees-total | 45.9 | 4.0 | 22.9 | 2.1 | 6.4 |
| Unpaid employees out of the family-male | $\mathbf{1 2 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6}$ |
| Unpaid employees out of the family-female | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Unpaid employees out of the family-total | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Total Male | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| Total Female | 77.1 | 9.0 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 11.1 |
| Total | 45.9 | 4.5 | 24.1 | 2.6 | 7.0 |

Table 4.1-43: Estimation of total number of employed persons in 2008, 2009 and 2010 (whether working in headquarter/stand alone or branches) according to activity sector

| YEAR | establishment | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| 2008 | Headquarters / Stand alone branch offices Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6476 \\ & 2722 \\ & 9197 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42831 \\ 3986 \\ 46817 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17924 \\ 33 \\ 17956 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5583 \\ & 1438 \\ & 7021 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72813 \\ 8178 \\ 80991 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | Headquarters / Stand alone branch offices <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 6559 \\ & 2945 \\ & 9504 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37425 \\ 4250 \\ 41674 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19378 \\ 46 \\ 19424 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5831 \\ & 1710 \\ & 7542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69193 \\ 8951 \\ 78144 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2010 | Headquarters / Stand alone branch offices Total | $\begin{gathered} 7623 \\ 3294 \\ 10917 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45047 \\ 5388 \\ 50436 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20704 \\ 105 \\ 20809 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6213 \\ & 2014 \\ & 8227 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 79588 \\ & 10801 \\ & 90389 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.1-44: Estimation of total number of employees turnover in 2008, 2009 and 2010 (whether working in headquarter/stand alone or branches) according to activity sector

| YEAR | ESTABLISHMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| 2008 | Headquarters / Stand alone branch offices Total | $\begin{aligned} & 492 \\ & 169 \\ & 661 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3738 \\ 280 \\ 4018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1228 \\ 2 \\ 1230 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 411 \\ 44 \\ 455 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5869 \\ 495 \\ 6364 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | Headquarters / Stand alone branch offices <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 428 \\ & 227 \\ & 654 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5345 \\ 225 \\ 5570 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1780 \\ 46 \\ 1826 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 413 \\ 51 \\ 464 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7966 \\ 549 \\ 8514 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2010 | Headquarters / Stand alone branch offices Total | $\begin{aligned} & 530 \\ & 192 \\ & 722 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5913 \\ 513 \\ 6426 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2428 \\ 3 \\ 2431 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 556 \\ 43 \\ 599 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9428 \\ 750 \\ 10178 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table 4.1-45: Percentage distribution of permanent posts according to minimum education requirement.

| Minimum education requirements | PERMANENT POSTS OCCUPATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Elementary occupations |  |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 0.9 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |
| Masters Degree | 8.1 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  | 2.7 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Bachelors | 46.7 | 37.9 | 23.6 | 19.7 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 23.9 |
| Diploma level | 11.6 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 8.4 |
| Certificate A2 | 22.3 | 36.0 | 45.7 | 54.4 | 34.6 | 37.2 | 20.4 | 7.6 | 22.6 | 33.1 |
| Less than primary | 8.0 | 8.4 | 16.6 | 12.8 | 58.7 | 52.6 | 73.0 | 90.7 | 24.5 | 30.5 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 43.8 | 0.3 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 7159 | 6949 | 5695 | 2462 | 7332 | 1179 | 846 | 2807 | 126 | 34555 |

Table 4.1-46: Percentage distribution of permanent posts according to activity sector

| PERMANENT POSTS OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 37.5 | 19.8 | 16.6 | 15.6 | 20.7 |
| Professionals | 31.2 | 13.0 | 25.5 | 33.1 | 20.1 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 15.6 | 8.7 | 33.5 | 22.5 | 16.5 |
| Clerical support workers | 10.6 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| Services and sales workers | 1.5 | 31.7 | 9.9 | 12.2 | 21.2 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1.0 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 3.4 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 0.9 | 10.5 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 8.1 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4061 | 19113 | 7559 | 3821 | 34555 |

Table 4.1-47: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by the field of education requirement

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | PERMANENT POST OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  |  |
| General Programs | 4.2 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 36.2 | 23.2 | 27.4 | 62.1 |  | 17.5 |
| Education | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| Humanities and Arts | 1.6 | 11.4 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | 55.0 | 52.0 | 32.4 | 64.7 | 28.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 38.4 |
| Sciences | 6.4 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.8 |  | 3.6 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 4.9 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 55.1 | 47.7 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| Agriculture | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |  | 1.3 |
| Health and Welfare | 15.7 | 17.5 | 33.2 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 13.0 |
| Services | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 15.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 5.0 |
| Not stated | 4.6 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 15.0 | 8.6 | 12.9 | 23.8 | 84.3 | 8.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 7159 | 6949 | 5695 | 2462 | 7332 | 1179 | 846 | 2807 | 126 | 34555 |

Table 4.1- 48: Estimation of the number of permanent employees by gender, nationality and occupation

| PERMANENT EMPLOYEES | NATIONALITY AND GENDER |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RWANDANS |  |  | FOREIGNERS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\frac{0}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\mp} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \underline{E} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{0}{6} \approx \end{aligned}$ |
| Managers | 7192 | 3668 | 10860 | 480 | 121 | 601 | 7672 | 3789 | 11461 |
| Professionals | 9183 | 6193 | 15376 | 381 | 106 | 487 | 9565 | 6299 | 15864 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 9534 | 9391 | 18925 | 204 | 91 | 296 | 9739 | 9482 | 19221 |
| Clerical support workers | 2166 | 2604 | 4770 | 12 | 11 | 23 | 2177 | 2615 | 4793 |
| Services and sales workers | 12058 | 5655 | 17713 | 162 | 89 | 251 | 12220 | 5744 | 17964 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 4439 | 803 | 5243 | 107 | 2 | 109 | 4547 | 806 | 5352 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 3780 | 235 | 4015 | 43 | 12 | 55 | 3823 | 247 | 4070 |
| Elementary occupations | 8005 | 4258 | 12263 | 15 | 35 | 50 | 8020 | 4293 | 12313 |
| Not stated | 102 | 25 | 127 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 25 | 131 |
| Total | 56460 | 32832 | 89292 | 1409 | 468 | 1877 | 57869 | 33299 | 91168 |

Table 4.1-49 : Estimation of the number of permanent employees by gender, nationality and activity sector

| GENDER AND NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Male Rwandans | 7516 | 33065 | 10413 | 5465 | 56460 |
| Female Rwandans | 4381 | 14006 | 12667 | 1777 | 32832 |
| Total Rwandan | 11896 | 47072 | 23081 | 7243 | 89292 |
| Male foreigners | 20 | 969 | 356 | 64 | 1409 |
| Female Foreigners | 4 | 276 | 145 | 42 | 468 |
| Total foreigners | 24 | 1245 | 501 | 106 | 1877 |
| Total male | 7536 | 34034 | 10769 | 5529 | 57869 |
| Total female | 4385 | 14282 | 12813 | 1819 | 33299 |
| Total employees | 11921 | 48317 | 23582 | 7348 | 91168 |

Table 4.1- 50: Estimation of the total number of permanents employees by whether they are qualified for the post requirements or not according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Qualified |  |  | Unqualified |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | male |  | female | Total | male | female |
| Managers | 6865 | 3365 | 10230 | 807 | 424 | 1231 |
| Professionals | 8675 | 5786 | 14461 | 889 | 514 | 1403 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 8832 | 8608 | 17440 | 907 | 874 | 1781 |
| Clerical support workers | 1944 | 2309 | 4253 | 233 | 306 | 539 |
| Services and sales workers | 10742 | 4985 | 15727 | 1478 | 759 | 2237 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 4130 | 753 | 4883 | 417 | 53 | 469 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 3583 | 247 | 3830 | 240 | 0 | 240 |
| Elementary occupations | 7740 | 4206 | 11946 | 280 | 87 | 368 |
| Not stated | 95 | 25 | 120 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 52606 | 30283 | 82889 | 5262 | 3016 | 8279 |

Table 4.1-51: Estimation of the total number of permanents employees by whether they are qualified for the post requirements or not according to activity sector

| POST REQUIREMENTS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Qualified male | 7054 | 30592 | 10193 | 4768 | 52606 |
| Qualified female | 4162 | 12806 | 11738 | 1577 | 30283 |
| Qualified Total | 11215 | 43398 | 21930 | 6346 | 82889 |
| Unqualified male | 482 | 3442 | 577 | 761 | 5262 |
| Unqualified female | 223 | 1476 | 1075 | 242 | 3016 |
| Total unqualified | 705 | 4919 | 1652 | 1003 | 8279 |

Table 4.1-52: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration for permanent staff in thousands RWF by occupation

| OCCUPATION | AVERAGE REMUNERATION |  | gross <br> remuneration |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Wage / Salary | Allowances | 528.5 |
| Managers | 431.5 | 97.0 | 310.3 |
| Professionals | 248.3 | 62.0 | 247.8 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 194.9 | 52.9 | 181.5 |
| Clerical support workers | 151.4 | 30.1 | 70.5 |
| Services and sales workers | 62.1 | 8.4 | 128.4 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 109.9 | 18.5 | 148.8 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 124.1 | 24.7 | 50.2 |
| Elementary occupations | 44.8 | 5.5 | 93.2 |
| Not stated | 71.4 | 21.8 | 249.8 |
| Total | 203.4 | 46.4 |  |

Table 4.1-53: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration in thousands for permanent staff by activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | average remunerations |  | gross <br> remuneration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage / Salary | Allowances |  |
| PUBLIC | 401.6 | 90.8 | 205.3 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 180.3 | 25.0 | 200.8 |
| HEALTH | 145.9 | 55.0 | 287.4 |
| NGO | 204.6 | 82.8 | 249.8 |
| Total | 203.4 | 46.4 |  |

Table 4.1-54: Percentage distribution of temporally posts for establishments that have such posts, according to minimum education requirement

| EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 2.6 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |
| Masters Degree | 10.1 | 7.3 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 |
| Post Graduate Diploma |  | 0.4 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Bachelors | 52.9 | 53.3 | 32.2 | 10.0 | 1.8 |  |  |  | 17.8 |
| Diploma level | 0.8 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 5.1 |  | 0.7 |  |  | 1.7 |
| Certificate A2 | 10.3 | 21.9 | 41.4 | 53.4 | 23.5 | 30.1 | 19.3 | 9.8 | 24.2 |
| Less than secondary | 23.3 | 8.6 | 20.2 | 31.5 | 74.7 | 67.3 | 80.7 | 89.9 | 52.8 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 127 | 303 | 229 | 145 | 369 | 169 | 55 | 424 | 1822 |

Table 4.1-55: Percentage distribution of temporally posts for establishments which have such posts, according to activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 19.4 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 7.0 |
| Professionals | 29.4 | 10.2 | 25.5 | 34.5 | 16.7 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 17.8 | 8.2 | 37.8 | 12.5 | 12.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 12.5 | 7.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 8.0 |
| Services and sales workers | 6.5 | 24.7 | 5.3 | 24.8 | 20.2 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 2.9 | 12.8 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 9.3 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 3.0 |
| Elementary occupations | 10.1 | 27.6 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 23.3 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 286 | 1222 | 152 | 162 | 1822 |

Table 4.1-56: Estimation of the number of temporally employees by gender, nationality and occupation

| OCCUPATION BY TEMPORARY employee | TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RWANDAN |  |  | FOREIGNER |  |  | Filled post |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \underline{\Xi} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \underline{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{y}{0}$ U | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \underline{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |
| Managers | 142 | 60 | 202 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 148 | 73 | 221 |
| Professionals | 1330 | 532 | 1862 | 19 | 8 | 26 | 1348 | 540 | 1888 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 940 | 321 | 1261 | 26 | 1 | 27 | 966 | 322 | 1288 |
| Clerical support workers | 223 | 183 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 | 406 |
| Services and sales workers | 836 | 324 | 1160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 836 | 324 | 1160 |
| Craft and related trade workers Plant and machine operators and | 1254 | 91 | 1345 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1263 | 91 | 1354 |
| assemblers | 199 | 7 | 206 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 202 | 7 | 209 |
| Elementary occupations | 3483 | 1306 | 4788 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3485 | 1306 | 4790 |
| Total | 8407 | 2824 | 11231 | 63 | 22 | 85 | 8470 | 2846 | 11316 |

Table 4.1-57: Estimation of the number of temporally employees by gender, nationality and activity sector

| GENDER AND NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Rwandan male | 957 | 6756 | 269 | 425 | 8407 |
| Rwandan female | 467 | 1687 | 140 | 531 | 2824 |
| Rwandans total | 1424 | 8443 | 409 | 956 | 11231 |
| Foreigner male | 6 | 39 | 12 | 6 | 63 |
| Foreigner female | 1 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 22 |
| Foreigners total | 7 | 50 | 14 | 13 | 85 |
| Filled post male | 963 | 6795 | 281 | 431 | 8470 |
| Filled post female | 468 | 1698 | 142 | 538 | 2846 |
| Total temporally employee | 1431 | 8493 | 423 | 969 | 11316 |

Table 4.1-58: Estimation of the total number of temporally employees by whether they are qualified on the post requirements or not according to occupation

|  | TEMPORALLY EMPLOYEE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QUALIFIED |  |  | UNQUALIFIED |  |  |
|  | OCCUPATION | male | female | total | male | female |
| Managers | 137 | 72 | 209 | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| Professionals | 1333 | 538 | 1871 | 15 | 2 | 17 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 934 | 316 | 1250 | 32 | 7 | 38 |
| Clerical support workers | 215 | 178 | 393 | 8 | 5 | 13 |
| Services and sales workers | 751 | 307 | 1058 | 86 | 17 | 102 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1225 | 91 | 1316 | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 200 | 7 | 207 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Elementary occupations | 3378 | 1210 | 4588 | 106 | 96 | 202 |
| Total | 8174 | 2718 | 10891 | 297 | 128 | 425 |

Table 4.1-59: Estimation of the total number of temporally employees by whether they are qualified on the post requirements or not according to activity sector

|  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
|  | PUBLIC | BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
|  | 938 | 6534 | 277 | 425 | 8174 |
| Qualified female | 464 | 1578 | 137 | 538 | 2718 |
| Qualified total | 1402 | 8112 | 414 | 963 | 10891 |
| Unqualified male | 25 | 262 | 4 | 6 | 297 |
| Unqualified female | 4 | 120 | 4 | 0 | 128 |
| Unqualified total | 29 | 381 | 8 | 6 | 425 |

Table 4.1-60: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration for temporally staff in thousands RWF by occupation

| OCCUPATION | AVERAGE REMUNERATION |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage / Salary | Allowances | Total gross <br> salary |
| Managers | 467.9 | 73.3 | 541.2 |
| Professionals | 372.2 | 40.3 | 412.5 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 207.0 | 28.7 | 235.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 95.9 | 10.8 | 106.7 |
| Services and sales workers | 30.0 | 4.0 | 34.0 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 63.9 | 6.0 | 69.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 83.1 | 6.0 | 89.1 |
| Elementary occupations | 29.4 | 2.1 | 31.5 |
| Total | 151.1 | 18.6 | 169.7 |

Table 4.1-61: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration for temporally staff in thousands by activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | AVERAGE REMUNERATION |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage / Salary | Allowances | Total gross <br> salary |
| PUBLIC | 316.2 | 65.4 | 381.6 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 99.8 | 4.7 | 104.5 |
| HEALTH | 209.6 | 58.4 | 268.0 |
| NGO | 181.6 | 0.4 | 182.0 |
| Total | 151.1 | 18.6 | 169.7 |

Table 4.1- 62: Percentage distribution of casual posts for the establishments which have such post, according to minimum education requirement

| Occupation | Minimum education requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 冗 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \tilde{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\pm$ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ \pm}$ |  |
| Managers |  | 25.2 |  | 12.4 |  |  |  | 2.5 |
| Professionals | 50.0 | 47.7 | 100.0 | 48.6 |  | 22.5 | 1.5 | 17.4 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 50.0 | 27.1 |  | 34.3 | 89.9 | 22.9 | 2.3 | 15.0 |
| Clerical support workers |  |  |  | 2.7 | 10.1 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 3.1 |
| Services and sales workers |  |  |  |  |  | 14.7 | 11.3 | 9.7 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  |  | 2.1 |  | 23.4 | 13.6 | 13.4 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 | 4.7 | 2.9 |
| Elementary occupations |  |  |  |  |  | 6.9 | 64.4 | 35.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Tota | 4 | 4 | 10 | 156 | 10 | 210 | 430 | 824 |

Table 4.1-63: Percentage distribution of casual posts for establishments which have such posts, according to activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 17.7 |  | 4.5 | 2.9 | 3 |
| Professionals | 32.2 | 16 | 19 | 20.9 | 19 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 26.2 | 10.8 | 21.9 | 21.7 | 15 |
| Clerical support workers | 6.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 |  | 2 |
| Services and sales workers | 3.8 | 13.4 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1.3 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 23.6 | 14 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 5.5 | 3.4 | 2.1 |  | 3 |
| Elementary occupations | 6.5 | 40 | 32 | 24.1 | 34 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 78 | 524 | 100 | 76 | 777 |

Table 4.1-64: Percentage distribution of casual posts for establishments which have such posts, by the field of education requirement

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \\ & \widetilde{0} \\ & \Sigma \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Programs |  | 0.7 | 3.4 | 16.1 | 24.5 | 11.9 | 21.1 | 52.9 | 24.6 |
| Education |  | 2.8 |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  | 0.6 |
| Humanities and Arts |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | 26.4 | 67.1 | 18.3 | 71.5 | 9.1 |  |  | 2.9 | 19.3 |
| Sciences | 21.4 | 1.5 | 26.5 | 8.3 |  | 0.9 |  | 0.7 | 5.4 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction |  | 5.4 | 26.5 |  | 9.4 | 74.2 | 48.0 |  | 17.3 |
| Agriculture |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  | 8.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Health and Welfare | 52.2 | 14.6 | 20.9 |  |  |  |  | 1.9 | 7.7 |
| Services |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 50.4 |  |  | 1.9 | 7.2 |
| Not stated |  | 2.1 |  |  | 5.3 | 13.0 | 22.9 | 34.9 | 15.7 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 20 | 144 | 124 | 26 | 82 | 111 | 24 | 291 | 821 |

Table 4.1-65: Estimation of the number of casual employees by gender, nationality and occupation

| OCCUPATION | NATIONAITY \& GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total casual emplo yee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RWANDAN |  |  | FOREIGNER |  |  | TOTAL CASUAL |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{U}{\top}}{\stackrel{1}{E}}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ <br> $\stackrel{10}{10}$ <br> $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\overline{0}}{\stackrel{\text { ® }}{0}}$ | $\frac{\frac{0}{\pi}}{\frac{\pi}{\xi}}$ | $\frac{0}{10}$ <br> $\stackrel{1}{0}$ <br>  | - | $\frac{\stackrel{0}{\pi}}{\stackrel{\pi}{\varepsilon}}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 41 | 70 | 111 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 46 | 71 | 117 |
| Professionals | 388 | 234 | 622 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 394 | 234 | 628 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 340 | 174 | 514 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 348 | 174 | 522 |
| Clerical support workers | 129 | 112 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 112 | 241 |
| Services and sales workers | 302 | 80 | 382 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 80 | 382 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 474 | 144 | 618 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 500 | 144 | 644 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 93 | 4 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 4 | 97 |
| Elementary occupations | 1449 | 1053 | 2501 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1449 | 1053 | 2501 |
| Total | 3217 | 1870 | 5087 | 44 | 1 | 45 | 3262 | 1871 | 5133 |

Table 4.1-66: Estimation of the number of casual employees by gender, nationality and activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | NATIONALITY\&GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RWANDAN |  |  | FOREIGNER |  |  | TOTAL CASUSL |  |  |
|  | male | female | Total | male | female | Total | male | female | Total casual employee |
| PUBLIC | 447 | 330 | 777 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 451 | 331 | 783 |
| PRIVATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUSINESSES | 2426 | 1286 | 3713 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 2464 | 1286 | 3751 |
| HEALTH | 139 | 115 | 255 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 141 | 115 | 257 |
| NGO | 205 | 138 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 138 | 343 |
| Total | 3217 | 1870 | 5087 | 44 | 1 | 45 | 3262 | 1871 | 5133 |

Table 4.1-67: Estimation of the total number of casual employees by whether they are qualified on the post requirements or not according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | POST REQUIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QUALIFIED |  |  | UNQUALIFIED |  |  |
|  | male | female | Total | male | female | Total |
| Managers | 39 | 71 | 110 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Professionals | 392 | 234 | 626 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 336 | 172 | 507 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| Clerical support workers | 47 | 52 | 99 | 82 | 60 | 143 |
| Services and sales workers | 287 | 80 | 367 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 445 | 127 | 572 | 55 | 17 | 72 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 89 | 4 | 93 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Elementary occupations | 1389 | 1047 | 2436 | 60 | 6 | 65 |
| Total | 3024 | 1786 | 4810 | 238 | 85 | 323 |

Table 4.1-68: Estimation of the total number of casual employees by whether they are qualified on the post requirements or not according to activity sector

|  | POST REQUIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QUALIFIED |  |  | UNQUALIFIED |  |  |
|  | ACTIVITY ECTOR | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| PUBLIC | 366 | 271 | 637 | 85 | 60 | 146 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 2324 | 1264 | 3588 | 140 | 23 | 163 |
| HEALTH | 135 | 113 | 249 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| NGO | 198 | 138 | 336 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 3024 | 1786 | 4810 | 238 | 85 | 323 |

Table 4.1-69 : Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration for casual staff in thousands RWF by occupation

| OCCUPATION | AVERAGE REMUNERATION |  | Total gross <br> remuneration <br> for casual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage / Salary | Allowances | 448.3 |
| Managers | 381.6 | 66.7 | 216.6 |
| Professionals | 182.5 | 34.1 | 350.5 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 311.8 | 38.8 | 108.2 |
| Clerical support workers | 104.9 | 3.4 | 42.6 |
| Services and sales workers | 41.4 | 1.2 | 97.6 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 97.4 | 0.2 | 73.0 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 69.4 | 3.6 | 29.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 28.1 | 1.3 | 133.3 |
| Total | 119.2 | 14.1 |  |

Table 4.1-70: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, of average monthly allowances for those receiving it and mean monthly total gross remuneration for casual staff in thousands RWF by activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | AVERAGE REMUNERATIONS |  | Total gross |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | Wage / Salary | Allowances | 71.7 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 268.8 | 340.6 |  |
| HEALTH | 88.9 | 5.9 | 94.8 |
| NGO | 132.2 | 21.6 | 153.8 |
| Total | 180.1 | 3.1 | 183.2 |

Table 4.1-71: Estimate of total number of vacant posts by minimum education requirement and activity sector

| Education requirements | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | BUSINATE |  |  |
|  | 3 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | . | 3 |
| Masters Degree | 137 | 11 | 109 | 4 | 261 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 7 | 3 | 13 | . | 23 |
| Bachelors | 665 | 417 | 135 | 62 | 1280 |
| Diploma level | 59 | 55 | 358 | 4 | 477 |
| Certificate A2 | 71 | 546 | 409 | 113 | 1139 |
| Other (specify) | 4 | 336 | 24 | 92 | 457 |
| Total | 948 | 1368 | 1048 | 275 | 3639 |

Table 4.1-72: Estimate of total number of vacant posts by field of education required, and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| General Programs |  | 79 | 8 | 64 | 151 |
| Education | 89 | 33 | . | 18 | 140 |
| Humanities and Arts | 6 | 1 | . | 94 | 102 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | 531 | 638 | 72 | 63 | 1304 |
| Sciences | 128 | 52 | 35 | 1 | 215 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 53 | 178 | 12 | 5 | 249 |
| Agriculture | 60 | 17 | 2 | . | 78 |
| Health and Welfare | 66 | 18 | 911 | 22 | 1017 |
| Services | 13 | 181 | 6 | 2 | 202 |
| Not stated | 2 | 172 | 2 | 6 | 182 |
| Total | 948 | 1368 | 1048 | 275 | 3639 |

Table 4.1-73: Estimate of total number of vacant posts by occupation and cause of vacancies, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | CAUSE OF VACANCIES |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Number of vacant posts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { ( } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 371 | 9 | 160 | 4 | 4 | 549 |
| Professionals | 724 | 26 | 171 | 27 | 18 | 966 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 674 | 6 | 271 | 24 | 21 | 996 |
| Clerical support workers | 210 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 7 | 251 |
| Services and sales workers | 460 | 2 | 30 | 8 | 20 | 520 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 92 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 121 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 147 |
| Elementary occupations | 64 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 11 | 115 |
| Total | 2716 | 47 | 725 | 64 | 88 | 3639 |

Table 4.1-74: Estimate number of post which have been vacant for one year or more by post and activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
| Managers | 77 | 29 | 10 | 2 | 119 |
| Professionals | 26 | 55 | 208 | 32 | 322 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 23 | 42 | 201 | 27 | 293 |
| Clerical support workers | 6 | 65 | 2 | 8 | 81 |
| Services and sales workers | 0 | 61 | 10 | 8 | 78 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 0 | 16 | 0 | . | 16 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0 | 90 | 0 | 2 | 92 |
| Elementary occupations | . | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 132 | 366 | 433 | 79 | 1010 |

Table 4.1-75: Estimate number of post which have been vacant for one year or more by reason and activity sector

|  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
|  | PUBLIC | BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Internal bureaucracy | 15 | 11 | 26 | 13 | 65 |
| Lack of qualified applicants | 11 | 38 | 146 | 8 | 202 |
| Low activity | 2 | 36 | 6 | 14 | 58 |
| Budget constraints | 85 | 159 | 180 | 20 | 445 |
| Other | 1 | 121 | 68 | 24 | 215 |
| Not applicable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not stated | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 25 |
| Total | 132 | 366 | 433 | 79 | 1010 |

## D. Future manpower projection

Table 4.1-76: Distribution of establishments by whether they have a plan to increase or decrease the number of their staff or not, according to activity sector

|  | Does your establishment have a plan to <br> increase or decrease the number of <br> employees between 2012 and 2021? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
|  | 30.3 | 68.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 117 |
|  | 38.3 | 59.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 64.1 | 33.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 564 |
| NGO | 28.1 | 69.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 752 |
| Total | 39.3 | 58.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-77: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2012 to 2013 by level of study and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 3 | - | . | . | 3 |
| Masters Degree |  | 3 | 20 | . | 24 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | . | . | 16 | . | 16 |
| Bachelors | 119 | 319 | 107 | 140 | 686 |
| Diploma level | 13 | 46 | 358 | 9 | 426 |
| Certificate A2 | 5 | 959 | 546 | 50 | 1560 |
| Other (Less than A2) | . | 1315 | 119 | 104 | 1537 |
| Not stated | . | . | 16 | . | 16 |
| Total | 140 | 2642 | 1183 | 303 | 4268 |

Table 4.1-78: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2013 to 2014 by level of study and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | . | . | 2 | . | 2 |
| Masters Degree | . | 3 | 25 | . | 28 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | . | 7 | 14 | . | 20 |
| Bachelors | 51 | 226 | 113 | 26 | 416 |
| Diploma level | 5 | 52 | 277 | . | 334 |
| Certificate A2 | . | 804 | 421 | 38 | 1263 |
| Other (Less than A2) | . | 1392 | 134 | 38 | 1565 |
| Total | 56 | 2484 | 985 | 103 | 3628 |

Table 4.1- 79: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2014 to 2015 by level of study and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | . |  | 9 | . | 9 |
| Masters Degree | 1 | 7 | 18 | . | 26 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | . | 2 | 16 |  | 18 |
| Bachelors | 75 | 301 | 99 | 96 | 571 |
| Diploma level | 4 | 39 | 261 | 165 | 470 |
| Certificate A2 | . | 727 | 387 | 54 | 1168 |
| Other (Less than A2) | 3 | 946 | 79 | 78 | 1105 |
| Not stated |  |  | 10 | . | 10 |
| Total | 83 | 2022 | 880 | 393 | 3378 |

Table 4.1-80: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2015 to 2016 by level of study and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
| BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Group Total |  |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | $\cdot$ | . | 2 | . | 2 |
| Masters Degree | 1 | . | 18 | 6 | 25 |
| Bachelors | 323 | 125 | 34 | 7 | 489 |
| Diploma level | 3 | 34 | 155 | 2 | 195 |
| Certificate A2 | $\cdot$ | 501 | 216 | 32 | 748 |
| Other (Less than A2) | . | 2620 | 46 | 62 | 2729 |
| Not stated | . | . | 16 | . | 16 |
| Total | 328 | 3280 | 487 | 109 | 4203 |

Table 4.1- 81: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2016 to 2017 by level of study and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |
|  | $\cdot$ | . | 16 | . | 16 |
| Masters Degree | $\cdot$ | 3 | 20 | . | 23 |
| Bachelors | 377 | 166 | 93 | 2 | 637 |
| Diploma level | 17 | 34 | 253 | . | 304 |
| Certificate A2 | 54 | 1001 | 341 | 94 | 1490 |
| Other (Less than A2) | $\cdot$ | 2937 | 63 | 35 | 3035 |
| Not stated | $\cdot$ | 2 | 32 | . | 34 |
| Total | 448 | 4142 | 817 | 131 | 5538 |

Table 4.1-82: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2013 to 2017 by level of study and activity sector

| FIELD OF EDUCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | . | . | 29 | . | 29 |
| Masters Degree | . | 16 | 102 | 6 | 123 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | . | 9 | 45 | . | 54 |
| Bachelors | 550 | 1075 | 438 | 124 | 2187 |
| Diploma level | 40 | 195 | 1259 | 176 | 1671 |
| Certificate A2 | 59 | 3570 | 1657 | 251 | 5536 |
| Other (Less than A2) | 3 | 8475 | 388 | 244 | 9110 |
| Not stated | . | 2 | 74 | . | 76 |
| Total | 652 | 13342 | 3992 | 801 | 18786 |

## E. Staff development

Table 4.1-83: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have a staff training policy/plan in place or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Does your establishment have a staff training <br> and development policy or plan in place? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 85.9 | 14.1 |  | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 31.4 | 68.1 | 0.5 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 67.0 | 32.6 | 0.4 | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 70.7 | 29.3 |  | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 41.2 | 58.4 | 0.4 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-84: Percent of establishments who carried out the training for managerial staff by the means used to carry out such training, according to activity sector

| MEANS USED TO CARRY OUT TRAINING | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Apprenticeship | 77.1 | 61.4 | 49.7 | 60.4 | 60.0 |
| On the-job-training | 65.2 | 64.5 | 58.0 | 69.4 | 64.6 |
| Own Training Centre | 35.3 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 22.7 | 19.5 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (local) | 60.0 | 23.0 | 11.2 | 29.7 | 24.2 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (abroad) | 54.8 | 13.7 | 7.6 | 19.5 | 15.8 |
| Workshops | 88.0 | 68.1 | 83.6 | 78.7 | 73.8 |
| Not stated | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 |  | 2.3 |
|  | 101 | 1360 | 378 | 532 | 2370 |

Table 4.1-85: Percent of establishments who carried out the training for professional and technical staff by means used to carry out such training, according to activity sector

| MEANS USED TO CARRY OUT THE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PRIVATE |  |  | Group |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apprenticeship | PUBLIC | BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | 56.0 |
| On the-job-training | 70.9 | 56.6 | 52.4 | 54.2 | 62.1 |
| Own Training Centre | 64.4 | 62.1 | 61.8 | 62.1 | 17.2 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (local) | 37.3 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 18.9 | 22.4 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (abroad) | 52.9 | 22.3 | 12.4 | 24.1 | 12.5 |
| Workshops | 49.6 | 9.7 | 7.0 | 16.6 | 66.4 |
| Other programs (specify) | 83.9 | 60.7 | 83.8 | 65.4 | 2.1 |
| Total | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 |  | 2370 |

Table 4.1-86: Percent of establishments who carried out the training for clerical and casual staff by mean used to carry out such training, according to activity sector

| MEAN USED TO CARRY OUT SUCH TRAINING | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Apprenticeship | 37.7 | 30.9 | 25.8 | 18.9 | 27.7 |
| On the-job-training | 39.2 | 31.0 | 27.5 | 18.7 | 28.1 |
| Own Training Centre | 14.6 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 9.9 | 7.5 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (local) | 19.6 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 6.5 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (abroad) | 16.2 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.6 |
| Workshops | 39.0 | 30.2 | 44.6 | 24.0 | 31.5 |
| Not stated | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| Total | 101 | 1360 | 378 | 532 | 2370 |

Table 4.1-87: Percentage distribution of establishments which have ever conducted training for their staff by the categories of staff and frequency of training, according to activity sector.

| CATEGORY OF STAFF | FREQUENCY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managerial(PS,DGs) | Monthly | 6.5 | 11.4 | 6.2 | 17.9 | 11.9 |
|  | Quarterly | 11.3 | 20.0 | 28.4 | 36.9 | 25.2 |
|  | Twice a year | 20.8 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 17.7 | 15.6 |
|  | Annually | 23.8 | 26.3 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 20.6 |
|  | Every two years | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 35.5 | 24.4 | 33.3 | 15.9 | 24.3 |
|  | Other(specify) | 1.1 | 1.7 |  |  | 1.0 |
|  | Not stated |  | 1.0 |  |  | 0.5 |
| Group Total | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 97 | 1029 | 319 | 490 | 1935 |
| Supervisory (Directors) | Monthly | 7.8 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 14.7 | 8.5 |
|  | Quarterly | 17.9 | 23.6 | 25.6 | 35.7 | 25.9 |
|  | Twice a year | 21.6 | 15.6 | 10.2 | 18.7 | 15.7 |
|  | Annually | 18.9 | 23.2 | 13.9 | 8.6 | 18.5 |
|  | Every two years |  | 1.7 |  | 3.0 | 1.6 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 31.6 | 26.8 | 37.3 | 19.4 | 27.3 |
|  | Other(specify) | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 |  | 0.9 |
|  | Not stated |  | 1.9 | 2.9 |  | 1.5 |
| Group Total | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 92 | 760 | 215 | 265 | 1333 |
| Technical staff/Professional | Monthly | 4.1 | 11.1 | 9.8 | 21.2 | 12.6 |
|  | Quarterly | 26.3 | 19.6 | 33.9 | 27.4 | 24.1 |
|  | Twice a year | 22.5 | 13.2 | 10.8 | 19.5 | 14.5 |
|  | Annually | 21.8 | 22.5 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 18.4 |
|  | Every two years |  | 2.3 |  | 1.0 | 1.5 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 23.2 | 26.7 | 33.4 | 18.3 | 26.0 |
|  | Other(specify) | 2.1 | 3.3 | 0.5 |  | 2.0 |
|  | Not stated |  | 1.3 |  |  | 0.7 |
| Group Total | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 99 | 1143 | 368 | 420 | 2029 |
| Clerical | Monthly | 2.1 | 18.3 | 7.4 | 16.3 | 14.8 |
|  | Quarterly | 29.7 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 33.0 | 17.6 |
|  | Twice a year | 10.7 | 12.1 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 10.3 |
|  | Annually | 19.5 | 18.6 | 27.0 | 10.3 | 18.8 |
|  | Every two years |  | 2.6 | 3.8 |  | 2.2 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 36.0 | 28.3 | 43.2 | 31.4 | 32.4 |
|  | Other(specify) | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.0 |  | 2.2 |
|  | Not stated |  | 2.9 |  |  | 1.6 |
| Group Total | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 52 | 561 | 210 | 190 | 1013 |
| Casual | Monthly |  | 16.4 |  |  | 11.0 |
|  | Quarterly | 33.6 | 16.5 | 31.5 | 37.0 | 22.5 |
|  | Twice a year | 4.3 | 13.9 |  | 10.9 | 11.6 |
|  | Annually | 32.3 | 11.1 |  | 20.3 | 13.2 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 25.4 | 30.7 | 55.9 | 31.9 | 32.7 |
|  | Other(specify) | 4.3 | 3.6 |  |  | 2.7 |
|  | Not stated |  | 7.7 | 12.6 |  | 6.3 |
| Group Total | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 24 | 251 | 32 | 66 | 373 |

Table 4.1-88: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have in house training facilities for own staff or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Does your establishment have in-house training <br> facilities for own staff? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 70.2 | 29.8 |  | 100 | 101 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 50.6 | 49.2 | 0.2 | 100 | 1360 |
| HEALTH | 55.7 | 43.8 | 0.5 | 100 | 378 |
| NGO | 71.1 | 28.9 |  | 100 | 532 |
| Total | 56.9 | 43.0 | 0.2 | 100 | 2370 |

Table 4.1-89: Percent of establishments which have in-house training facilities by the type of those facilities, according to activity sector.

| TYPE OF INHOUSE TRAINING FACILITIES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Training space | 80.5 | 88.6 | 88.1 | 90.0 | 88.5 |
| Specialized trainers | 76.5 | 72.4 | 58.5 | 67.9 | 69.2 |
| Computers | 85.3 | 58.0 | 62.4 | 40.8 | 55.3 |
| Projector | 100.0 | 36.3 | 37.9 | 35.8 | 39.7 |
| Training materials (manuals, books...) | 92.5 | 79.7 | 88.9 | 85.2 | 83.4 |
| Laboratory | 20.9 | 12.9 | 66.4 | 5.5 | 19.6 |
| Not stated | 2.8 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| Total | 71 | 688 | 211 | 378 | 1348 |

Table 4.1-90: Percent of establishments by the kind of skills in general lacking among their staff, according to activity sector

| LACKING SKILLS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managerial skills | 35.2 | 36.0 | 48.2 | 51.8 | 39.3 |
| Technical skills | 41.0 | 41.5 | 47.9 | 52.4 | 43.5 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 43.2 | 46.7 | 54.3 | 50.0 | 47.8 |
| Language skills | 60.9 | 57.8 | 61.7 | 56.3 | 58.1 |
| Customer care | 35.3 | 31.7 | 28.2 | 24.6 | 30.5 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 48.2 | 45.6 | 53.1 | 54.5 | 47.6 |
| IT skills | 44.0 | 51.8 | 69.7 | 62.3 | 54.8 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 24.0 | 30.5 | 34.8 | 39.3 | 31.9 |
| Communication skills | 17.4 | 23.9 | 23.7 | 16.8 | 22.8 |
| Other (specify) | 3.6 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Total | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-91: Distribution of establishments by whether they have conducted the training in the last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Did you conduct any staff training in the last 12 months? |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 87.9 | 12.1 | 0.6 | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 30.9 | 68.5 |  | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 79.4 | 20.6 |  | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 68.2 | 31.8 |  | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 41.7 | 57.9 | 0.4 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-92: Percent of establishments which conducted training in last 12 months by the kind of training conducted, according to activity sector

| TRAININGS CONDUCTED | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES |  |  |
|  | HEALTH | NGO |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial skills | 81.0 | 43.6 | 30.6 | 66.2 | 47.6 |
| Technical skills | 73.0 | 67.2 | 88.2 | 50.6 | 67.8 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 15.2 | 18.6 | 3.1 | 24.1 | 16.7 |
| Language skills | 53.5 | 19.6 | 6.4 | 15.8 | 17.8 |
| Customer care | 54.3 | 49.1 | 24.7 | 35.7 | 41.9 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 20.2 | 18.6 | 4.4 | 14.9 | 15.2 |
| IT skills | 52.4 | 21.5 | 23.1 | 15.6 | 21.9 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 21.2 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 52.0 | 27.0 |
| Communication skills | 24.1 | 27.5 | 16.7 | 34.1 | 26.7 |
| Not stated | 10.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 8.4 |
| Total | 103 | 1337 | 448 | 513 | 2401 |

Table 4.1-93: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they face any challenge that limit their staff training or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you face any challenges that limit your staff training? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 72.3 | 27.7 |  | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 63.5 | 35.4 | 1.1 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 89.6 | 10.4 |  | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 76.4 | 23.6 |  | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 67.9 | 31.3 | 0.8 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-94: Percentage distribution of establishments by the first important challenge that limit the training of their staff, according to activity sector

| Which challenges limit the training of <br> your staff? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 13.0 | 19.8 | 13.2 | 21.9 | 19.1 |
| Inadequate materials | 4.9 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 17.7 | 14.2 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 3.7 | 10.8 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 10.2 |
| Time off for the trainees | 12.3 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 3.8 | 7.8 |
| Lack of funds | 62.3 | 43.9 | 57.0 | 44.6 | 46.1 |
| Other | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| Not stated | 1.2 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 85 | 2747 | 506 | 574 | 3912 |

Table 4.1-95: Percentage distribution of establishments by the second important challenge that limit the training of their staff, according to activity sector

| Which challenges limit the training of <br> your staff? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 5.9 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 13.8 | 12.1 |
| Inadequate materials | 20.8 | 31.1 | 35.4 | 27.9 | 31.1 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 22.8 | 18.3 | 22.6 | 25.4 | 20.0 |
| Time off for the trainees | 6.5 | 12.3 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 11.1 |
| Lack of funds | 31.2 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 24.4 | 23.3 |
| Other | 12.9 | 3.1 | 0.5 |  | 2.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 49 | 2105 | 408 | 402 | 2964 |

Table 4.1-96: Percentage distribution of establishments by the third important challenge that limit the training of their staff, according to activity sector

| Which challenges limit the training of <br> your staff? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) |  | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 12.6 |
| Inadequate materials | 34.4 | 19.5 | 27.5 | 22.0 | 21.4 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 13.2 | 15.9 | 22.1 | 9.7 | 15.9 |
| Time off for the trainees | 21.7 | 14.6 | 11.6 | 10.4 | 13.6 |
| Lack of funds | 21.6 | 34.0 | 18.8 | 44.4 | 33.0 |
| Other | 9.1 | 3.4 | 7.0 |  | 3.6 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 23 | 1122 | 263 | 243 | 1652 |

Table 4.1-97: Percentage Distribution of establishment by whether they have ever hired graduates from TVET or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Have you hired TVET graduates? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 70.4 | 26.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 59.2 | 39.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 84.1 | 15.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 564 |
| NGO | 47.6 | 52.4 |  | 100.0 | 752 |
| Group Total | 60.4 | 38.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-98: Percentage distribution of establishments by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning TVET graduate, according to activity sector

| LEVEL OF SATISFACTION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Fully Satisfied | 41.6 | 48.0 | 44.9 | 49.2 | 48 |
| Partially satisfied | 53.4 | 42.4 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 44 |
| Little satisfied | 3.7 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 6 |
| Not satisfied | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.7 |  | 2 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Count | 83 | 2562 | 474 | 357 | 3476 |

Table 4.1-99: Percentage distribution of establishment by whether they have ever hired graduates from high institutions or not, according to activity sector

|  | Have you hired graduates from high <br> institutions? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
|  | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 40.9 | 57.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 87.0 | 13.0 |  | 100.0 | 564 |
| NGO | 40.7 | 59.3 |  | 100.0 | 752 |
| Group Total | 46.6 | 52.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-100: Percentage Distribution of establishments by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning higher institution graduate, according to activity sector

| LEVEL OF SATISFACTION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PRIVATE |  |  | Group |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1-101: Distribution of establishments according to the most important, second and third suggestions to improve education and training in TVET, by activity sector

| PRIORITY | SUGGESTIONS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| MOST IMPORTANT | Managerial skills | 8.1 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 19.2 | 12.1 |
|  | Technical skills | 54.0 | 42.1 | 50.0 | 40.3 | 42.9 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 2.6 | 13.9 | 7.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 |
|  | Language skills | 13.1 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 6.8 | 8.9 |
|  | Customer care | 0.9 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 3.7 |
|  | IT skills | 3.7 | 5.0 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 5.7 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
|  | Communication skills | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 |  | 0.6 |
|  | Other (specify) | 3.6 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 6.6 |
|  | Not specified | 5.4 | 2.5 | 1.4 |  | 2.1 |
|  | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |
| SECOND IMPORTANT | Managerial skills | 5.7 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
|  | Technical skills | 14.9 | 9.9 | 11.7 | 17.7 | 11.2 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 22.5 | 20.0 | 17.1 | 16.0 | 19.2 |
|  | Language skills | 24.2 | 21.8 | 20.3 | 19.6 | 21.4 |
|  | Customer care | 3.4 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 8.0 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 11.4 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 12.4 | 13.9 |
|  | IT skills | 16.8 | 16.6 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 17.2 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills |  | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
|  | Communication skills |  | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
|  | Other (specify) | 1.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
|  | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 92 | 3188 | 468 | 566 | 4313 |
| THIRD IMPORTANT | Managerial skills | 1.6 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 |
|  | Technical skills | 1.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 8.9 | 6.7 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 7.7 | 8.0 | 15.1 | 14.7 | 9.7 |
|  | Language skills | 14.6 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 13.4 | 14.4 |
|  | Customer care | 11.1 | 8.1 | 10.7 | 5.4 | 8.1 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 23.4 | 18.1 | 8.5 | 16.2 | 16.9 |
|  | IT skills | 23.9 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 22.0 | 25.6 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 1.6 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 13.1 | 5.7 |
|  | Communication skills | 6.3 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 6.1 |
|  | Other (specify) | 8.1 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 3.3 |
|  | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 65 | 1877 | 295 | 342 | 2578 |

Table 4.1-102: Distribution of establishments according to the most important, second and third suggestions to improve education and training in higher institutions, by activity sector

| PRIORITY | SUGGESTIONS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| MOST IMPORTANT | Managerial skills | 32.9 | 15.1 | 17.5 | 22.6 | 16.6 |
|  | Technical skills | 26.5 | 17.9 | 34.3 | 18.4 | 19.7 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 5.3 | 18.6 | 12.3 | 13.8 | 17.1 |
|  | Language skills | 11.4 | 12.5 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 11.5 |
|  | Customer care | 1.8 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
|  | Innovativeness / | 9.8 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
|  | IT skills | 6.2 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 8.4 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
|  | Communication skills |  | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
|  | Other | 2.7 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 11.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Not specified | 1.8 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
|  | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |
| SECOND IMPORTANT | Managerial skills | 4.0 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 6.9 |
|  | Technical skills | 13.7 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 13.2 | 8.7 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 13.7 | 15.0 | 12.8 | 21.1 | 15.5 |
|  | Language skills | 31.2 | 18.4 | 17.3 | 13.1 | 18.0 |
|  | Customer care | 5.5 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 6.9 |
|  | Innovativeness / | 11.8 | 20.2 | 18.1 | 14.9 | 19.0 |
|  | IT skills | 13.0 | 15.3 | 19.0 | 12.6 | 15.3 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 1.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 4.3 |
|  | Communication skills | 4.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.6 |
|  | Other | 1.1 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
|  | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 98 | 2882 | 454 | 504 | 3939 |
| THIRD IMPORTANT | Managerial skills | 1.6 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
|  | Technical skills | 3.1 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 7.2 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 5.9 | 9.8 | 11.0 | 4.8 | 9.3 |
|  | Language skills | 13.6 | 12.8 | 16.4 | 11.1 | 13.1 |
|  | Customer care | 23.2 | 6.7 | 11.0 | 16.8 | 9.0 |
|  | Innovativeness / | 7.6 | 20.6 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 17.7 |
|  | IT skills | 25.5 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 25.4 | 22.0 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 1.6 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 13.0 | 7.3 |
|  | Communication skills | 9.1 | 7.4 | 3.4 |  | 6.0 |
|  | Other | 8.9 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
|  | Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Count | 68 | 1577 | 292 | 266 | 2203 |

Table 4.1-103: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have an industrial attachment or not according to activity sector.

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you have an industrial attachment/internship program? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (institutionaliz ed) | Yes (occasionally/i nformal) | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 52.5 | 18.5 | 27.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 11.8 | 15.5 | 71.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 22.4 | 30.8 | 46.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 564 |
| NGO | 11.8 | 15.8 | 72.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 752 |
| Group Total | 13.7 | 17.1 | 68.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-104: Estimate of total number of annually interns by gender, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR |  | NUMBER OF INTERNS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 4.1-105: Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2009, 2010 and 2011; according to activity sector.

| PERIOD | HIRED INTERNS AFTER INTERNSHIP | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| 2009 | MALE | 19 | 631 | 62 | 53 | 763 |
|  | FEMALE | 21 | 384 | 86 | 33 | 524 |
|  | TOTAL | 39 | 1015 | 148 | 86 | 1287 |
| 2010 | MALE | 47 | 679 | 93 | 46 | 865 |
|  | FEMALE | 40 | 501 | 134 | 47 | 721 |
|  | TOTAL | 86 | 1180 | 227 | 93 | 1586 |
| 2011 | MALE | 45 | 866 | 68 | 106 | 1085 |
|  | FEMALE | 44 | 571 | 134 | 91 | 840 |
|  | TOTAL | 89 | 1437 | 202 | 197 | 1925 |

Table 4.1-106: Distribution of establishments by whether the interns take part in specifically designed training or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do interns take part in specifically designed |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1-107: Percent distribution of establishments by the length of internership according to activity sector

| Length of internship | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Less than 1 month | 35.0 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 4.7 |
| $1-$ | 13.8 | 26.3 | 35.1 | 24.2 | 27.9 |
| $2-$ | 11.4 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 17.5 | 21.5 |
| $3-$ | 37.2 | 16.6 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 23.3 |
| $4-$ | 2.5 | 2.0 | 14.8 | 5.3 | 15.9 |
| $6-$ |  | 3.2 | 2.0 | 21.1 | 4.3 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 83 | 1181 | 300 | 208 | 1772 |

Table 4.1-108: Distribution of establishments which received interns by the financial agreements with interns, according to activity sector

| financial agreements | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Interns have to pay money | 2.5 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 5.6 |
| Interns receive money | 21.6 | 15.9 | 2.7 | 15.8 | 13.9 |
| Interns sponsored by other institution | 12.3 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 9.5 | 6.4 |
| No payments | 63.5 | 66.3 | 91.1 | 68.3 | 70.6 |
| Not stated |  | 4.5 |  | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 83 | 1181 | 300 | 208 | 1772 |

Table 4.1-109: Distribution of establishments that don't host interns by the most important reason why they don't do it, according to activity sector

| First reason for not hosting interns | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| No need | 16.7 | 48.3 | 19.1 | 39.9 | 45.0 |
| No capacity / too small | 13.0 | 17.9 | 30.3 | 18.3 | 18.7 |
| Too cumbersome | 10.2 | 7.2 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 7.0 |
| No appropriate candidates | 9.9 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 23.3 | 15.4 |
| Candidates want to be paid / paid too much | 12.9 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 3.6 |
| Other | 37.3 | 9.5 | 17.5 | 8.5 | 10.1 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 31 | 3075 | 256 | 541 | 3903 |

Table 4.1-110: Distribution of establishments that don't host interns by the second important reason why they don't do it, according to activity sector

| Second reason for not hosting interns | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| No need | 19.8 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 8.4 | 6.6 |
| No capacity / too small | 19.8 | 30.5 | 27.2 | 22.4 | 29.3 |
| Too cumbersome | 31.5 | 30.8 | 33.0 | 31.7 | 31.0 |
| No appropriate candidates | 10.5 | 16.5 | 3.6 | 25.1 | 16.7 |
| Candidates want to be paid / paid too much | 18.4 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 7.0 |
| Other |  | 8.1 | 21.3 | 11.9 | 9.4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 10 | 1350 | 107 | 202 | 1669 |

Table 4.1-111: Distribution of establishments that don't host interns by the third important reason why they don't do it, according to activity sector

| Third reason for not hosting interns | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| No need |  | 9.1 |  | 18.8 | 9.7 |
| No capacity / too small |  | 6.3 |  | 16.2 | 7.1 |
| Too cumbersome |  | 39.0 | 63.4 | 21.2 | 38.0 |
| No appropriate candidates |  | 23.3 | 11.9 | 17.4 | 22.2 |
| Candidates want to be paid / paid too much |  | 15.1 |  | 12.7 | 14.2 |
| Other | 100 | 7.1 | 24.7 | 13.7 | 8.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2 | 251 | 16 | 44 | 313 |

## F. Capital / Expenditures / Revenue

Table 4.1-112: Percent distribution of establishments by the major source of their stat-up capital, according to province

| Major source of your start- up capital | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Own saving | 46.5 | 33.1 | 36.7 | 25.0 | 40.6 | 40.6 |
| Loans from friends/relatives | 4.5 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 5.3 |
| Contributions from others | 2.0 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| Loans from commercial banks | 19.8 | 21.0 | 15.4 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 19.7 |
| Informal money lenders | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Government lending agencies |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Micro finance institutions | 0.4 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Public share issuing | 15.3 | 18.3 | 15.6 | 27.8 | 16.0 | 17.1 |
| Inheritance | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.7 |
| Other | 2.5 | 6.7 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 4.4 |
| Not stated | 6.9 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 6.4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2337 | 578 | 628 | 470 | 500 | 4513 |

Table 4.1-113: Distribution of establishments which started by owner's saving by the major source of saved capital, according to province

| MAJOR SOURCE OF SAVED CAPITAL | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Previous employment public sector |  | 28.0 | 20.0 | 17.6 | 11.7 | 17.2 |
| Previous employment in private sector | 53.8 | 36.8 | 42.8 | 29.8 | 30.7 | 46.5 |
| Sale of farm products | 17.9 | 17.7 | 21.7 | 26.5 | 47.8 | 22.2 |
| Sale of assets (cattle, property) | 8.6 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 21.4 | 9.8 | 8.2 |
| Other | 2.3 | 5.7 | 13.1 | 4.7 |  | 3.9 |
| Not stated | 1.7 | 8.1 | 0.5 |  |  | 1.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1088 | 191 | 230 | 117 | 203 | 1830 |

Table 4.1-114: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have ever applied for a loarn or not, according to province.

| PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY | Have you ever applied for a loan from a bank or <br> another financial institution? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 62.1 | 37.9 | 0.1 | 100 | 2337 |
| Southern Province | 58.1 | 40.2 | 1.7 | 100 | 578 |
| Western Province | 54.7 | 44.7 | 0.6 | 100 | 630 |
| Northern Province | 65.7 | 33.0 | 1.3 | 100 | 468 |
| Eastern Province | 63.3 | 36.7 |  | 100 | 500 |
| Total | 61.0 | 38.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 4512 |

Table 4.1-115: Percentage distribution of establishments which have never applied for a loan according to the reason, by province

| REASON FOR NEVER APPLYING <br> FOR A LOAN | PROVINCE KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| No need | 48.4 | 61.9 | 57.7 | 78.6 | 54.3 | 55.0 |
| No guarantee | 28.5 | 34.0 | 37.9 | 10.1 | 38.1 | 30.1 |
| Long procedures | 24.2 | 21.1 | 24.3 | 12.7 | 22.8 | 22.6 |
| High interest rate | 21.1 | 20.2 | 23.2 | 8.6 | 16.4 | 19.7 |
| Lack of information | 6.0 | 7.6 | 17.8 |  | 15.1 | 8.6 |
| Fear of risks | 17.4 | 15.9 | 14.3 | 10.9 | 15.1 | 15.8 |
| Not stated | 6.4 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 10.3 | 7.6 | 6.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 885 | 232 | 281 | 155 | 183 | 1736 |

Table 4.1-116: Percentage distribution of establishments whose owners have applied for the loan by whether they have received the loan or not, according to province

| PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY | Have you received a loan from a bank or <br> another financial institution? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 94.5 | 5.5 |  | 100 | 1451 |
| Southern Province | 91.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 100 | 335 |
| Western Province | 92.4 | 7.6 |  | 100 | 345 |
| Northern Province | 94.3 | 5.7 |  | 100 | 307 |
| Eastern Province | 96.3 | 3.7 |  | 100 | 316 |
| Total | 94.1 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 100 | 2755 |

Table 4.1-117: Percent distribution of establishments whose owners have not received the loan they had applied for by reason why they did not receive it, according to province

| REASON TO NOT RECEIVING LOAN | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Poor/No business plan |  | 8.7 | 38.5 |  | 84.0 | 13.9 |
| No guarantee/Insufficient guarantee | 56.9 | 48.1 | 46.0 | 11.4 | 16.0 | 45.7 |
| Lack of startup fund | 15.3 |  |  | 31.8 |  | 11.2 |
| No feedback from the bank | 33.4 | 8.7 | 46.0 | 56.7 |  | 32.0 |
| Not stated | 8.2 | 8.6 |  |  |  | 5.4 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 80 | 23 | 26 | 18 | 12 | 158 |

Table 4.1-118: Estimation of total number of permanent employees per establishment, total expenditure on labour and mean expenditure on permanent employee in September 2011, by type of expenditure

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Number of Permanent <br> employees in <br> thousands | Total Expenditure <br> in millions RWF | Mean <br> expenditure in <br> thousands <br> RWF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wage and salaries including overtime pay | 89 | 21334 | 4077 |
| Bonus | 23 | 1614 | 318 |
| Allowances | 25 | 1984 | 392 |
| Social security contribution | 72 | 3455 | 674 |
| Training | 10 | 833 | 167 |
| Other expenditures | 14 | 368 | 73 |
| Total expenditure | - | 29587 | 5618 |

Table 4.1-119: Total expenditures on labour (in millions RFW) for permanent employees as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and economic activity.

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 239.5 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 261.9 |
| Mining and quarrying | 74.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 81.2 |
| Manufacturing | 1596.7 | 274.3 | 32.4 | 515.4 | 557.8 | 5.3 | 2981.7 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 19.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 24.0 | 5.0 | 51.5 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 |
| Construction | 236.3 | 15.0 | 23.3 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 294.8 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles | 3844.2 | 30.9 | 86.6 | 93.9 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 4096.2 |
| Transportation and storage | 461.5 | 56.1 | 26.8 | 64.7 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 639.6 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 581.5 | 15.2 | 32.8 | 29.2 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 669.5 |
| Information and communication | 645.2 | 26.6 | 18.1 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 719.6 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 4853.0 | 131.5 | 190.0 | 687.3 | 19.3 | 3.3 | 5884.5 |
| Real estate activities | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 443.3 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 28.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 498.5 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 172.6 | 16.8 | 15.6 | 8.3 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 215.3 |
| Public administration, defense and compulsory social security | 3108.2 | 214.7 | 173.5 | 409.9 | 29.3 | 82.0 | 4017.6 |
| Education | 50.6 | 8.7 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 70.8 |
| Human health and social work activities | 4228.2 | 762.6 | 1298.2 | 1433.2 | 137.9 | 76.0 | 7936.2 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 55.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 58.6 |
| Other services activities | 518.3 | 23.2 | 44.5 | 96.0 | 5.9 | 129.9 | 817.8 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 39.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 43.9 |
| Not stated | 145.4 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 16.9 | 223.3 |
| TOTAL | 21334.4 | 1613.6 | 1983.7 | 3454.6 | 833.1 | 367.8 | 29587.2 |

Table 4.1-120: Estimation of total expenditures on labour (in millions RWF) for permanent employees as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and province

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Wage and salaries paid to permanents | 17437 | 887 | 1051 | 832 | 1128 | 21334 |
| Bonus paid to permanent | 1122 | 111 | 101 | 71 | 208 | 1614 |
| Allowances paid to permanent | 1752 | 46 | 89 | 54 | 43 | 1984 |
| Social security contribution paid to | 1986 | 64 | 1155 | 92 | 157 | 3455 |
| permanent | 727 | 34 | 63 | 7 | 2 | 833 |
| Amount paid for training to Permanent |  |  | 16 | 18 | 10 | 37 |
| Amount for other benefit paid to permanent | 287 | 16 | 368 |  |  |  |
| employees | 23310 | 1159 | 2476 | 1067 | 1575 | 29587 |
| Total expenditure to permanent |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1-121: Estimation of total number of employees, total expenditure on labour and mean expenditure on temporally/casual employee in September 2011, by type of expenditure

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Number of temporally <br> employees in thousands | Total <br> Expenditure in <br> Millions | Mean expenditure in <br> thousands |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wage and salaries including overtime pay | 13.8 | 1005 | 181 |
| Bonus | 2.1 | 20 | 4 |
| Allowances | 2.7 | 64 | 12 |
| Social security contribution | 4.0 | 44 | 8 |
| Trainings | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other expenditures | 2.5 | 4 | 1 |
| Total expenditures |  | 1138 | 204 |

Table 4.1-122: Total expenditures (In million RWF) on labour for temporally/casual employees as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and economic activity

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Mining and quarrying | 111.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 111.6 |
| Manufacturing | 41.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 43.2 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply Water supply, sewage, waste management and | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 |
| remediation activities | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Construction | 73.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.2 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycles | 52.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.8 |
| Transportation and storage | 7.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 12.5 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 131.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 131.7 |
| Information and communication | 49.1 | 12.7 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 75.0 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 60.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.0 |
| Real estate activities | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 91.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94.1 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 |
| Public administration defense and compulsory social security | 248.0 | 2.8 | 15.1 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 298.6 |
| Education | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Human health and social work activities | 77.6 | 3.3 | 33.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 118.1 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| Other services activities | 18.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 18.4 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Not stated | 9.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 |
| Total | 1005.3 | 20.4 | 64.1 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1138.2 |

Table 4.1-123: Total expenditures on labour for temporally/casual employees in millions RWF as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and economic activity

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Wage and salary paid to temporally | 740 | 105 | 37 | 94 | 28 | 1005 |
| Bonuses paid to temporally |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowances paid to temporally <br> Social security contribution paid to <br> temporally | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| Amount paid for training to temporally <br> employee | 42 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 64 |
| Amount for other benefits paid to <br> temporally | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| Total expenditure for temporally | 860 | 107 | 41 | 95 | 34 | 1138 |

Table 4.1-124: Distribution of establishments by whether any of their product can be exported to EAC or world market or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Can any of your products be exported to EAC <br> or Word market? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 20.5 | 78.0 | 1.6 | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 15.0 | 84.2 | 0.8 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 4.6 | 89.7 | 5.7 | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 6.0 | 90.9 | 3.1 | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 12.9 | 85.5 | 1.6 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-125: Distribution of establishments whose products are exportable which have exported their products in 2011.

|  | Exportation in 2011 |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Not <br> stated | Row \% | Count |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 78.7 | 21.3 |  | 100.0 | 24 |
| HEALTH | 51.3 | 47.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 650 |
| NGO | 24.0 | 76.0 |  | 100.0 | 26 |
| Group Total | 9.3 | 90.7 |  | 100.0 | 45 |

Table 4.1-126: Distribution of the establishments which reported that they have exported their products during 2011 by location of the destination market, according to activity sector

| MARKET LOCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAC market | 84.1 | 88.5 | 100.0 | 48.1 | 88.0 |
| African market | 88.8 | 40.4 | 35.7 | 51.9 | 43.0 |
| Outside of Africa | 72.6 | 40.8 | 35.7 |  | 41.9 |
| Total | 19 | 334 | 6 | 4 | 363 |

Table 4.1-127: Percentage distribution of establishments whose products are exportable which have exported their product before 2011, according to activity sector

|  | Exportation before 2011 |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 78.7 | 21.3 |  | 100.0 | 24 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 44.9 | 54.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 650 |
| HEALTH | 24.0 | 76.0 |  | 100.0 | 26 |
| NGO | 9.3 | 90.7 |  | 100.0 | 45 |
| Group Total | 43.1 | 56.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 745 |

Table 4.1-128: Distribution of the establishments which reported that they have exported their products before 2011 by location of the destination market

| MARKET LOCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| EAC market | 84.1 | 75.5 | 100.0 | 48.1 | 76.1 |
| African market | 88.8 | 54.2 | 35.7 | 51.9 | 55.9 |
| Outside of Africa | 72.6 | 48.7 | 35.7 |  | 49.2 |
| Total | 19 | 292 | 6 | 4 | 321 |

Table 4.1-129: Percentage distribution of establishments whose products are exportable which have a plan to export their products in the future, according to activity sector

|  | Exportation in the future |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 82.8 | 17.2 |  | 100.0 | 24 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 85.1 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 650 |
| HEALTH | 67.8 | 32.2 |  | 100.0 | 26 |
| NGO | 58.2 | 41.8 |  | 100.0 | 45 |
| Group Total | 82.8 | 15.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 745 |

Table 4.1-130: Distribution of the establishments which reported that they plan to export their products in the future by location of the destination market

| MARKET LOCATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| EAC market | 89.9 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 92.8 |
| African market | 95.0 | 65.0 | 55.9 | 66.9 | 65.8 |
| Outside of Africa | 79.6 | 47.1 | 12.9 | 37.4 | 46.7 |
| Total | 20 | 553 | 18 | 26 | 617 |

Table 4.1-131: Distribution of establishments by their major source of funding according to activity sector

| major sources of funding | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Government/National budget | 85.2 | 0.9 | 55.5 |  | 7.9 |
| (International.)Donors | 1.8 | 1.5 | 7.6 | 16.1 | 4.0 |
| Shareholders |  | 3.6 | 0.4 |  | 2.8 |
| Financial Institutions |  | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.1 |
| Faith-based organizations/charities | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 18.2 | 2.6 |
| Payments by beneficiaries | 4.5 | 11.3 | 2.8 | 37.4 | 13.8 |
| Selling of output / services | 5.2 | 73.5 | 24.6 | 1.8 | 58.0 |
| Membership contribution fees |  | 3.6 |  | 14.7 | 4.6 |
| Others | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 2.4 |
| Not stated | 1.6 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 117 | 4324 | 564 | 752 | 5757 |

## G. Sourcing for required staff

Table 4.1-132: Distribution of establishment by means of sourcing personnel to fill vacant posts, according to level of skills

| Mean of sourcing personnel for <br> vacant posts | Low skilled |  |  | High and middle skilled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First <br> priority | Second <br> priority | Third <br> priority | First <br> priority | Second <br> priority | Third <br> priority |
| Media advertisements | 12 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 23.0 | 16.0 | 6.1 |
| Own webpage/ Internet | 0 | 11.8 | 7.4 | 0.9 | 29.2 | 5.3 |
| LMIS/Registration systems | 0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 10.9 |
| Job agents / bureaus | 1 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 13.7 |
| Friends/relatives | 62.5 | 35.9 | 41.1 | 33.7 | 18.9 | 20.4 |
| Training institution | 1.3 | 9.8 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 20.5 |
| Other | 18.8 | 28.7 | 26.1 | 29.3 | 20.6 | 23.1 |
| Not stated | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 5757 | 653 | 70 | 5757 | 648 | 118 |

Table 4.1-133: Distribution of establishments by whether they have recruited any person last year or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Recruited any person |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 84 | 16.2 |  | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 46 | 53.2 | 0.6 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 78 | 21.7 | 0.4 | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 37.7 | 62.3 |  | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 49.0 | 50.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-134: Distribution of establishments by whether they have advertised any post last year, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Advertised any post |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  | 117 |  |
| PUBLIC | 72 | 28.5 | 100 | 4324 |  |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 11 | 89.4 | 100 | 564 |  |
| HEALTH | 58 | 41.7 | 100 | 752 |  |
| NGO | 14.7 | 85.3 | 100 | 5757 |  |
| Total | 17.0 | 83.0 | 100 |  |  |

Table 4.1-135: Total estimate of advertised post, number of applicants and number of post filled trough the process in 2010 by activity sector and occupation

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | OCCUPATION | Number advertised | Number of applicants | Number of post filled through the process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUBLIC | Managers | 490 | 6911 | 424 |
|  | Professionals | 361 | 10132 | 339 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 435 | 7006 | 406 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 151 | 3874 | 136 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 52 | 545 | 42 |
|  | Craft and related trade workers | 23 | 6106 | 21 |
|  | Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 17 | 280 | 17 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 1 | 95 | 1 |
|  | Total | 1530 | 34948 | 1386 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | Managers | 251 | 2807 | 242 |
|  | Professionals | 262 | 5919 | 254 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 169 | 2096 | 158 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 120 | 2176 | 117 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 411 | 4044 | 386 |
|  | Craft and related trade workers | 80 | 504 | 74 |
|  | Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 222 | 615 | 126 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 100 | 919 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1615 | 19081 | 1457 |
| HEALTH | Managers | 59 | 368 | 53 |
|  | Professionals | 297 | 2512 | 266 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 1201 | 5377 | 1069 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 18 | 421 | 18 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 61 | 653 | 52 |
|  | Craft and related trade workers | 10 | 30 | 8 |
|  | Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 16 | 181 | 16 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 25 | 105 | 23 |
|  | Total | 1687 | 9646 | 1505 |
| NGO | Managers | 58 | 1606 | 58 |
|  | Professionals | 100 | 1399 | 94 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 109 | 2222 | 107 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 6 | 78 | 6 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 14 | 203 | 14 |
|  | Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 11 | 156 | 11 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 4 | 97 | 4 |
|  | Total | 301 | 5762 | 294 |
| TOTAL | Managers | 858 | 11692 | 777 |
|  | Professionals | 1019 | 19962 | 953 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 1914 | 16701 | 1741 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 295 | 6549 | 277 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 538 | 5444 | 493 |
|  | Craft and related trade workers | 113 | 6640 | 103 |
|  | Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 265 | 1233 | 170 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 130 | 1217 | 129 |
|  | Group Total | 5148 | 69637 | 4657 |

Table 4.1-136: Percentage distribution of establishment by whether they have ever used the LMIS or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Have you ever used LMIS? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 13 | 85.7 | 1.7 | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 1 | 97.9 | 0.6 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 2 | 98.2 |  | 100 | 564 |
| NGO |  | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 1.5 | 97.9 | 0.5 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-137: Percentage distribution of establishments which have used LMIS by the level of satisfaction with it, according to activity sector

| LEVEL OF SATISFACTION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH |  |
| Satisfactory | 85.7 | 25.4 | 41.4 | 37.3 |
| Too complicated | 7.2 | 48.7 | 18.2 | 38.3 |
| Too few applications | 7.2 | 3.6 |  | 3.8 |
| Too many applications |  | 3.4 | 40.3 | 7.1 |
| Too many unqualified applications |  | 6.8 |  | 4.9 |
| Other |  | 12.1 |  | 8.7 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 15 | 64 | 10 | 89 |

Table 4.1-138: Percentage distribution of establishments who have not used LMIS by whether they have heard about it or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Have you heard about it |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 35.3 | 61.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 103 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 14.5 | 84.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4260 |
| HEALTH | 28.1 | 71.9 |  | 100.0 | 554 |
| NGO | 17.9 | 81.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 752 |
| Total | 16.6 | 82.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 5668 |

Table 4.1-139: Percentage distribution of establishments which have heard about but never used LMIS by reason, according to activity sector

| Reasons you did not use LMIS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| No need | 29.7 | 62.6 | 41.0 | 58.8 | 57 |
| Complicated / cumbersome | 35.9 | 17 | 27.8 | 5.7 | 18 |
| Fear too much applications |  | 3 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 3 |
| Don't want to expose information |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Applicants don't match requirements |  | 1.2 | 1.5 |  | 1 |
| Is not properly working | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Others | 28.8 | 11.0 | 18.8 | 24.8 | 15.0 |
| Not stated | 2.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 4.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 38 | 617 | 156 | 134 | 945 |

Table 4.1-140: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they envisage hiring non-nationals or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Hiring of non-nationals |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 28 | 72 |  | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 24.5 | 75 | 0.7 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 41.3 | 57.9 | 0.7 | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 20.5 | 79.2 | 0.3 | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 25.7 | 73.6 | 0.7 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-141: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non- nationals by the first important reason, according to activity sector

| FIRST PRIORITY REASON OF HIRING NON- <br> NATIONALS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Cheaper | 6.5 | 6.4 | 9.9 |  | 6.3 |
| Better qualified | 54.9 | 47.4 | 25.7 | 46.5 | 44.1 |
| More Efficient | 32.3 | 18.5 | 29.2 | 32.2 | 21.9 |
| More productive | 3.1 | 17.6 | 13.4 | 5.7 | 15.4 |
| Others | 3.2 | 7.2 | 19.1 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| Not stated |  | 2.8 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 33 | 1061 | 233 | 154 | 1481 |

Table 4.1-142: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non- nationals by the second important reason, according to activity sector

| SECOND PRIORITY REASON OF HIRING NON- <br> NATIONALS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Cheaper |  | 4.2 | 5.8 |  | 4.0 |
| Better qualified | 10.1 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 19.8 |
| More Efficient | 69.8 | 43.9 | 27.5 | 42.5 | 41.8 |
| More productive | 20.1 | 30.1 | 37.8 | 36.5 | 31.5 |
| Others |  | 1.9 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 21 | 712 | 146 | 75 | 954 |

Table 4.1-143: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non- nationals by the third important reason, according to activity sector

| THIRD PRIORITY REASON OF HIRING NON- | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Cheaper |  | 10.2 | 5.6 |  | 8.2 |
| Better qualified |  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| More Efficient | 10 | 16.1 | 22.8 | 10.1 | 15.5 |
| More productive | 80 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 11.0 | 11.6 |
| Others |  | 53.3 | 41.6 | 66.4 | 54.7 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 14.7 | 12.5 | 10.0 |

Table 4.1-144: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non-nationals by the first preferred nationality, according to activity sector

| FIRST PRIORITY PREFERED NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| EAC | 35.3 | 55.1 | 37.3 | 31.0 | 49.3 |
| Other African |  | 9.9 | 20.2 | 15.4 | 11.9 |
| Europe | 13.0 | 2.5 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 3.7 |
| Americas | 3.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 7.6 | 1.9 |
| Asia |  | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Oceania | 3.2 |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Any where | 32.3 | 22.8 | 25.3 | 42.0 | 25.4 |
| Not stated | 13.0 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 5.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 33 | 1061 | 233 | 154 | 1481 |

Table 4.1-145: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non-nationals by the second preferred nationality, according to activity sector

| SECOND PRIORITY PREFERED NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| EAC | 10.1 | 19.2 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 17.3 |
| Other African | 30.7 | 62.7 | 63.2 | 41.7 | 59.2 |
| Europe | 19.0 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 17.1 | 10.1 |
| Americas | 30.2 | 2.6 | 18.4 | 7.6 | 6.7 |
| Asia | 10.1 | 6.1 |  |  | 4.4 |
| Any where |  | 0.5 |  | 15.7 | 2.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 11 | 233 | 58 | 43 | 344 |

Table 4.1-146: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non-nationals by the third preferred nationality, according to activity sector

| THIRD PRIORITY PREFERED NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| EAC | 12.6 | 12.2 | 6.7 |  | 9.3 |
| Other African | 25.2 | 11.3 | 31.2 |  | 16.6 |
| Europe | 38.4 | 15.5 | 30.0 | 82.9 | 28.9 |
| Americas | 23.8 | 13.9 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 10.1 |
| Asia | 17.4 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 13.7 |  |
| Any where | 100 | 29.6 | 19.9 |  | 21.4 |
| Total | 8 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 63 | 33 | 13 | 118 |

Table 4.1-147: Percent distribution of establishments which don't envisage hiring non-nationals by the first priority reason, according to activity sector

| FIRST PRIORITY REASON OF NOT HIRING <br> NON-NATIONALS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| No need | 48.6 | 69.1 | 47.6 | 66.6 | 66.6 |
| Regulations | 28.0 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 6.1 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Language problems | 3.6 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 9.8 | 5.8 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 2.5 | 6.6 | 12.4 | 4.8 | 6.7 |
| Cultural problems |  | 2.5 | 0.6 |  | 1.9 |
| Too cost | 7.4 | 8.2 | 14.4 | 6.8 | 8.5 |
| Others | 3.7 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Not stated |  | 0.3 | 0.6 |  | 0.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 85 | 3231 | 327 | 595 | 4238 |

Table 4.1-148: Percent distribution of establishments which don't envisage hiring non-nationals by the second priority reason, according to activity sector

| SECOND PRIORITY REASON OF NOT HIRING <br> NON-NATIONALS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| No need |  | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |
| Regulations | 17.2 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 7.7 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 23.8 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 5.3 |
| Language problems | 5.3 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring |  | 24.3 | 21.3 | 30.6 | 24.5 |
| Cultural problems | 23.7 | 22.8 | 21.0 | 10.9 | 20.9 |
| Too cost | 2.9 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 8.4 | 7.9 |
| Others | 18.0 | 25.5 | 24.9 | 20.9 | 24.7 |
| Total | 9.1 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 4.9 |

Table 4.1-149: Percentage distribution of establishments which don't envisage hiring non-nationals by the third priority reason, according to activity sector

| THIRD PRIORITY REASON OF NOT <br> HIRINGNON-NATIONALS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |  |
| Regulations |  | 4.6 | 6.7 |  | 4.2 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 28.3 | 1.9 | 7.7 |  | 2.7 |
| Language problems |  | 2.8 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 3.7 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring |  | 9.2 | 15.7 | 9.0 | 9.5 |
| Cultural problems | 14.2 | 9.4 | 16.2 | 9.0 | 10.0 |
| Too cost |  | 18.8 | 20.4 | 50.1 | 21.3 |
| Others | 44.3 | 43.4 | 25.1 | 19.6 | 39.9 |
| Total | 13.2 | 9.8 |  | 3.4 | 8.6 |

## H. Membership to employers organizations

Table 4.1-150: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they are members of any organization or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Are you a member of any organization |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 28 | 71.0 | 0.9 | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 23.0 | 76.4 | 0.6 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 12.9 | 86.7 | 0.4 | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 16.8 | 83.2 |  | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 21.3 | 78.2 | 0.5 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-151: percentage distribution of establishments which are members of some organization by whether they have received any cooperation or assistance from it or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Have you received any cooperation from the |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | organization? |  | No |  |  |
| PUBLIC | Yes | 46 | 53.9 |  | 100 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 20.9 | 78.9 | 0 | 100 | 993 |
| HEALTH | 21.8 | 78.2 |  | 100 | 73 |
| NGO | 32.6 | 67.4 |  | 100 | 126 |
| Total | 22.9 | 77.0 | 0 | 100 | 1225 |

Table 4.1-152: Percentage distribution of establishments who are member of some organization by whether they are affiliated to any other organization/ association or not according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Affiliated to another organisation? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 16.9 | 83.1 |  | 100.0 | 33 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 8.3 | 91.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 993 |
| HEALTH | 10.9 | 89.1 |  | 100.0 | 73 |
| NGO | 26.5 | 73.5 |  | 100.0 | 126 |
| TOTAL | 10.5 | 89.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1225 |

## I. Challenges of business expansion

Table 4.1-153: Percent distribution of establishments by whether they have any challenge affecting their growth or not, according to activity sector.

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you have any difficulty that affecting the growth of your establishments? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 70.0 | 27.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 73 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 92.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4211 |
| HEALTH | 90.7 | 9.3 |  | 100.0 | 555 |
| NGO | 74.9 | 22.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 403 |
| Group Total | 90.2 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5242 |

Table 4.1-154: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported most important challenges affecting their operation/growth, according to activity sector

| CHALLENGES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Lack of customers/marketing | 10.1 | 36.0 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 30.5 |
| Non-payment of debts | 5.6 | 8.6 | 22.1 | 0.4 | 9.5 |
| Access to finance | 30.0 | 15.0 | 19.7 | 52.8 | 18.1 |
| Lack of management skills | 4.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Lack of capital equipment | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 |  | 1.5 |
| Lack of skilled personnel | 13.8 | 4.2 | 11.8 | 3.1 | 5.0 |
| High taxes and license fees |  | 8.8 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 7.6 |
| Lack of raw materials/irregular supply |  | 1.4 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Lack of space/land |  | 3.4 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| No new technology | 4.1 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 2.6 |
| Difficulties with existing regulations, law ... | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 |  | 2.1 |
| Increased competition |  | 2.8 |  |  | 2.3 |
| Access to energy | 3.9 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 2.6 |
| Cost of energy |  | 0.5 |  | 3.8 | 0.6 |
| Crime/safety |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 |
| Customs and trade regulations |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Poor transport system |  | 0.6 | 0.8 |  | 0.6 |
| Transport cost |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 |
| Labour regulations | 2.1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |
| High labour turnover | 7.9 | 0.7 | 3.6 |  | 1.0 |
| HIV/AIDS |  | 0.2 |  | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| Other (specify) | 14.1 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 51 | 3873 | 503 | 302 | 4730 |

Table 4.1-155: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported second important challenges affecting their operation/growth, according to activity sector

| CHALLENGES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Lack of customers/marketing |  | 10.1 | 3.4 |  | 8.7 |
| Non-payment of debts | 14.8 | 14.6 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 13.4 |
| Access to finance | 7.9 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 13.6 |
| Lack of management skills |  | 2.3 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| Lack of capital equipment |  | 3.3 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 3.8 |
| Lack of skilled personnel | 23.6 | 7.3 | 10.8 | 16.2 | 8.3 |
| High taxes and license fees |  | 13.2 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 11.4 |
| Lack of raw materials/irregular supply | 20.5 | 6.1 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 7.0 |
| Lack of space/land |  | 5.4 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 5.8 |
| No new technology | 2.6 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 14.5 | 5.4 |
| Difficulties with existing regulations, law ... |  | 0.8 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| Increased competition | 5.3 | 8.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 7.1 |
| Access to energy |  | 2.1 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 3.0 |
| Cost of energy |  | 0.7 | 2.0 |  | 0.8 |
| Crime/safety |  | 0.6 |  |  | 0.5 |
| Customs and trade regulations |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.5 |
| Poor transport system | 5.0 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Transport cost |  | 1.4 | 2.4 |  | 1.4 |
| Labour regulations |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |
| High labour turnover |  | 0.6 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Corruption | 2.6 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |
| Other (specify) | 17.6 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 3.6 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 40 | 3254 | 416 | 227 | 3937 |

Table 4.1-156: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported third important challenges affecting their operation/growth, according to activity sector

| CHALLENGES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Lack of customers/marketing | 6.1 | 6.1 | 2.2 |  | 5.4 |
| Non-payment of debts | 12.3 | 6.4 | 2.8 |  | 5.7 |
| Access to finance | 6.5 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 5.6 | 12.4 |
| Lack of management skills |  | 2.4 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 2.5 |
| Lack of capital equipment |  | 2.9 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 2.8 |
| Lack of skilled personnel |  | 5.4 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 5.8 |
| High taxes and license fees |  | 15.2 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 13.3 |
| Lack of raw materials/irregular supply |  | 4.8 | 6.1 | 12.0 | 5.3 |
| Lack of space/land | 6.5 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 7.3 |
| No new technology | 6.5 | 6.0 | 12.5 | 19.9 | 7.4 |
| Difficulties with existing regulations, law ... |  | 3.0 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 3.0 |
| Increased competition | 6.5 | 10.4 | 0.8 |  | 8.8 |
| Access to energy |  | 1.5 | 11.6 | 1.0 | 2.6 |
| Cost of energy |  | 3.3 |  | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| Crime/safety |  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| Customs and trade regulations |  | 1.9 |  |  | 1.6 |
| Poor transport system | 11.4 | 1.3 | 5.1 |  | 1.7 |
| Transport cost |  | 2.1 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 2.4 |
| Labour regulations |  |  | 0.7 |  | 0.1 |
| High labour turnover | 13.0 | 1.4 | 8.8 |  | 2.3 |
| Corruption | 6.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.7 |
| HIV/AIDS |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Other (specify) | 24.6 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 5.8 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 16 | 2046 | 278 | 108 | 2448 |

## J. Gender

Table 4.1-157: Percent distribution of establishments by whether they have a gender policy or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Does your organization have a gender policy? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 93.1 | 6.9 |  | 100.0 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 61.7 | 37.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 83.4 | 16.6 |  | 100.0 | 564 |
| NGO | 82.9 | 17.1 |  | 100.0 | 752 |
| Total | 67.2 | 32.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-158: Percent distribution of establishments by whether they practice preferential treatment due to sex or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | PRACTICE OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT DUE TO SEX |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 9 | 91.1 |  | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 9.6 | 89.8 | 1 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 5.6 | 94.4 |  | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 7.3 | 92.7 |  | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 8.9 | 90.7 | 0 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-159: Percent of establishment which have preferential treatment based on sex by the type of treatment, according to the activity sector

| TYPE OF TREATMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Quota of management positions for women | 50.6 | 33.0 | 31.9 | 39.7 | 34.0 |
| Overall quota for women | 60.7 | 35.6 | 58.5 | 24.9 | 36.3 |
| Preferential recruitment for women | 20.2 | 23.5 | 43.5 | 24.0 | 24.8 |
| Preferential recruitment for men | 10.1 | 40.3 | 12.5 | 25.4 | 36.4 |
| Maternity leave | 89.9 | 60.6 | 77.8 | 86.6 | 65.0 |
| Differential retirement age | 10.1 | 22.2 | 26.6 | 31.6 | 23.3 |
| Preferential payment |  | 12.3 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 12.0 |
| Others |  | 1.6 |  | 13.9 | 2.7 |
| Total | 10 | 415 | 32 | 55 | 512 |

## K: HIV/AIDS policy at workplace

Table 4.1-160: Percent distribution of establishments by whether they have an HIV and AIDS workplace policy or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you have an HIV and AIDS workplace policy in place? |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 69.9 | 29.2 | 0.9 | 100 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 43.5 | 55.9 | 0.6 | 117 |
| HEALTH | 93.6 | 6.4 |  | 4324 |
| NGO | 80.7 | 19.3 |  | 100 |
| Total | 53.8 | 45.8 | 0.5 | 100 |

Table 4.1-161: Percent distribution of establishments which have an HIV and AIDS policy in workplace by type of facilities involved in that policy, according to activity sector

| TYPES OF FACILITIES INVOLVED IN HIV <br> AND AIDS POLICY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| VCT services | 98.7 | 95.1 | 97.6 | 98.7 | 96.3 |
| Free ARVs for workers who are HIV+ | 20.5 | 7.0 | 66.1 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| Free condom distribution for workers | 71.1 | 25.4 | 78.7 | 8.5 | 32.4 |
| Free food rations for workers who are |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV+ | 5.2 | 7.9 | 21.5 | 27.1 | 13.9 |
| Workers' rights | 88.8 | 71.0 | 90.0 | 78.5 | 76.2 |
| Others | 3.6 | 3.5 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| Total | 82 | 1879 | 528 | 606 | 3095 |

## L. Use of ICT

Table 4.1-162: Percent distribution of establishments by whether they have introduced the use of ICT or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | introduction of ICT use |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 100 |  | 100 | 117 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 45.7 | 54.3 | 100 | 4324 |
| HEALTH | 87.9 | 12.1 | 100 | 564 |
| NGO | 47.5 | 52.5 | 100 | 752 |
| Total | 51.2 | 48.8 | 100 | 5757 |

Table 4.1-163: Percent of establishments which introduced ICT use by the sector of use, according to activity sector

| SECTOR OF USE OF ICT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES |  | HEALTH | NGO |
| Production | 97.3 | 87.7 | 84.2 | 83.0 | 86.9 |
| Marketing | 49.6 | 54.0 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 41.3 |
| Human resource management | 71.8 | 46.2 | 48.5 | 47.7 | 47.7 |
| Communication | 94.6 | 68.4 | 68.3 | 71.5 | 69.8 |
| Records management | 99.1 | 95.2 | 97.0 | 96.9 | 95.9 |
| Accounting/Finance/Planning/Budgeting | 98.2 | 89.3 | 96.3 | 91.3 | 91.1 |
| Employment | 71.4 | 15.8 | 19.6 | 24.0 | 19.6 |
| Others | 12.5 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Total | 117 | 1977 | 496 | 357 | 2947 |

Table 4.1-164: Percent distribution of establishment which introduced the use of ICT by the type of effect of ICT use, according to activity sector

| LEVEL OF EFFECT OF ICT |  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Production | Increased/improved | 98.2 | 86.3 | 85.5 | 82.0 | 86.1 |
|  | Decreased/worsened |  | 0.9 |  | 4.8 | 1.2 |
|  | No effect |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.4 |
|  | Not applicable | 1.8 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Marketing | Increased/improved | 98.2 | 86.3 | 85.5 | 82.0 | 86.1 |
|  | Decreased/worsened |  | 0.9 |  | 4.8 | 1.2 |
|  | No effect |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.4 |
|  | Not applicable | 1.8 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Human resource Management | Increased/improved | 98.2 | 86.3 | 85.5 | 82.0 | 86.1 |
|  | Decreased/worsened |  | 0.9 |  | 4.8 | 1.2 |
|  | No effect |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.4 |
|  | Not applicable | 1.8 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Communication | Increased/improved | 98.2 | 86.3 | 85.5 | 82.0 | 86.1 |
|  | Decreased/worsened |  | 0.9 |  | 4.8 | 1.2 |
|  | No effect |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.4 |
|  | Not applicable | 1.8 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Records <br> Management | Increased/improved | 100.0 | 93.7 | 97.0 | 95.3 | 94.7 |
|  | Decreased/worsened |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
|  | No effect |  | 0.6 | 0.9 |  | 0.5 |
|  | Not applicable |  | 4.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.6 |  | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Accounting/Finance/ <br> Planning/Budgeting | Increased/improved | 99.1 | 88.5 | 94.3 | 89.7 | 90.1 |
|  | Decreased/worsened |  | 0.2 | 0.4 |  | 0.2 |
|  | No effect |  | 0.4 | 1.6 |  | 0.6 |
|  | Not applicable | 0.9 | 10.0 | 3.7 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.9 |  | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Employment | Increased/improved | 72.2 | 17.1 | 20.9 | 24.9 | 20.9 |
|  | Decreased/worsened | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 2.4 |
|  | No effect | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 2.2 |
|  | Not applicable | 26.0 | 77.1 | 75.7 | 61.7 | 73.0 |
|  | Not stated |  | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Others | Increased/improved | 12.5 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
|  | Decreased/worsened | 4.5 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 7.9 | 5.5 |
|  | No effect | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 2.2 |
|  | Not applicable | 81.2 | 86.5 | 89.1 | 82.2 | 86.2 |
|  | Not stated |  | 1.9 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| TOTAL |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 117 | 1977 | 496 | 357 | 2947 |

Table 4.1-165: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported first important challenge they faced with regard to the use of ICT, according to activity sector

| FIRST PRIORITY CHALLENGE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Lack of skilled employees | 24.3 | 24.6 | 41.0 | 36.2 | 28.8 |
| Lack of skilled outside IT support | 6.9 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 5.8 |
| Lack of skilled trainers | 4.5 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| Insufficient / unreliable connectivity | 26.8 | 20.9 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 19.8 |
| Unreliable electricity | 1.8 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 7.0 | 7.4 |
| Costs of equipment | 5.4 | 10.7 | 4.4 | 8.3 | 9.1 |
| Availability of equipment | 3.7 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Others |  | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.3 |
| No challenge | 26.6 | 19.5 | 8.7 | 14.2 | 17.3 |
| Not stated |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 117 | 1977 | 496 | 357 | 2947 |

Table 4.1-166: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported second important challenge they faced with regard to the use of ICT, according to activity sector

| SECOND PRIORITY CHALLENGE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Lack of skilled employees | 11.4 | 11.5 | 15.4 | 3.9 | 11.4 |
| Lack of skilled outside IT support | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 18.4 | 10.3 |
| Lack of skilled trainers | 11.8 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 5.6 | 9.8 |
| Insufficient / unreliable connectivity | 28.3 | 15.1 | 17.7 | 23.7 | 17.2 |
| Unreliable electricity | 11.2 | 8.2 | 13.6 | 5.1 | 9.2 |
| Costs of equipment | 10.0 | 27.6 | 14.3 | 24.2 | 23.6 |
| Availability of equipment | 9.8 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 13.1 | 16.0 |
| Others | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| No challenge | 5.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 62 | 1103 | 394 | 221 | 1780 |

Table 4.1-167: Percent distribution of establishments by the reported third important challenge they faced with regard to the use of ICT, according to activity sector

| THIRD PRIORITY CHALLENGE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> BUSINESSES | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Lack of skilled employees |  | 9.3 | 11.9 | 3.8 | 9.2 |
| Lack of skilled outside IT support | 9.8 | 10.2 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 9.4 |
| Lack of skilled trainers | 25.0 | 6.1 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 9.0 |
| Insufficient / unreliable connectivity | 15.0 | 14.0 | 16.6 | 8.8 | 14.3 |
| Unreliable electricity | 15.4 | 12.0 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 11.0 |
| Costs of equipment | 6.5 | 25.8 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 22.6 |
| Availability of equipment | 8.9 | 14.8 | 21.9 | 30.8 | 18.3 |
| Others | 9.7 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| No challenge | 9.7 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 33 | 518 | 291 | 88 | 930 |

### 4.2. Education employer's module

## Section A: Learning institution characteristics

Table 4.2-1: Percentage distribution of learning institution by the starting year, according to type

| STARTING YEAR | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Before 1974 | 57.6 | 26.3 | 4.3 | 12.5 | 45.2 |
| $1975-1984$ | 10.2 | 1.5 | 15.8 | 3.1 | 7.7 |
| $1985-1994$ | 9.8 | 8.6 | 20.8 | 6.3 | 9.9 |
| $1995-2004$ | 14.2 | 20.6 | 44.2 | 31.3 | 17.6 |
| 2005 and above | 8.2 | 43.0 | 14.9 | 46.9 | 19.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-2: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the ownership, according to type

| Ownership of learning institution | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Government /Public (GoR) | 35.6 | 41.8 | 28.4 | 50.0 | 37.3 |
| Government Aided Learning institution | 56.2 | 42.2 | 14.6 | 3.1 | 49.6 |
| Private | 5.1 | 13.0 | 54.9 | 40.6 | 10.0 |
| NGO/faith-based Learning institution | 0.8 |  |  | 6.3 | 0.5 |
| Other | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.2 |  | 2.5 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-3: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the type, according to province

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Kigali <br> City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
|  | 56.6 | 64.1 | 67.1 | 62.7 | 62.6 | 63.8 |
| Secondary | 29.2 | 30.8 | 28.9 | 33.6 | 33.2 | 31.1 |
| TVET | 10.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| University | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 302 | 1106 | 1073 | 676 | 829 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-4: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by their program, according to type

| PROGRAM OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Day only | 98.8 | 74.9 | 23.9 | 37.5 | 87.7 |
| Boarding only |  | 10.2 | 15.2 | 6.3 | 3.9 |
| Mixed/Both | 1.2 | 14.9 | 60.9 | 56.3 | 8.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-5: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they are self accounting, according to type

| Type of learning institution | SELF ACCOUNTING OR NOT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Group Total |  |
|  | 82.9 | 16.7 | 0.4 | 100 | 2543 |
| Secondary | 79.5 | 20.5 |  | 100 | 1241 |
| TVET | 96.6 | 3.4 |  | 100 | 170 |
| University | 90.6 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 100 | 32 |
| Total | 82.5 | 17.2 | 0.3 | 100 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-6: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the legal status, according to type

| LEGAL STATUS | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Sole proprietorship | 1.6 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 |
| Limited by share(LTD) | 2.4 | 2.3 | 14.7 | 9.4 | 2.9 |
| Limited by guarantee | 0.4 |  | 3.1 |  | 0.4 |
| Both limited by share and guarantee | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Unlimited | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3.4 |  | 0.7 |
| Other | 93.3 | 96.8 | 76.6 | 87.5 | 93.6 |
| Not stated | 1.6 |  | 1.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.2-7: Average distribution of shareholders within learning institution, according to type

| SHAREHOLDERS WITHIN LEARNING | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Rwandese Male | 4.15 | 4.56 | 24.20 | 1.00 | 9 |
| Rwandese female | 5.40 | 12.68 | 10.64 | 2.50 | 8 |
| Other EAC Male | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other EAC female | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Other African/Male | 0.00 | 10.13 | 3.71 | 0.00 | 3 |
| Other African/Female | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Rest of the World Male | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Rest of the World female | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |

Table 4.2-8: Percentage distribution of learning institution by the type of ownership of premises, according to type

| OWNERSHIP OF PREMISES | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Fully owned | 56.1 | 59.3 | 67.5 | 40.6 | 57.5 |
| Joint ownership | 5.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 |  | 3.9 |
| Rented | 0.8 |  | 3.5 | 12.5 | 0.8 |
| Permitted by others to use site | 2.4 |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| Donated by Government | 3.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Government learning institution | 23.6 | 30.1 | 19.8 | 43.8 | 25.6 |
| Other | 8.3 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 7.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-9: Percentage distribution of learning institutions having access to premises by type

| PREMISES | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Postal services | 6.7 | 32.1 | 81.5 | 90.6 | 18.5 |
| Sick bay/ sick room | 3.5 | 5.5 | 13.3 | 50.0 | 4.9 |
| Internet | 8.7 | 19.9 | 57.9 | 100.0 | 15.0 |
| Computer laboratory | 5.1 | 28.4 | 71.0 | 100.0 | 15.9 |
| Science laboratory | 1.5 | 23.3 | 28.1 | 62.5 | 9.9 |
| Electricity | 30.7 | 48.1 | 84.9 | 100.0 | 39.0 |
| Water | 43.4 | 66.2 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 52.9 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

## Section B: Staffing profile and labour turnover

Table 4.2-10: Estimation of total number of employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010, according to type of learning institution

| Year |  | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Category of employee | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
|  | Total employees | 39692 | 15671 | 4754 | 1778 | 61895 |
|  | Teaching/Training staff | 33262 | 9216 | 2823 | 1277 | 46579 |
|  | Administration | 2532 | 2065 | 712 | 332 | 5641 |
|  | Support staff | 3897 | 4390 | 1219 | 169 | 9675 |
| 2009 | Total employees | 42673 | 20151 | 4756 | 2011 | 69591 |
|  | Teaching/Training staff | 35431 | 12069 | 2744 | 1495 | 51739 |
|  | Administration | 2836 | 2690 | 721 | 365 | 6613 |
|  | Support staff | 4406 | 5392 | 1290 | 151 | 11240 |
| 2010 | Total employees | 46368 | 23288 | 4839 | 2262 | 76756 |
|  | Teaching/Training staff | 38211 | 14525 | 2665 | 1753 | 57154 |
|  | Administration | 3121 | 2942 | 744 | 358 | 7164 |
|  | Support staff | 5036 | 5821 | 1431 | 151 | 12438 |

Table 4.2-11: Estimation of total number of permanent employees in 2008, 2009 and 2010, according to type of learning institution

| Type of learning institution | Number of Permanent employees |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent employee <br> in 2008 | Permanent <br> employee in <br> 2009 | Permanent <br> employee in 2010 |
|  | 38067 | 40779 | 43996 |
| Secondary | 16281 | 19794 | 22915 |
| TVET | 4287 | 4234 | 4280 |
| University | 1016 | 1684 | 1842 |
| Sum | 59651 | 66492 | 73033 |

Table 4.2-12: Estimation of total number of employees turnover in 2008, 2009 and 2010, according to type of learning institution

| Year |  | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Category of employee | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
|  | Total turnover 2008 | 2854 | 1115 | 421 | 161 | 4551 |
|  | Teaching/Training staff | 2593 | 880 | 291 | 122 | 3886 |
|  | Administration | 113 | 117 | 54 | 26 | 310 |
|  | Support staff | 148 | 118 | 76 | 13 | 355 |
| 2009 | Total turnover 2009 | 3900 | 1847 | 566 | 204 | 6517 |
|  | Teaching/Training staff | 3481 | 1442 | 395 | 149 | 5467 |
|  | Administration | 240 | 287 | 72 | 42 | 641 |
|  | Support staff | 179 | 118 | 99 | 13 | 409 |
| 2010 | Total turnover 2010 | 3964 | 3269 | 674 | 226 | 8133 |
|  | Teaching/Training staff | 3350 | 2371 | 460 | 198 | 6378 |
|  | Administration | 281 | 455 | 87 | 25 | 848 |
|  | Support staff | 333 | 443 | 128 | 3 | 907 |

Table 4.2-13: Percentage distribution of common reason for male staff turnover, according to type of learning institution (For learning institutions experienced the turnover)

| COMMON REASON FOR MALE STAFF | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Low pay | 23.0 | 32.0 | 39.7 | 50.0 | 27.7 |
| Poor working conditions | 4.2 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 5.2 |
| Late payment |  |  | 1.2 |  | 0.1 |
| Marital | 2.1 | 3.1 |  | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| Dismissal | 6.3 | 7.7 | 15.3 | 3.6 | 7.4 |
| Others | 64.4 | 46.4 | 38.9 | 39.3 | 55.7 |
| Not stated |  | 4.1 |  |  | 1.6 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1398 | 985 | 149 | 28 | 2560 |

Table 4.2-14: Percentage distribution of common reason for female staff turnover, according to type of learning institution (For learning institutions experienced the turnover)

| COMMON REASON FOR FEMALE STAFF | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TURNOVER | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Low pay | 17.8 | 26.5 | 29.9 | 60.0 | 21.4 |
| Poor working conditions | 6.7 | 2.9 | 6.9 |  | 5.5 |
| Lack of amenities for staff |  |  |  | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| Marital | 17.7 | 15.7 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 16.5 |
| Dismissal | 3.7 | 6.4 | 17.1 |  | 5.1 |
| Others | 54.1 | 40.6 | 39.1 | 25.0 | 49.1 |
| Not stated |  | 8.0 |  |  | 2.4 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1348 | 627 | 104 | 20 | 2100 |

## Section C: Institutional and staffing capacity

Table 4.2-15: Percentage distribution of permanent posts according to the type of learning institution

| PERMANENT OCCUPATION | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | Universities <br> and high <br> learning inst. | Total |
|  | 15.4 | 13.6 | 12.4 | 22.6 | 14.6 |
| Professionals | 68.6 | 66.7 | 61.9 | 63.7 | 67.2 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 0.4 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.7 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| Services and sales workers | 10.9 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 0.9 | 10.4 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Plant and machine operators and |  | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| assemblers | 3.4 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 3.9 |
| Elementary occupations |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 18972 | 15644 | 2993 | 1048 | 38656 |

Table 4.2-16: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by the field of education requirement

| LEVEL OF STUDY | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |
| PHD/Professor | 1.4 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| Masters | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |
| Postgrad. Diploma | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 56.8 | 25.2 | 50.4 | 10.9 | 4.5 | 14.1 |  |  |  | 26.2 |
| Diploma | 4.4 | 18.4 | 8.9 | 12.6 | 1.7 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 0.1 |  | 13.6 |
| Certificate A2 | 35.3 | 54.1 | 28.1 | 73.6 | 10.3 | 67.7 | 16.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 45.1 |
| Other(Less than secondary) | 0.1 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 83.5 | 9.5 | 72.4 | 99.3 |  | 13.2 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 5660 | 25972 | 157 | 1216 | 4020 | 23 | 82 | 1524 | 2 | 38656 |

Table 4.2-17: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by the field of education requirement

| FIELD OF EDUCATION REQUIREMENT | PERMANENT POSTS OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{y} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| General Programs | 0.0 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 62.5 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 79.9 |  | 9.9 |
| Education | 92.3 | 66.9 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 11.4 |  |  | 0.1 |  | 59.8 |
| Humanities and Arts | 0.5 | 7.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 |  | 10.9 |  |  | 5.5 |
| Social Science, Business and | 3.7 | 10.7 | 33.7 | 88.5 | 4.2 |  | 2.1 |  | $100 .$ | 11.1 |
| Sciences | 1.0 | 10.6 | 24.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 19.5 |  |  |  | 7.5 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 1.4 | 2.0 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 53.6 | 74.6 | 0.1 |  | 1.8 |
| Agriculture | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Health and Welfare | 0.4 | 0.5 | 17.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.5 |
| Services | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 0.9 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 17.0 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 17.7 |  | 2.7 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| roup Total | 5656 | 25972 | 157 | 1220 | 4020 | 23 | 82 | 1524 | 2 | 38656 |

Table 4.2-18: Estimation of total number of permanent employees by gender, nationality and occupation

| OCCUPATION | Rwandan |  |  | Foreigners |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{0}{\sum^{\pi}}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{1}{0}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{\sqrt{0}}$ | $\frac{0}{01}$ $\stackrel{1}{4}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{T}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{10}$ | $\frac{\otimes}{0}$ $\stackrel{1}{0}$ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{4}$ | permanent employees |
| Managers | 4406 | 1635 | 6042 | 113 | 26 | 139 | 4520 | 1661 | 6181 |
| Professionals | 33514 | 26526 | 60039 | 1737 | 568 | 2306 | 35251 | 27094 | 62345 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 141 | 83 | 224 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 143 | 83 | 226 |
| Clerical support workers | 303 | 988 | 1292 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 304 | 988 | 1293 |
| Services and sales workers | 7192 | 947 | 8138 | 2 | 67 | 69 | 7194 | 1013 | 8207 |
| Craft and related trade workers Plant and machine operators | 55 | 11 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 11 | 65 |
| and assemblers | 76 | 9 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 9 | 85 |
| Elementary occupations | 1711 | 995 | 2706 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 1711 | 1014 | 2725 |
| Not stated | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Group Total | 47400 | 31193 | 78593 | 1856 | 681 | 2537 | 49256 | 31874 | 81130 |

Table 4.2-19: Estimation of total number of permanent employees by gender, nationality and type of learning institution

| GENDER AND NATIONALITY |  | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | Universities and high learning inst. |  |
| Rwandan | Male | 25336 | 17184 | 3405 | 1475 | 47400 |
|  | Female | 22349 | 7229 | 1073 | 542 | 31193 |
|  | Total | 47685 | 24413 | 4477 | 2017 | 78593 |
| Foreigners | Male | 224 | 1113 | 240 | 278 | 1856 |
|  | Female | 361 | 193 | 48 | 79 | 681 |
|  | Total | 585 | 1306 | 288 | 357 | 2537 |
| Total | Male | 25560 | 18297 | 3645 | 1753 | 49256 |
|  | Female | 22710 | 7422 | 1121 | 621 | 31874 |
|  | Permanent employees | 48271 | 25719 | 4766 | 2374 | 81130 |

Table 4.2-20: Estimation of the total number of permanent employees by whether they are qualified for the post requirements according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Qualified |  |  | Unqualified |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Managers | 4172 | 1533 | 5705 | 348 | 128 | 476 |
| Professionals | 27049 | 22989 | 50039 | 8201 | 4105 | 12306 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 135 | 71 | 206 | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| Clerical support workers | 222 | 807 | 1029 | 82 | 181 | 263 |
| Services and sales workers | 6949 | 936 | 7884 | 245 | 78 | 323 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 51 | 7 | 58 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Plant and machine operators and |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| assemblers | 74 | 9 | 83 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Elementary occupations | 1645 | 963 | 2608 | 66 | 51 | 118 |
| Not stated | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Group Total | 40300 | 27315 | 67615 | 8956 | 4559 | 13515 |

Table 4.2-21: Estimation of the total number of permanents employees by whether they are qualified for the post requirements according to the type of learning institution

| Type of learning institution | Qualified |  |  | Unqualified |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|  | 22637 | 20413 | 43050 | 2923 | 2298 | 5221 |
| Secondary | 12930 | 5392 | 18321 | 5368 | 2030 | 7398 |
| TVET | 3018 | 909 | 3927 | 627 | 212 | 839 |
| Universities and high learning inst. | 1715 | 602 | 2317 | 38 | 19 | 57 |
| Group Total | 40300 | 27315 | 67615 | 8956 | 4559 | 13515 |

Table 4.2-22: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration for permanent staff in thousands RWF by occupation

| OCCUPATION | Salary/wage | Allowances | Gross <br> remuneration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Managers | 150.1 | 50.4 | 194.9 |
| Professionals | 73.5 | 26.4 | 95.6 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 173.2 | 80.6 | 216.7 |
| Clerical support workers | 55.8 | 28.1 | 75.7 |
| Services and sales workers | 23.3 | 24.1 | 28.8 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 99.1 | 35.1 | 132.7 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 51.0 | 31.3 | 65.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 18.3 | 11.3 | 19.7 |
| Not stated | 35.0 | . | 35.0 |
| Group Total | 77 | 31 | 100 |

Table 4.2-23: Average monthly wage /salary for wage earner, average monthly allowances for those receiving it and average monthly total gross remuneration for permanent staff in thousands RWF by type of learning institution

| Type of learning institution | Salary | Allowances | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary | 50.7 | 15.6 | 62.9 |
| Secondary | 80.6 | 36.1 | 106.9 |
| TVET | 85.4 | 42.0 | 116.0 |
| Universities and high learning inst. | 488.8 | 237.4 | 638.7 |
| Group Total | 77 | 31 | 100 |

Table 4.2-24: Estimation of total number of vacant posts by minimum education requirement and the type of learning institution

| Minimum education <br> requirements | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University and high <br> learning inst. |  |
| PHD | . | . | . | 39 | 69 |
| Masters | 47 | - | . | 33 | 116 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 157 | 868 | 78 | 9 | 1137 |
| Diploma | 90 | 317 | 18 | 5 | 434 |
| Certificate A2 | 635 | 338 | 28 | . | 1007 |
| Less than secondary | 29 | 19 | 26 | 155 | 75 |
| Group Total | 959 | 1544 | 152 | 4 | 2810 |
|  | 35 | 55 | 6 | 100 |  |

Table 4.2-25: Estimate of total number of vacant posts by field of education required and type of learning institution

| FIELD OF EDUCATION REQUIRED | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University and high learning inst. |  |
| General Programs | 19 | 19 | 8 | . | 47 |
| Education | 656 | 923 | 46 | 5 | 1630 |
| Humanities and Arts | 20 | 57 | 4 | 3 | 83 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | 178 | 347 | 29 | 45 | 599 |
| Sciences | 40 | 130 | 17 | 13 | 199 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction |  | 10 | 20 | 31 | 61 |
| Agriculture |  | 11 | . | 31 | 42 |
| Health and Welfare | 37 | 47 | . | 24 | 108 |
| Services | 10 | . | 10 | 3 | 23 |
| Not stated | . |  | 19 | . | 19 |
|  | 959 | 1544 | 152 | 155 | 2810 |
| Group Total | 35 | 55 | 6 | 4 | 100 |

Table 4.2-26: Estimate of total number of vacant posts by the cause of vacancies, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | CAUSE OF VACANCIES |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Business Growth | Retirement | Job change of employee | Lack of qualifications | No satisfaction with work results |  |
| Managers | 260 | 21 | 177 | 39 | 0 | 497 |
| Professionals | 1038 | 33 | 344 | 290 | 98 | 1803 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 25 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 30 |
| Clerical support workers | 236 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 10 | 286 |
| Services and sales workers | 115 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 129 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elementary occupations | 33 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 46 |
| Group Total | 1724 | 65 | 556 | 352 | 113 | 2810 |

Table 4.2-27: Estimate number of post which have been vacant for one year or more by post and type of learning institution

| OCCUPATION | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University and high learning inst. |  |
| Managers | 30 | 137 | 13 | 23 | 203 |
| Professionals | 150 | 167 | 22 | 38 | 377 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 20 | . | 2 | 22 |
| Clerical support workers | 30 | 136 | 5 | 2 | 173 |
| Services and sales workers | 10 | 40 | 6 | 1 | 56 |
| Craft and related trade workers | . | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | . | . | 0 | . | 0 |
| Elementary occupations | 10 | 9 | 0 | . | 19 |
| Group Total | 228 | 520 | 48 | 66 | 862 |

Table 4.2-28: Estimate number of post which have been vacant for one year or more by reason and type of learning institution

| Main reasons For posts which have been vacant for one year or more | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University and high learning inst. |  |
| Internal | 30 | 42 | 5 | 9 | 86 |
| Lack of qualified applicants | 50 | 58 | 9 | 24 | 141 |
| Low activity | 20 | 21 | 9 | 3 | 52 |
| Budget constraints | 129 | 271 | 17 | 26 | 443 |
| Other | . | 128 | 8 | 4 | 140 |
| Group Total | 228 | 520 | 48 | 66 | 862 |

Table 4.2-29: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees, according to type

|  | Does your learning institution have a <br> plan to increase or decrease the no of <br> employees? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Group Total |  |  |  |

Table 4.2- 30: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2012 to 2013 by level of study and type of learning institution

| Level of study | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Group Total |
| PHD | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 33 | 33 |
| Masters | 10 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 143 | 153 |
| Postgrad. Diploma | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 1 | 1 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 457 | 2233 | 192 | 80 | 2961 |
| Diploma | 416 | 1208 | 27 | 11 | 1661 |
| Certificate | 2471 | 241 | 79 | 2 | 2794 |
| Other | 204 | 165 | 30 | . | 399 |
| Sum | 3557 | 3847 | 328 | 270 | 8002 |

Table 4.2- 31: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2013 to 2014 by level of study and type of learning institution

| Level of study | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| PHD | . | . | . | 38 | 38 |
| Masters |  | . | . | 82 | 82 |
| Postgrad. Diploma |  | . | . | 1 | 1 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 281 | 2249 | 90 | 46 | 2666 |
| Diploma | 336 | 746 | 29 | 6 | 1117 |
| Certificate | 1786 | 360 | 62 | . | 2208 |
| Other | 97 | 215 | 23 | . | 336 |
| Sum | 2500 | 3571 | 204 | 173 | 6448 |

Table 4.2- 32: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2014 to 2015 by level of study type of learning institution

| Level of study | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| PHD | . | . | . | 29 | 29 |
| Masters | 20 | . | . | 79 | 99 |
| Postgrad. Diploma | . | 41 | . | 1 | 42 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 502 | 916 | 58 | 46 | 1522 |
| Diploma | 441 | 621 | 15 | 5 | 1081 |
| Certificate | 2501 | 164 | 43 | 1 | 2709 |
| Other | 98 | 150 | 12 | . | 260 |
| Missing | 20 | . | . | . | 20 |
| Total | 3581 | 1892 | 127 | 161 | 5761 |

Table 4.2- 33: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2015 to 2016 by level of study and type of learning institution

| Level of study | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Group Total |
| PHD | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 30 | 30 |
| Masters | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 64 | 64 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 394 | 551 | 23 | 31 | 1000 |
| Diploma | 196 | 457 | 17 | 5 | 675 |
| Certificate | 1532 | 168 | 24 | $\cdot$ | 1724 |
| Other | 80 | 137 | 15 | . | 231 |
| Total | 2202 | 1314 | 78 | 130 | 3724 |

Table 4.2- 34: Estimation number of projected additional employee from 2016 to 2017 by level of study and type of learning institution

| Level of study | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Group Total |  |
|  | . | . | . | 61 | 61 |  |
| Masters | . | . |  | 105 | 105 |  |
| Bachelor's Degree | 448 | 1042 |  | 47 | 51 | 1589 |
| Diploma | 427 | 515 | 8 | 10 | 960 |  |
| Certificate | 1923 | 119 | 43 | 3 | 2088 |  |
| Other | 130 | 162 | 15 | . | 306 |  |
| Sum | 2928 | 1838 | 114 | 230 | 5110 |  |

Table 4.2-35: Estimation number of enrolled students by programme, year of study and type of learning institution

| Type of learning institution |  | PROGRAMME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{U} \\ & \stackrel{e}{e} \\ & \stackrel{U}{u} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{ひ}{\underset{\sim}{U}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| TVET | 1 rst year | 677 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 921 | 0 |  | 670 | 59 | 2327 |
|  | 2nd year | 1906 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 |  | 224 | 163 | 2498 |
|  | 3rd year | 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 69 | 2076 |
|  | 4 th year | 0 | 130 | 0 | 11603 | 3815 | 6488 | 795 |  | 823 | 401 | 24055 |
|  | 5th year | 0 | 136 | 123 | 7893 | 3191 | 4742 | 694 |  | 571 | 385 | 17733 |
|  | 6th year | 0 | 112 | 133 | 7811 | 3267 | 4007 | 544 |  | 212 | 233 | 16317 |
| University and high learning institution | 1 rst year |  | 1847 | 878 | 6008 | 2649 | 1659 | 474 | 2157 | 65 | 1694 | 17431 |
|  | 2nd year |  | 1606 | 720 | 4767 | 1742 | 1355 | 940 | 1627 | 53 | 813 | 13623 |
|  | 3 rd year |  | 1041 | 1132 | 5967 | 1116 | 1041 | 1090 | 1464 | 49 | 151 | 13051 |
|  | 4th year |  | 999 | 975 | 4995 | 1032 | 548 | 685 | 709 | 15 | 0 | 9958 |
|  | 5th year |  | 288 | 22 | 1287 | 25 | 51 | 247 | 226 | 0 | 42 | 2188 |
|  | 6th year |  | 0 | 0 | 444 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 562 |
| TVET and Universities | 1 rst year | 677 | 1847 | 878 | 6008 | 2649 | 2580 | 474 | 2157 | 735 | 1753 | 19758 |
|  | 2nd year | 1906 | 1606 | 720 | 4767 | 1742 | 1560 | 940 | 1627 | 277 | 976 | 16121 |
|  | 3rd year | 2007 | 1041 | 1132 | 5967 | 1116 | 1041 | 1090 | 1464 | 49 | 220 | 15127 |
|  | 4th year | 0 | 1129 | 975 | 16598 | 4847 | 7036 | 1480 | 709 | 838 | 401 | 34013 |
|  | 5th year | 0 | 424 | 145 | 9179 | 3216 | 4793 | 941 | 226 | 571 | 427 | 19921 |
|  | 6th year | 0 | 112 | 133 | 8255 | 3266 | 4032 | 562 | 75 | 212 | 232 | 16878 |
| TOTAL |  | 4591 | 6159 | 3983 | 50775 | 16836 | 21042 | 5486 | 6258 | 2681 | 4009 | 121819 |

## Section E: Staff development

Table 4.2-36: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have a staff training policy/plan in place, according to type

| STAFF TRAINING POLICY/PLAN IN PLACE | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes | 77.2 | 83.4 | 81.8 | 90.6 | 79.5 |
| No | 21.6 | 16.6 | 18.2 | 6.3 | 19.8 |
| Not stated | 1.2 |  |  | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-37: Percentage of learning institutions who carried out the training for managerial staff by the means used to carry out such training, according to type

| MEANS USED TO CARRY OUT TRAINING | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FOR MANAGERIAL STAFF | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Apprenticeship | 41.0 | 42.9 | 49.5 | 72.4 | 42.3 |
| On the-job-training | 44.1 | 53.1 | 53.0 | 75.9 | 47.7 |
| Own Training Centre | 7.6 | 11.8 | 18.2 | 48.3 | 9.8 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (local) | 9.2 | 13.3 | 30.2 | 79.3 | 12.1 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (abroad) | 1.5 | 2.8 | 11.5 | 58.6 | 2.9 |
| Workshops | 82.8 | 83.7 | 85.8 | 82.8 | 83.2 |
| Other programs | 3.6 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| TOTAL | 249 | 131 | 18 | 4 | 401 |

Table 4.2-38: Percentage of learning institutions who carried out the training for professional and technical staff by the means used to carry out such training, according to type

| MEANS USED TO CARRY OUT TRAINING | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FOR PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL <br> STAFF | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Apprenticeship | 33.3 | 30.8 | 56.1 | 62.1 | 33.8 |
| On the-job-training | 44.1 | 52.7 | 61.6 | 86.2 | 48.0 |
| Own Training Centre | 4.5 | 6.9 | 25.2 | 31.0 | 6.4 |
| Sponsorship to training institution (local) | 7.1 | 9.1 | 27.7 | 69.0 | 9.2 |
| Sponsorship to training institution | 1.5 |  | 13.1 | 48.3 | 1.9 |
| (abroad) | 70.7 | 71.2 | 79.9 | 82.8 | 71.3 |
| Workshops | 1.0 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 2.4 |  |
| Other programs | 249 | 131 | 18 | 4 | 401 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2-39: Percentage of learning institutions who carried out the training for clerical and casual staff by the means used to carry out such training, according to type

| MEANS USED TO CARRY OUT SUCH | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TRAINING FOR CLERICAL AND CASUAL <br> STAFF | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apprenticeship | 13.2 | 16.7 | 25.6 | 41.4 | 15.1 |
| On the-job-training | 10.6 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 44.8 | 15.4 |
| Own Training Centre | 1.5 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 13.8 | 1.9 |
| Sponsorship to training instit. (local) | 3.6 | 4.7 | 9.6 | 31.0 | 4.5 |
| Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| Workshops | 18.7 | 29.2 | 30.0 | 58.6 | 23.0 |
| Other programs | 4.1 | 6.7 | 4.1 |  | 4.9 |
| TOTAL | 249 | 131 | 18 | 4 | 401 |

Table 4.2-40: Percent distribution of learning institutions which have ever conducted the training for their staff by the categories of staff and frequency of training , according to type

| CATEGORY OF STAFF | FREQUENCY | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Managerial(Rectors, Head) | Monthly | 6.6 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 5.8 |
|  | Quarterly | 11.2 | 21.9 | 22.7 | 11.1 | 15.6 |
|  | Twice a year | 7.5 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 9.9 |
|  | Annually | 32.7 | 26.5 | 36.6 | 40.7 | 30.8 |
|  | Every two years | 1.8 | 4.5 |  |  | 2.7 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 39.4 | 28.5 | 26.3 | 33.3 | 34.7 |
|  | Other(specify) | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
|  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | TOTAL | 1062 | 671 | 88 | 27 | 1847 |
| Supervisory (Dean) | Monthly | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.5 |  | 5.2 |
|  | Quarterly | 20.7 | 28.7 | 9.5 | 11.5 | 22.5 |
|  | Twice a year | 9.2 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 8.5 |
|  | Annually | 31.8 | 25.1 | 42.6 | 38.5 | 30.3 |
|  | Every two years | 1.7 |  | 3.3 |  | 1.2 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 31.2 | 34.8 | 23.3 | 38.5 | 32.2 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 3.2 |  | 0.2 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 537 | 314 | 53 | 26 | 930 |
| Technical staff/Professional | Monthly | 6.4 | 2.6 |  |  | 4.6 |
|  | Quarterly | 20.9 | 31.5 | 24.6 | 33.3 | 25.2 |
|  | Twice a year | 4.4 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 7.4 | 7.7 |
|  | Annually | 55.5 | 43.3 | 51.1 | 18.5 | 50.2 |
|  | Every two years | 1.8 | 1.3 |  | 3.7 | 1.6 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 10.1 | 9.1 | 13.2 | 37.0 | 10.3 |
|  | Other(specify) | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1090 | 730 | 95 | 27 | 1941 |
| Clerical | Monthly | 13.1 |  | 3.6 |  | 5.2 |
|  | Quarterly | 9.5 | 21.7 | 9.9 | 19.0 | 16.3 |
|  | Twice a year | 9.5 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 8.9 |
|  | Annually | 19.7 | 29.3 | 43.3 | 23.8 | 26.4 |
|  | Every two years |  | 2.1 | 3.1 |  | 1.3 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 48.1 | 38.1 | 28.8 | 52.4 | 41.7 |
|  | Other(specify) |  |  | 3.4 |  | 0.2 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 310 | 436 | 49 | 21 | 816 |
| Casual | Monthly | 16.9 |  |  |  | 8.0 |
|  | Quarterly | 16.8 | 18.3 | 26.7 | 21.4 | 18.0 |
|  | Twice a year | 5.5 |  |  |  | 2.6 |
|  | Annually | 16.6 | 23.1 | 40.8 | 7.1 | 20.1 |
|  | Irregular/adhoc | 44.2 | 58.6 | 32.5 | 71.4 | 51.2 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | TOTAL | 178 | 168 | 14 | 14 | 374 |

Table 4.2-41: Percentage distribution of learning institution by whether they have in-house-training facilities for own staff, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Does your learning institution have in-house training facilities for your own staff? |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Primary | 67.1 | 32.9 | 100.0 | 1965 |
| Secondary | 65.7 | 34.3 | 100.0 | 1035 |
| TVET | 72.5 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 139 |
| University | 89.7 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 29 |
| TOTAL | 67.1 | 32.9 | 100.0 | 3168 |

Table 4.2-42: Percentage of learning institutions which have in-house training facilities by the type of those facilities, according to type

| TYPE OF INHOUSE TRAINING FACILITIES | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Training space | 79.7 | 84.2 | 92.4 | 84.6 | 81.8 |
| Specialized trainers | 45.8 | 42.8 | 70.2 | 76.9 | 46.4 |
| Computers/Lab | 14.9 | 27.7 | 79.1 | 100.0 | 23.1 |
| Projector | 5.3 | 14.5 | 46.7 | 100.0 | 11.4 |
| Training materials(manual) | 89.5 | 83.0 | 89.4 | 88.5 | 87.4 |
| Laboratory | 3.7 | 17.7 | 48.9 | 69.2 | 11.1 |
| Other | 2.2 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 3.8 |

Table 4.2-43: Percentage of learning institutions by the kind of skills in general lacking among their staff, according to type

| LACKING SKILLS | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Managerial skills | 39.6 | 42.3 | 49.5 | 43.8 | 40.9 |
| Technical skills | 50.2 | 62.8 | 57.9 | 50.0 | 54.5 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 53.3 | 62.4 | 59.7 | 40.6 | 56.3 |
| Language skills | 71.9 | 66.8 | 65.2 | 50.0 | 69.9 |
| Customer care | 28.6 | 30.1 | 24.2 | 40.6 | 28.9 |
| Innovativeness, Creativity | 50.0 | 60.9 | 55.5 | 43.8 | 53.6 |
| IT skills | 73.1 | 72.5 | 65.4 | 37.5 | 72.3 |
| Didactics, Teaching skills | 52.6 | 54.0 | 45.8 | 34.4 | 52.6 |
| Communication skills | 34.4 | 29.6 | 32.7 | 31.3 | 32.8 |
| Other | 5.5 | 14.0 | 8.8 | 3.1 | 8.3 |

Table 4.2-44: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have conducted the training 12 months before the survey, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Did you conduct any staff training in <br> the last 12 months? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
|  | 76.1 | 23.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2543 |
| TVET | 81.7 | 18.3 |  | 100.0 | 1241 |
| University | 72.2 | 27.8 |  | 100.0 | 170 |
| Total | 87.5 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 32 |

Table 4.2-45: Percentage of learning institutions which conducted training in last 12 months by the kind of training conducted, according to type

| TRAINING CONDUCTED | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Managerial skills | 16.9 | 20.5 | 41.7 | 67.9 | 19.5 |
| Technical skills | 44.6 | 53.2 | 64.9 | 71.4 | 48.4 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 6.9 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 32.1 | 8.3 |
| Language skills | 76.6 | 75.2 | 60.1 | 67.9 | 75.4 |
| Customer care | 6.7 | 5.9 | 21.6 | 39.3 | 7.3 |
| Innovativeness, Creativity | 5.1 | 11.3 | 19.6 | 42.9 | 8.1 |
| IT skills | 10.4 | 24.3 | 44.0 | 67.9 | 16.8 |
| Teaching skills | 55.2 | 65.8 | 53.3 | 71.4 | 58.8 |
| Communication skills | 25.3 | 22.0 | 26.5 | 35.7 | 24.3 |
| Other | 7.2 | 7.7 | 10.4 |  | 7.4 |
| TOTAL | 1935 | 1014 | 123 | 28 | 3100 |

Table 4.2-46: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they face any challenge that limit their staff training or not, according to type

| Type of learning institution | DO YOU FACE ANY CHALLENGE THAT LIMIT <br> YOUR STAFF TRAINING? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Primary | 95.0 | 5.0 | 100 | 2543 |
| Secondary | 93.0 | 7.0 | 100 | 1241 |
| TVET | 88.4 | 11.6 | 100 | 170 |
| University | 90.3 | 9.7 | 100 | 31 |
| Total | 94.1 | 5.9 | 100 | 3986 |

Table 4.2-47: Percent distribution of learning institutions by the first priority challenge that limit the training of their staff, according to type

| FIRST PRIORITY CHALLENGE THAT LIMIT | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRAINING | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 12.4 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 21.4 | 11.0 |
| inadequate materials | 14.0 | 21.1 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 16.0 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 11.2 | 7.7 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 10.1 |
| Time off for the trainees | 6.2 | 1.6 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 4.9 |
| Lack of funds | 55.5 | 61.2 | 58.2 | 46.4 | 57.3 |
| Other | 0.4 |  |  | 7.1 | 0.3 |
| Not stated | 0.4 |  | 2.0 |  | 0.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2417 | 1155 | 150 | 28 | 3750 |

Table 4.2-48: Percent distribution of learning institutions by the second priority challenge that limit the training of their staff, according to type

| SECOND PRIORITY CHALLENG THAT <br> LIMIT TRAINING | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 6.8 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 8.3 |
| inadequate materials | 35.5 | 27.0 | 31.5 | 18.2 | 32.6 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 24.4 | 33.5 | 27.1 | 27.3 | 27.3 |
| Time off for the trainees | 8.8 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 13.6 | 9.6 |
| Lack of funds | 22.1 | 12.3 | 26.2 | 36.4 | 19.3 |
| Other | 2.5 | 3.9 |  |  | 2.8 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2026 | 968 | 123 | 22 | 3139 |

Table 4.2-49: Percent distribution of learning institutions by the third priority challenge that limite the training of their staff, according to type

| THIRD PRIORITY CHALLENGE THAT | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 7.0 | 4.6 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 6.7 |
| inadequate materials | 22.2 | 33.6 | 28.6 |  | 25.8 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 29.3 | 18.9 | 21.9 |  | 25.6 |
| Time off for the trainees | 10.8 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 45.5 | 9.6 |
| Lack of funds | 28.6 | 31.8 | 22.9 | 27.3 | 29.4 |
| Other | 2.1 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 2.8 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1391 | 676 | 70 | 11 | 2147 |

Table 4.2-50: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have hired TVET graduate in last five years, according to type

|  | Have you hired TVET <br> graduate? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
|  | 45.0 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 2484 |
| Secondary | 52.5 | 47.5 | 100.0 | 1222 |
| TVET | 83.7 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 170 |
| University | 80.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 30 |
| Group Total | 49.3 | 50.7 | 100.0 | 3906 |

Table 4.2-51: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning TVET graduates, according to type

| LEVEL OF SATISFACTION | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Fully satisfied | 29.5 | 21.5 | 51.8 | 37.5 | 28.5 |
| Partially satisfied | 65.2 | 75.6 | 43.3 | 58.3 | 67.0 |
| Little satisfied | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| Not satisfied | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 |  | 1.7 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 1117 | 641 | 142 | 24 | 1925 |

Table 4.2-52: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have hired higher institutions graduate in last five years, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Have you hired university <br> graduates? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes |  | No | Not stated | Row \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 27.4 | 71.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2543 |
| Secondary | 85.3 | 14.7 |  | 100.0 | 1241 |
| TVET | 78.8 | 20.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 170 |
| University | 96.9 |  | 3.1 | 100.0 | 32 |
| Group Total | 48.1 | 51.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-53: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning higher institution graduates, according to type

| LEVEL OF SATISFACTION | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Fully satisfied | 38.5 | 41.6 | 57.2 | 58.1 | 41.8 |
| Partially satisfied | 52.8 | 53.8 | 36.2 | 41.9 | 52.0 |
| Little satisfied | 7.2 | 4.6 | 5.4 |  | 5.5 |
| Not satisfied | 1.4 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.6 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 696 | 1058 | 134 | 31 | 1920 |

Table 4.2-54: Percentage distribution of learning institutions according to the most important, second and third suggestions to improve education and training in TVET, according to type

| SUGGESTIONS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION AND TRAINING |  | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
| FIRST SUGGESTION | Managerial skills | 9.8 | 8.5 | 11.6 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
|  | Technical skills | 32.5 | 30.0 | 47.2 | 50.0 | 32.5 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 13.9 | 13.3 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 13.2 |
|  | Language skills | 10.9 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 10.4 |
|  | Customer care | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.6 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 3.2 | 2.4 | 7.0 |  | 3.1 |
|  | IT skills | 5.1 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 5.5 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 8.9 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 7.4 |
|  | Communication skills | 0.4 | 2.3 |  | 3.1 | 1.0 |
|  | Other | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 6.8 |
|  | None | 3.5 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
|  | Not stated | 4.7 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 6.4 |
|  | Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Group Total | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |
| SECOND <br> SUGGESTION | Managerial skills | 1.8 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 2.3 |
|  | Technical skills | 11.5 | 16.3 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 12.8 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 19.5 | 11.7 | 22.8 | 32.1 | 17.5 |
|  | Language skills | 21.0 | 20.7 | 7.6 | 28.6 | 20.2 |
|  | Customer care | 5.1 | 2.5 | 5.0 |  | 4.3 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 13.3 | 11.8 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 12.9 |
|  | IT skills | 15.5 | 15.7 | 7.4 | 17.9 | 15.1 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 8.0 | 12.9 | 19.0 | 3.6 | 10.1 |
|  | Communication skills | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 |  | 2.4 |
|  | Other | 1.8 | 3.5 | 4.8 |  | 2.5 |
|  | Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Group Total | 1716 | 817 | 145 | 28 | 2705 |
| THIRD SUGGESTION | Managerial skills | 7.1 | 4.9 |  | 9.5 | 6.1 |
|  | Technical skills | 7.9 | 9.1 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 8.1 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 7.8 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 8.8 |
|  | Language skills | 15.9 | 8.7 | 18.0 |  | 13.6 |
|  | Customer care | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 9.5 | 1.3 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 14.2 | 17.4 | 14.5 | 28.6 | 15.4 |
|  | IT skills | 27.5 | 24.7 | 21.9 | 9.5 | 26.1 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 8.7 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 4.8 | 10.1 |
|  | Communication skills | 5.4 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 19.0 | 4.9 |
|  | Other | 4.5 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 5.5 |
|  | Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 1117 | 555 | 93 | 21 | 1786 |

Table 4.2-55: Percent distribution of learning institutions according to the most important, second and third suggestions to improve education and training in higher institutions by the area to be improved, according to type.

| SUGGESTIONS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN HIGHER INSTITITIONS |  |  | Type of lea | $g$ inst |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| FIRST <br> SUGGESTION | Managerial skills | 12.8 | 10.3 | 12.6 | 9.4 | 12.0 |
|  | Technical skills | 18.1 | 17.9 | 28.9 | 25.0 | 18.5 |
|  | Entrepreneurial skills | 10.9 | 12.3 | 8.9 | 25.0 | 11.4 |
|  | Language skills | 13.8 | 18.1 | 10.1 | 15.6 | 15.0 |
|  | Customer care | 1.5 |  | 2.4 |  | 1.1 |
|  | Innovativeness / creativity | 7.8 | 4.7 | 7.8 |  | 6.8 |
|  | IT skills | 11.0 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 8.7 |
|  | Didactics / teaching skills | 7.6 | 22.5 | 11.3 | 3.1 | 12.4 |
|  | Communication skills | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 1.6 |
|  | Other | 9.2 | 3.7 | 4.5 |  | 7.2 |
|  | None | 0.7 |  | 1.0 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
|  | Not stated | 4.7 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
|  | Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |
| SECOND <br> SUGGESTION | Managerial skills <br> Technical skills <br> Entrepreneurial skills <br> Language skills <br> Customer care <br> Innovativeness / creativity <br> IT skills <br> Didactics / teaching skills Communication skills Other <br> Group Total | 5.0 | 3.0 | 8.4 |  | 4.5 |
|  |  | 9.3 | 13.3 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 10.5 |
|  |  | 16.8 | 10.3 | 16.3 | 3.6 | 14.5 |
|  |  | 13.2 | 23.4 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 16.7 |
|  |  | 3.4 | 1.1 |  | 10.7 | 2.6 |
|  |  | 13.4 | 14.3 | 12.5 | 32.1 | 13.8 |
|  |  | 17.8 | 18.8 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 17.9 |
|  |  | 15.4 | 13.7 | 24.7 | 7.1 | 15.2 |
|  |  | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 7.1 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 3.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 1786 | 941 | 130 | 28 | 2884 |
| THIRD SUGGESTION | Managerial skills <br> Technical skills <br> Entrepreneurial skills <br> Language skills <br> Customer care <br> Innovativeness / creativity <br> IT skills <br> Didactics / teaching skills Communication skills Other <br> Group Total | 7.0 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 20.8 | 5.1 |
|  |  | 8.7 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 7.6 |
|  |  | 9.3 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 20.8 | 9.3 |
|  |  | 15.6 | 14.9 | 17.5 | 4.2 | 15.3 |
|  |  | 4.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
|  |  | 7.0 | 12.6 | 17.2 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
|  |  | 24.0 | 25.3 | 18.5 | 4.2 | 23.9 |
|  |  | 16.4 | 19.3 | 14.7 | 16.7 | 17.3 |
|  |  | 5.2 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 4.9 |
|  |  | 2.6 | 5.1 | 11.4 | 8.3 | 3.9 |
|  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 1150 | 620 | 81 | 24 | 1875 |

Table 4.2-56: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have an industrial attachment according to type

| DO YOU HAVE INDUSTRIAL | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes (institutionalized) | 21.5 | 16.5 | 21.4 | 40.6 | 20.1 |
| Yes (occasionally/informal) | 22.9 | 17.1 | 20.8 | 25.0 | 21.1 |
| No | 55.2 | 66.4 | 57.8 | 31.3 | 58.6 |
| Not stated | 0.4 |  |  | 3.1 | 0.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-57: Estimate of total number of annually interns by gender, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 1812 | 1479 | 3291 |
| Secondary | 716 | 508 | 1224 |
| TVET | 277 | 183 | 460 |
| University | 363 | 184 | 547 |
| Group Total | 3168 | 2354 | 5522 |

Table 4.2-58: Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2009, 2010 and 2011; according to type

| HIRED INTERNS BY GENDER | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Primary |  |  |  | Secondary |
|  | 359 | 103 | 61 | 116 | TVET |
| Female in 2009 | 218 | 10 | 36 | 26 | 299 |
| Total in 2009 | 577 | 113 | 97 | 142 | 929 |
| Male in 2010 | 369 | 147 | 83 | 345 | 944 |
| Female in 2010 | 159 | 28 | 33 | 112 | 332 |
| Total in 2010 | 528 | 175 | 116 | 457 | 1277 |
| Male in 2011 | 381 | 147 | 137 | 184 | 849 |
| Female in 2011 | 208 | 79 | 46 | 73 | 406 |
| Total in 2011 | 589 | 226 | 183 | 257 | 1255 |

Table 4.2-59: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether the interns take part in specifically designed training, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Do interns take part in specifically <br> designed training |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Primary | 11.5 | 88.5 | 100 | 1130 |
| Secondary | 18.2 | 81.8 | 100 | 418 |
| TVET | 26.9 | 73.1 | 100 | 72 |
| University | 42.9 | 57.1 | 100 | 21 |
| Total | 14.3 | 85.7 | 100 | 1641 |

Table 4.2-60: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the length of internership (in months) according to type

| DURATION OF TRAINING IN MONTHS | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Less than 1 month | 6.9 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 6.1 |
| 1 month | 71.1 | 46.9 | 25.8 | 14.3 | 62.2 |
| 2 months | 8.8 | 20.7 | 34.1 | 47.6 | 13.4 |
| 3 months | 9.7 | 18.3 | 34.8 | 19.0 | 13.1 |
| 4 months | 2.6 |  |  |  | 1.8 |
| 6 months | 0.9 | 7.3 | 2.8 | 14.3 | 2.7 |
| Not stated |  | 2.4 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1130 | 418 | 72 | 21 | 1641 |

Table 4.2-61: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which received interns by the financial agreements with interns, according to type

| FINANCIAL AGREEMENTS WITH INTERNS | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Interns have to pay money |  |  | 7.9 |  | 0.3 |
| Interns receive money | 2.6 | 2.3 | 8.3 | 19.0 | 3.0 |
| Interns sponsored by other institution | 0.9 |  | 2.8 |  | 0.8 |
| Interns sponsored by other institution | 94.7 | 97.7 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 94.7 |
| Not stated | 1.8 |  |  |  | 1.2 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1130 | 418 | 72 | 21 | 1641 |

Table 4.2-62: Percentage distribution of learning institutions that don't host interns by the most important reason why they don't do it, according to type

| MOST REASON TO NOT HOST INTERNS | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| No need | 27.4 | 23.6 | 13.1 | 10.0 | 25.4 |
| No capacity / too small | 12.7 | 17.6 | 34.4 | 20.0 | 15.4 |
| Too cumbersome | 6.4 | 13.7 | 8.7 |  | 9.0 |
| No appropriate candidates | 31.4 | 28.8 | 17.0 | 20.0 | 29.8 |
| Candidates want to be paid / paid too | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.0 |  | 6.5 |
| much | 15.7 | 9.5 | 20.9 | 50.0 | 13.9 |
| Other | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1403 | 824 | 98 | 10 | 2335 |

Table 4.2-63: Percentage distribution of learning institutions that don't host interns by the second important reason why they don't do it, according to type

| SECOND REASON TO NOT HOST INTERNS | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| No need | 7.8 | 10.8 | 8.3 |  | 9.1 |
| No capacity / too small | 33.3 | 17.0 | 10.8 |  | 25.7 |
| Too cumbersome | 12.9 | 25.3 | 32.9 | 100.0 | 18.8 |
| No appropriate candidates | 20.8 | 17.1 | 27.8 |  | 19.6 |
| Candidates want to be paid / paid too much | 12.7 | 14.7 | 12.5 |  | 13.5 |
| Other | 12.5 | 15.0 | 7.6 |  | 13.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 622 | 458 | 48 | 1 | 1129 |

Table 4.2-64: Percentage distribution of learning institutions that don't host interns by the third important reason why they don't do it, according to type

| THIRD REASON OF NOT HOST INTERNS | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| No need | 10.8 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 13.3 |
| No capacity / too small |  | 9.6 | 10.2 |  | 4.0 |
| Too cumbersome | 23.0 | 9.4 | 22.1 |  | 18.1 |
| No appropriate candidates | 33.2 | 34.9 | 12.3 |  | 32.5 |
| Candidates want to be paid / paid too | 27.6 | 10.0 | 22.7 |  | 21.0 |
| much | 5.4 | 19.0 | 22.5 |  | 11.2 |
| Other | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 177 | 107 | 16 | 1 | 302 |

## Section F: Capital, Expenditure and Revenue for private education institutions

Table 4.2-65: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the major source of their start-up capital, according to type

| MAJOR SOURCE OF START-UP | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Own saving | 0.6 |  | 4.7 | 14.3 | 0.7 |
| Loans from | 0.7 |  |  | 7.1 | 0.5 |
| Contributions from others | 12.0 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 10.7 |
| Loans from commercial banks |  | 2.9 | 6.4 |  | 1.2 |
| Government lending agencies |  | 3.0 |  |  | 0.9 |
| Micro finance institutions | 0.7 |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Public share issuing | 24.1 | 25.1 | 46.6 | 28.6 | 25.6 |
| Inheritance | 2.0 | 2.8 |  | 7.1 | 2.1 |
| Other | 43.4 | 46.2 | 26.9 | 28.6 | 43.3 |
| Not stated | 16.5 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 14.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1559 | 685 | 118 | 14 | 2376 |

Table 4.2-66: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which started by owner's saving by the major source of saved capital, according to type

| MAJOR SOURCE OF SAVED CAPITAL | Type of learning institution |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | TVET |  |  |
| Previous employment public | 100.0 | 36.4 | 50.0 | 74.1 |
| Previous employment in private |  | 33.3 |  | 10.5 |
| Sale of farm products |  |  | 50.0 | 5.8 |
| Sale of assets (cattle, property) |  | 30.4 |  | 9.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 10 | 6 | 2 | 17 |

Table 4.2-67: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which has applied loan or not from any financial institution, according to type

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR A LOAN OR NOT |  |  |  |  |
|  | FROM ANY FINANCIAL INSTITUTION? |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2-68: Percentage distribution of learning institutions whose owners have not received the loan they had applied for by reason why they did not receive it, according to type

| REASON OF NOT RECEIVE LOAN | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Reason of No need | 55.6 | 57.8 | 79.1 | 66.7 | 56.9 |
| No guarantee | 30.8 | 22.9 | 13.1 |  | 28.0 |
| Long procedures | 31.6 | 28.8 | 10.1 |  | 30.1 |
| High interest rate | 23.3 | 20.4 | 13.6 |  | 22.2 |
| Lack of information | 4.2 | 8.0 | 3.4 |  | 5.2 |
| Fear of risks | 14.0 | 10.0 | 14.2 |  | 12.9 |
| Other | 16.8 | 22.9 | 3.7 | 33.3 | 18.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1203 | 458 | 54 | 3 | 1719 |

Table 4.2-69: Percentage distribution of learning institutions whose owners have applied for the loan by whether they have received the loan, according to type

| Type of learning institution | HAVE YOU RECEUVED A LOAN |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Primary | 90.9 | 9.1 | 100 | 326 |
| Secondary | 95.1 | 4.9 | 100 | 198 |
| TVET | 93.7 | 6.3 | 100 | 64 |
| University | 88.9 | 11.1 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 92.6 | 7.4 | 100 | 596 |

Table 4.2-70: Percent distribution of learning institutions whose owner have not received the loan applied for by the reason why they did not receive it, according to type

| REASON WHY THEY DID NOT RECEIVE | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THE LOAN APPLIED FOR | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Poor/No business plan | 31.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 51.7 |
| No guarantee/Insufficient | 100.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 | 73.5 |
| Other |  |  |  | 100.0 | 2.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |

Table 4.2-71: Estimation of total number of permanent employee, total expenditure on labour and mean expenditure on permanent employee per learning institution in September 2011, by type of expenditure

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Number of <br> Permanent <br> employees in ,000 <br> RWF | Total expenditure in <br> , 000000 RWF | Mean expenditure per <br> establishment in ,000 <br> RWF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wages and salaries/over time | 76.9 | 5294.6 | 1342.6 |
| Bonus | 37.7 | 551.3 |  |
| Allowances | 58.7 | 1389.0 | 139.4 |
| Social security | 66.9 | 572.7 | 350.3 |
| Training | 6.4 | 144.8 |  |
| Other benefits | 21.7 | 163.7 | 12.4 |
| Total expenditure to permanent | - | 8020.5 | 41.3 |

Table 4.2-72: Total expenditures (in millions) on labour for permanent employees as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and type of learning institution

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Wages and salaries/over time | 2245.0 | 1668.0 | 424.0 | 957.6 | 5294.6 |
| Bonus | 346.4 | 135.4 | 41.5 | 28.0 | 551.3 |
| Allowances | 750.1 | 388.6 | 81.8 | 168.5 | 1389.0 |
| Social security | 207.5 | 177.6 | 35.7 | 151.8 | 572.7 |
| Training | 27.4 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 49.2 |
| Other benefits | 67.2 | 59.0 | 15.2 | 22.3 | 163.7 |
| Total expenditure to permanent | 3644 | 2438 | 606 | 1332 | 8020 |

Table 4.2-73: Estimation of total number of temporally employee, total expenditure on labour and mean expenditure on temporally employee in September 2011, by type of expenditure

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Number of temporally <br> employees in, 000 RWF | Total expenditure <br> in ,000000 RWF | Mean expenditure per <br> learning institution in <br> , 000 RWF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages and salaries/over time | 5.2 | 210.3 | 53.9 |
| Bonus | 0.6 | 5.0 | 1.3 |
| Allowances | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| Social security | 2.4 | 9.3 | 2.4 |
| Training | 0.5 | 4.2 | 1.1 |
| Other benefits | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| Total expenditure to temporally | - | 234.0 | 59.5 |

Table 4.2-74: Total expenditures (in millions) on labour for temporally employees as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and type of learning institution

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Wages and salaries/over time | 40.0 | 47.2 | 36.9 | 86.3 | 210.3 |
| Bonus | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Allowances | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 3.2 |
| Social security | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 9.3 |
| Training | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Other benefits | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Total expenditure to temporally | 48 | 52 | 39 | 95 | 234 |

Table 4.2-75: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by their major source of funding, according to type

| MAJOR SOURCE OF FUNDING | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Government | 91.8 | 77.9 | 17.7 | 41.9 | 83.9 |
| International Donors | 0.8 |  | 4.4 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| Shareholders | 0.4 | 0.8 | 4.6 |  | 0.7 |
| Faith-based organizations | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Payments by beneficiaries | 5.4 | 16.3 | 59.1 | 35.5 | 11.4 |
| Selling of output / services | 0.8 | 1.7 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 1.4 |
| Others | 0.4 | 1.5 | 4.2 |  | 0.9 |
| Not stated |  | 1.8 | 1.3 | 12.9 | 0.7 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 322 | 157 | 22 | 4 | 505 |

## Section G: Sourcing for required staff

Table 4.2-76: Percentage distribution of learning institution by means of sourcing personnel to fill vacant posts, according to level of skills

| MEAN OF SOURCING PERSONNEL <br> TO FILL VACANT POSTS | Low skilled |  |  | High and middle skilled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First <br> priority | Second <br> priority | Third <br> priority | First priority | Second <br> priority | Third <br> priority |
| Media advertisements | 18.3 | 15.6 | 25.1 | 51.7 | 21.9 | 18.7 |
| Own webpage/ Internet |  | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 21.2 | 2.1 |
| LMIS/Registration systems | 1.9 | 1.4 |  | 0.3 | 1.3 |  |
| Job agents / bureaus | 47.8 | 45.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 25.0 |
| Friends/relatives | 0.6 | 0.6 |  | 6.9 | 27.3 | 9.7 |
| Training institution | 26.4 | 30.4 | 51.2 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 12.3 |
| Other | 5.1 |  |  | 32.9 | 17.0 | 32.2 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 505 | 89 | 16 | 505 | 105 | 21 |

Table 4.2-77: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have recruited any person last year, according to type

| Type of learning institution | HAVE RECRUITED ANY PERSON LAST YEAR |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 64.0 | 36.0 |  | 100 | 2543 |
| Secondary | 81.1 | 18.9 |  | 100 | 1241 |
| TVET | 80.6 | 18.1 | 1.3 | 100 | 170 |
| University | 87.5 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 100 | 32 |
| Total | 70.2 | 29.7 | 0.1 | 100 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-78: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by weather they have advertised any post last year, according type.

| Type of learning institution | HAVE ADVERTISED ANY POST LAST YEAR |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | 100 |  |
| 2543 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.4 | 62.6 |  |  | 100 |
| 1241 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 100 | 170 |
| Secondary | 53.5 | 46.5 |  | 3.1 | 100 |
| TVET | 71.9 | 25.0 | 32 |  |  |
| University | 42.3 | 57.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 3987 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2-79: Total estimation of advertised post, number of applicants and number of post filled trough the process in 2010 by type of learning institution and occupation

| Type of learning institution | OCCUPATION | Number advertised | Number of applicants | Number of post filled through the process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | Managers | 68 | 408 | 58 |
|  | Professionals | 2047 | 8234 | 2007 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 179 | 648 | 179 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 50 | 150 | 50 |
|  | Group Total | 2344 | 9440 | 2294 |
| Secondary | Managers | 179 | 2514 | 142 |
|  | Professionals | 1868 | 7358 | 1869 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 169 | 571 | 169 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 49 | 109 | 49 |
|  | Group Total | 2265 | 10552 | 2229 |
| TVET | Managers | 26 | 73 | 24 |
|  | Professionals | 259 | 1009 | 259 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 4 | 12 | 4 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 9 | 100 | 9 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 45 | 99 | 43 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 7 | 9 | 7 |
|  | Group Total | 349 | 1302 | 346 |
| University | Managers | 20 | 227 | 17 |
|  | Professionals | 193 | 874 | 160 |
|  | Technical and associate professionals | 29 | 425 | 26 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 16 | 227 | 13 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 3 | 83 | 2 |
|  | Craft and related trade workers | 2 | 8 | 2 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 3 | 18 | 3 |
|  | Group Total | 266 | 1862 | 223 |
| TOTAL | Managers | 293 | 3222 | 241 |
|  | Professionals | 4366 | 17475 | 4295 |
|  | Technical and associate | 33 | 437 | 30 |
|  | Clerical support workers | 25 | 326 | 22 |
|  | Services and sales workers | 396 | 1401 | 394 |
|  | Craft and related trade workers | 2 | 8 | 2 |
|  | Elementary occupations | 110 | 286 | 110 |
|  | Group Total | 5224 | 23156 | 5092 |

Table 4.2-80: Percentage distribution of learning institution by whether they have ever used the LMIS , according to type

| Have you ever used LMIS | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Yes | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| No | 96.5 | 96.2 | 96.9 | 93.8 | 96.4 |
| Not stated | 0.8 | 0.8 |  | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-81: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which have used LMIS by the level of satisfaction with it, according to type

| LEVEL OF SATISFACTION |  | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| First experience | Satisfactory <br> Too few applications <br> Too many applications Other | $\begin{aligned} & 85.2 \\ & 14.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.5 \\ & 27.3 \\ & 27.3 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 57.9 \\ & 15.0 \\ & 18.1 \\ & 9.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Group Total | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ |
| Second experience | Too complicated <br> Too many applications <br> Too many unqualified applications | $\begin{aligned} & 67.5 \\ & 32.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.5 \\ & 27.3 \\ & 27.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.2 \\ & 35.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 59.3 \\ & 30.8 \\ & 9.9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Group Total | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ |
| Third experience | Satisfactory <br> Too few applications <br> Too many applications <br> Too many unqualified applications <br> Too few applicants registered | $\begin{aligned} & 67.5 \\ & 32.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.5 \\ & 37.5 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 |  | 28.8 <br> 34.7 <br> 16.7 <br> 17.3 <br> 2.5 <br> 100 |
|  | Group Total | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.2-82: Percentage distribution of learning institutions who have not used LMIS by whether they have heard about, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Have you heard about LMIS |  | Group Total |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | 2454 |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 24.9 | 75.1 | 100 | 1194 |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 29.3 | 70.7 | 100 | 165 |  |  |  |
| TVET | 35.5 | 64.5 | 100 | 30 |  |  |  |
| University | 36.7 | 63.3 | 100 | 3842 |  |  |  |
| Total | 26.8 | 73.2 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2-83: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which have heard about but never used LMIS by reason, according to type

| REASON OF NEVER USEING LMIS | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| No need | 39.5 | 23.0 | 61.5 | 54.5 | 35.3 |
| Complicated / cumbersome | 27.8 | 32.1 | 32.3 | 9.1 | 29.3 |
| Fear too much applications |  | 5.8 |  | 9.1 | 2.1 |
| Applicants don't match requirements |  |  | 3.4 |  | 0.2 |
| Is not properly working | 3.3 |  |  |  | 2.0 |
| Other | 26.2 | 34.0 | 2.9 | 27.3 | 27.5 |
| Not stated | 3.2 | 5.1 |  |  | 3.7 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 611 | 350 | 59 | 11 | 1030 |

Table 4.2-84: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they envisage hiring non-nationals, according to type

| Do you currently envisage hiring <br> non-nationals? | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Yes | 23.2 | 52.7 | 52.0 | 93.8 | 34.2 |
| No | 75.2 | 45.7 | 48.0 | 3.1 | 64.2 |
| Not stated | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 3.1 | 1.5 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-85: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non- nationals by the first important reason, according to type

| FIRST IMPORTANT REASON OF | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIRING NON- NATIONALS | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Cheaper | 5.2 | 5.9 | 3.7 |  | 5.3 |
| Better qualified | 57.5 | 51.9 | 50.8 | 60.0 | 54.4 |
| Experienced | 15.4 | 14.6 | 17.2 | 23.3 | 15.3 |
| More efficient | 15.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 13.3 | 10.3 |
| Other | 5.0 | 18.4 | 20.0 |  | 12.3 |
| Not stated | 1.6 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 591 | 655 | 89 | 30 | 1364 |

Table 4.2-86: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non- nationals by the second important reason, according to type

| SECOND IMPORTANT REASON OF | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Cheaper | 2.8 |  | 10.4 | 4.3 | 2.0 |
| Better qualified | 21.6 | 18.4 | 13.1 | 21.7 | 19.6 |
| Experienced | 37.8 | 23.9 | 42.6 | 52.2 | 32.2 |
| More efficient | 32.3 | 52.4 | 29.9 | 17.4 | 40.9 |
| Other | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 371 | 370 | 50 | 23 | 814 |

Table 4.2-87: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non- nationals by third important reason, according to type

| THIRD IMPORTANT REASON OF | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIRING NON- NATIONALS | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Cheaper |  |  | 6.8 |  | 0.7 |
| Better qualified |  | 28.1 | 13.5 | 10.0 | 17.1 |
| Experienced | 12.6 | 7.3 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 11.0 |
| More efficient | 62.5 | 50.2 | 57.4 | 50.0 | 54.8 |
| Other | 24.9 | 14.4 |  | 20.0 | 16.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.2-88: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non-nationals by first priority preferred nationality, according to type

| FIRST PRIORITY PREFERED | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATIONALITY | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| EAC | 91.3 | 84.2 | 92.4 | 56.7 | 87.2 |
| Other African | 3.5 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 3.9 |
| Europe | 3.4 | 5.7 |  |  | 4.3 |
| Americas | 1.7 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Asia |  |  | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| Oceania |  |  |  | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 23.3 | 1.2 |
| Group Total | 582 | 664 | 89 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | 30 | 1365 |

Table 4.2-89: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non-nationals by second priority preferred nationality, according to type

| SECOND PRIORITY PREFFERED | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATIONALITY | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| EAC | 11.7 | 8.8 |  | 16.7 | 9.2 |
| Other African | 69.7 | 53.9 | 59.2 | 27.8 | 59.2 |
| Europe | 7.6 | 18.9 | 25.0 | 22.2 | 15.7 |
| Americas | 7.2 | 18.3 | 10.5 | 16.7 | 13.8 |
| Asia |  |  | 5.3 | 11.1 | 0.7 |
| Oceania | 3.7 |  |  | 5.6 | 1.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 33 | 53 | 9 | 2 | 98 |

Table 4.2-90: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which envisage hiring non-nationals by third priority preferred nationality, according to type

| THIRD PRIORITY PREFFERED | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NATIONALITY | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| EAC |  | 4.7 |  | 14.3 | 3.0 |
| Other African | 8.6 | 14.4 | 6.8 |  | 11.6 |
| Europe | 49.3 | 37.3 | 34.0 | 28.6 | 40.9 |
| Americas | 42.1 | 33.8 | 46.0 | 28.6 | 37.4 |
| Asia |  | 9.8 | 13.1 | 28.6 | 7.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 15 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 46 |

Table 4.2-91: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which don't envisage hiring non-nationals by the first important reason, according to type

| FIRST PRIORITY REASON OF NOT | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HIRING NON-NATIONALS | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| No need | 53.8 | 43.5 | 39.0 |  | 51.0 |
| Regulations | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 3.7 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 3.1 | 1.8 |  |  | 2.7 |
| Language problems | 1.1 |  | 4.7 |  | 0.9 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 12.5 | 24.0 | 9.0 |  | 15.0 |
| Cultural problems | 1.6 | 3.6 | 2.5 |  | 2.1 |
| Other | 13.5 | 13.8 | 35.2 |  | 14.2 |
| Expensive | 10.2 | 11.6 | 7.4 |  | 10.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1902 | 577 | 82 | 1 | 2562 |

Table 4.2-92: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which don't envisage hiring non-nationals by the second important reason, according to type

| SECOND PRIORITY REASON OF | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOT HIRING NON-NATIONALS | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| No need | 5.4 | 9.8 | 2.9 |  | 6.4 |
| Regulations | 4.6 |  | 11.5 | 3.8 |  |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 3.8 | 2.6 |  | 3.4 |  |
| Language problems | 14.5 | 4.6 | 11.3 | 12.0 |  |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 14.5 | 16.0 | 10.5 | 14.7 |  |
| Cultural problems | 3.7 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 4.8 |  |
| Other | 27.4 | 39.4 | 21.7 | 30.0 |  |
| Expensive | 6.1 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 6.4 |  |
| Not stated | 19.8 | 13.9 | 20.2 | 18.4 |  |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
|  | 1290 | 427 | 70 | 1787 |  |

Table 4.2-93: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which don't envisage hiring non-nationals by the third important reason, according to type

| THIRD PRIORITY REASON OF NOT | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HIRING NON-NATIONALS | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| No need | 3.6 | 7.6 | 7.3 |  | 4.6 |
| Regulations | 2.3 |  | 4.0 | 1.9 |  |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda |  | 4.1 | 7.7 | 1.2 |  |
| Language problems | 3.4 | 4.0 |  | 3.4 |  |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 10.4 | 11.8 | 23.0 |  | 11.3 |
| Cultural problems | 5.8 | 11.7 | 4.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Other | 17.4 | 18.2 | 11.0 | 3.7 | 17.3 |
| Expensive | 4.8 | 11.6 | 39.4 | 6.2 |  |
| Not stated | 52.3 | 31.1 | 100 | 47.0 |  |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 50 | 100 |  |
|  | 853 | 257 |  | 1161 |  |

## Section H: Capacity utilisation and relevancy of training

Table 4.2-94: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have some obstacles that affect their capacity utilization

| Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Do you have any obstacles that affect <br> your capacity utilization? |  | Group Total |  |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Secondary | 6.3 | 93.7 | 100.0 | 477 |
| TVET | 53.7 | 46.3 | 100.0 | 47 |
| University | 84.4 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 32 |
| Total | 14.8 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 557 |

Table 4.2-95: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the first important obstacles affecting their capacity utilization, according to type

| FIRST PRIORITY OBSTACLES | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Lack of teaching materials | 35.3 | 56.7 | 14.8 | 35.2 |
| Physical facilities (building) | 32.3 | 20.4 | 14.8 | 22.9 |
| Lack of qualified staff |  |  | 18.5 | 6.1 |
| Financial/Budget constraints | 32.4 | 15.8 | 29.6 | 26.4 |
| Lack of clients/students |  |  | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| Lack of power/energy |  |  | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| Other |  | 7.2 | 14.8 | 7.1 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 30 | 25 | 27 | 83 |

Table 4.2-96: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the second important obstacles affecting their capacity utilization, according to type

| SECOND PRIORITY OBSTACLES | Type of learning institution |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Lack of teaching materials |  | 7.0 | 15.0 | 7.3 |
| Physical facilities (building) |  | 8.4 | 40.0 | 15.6 |
| Lack of qualified staff |  | 15.2 | 20.0 | 11.9 |
| Financial/Budget constraints | 47.7 | 52.4 | 15.0 | 39.3 |
| Lack of clients/students |  |  | 5.0 | 1.6 |
| Lack of power/energy | 52.3 |  | 5.0 | 18.2 |
| Other |  | 16.9 |  | 6.2 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 20 | 24 | 20 | 64 |

Table 4.2-97: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the third important obstacles affecting their capacity utilization, according to type

| THIRD PRIORITY OBSTACLES | Type of learning institution |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Lack of teaching materials |  |  | 25.0 | 6.4 |
| Physical facilities (building) | 100.0 | 13.8 |  | 39.6 |
| Lack of qualified staff |  | 44.8 | 12.5 | 21.3 |
| Financial/Budget constraints |  | 13.8 | 37.5 | 15.2 |
| Lack of clients/students |  | 14.4 |  | 5.8 |
| Lack of power/energy |  | 13.2 |  | 5.3 |
| Other |  |  | 25.0 | 6.4 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 13 | 8 | 31 |

Table 4.2-98: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have some needs to improve their service delivery.

| Type of learning institution | Do you have any need to improve your <br> service delivery? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
|  | 8.2 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 477 |
| TVET | 59.7 | 40.3 | 100.0 | 47 |
| University | 93.8 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 32 |
| Total | 17.5 | 82.5 | 100.0 | 557 |

Table 4.2-99: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the first priority needs to improve service delivered, according to type

| FIRST PRIORITY NEED TO IMPROVE | Type |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERVICE DELIVERED | Secondary | TVET | University |  |  |
| New equipment | 100.0 | 56.8 | 30.0 | 65.9 |  |
| Facilities |  | 25.9 | 30.0 | 16.8 |  |
| Qualified teachers |  | 3.5 | 16.7 | 6.2 |  |
| Expert instructors/teachers |  | 6.6 | 13.3 | 6.0 |  |
| Subsidies for students |  |  | 3.3 | 1.0 |  |
| Deregulation |  |  | 3.3 | 1.0 |  |
| Other |  | 7.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 |  |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 39 | 28 | 30 | 97 |  |

Table 4.2-100: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the second priority needs to improve service delivered, according to type

| SECOND PRIORITY NEED TO IMPROVE SERVICE | Type of learning institution |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| New equipment |  | 19.6 | 14.3 | 10.0 |
| Facilities | 100.0 | 37.5 | 28.6 | 60.6 |
| Qualified teachers |  | 13.7 | 17.9 | 9.3 |
| Expert instructors/teachers |  | 6.2 | 21.4 | 8.1 |
| Subsidies for students |  | 23.1 | 7.1 | 8.9 |
| Other |  |  | 10.7 | 3.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 39 | 28 | 28 | 95 |

Table 4.2-101: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the third priority needs to improve service delivered, according to type

| THIRD PRIORITY NEED TO IMPROVE SERVICE <br> DELIVERED | Type of learning institution |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| New equipment |  | 13.6 | 21.4 | 10.5 |
| Facilities |  |  | 7.1 | 1.8 |
| Qualified teachers |  | 24.8 | 35.7 | 18.4 |
| Expert instructors/teachers | 100.0 | 8.0 | 14.3 | 6.6 |
| Subsidies for students |  | 35.0 | 14.3 | 53.8 |
| Deregulation |  |  | 7.1 | 1.8 |
| Nothing |  | 9.6 |  | 3.6 |
| Other |  | 8.9 |  | 3.4 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 20 | 21 | 14 | 55 |

Table 4.2-102: percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they conduct tracer studies or not, according to type

| Type of learning institution | Do you conduct tracer <br> studies? |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 4.2-103: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the duration it takes their graduates to get employment, according to type

| DURATION DOES IT TAKE TO GET <br> EMPLOYMENT | Type of learning institution |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Less than 7 months | 2.1 |  | 40.6 | 4.1 |
| More than12 month |  | 31.5 | 18.8 | 3.8 |
| Unknown |  | 7.8 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Group Total | 97.9 | 60.7 | 37.5 | 91.3 |

Table 4.2-104: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by how they ensure relevant of trainings to the labour market, according to type

| HOWTO ENSURE RELEVANCY OF TRAININGS <br> TO THE LABOUR MARKET | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Curriculum review with employers |  | 17.9 | 37.5 | 3.7 |
| C. review with national agency | 3.9 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 4.6 |
| C. council for higher education |  | 16.3 | 15.6 | 2.3 |
| Tracer Studies |  |  | 9.4 | 0.5 |
| Industrial attachment | 2.1 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 2.5 |
| Labour market studies |  |  | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| Experience in profession | 94.0 | 47.7 | 6.3 | 0.9 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 12.5 | 85.4 |
| Group Total | 477 | 47 | 32 | 100 |
|  |  |  | 557 |  |

Table 4.2-105: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by weather they offer long distance training , according to type

| Do you offer long distance learning | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes |  |  | 28.1 | 1.6 |
| No | 6.0 | 52.3 | 68.8 | 13.5 |
| Not stated | 94.0 | 47.7 | 3.1 | 84.9 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 477 | 47 | 32 | 557 |

Table 4.2-106: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by weather they offer part time studies, according to type

| Do you offer part time studies | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes |  | 20.0 | 68.8 | 5.7 |
| No | 6.0 | 32.3 | 28.1 | 9.5 |
| Not stated | 94.0 | 47.7 | 3.1 | 84.9 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 477 | 47 | 32 | 557 |

## Section I: Membership to organizations/associations

Table 4.2-107: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by weather the institution is a member of any organization or association or not, according to type

| Are you a member of any <br> organization | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Yes | 14.1 | 24.7 | 44.4 | 53.1 | 19.0 |
| No | 82.8 | 74.5 | 55.6 | 43.8 | 78.7 |
| Not stated | 3.1 | 0.8 |  | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-108: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by weather they received any assistance from the organization/association or not, according to type

| Type of learning institution | ASSISTANCE FROM THE <br> ORGANAZATION RECEIVED |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Primary | 52.2 | 47.8 | 100 | 307 |
| Secondary | 35.3 | 64.7 | 100 | 76 |
| TVET | 63.7 | 36.3 | 100 | 17 |
| University | 70.6 | 29.4 | 100 | 757 |
| Total | 46.9 | 53.1 | 100 |  |

Table 4.2-109: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they are affiliated to another organization/association according to type

| ARE YOU AFFILIATED TO OTHER | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORGANIZATION | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes | 25.2 | 29.1 | 19.2 | 28.1 | 26.2 |
| No | 72.1 | 70.1 | 80.8 | 65.6 | 71.8 |
| Not stated | 2.7 | 0.8 |  | 6.3 | 2.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

## Section J: Gender

Table 4.2-110: Percent distribution of learning institutions by whether they have a gender policy , according to type

| DO YOU HAVE A GENDER POLICY | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes | 93.0 | 85.6 | 89.0 | 81.3 | 90.4 |
| No | 5.9 | 13.6 | 11.0 | 18.8 | 8.6 |
| Not stated | 1.1 | 0.8 |  |  | 1.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-111: Percent distribution of learning institutions by whether they practice preferential treatment due to sex , according to type

| DO YOU PRACTICE PREFERENTIAL | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TREATMENT DUE TO SEX | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Yes | 7.9 | 13.7 | 8.9 | 21.9 | 9.9 |
| No | 90.1 | 83.8 | 91.1 | 78.1 | 88.1 |
| Not stated | 1.9 | 2.5 |  |  | 2.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-112: Percent distribution of learning institution which have preferential treatment due to sex by the type of treatment, according to type

| TYPE OF TREATMENT | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Quota of management positions for women | 35.6 | 35.0 | 26.5 | 28.6 | 34.9 |
| Overall quota for women | 50.3 | 28.3 | 41.0 | 28.6 | 40.1 |
| Preferential recruitment for women | 29.6 | 46.9 | 39.7 | 14.3 | 37.2 |
| Preferential recruitment for men |  | 12.0 | 13.2 |  | 5.7 |
| Maternity leave | 94.9 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 57.1 | 94.0 |
| Differential retirement age | 24.7 | 34.5 | 51.4 | 42.9 | 30.3 |
| Preferential payment | 9.9 | 5.5 | 11.6 |  | 7.9 |
| Other | 5.1 |  |  |  | 2.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 202 | 170 | 15 | 7 | 394 |

## Section K : HIV/AIDS policy at workplace

Table 4.2-113: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have an HIV and AIDS workplace policy or not, according to type

| DO YOU HAVE AN HIV AND AIDS | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Yes | 97.3 | 96.0 | 95.6 | 81.3 | 96.7 |
| No | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 18.8 | 2.8 |
| Not stated | 0.4 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.5 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-114: Percentage of learning institutions which have an HIV and AIDS policy in workplace by type of facilities involved in that policy, according to type.

| TYPE OF FACILITIES INVOLVED IN POLICY | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| HIV AIDS VCT services VCT services | 94.1 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 80.8 | 94.5 |
| ARVs for workers who are HIV+ | 4.0 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 7.7 | 4.8 |
| Free condom distribution for workers | 5.1 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 46.2 | 6.1 |
| Free food rations for workers who are HIV+ | 2.0 | 4.1 | 11.4 | 15.4 | 3.1 |
| Workers' rights | 75.5 | 77.2 | 76.8 | 76.9 | 76.1 |
| Others | 21.6 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 21.7 |
| TOTAL | 2474 | 1191 | 163 | 26 | 3853 |

## Section L: Use of ICT

Table 4.2-115: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by whether they have introduced the use of ICT, according to type

| HAVE YOU INTRODUCED THE USE OF ICT | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Yes | 23.3 | 51.0 | 91.0 | 100.0 | 35.4 |
| No | 75.9 | 49.0 | 9.0 |  | 64.0 |
| Not stated | 0.8 |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2543 | 1241 | 170 | 32 | 3987 |

Table 4.2-116: Percentage of learning institutions which use ICT by the sector of utilization, according to type

| Sector of ICT utilization | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
| Used for Production/Teaching | 73.2 | 79.1 | 94.0 | 100.0 | 78.7 |
| Used for Marketing | 5.2 | 6.0 | 22.1 | 62.5 | 8.7 |
| Used for Human resource management | 42.5 | 41.8 | 49.3 | 53.1 | 43.2 |
| Used for Communication | 45.6 | 57.3 | 74.3 | 84.4 | 54.8 |
| Used for Records management | 89.6 | 95.3 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 92.7 |
| Used for |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting/Finance/Planning/Budgeting | 76.8 | 92.1 | 94.1 | 96.9 | 86.0 |
| Used for Employment | 6.9 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 59.4 | 9.6 |
| Used for any Other | 1.6 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| TOTAL | 593 | 633 | 155 | 32 | 1413 |

Table 4.2-117: Percentage distribution of learning institutions which introduced the use of ICT by the level of effect of ICT use, according to type

| LEVEL OF EFFECT OF ICT |  | Type of learning institution |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Production/Teaching | Increased | 71.5 | 79.1 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 77.9 |
|  | Decreased | 4.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 |  | 2.9 |
|  | No effect | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 |  | 2.3 |
|  | Not applicable | 20.1 | 17.7 | 5.0 |  | 16.9 |
| Marketing | Increased | 6.9 | 7.5 | 22.0 | 59.4 | 10.0 |
|  | Decreased | 5.1 | 6.3 | 8.9 |  | 5.9 |
|  | No effect | 5.2 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
|  | Not applicable | 82.8 | 84.7 | 65.7 | 37.5 | 80.8 |
| Human resource manage | Increased | 42.5 | 40.2 | 51.6 | 56.3 | 42.8 |
|  | Decreased | 5.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 |  | 3.1 |
|  | No effect | 8.5 | 3.1 | 1.1 |  | 5.1 |
|  | Not applicable | 44.0 | 55.1 | 45.3 | 43.8 | 49.1 |
| Communication | Increased | 45.6 | 60.6 | 70.7 | 87.5 | 56.0 |
|  | Decreased | 6.7 | 1.6 | 6.6 |  | 4.3 |
|  | No effect | 8.5 |  | 1.1 |  | 3.7 |
|  | Not applicable | 39.2 | 37.8 | 21.5 | 12.5 | 36.1 |
| Records management | Increased | 89.5 | 93.5 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 91.5 |
|  | Decreased | 1.7 | 1.6 | 3.6 |  | 1.8 |
|  | No effect | 5.2 |  | 3.3 |  | 2.5 |
|  | Not applicable | 3.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 |  | 4.2 |
| Accounting | Increased | 81.7 | 85.7 | 87.9 | 96.9 | 84.5 |
|  | Decreased | 1.7 | 1.6 | 5.1 |  | 2.0 |
|  | No effect | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 |  | 2.5 |
|  | Not applicable | 14.9 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 11.0 |
| Employment | Increased | 3.4 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 59.4 | 8.4 |
|  | Decreased | 6.8 | 4.7 | 9.1 |  | 6.0 |
|  | No effect | 10.3 | 1.4 | 3.5 |  | 5.3 |
|  | Not applicable | 79.5 | 84.7 | 73.5 | 40.6 | 80.3 |
| Other | Increased | 5.0 | 2.8 | 10.1 | 6.3 | 4.6 |
|  | Decreased | 8.5 | 6.4 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 7.9 |
|  | No effect | 5.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 |  | 3.1 |
|  | Not applicable | 81.3 | 89.4 | 76.0 | 84.4 | 84.4 |
| Group Total |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 593 | 633 | 155 | 32 | 1413 |

Table 4.2-118: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the reported first important challenge they faced with regard to the use of ICT, according to type

| FIRST IMPORTANT CHALLENGE FACED | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Lack of skilled employees | 41.0 | 28.3 | 25.7 | 12.5 | 33.0 |
| Lack of skilled outside IT support | 5.0 | 7.8 | 12.4 |  | 7.0 |
| Lack of skilled trainers | 3.5 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 5.3 |
| Insufficient / unreliable connectivity | 6.6 | 7.5 | 10.3 | 34.4 | 8.1 |
| Unreliable electricity | 11.9 | 14.2 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 12.5 |
| Costs of equipment | 10.0 | 17.0 | 15.8 | 18.8 | 14.0 |
| Availability of equipment | 18.7 | 17.0 | 11.4 | 15.6 | 17.0 |
| Other | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.7 |  | 1.8 |
| No challenge | 1.7 |  | 3.5 | 9.4 | 1.3 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 593 | 633 | 155 | 32 | 1413 |

Table 4.2-119: Percentage distribution of learning institutions by the reported second important challenge they faced with regard to the use of ICT, according to type

| SECOND IMPORTANT CHALLENGE FACED TO | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| THE USE OF ICT | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Lack of skilled employees | 16.2 | 11.8 | 7.7 | 15.0 | 13.2 |
| Lack of skilled outside IT support | 22.0 | 6.9 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 14.6 |
| Lack of skilled trainers | 9.3 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 7.7 |
| Insufficient / unreliable connectivity | 15.9 | 23.4 | 15.4 | 20.0 | 19.3 |
| Unreliable electricity | 3.7 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 |
| Costs of equipment | 14.6 | 13.9 | 31.1 | 20.0 | 16.3 |
| Availability of equipment | 12.9 | 23.5 | 16.4 | 15.0 | 18.1 |
| Other |  |  | 1.3 | 5.0 | 0.2 |
| No challenge | 5.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 3.3 |  |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 552 | 583 | 147 | 20 | 1303 |

Table 4.2-120: Percent distribution of learning institutions by the reported third important challenge they faced with regard to the use of ICT, according to type

| THIRD IMPORTANT CHALLENGE FACED TO THE |  | Type of learning institution |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| USE OF ICT | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Lack of skilled employees | 11.0 | 8.1 | 13.1 | 7.1 | 9.8 |
| Lack of skilled outside IT support | 8.2 | 11.7 | 3.6 | 14.3 | 9.4 |
| Lack of skilled trainers | 8.1 | 4.1 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 7.1 |
| Insufficient / unreliable connectivity | 6.4 | 16.5 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 10.9 |
| Unreliable electricity | 4.2 | 4.3 |  | 7.1 | 3.9 |
| Costs of equipment | 21.2 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 35.7 | 19.6 |
| Availability of equipment | 19.2 | 20.6 | 21.9 | 7.1 | 19.9 |
| Other | 4.3 |  | 3.6 | 7.1 | 2.3 |
| No challenge | 17.5 | 16.4 | 20.9 |  | 17.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 473 | 487 | 102 | 14 | 1076 |

### 4.4 Informal sector employers' Module

## Section A. General Information on the Employer

Table 4.3-1: Percentage Distribution of Respondent Owners by Gender

| PROVINCE | Sex |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 32.7 | 67.3 | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province | 18.4 | 81.6 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province | 14.9 | 85.1 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 17.6 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | 14.4 | 85.6 | 100.0 | 301 |
| Total | 20.5 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-2: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by age group and province according to gender

| PROVINCE | Age groups | Sex |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |
| Kigali City | Less than 25 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
|  | 25-29 | 18.4 | 20.9 | 20.1 |
|  | 30-34 | 16.8 | 24.4 | 21.9 |
|  | 35-39 | 14.4 | 16.5 | 15.8 |
|  | 40-44 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.8 |
|  | 45-49 | 11.2 | 7.1 | 8.4 |
|  | 50-54 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 6.6 |
|  | $55+$ | 8.0 | 3.9 | 5.3 |
|  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 125 | 254 | 379 |
| Southern | Less than 25 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
|  | 25-29 | 20.0 | 23.6 | 23.0 |
|  | 30-34 | 26.7 | 24.6 | 25.0 |
|  | 35-39 | 11.1 | 18.1 | 16.8 |
|  | 40-44 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
|  | 45-49 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 9.4 |
|  | 50-54 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
|  | 55+ | 6.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
|  | Not stated | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 199 \end{gathered}$ | $100.0$ |
|  |  |  | 199 |  |
| Western Province | Less than 25 | 13.5 | 9.1 | 9.8 |
|  | 25-29 | 16.2 | 20.6 | 19.9 |
|  | 30-34 | 18.9 | 22.5 | 22.0 |
|  | 35-39 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 14.2 |
|  | 40-44 | 13.5 | 11.0 | 11.4 |
|  | 45-49 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 11.8 |
|  | 50-54 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
|  | 55+ | 5.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 37 | 209 | 246 |
| Northern Province | Less than 25 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 4.7 |
|  | 25-29 | 25.0 | 16.6 | 18.1 |
|  | 30-34 | 25.0 | 19.2 | 20.2 |
|  | 35-39 | 23.2 | 20.4 | 20.9 |
|  | 40-44 | 8.9 | 16.2 | 15.0 |
|  | 45-49 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 9.0 |
|  | 50-54 | 3.6 | 7.9 | 7.2 |
|  | 55+ | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
|  | Not stated | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 56 | 265 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | Less than 25 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 6.6 |
|  | 25-29 | 11.1 | 19.8 | 18.5 |
|  | 30-34 | 15.6 | 25.7 | 24.2 |
|  | 35-39 | 22.2 | 19.8 | 20.2 |
|  | 40-44 | 8.9 | 13.2 | 12.6 |
|  | 45-49 | 17.8 | 7.0 | 8.6 |
|  | 50-54 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
|  | $55+$ | $8.9$ | $1.6$ | 2.6 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 45 | 257 | 302 |
| Rwanda | Less than 25 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
|  | 25-29 | 18.6 | 20.1 | 19.8 |
|  | 30-34 | 19.9 | 23.3 | 22.6 |
|  | 35-39 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 17.7 |
|  | 40-44 | 13.1 | 13.9 | 13.7 |
|  | 45-49 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 9.2 |
|  | 50-54 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
|  | 55+ | 7.2 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
|  | Not stated | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 306 | 1186 | 1492 |

Table 4.3-3: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by marital status according to province

| PROVINCE | Marital status |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single/Never married | Married | Separated | Divorced | Widowed | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 19.9 | 74.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province | 11.9 | 86.5 |  |  | 1.6 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province | 15.5 | 82.0 |  |  | 2.5 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 8.1 | 90.1 | 0.3 |  | 1.5 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | 9.9 | 87.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 301 |
| Total | 13.3 | 83.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-4: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by nationality

| PROVINCE | Nationality |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Burundian | Kenyan | Rwandan | Tanzanian | Ugandan | The rest of Africa (specify) | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 0.3 |  | 98.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province |  | 1.6 | 98.4 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province |  | 1.2 | 98.4 |  | 0.4 |  | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 0.3 | 0.6 | 98.5 |  |  | 0.6 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province |  | 1.3 | 98.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 100.0 | 301 |
| Total | 0.1 | 0.9 | 98.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-5: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by highest level of formal education

| EDUCATION LEVEL | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Masters Degree | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Bachelors | 4.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 2.4 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 6.3 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| Secondary-A Level | 14.0 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| Secondary-O Level | 19.0 | 10.2 | 12.3 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 12.9 |
| Primary | 41.2 | 49.6 | 50.8 | 55.9 | 50.3 | 49.2 |
| None | 11.6 | 22.1 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 24.2 | 19.4 |
| Other | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL | 379 | 44 | 244 | 322 | 302 | 1491 |

Table 4.3-6: Percentage distribution of respondent owners with at least secondary A- level education by field of education

| SECONDARY EDUCATION AND ABOVE | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Education | 12.4 | 12.8 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 16.3 |
| Humanities and arts | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| Social sciences, business and law | 43.8 | 20.5 | 27.8 | 25.0 | 34.9 | 33.8 |
| Sciences | 9.5 | 15.4 | 8.3 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 12.2 |
| Engineering manufacturing and construction | 21.9 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 20.9 | 22.4 |
| Agriculture | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 9.3 | 3.4 |
| Health and well fare | 2.9 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Services | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 7.5 | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| Not stated | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 105 | 39 | 36 | 40 | 43 | 263 |

Table 4.3-7: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by place where they got the highest level of education

| EDUCATION PLACE | PROVINCE |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province |  |  |
|  | 81.9 | 89.7 | 75.0 | 94.7 | 83.3 | 84.2 |
| Other EAC countries | 12.4 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 8.8 |
| Rest of Africa | 1.9 | 7.7 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 5.4 |
| Europe | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| America | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 105 | 39 | 36 | 38 | 42 | 260 |

Table 4.3-8: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by whether they are currently enrolled in further training

| PROVINCE | Are you currently enrolled in <br> further training? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 9.6 | 90.4 | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province | 4.9 | 95.1 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province | 5.8 | 94.2 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 3.3 | 96.7 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | 6.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 301 |
| TOTAL | 6.2 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-9: Percentage distribution of respondent owners who are currently enrolled in further training by the type of training

| TRAINING AREA | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| General programs | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Education | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 4.3 |
| Humanities and arts | 38.9 | 8.3 | 21.4 | 27.3 | 28.6 | 28.7 |
| Social sciences, business and low | 36.1 | 50.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 29.8 |
| Sciences | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 4.3 |
| Engineering manufacturing and construction | 13.9 | 8.3 | 14.3 | 9.1 | 14.3 | 12.8 |
| Agriculture | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| Health and well fare | 5.6 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 4.8 | 8.5 |
| Services | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| Not stated | 0.0 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 36 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 21 | 94 |

Table 4.3-10: Percentage distribution of owners who are currently enrolled in further training by the kind of expected degree:

| EXPECTED DEGREE | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masters Degree | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| Bachelors | 25.0 | 33.3 | 21.4 | 18.2 | 33.3 | 26.6 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 2.8 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 18.2 | 9.5 | 7.4 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Secondary-A Level | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| None | 11.1 | 16.7 | 21.4 | 9.1 | 19.0 | 14.9 |
| Other (specify) | 5.6 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| Certificate | 52.8 | 41.7 | 28.6 | 36.4 | 28.6 | 40.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 36 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 21 | 94 |

Table 4.3-11: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by occupation

| OCCUPATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managers | 20.6 | 20.8 | 24.9 | 14.6 | 16.6 | 19.2 |
| Professionals | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Services and sales workers | 70.7 | 64.9 | 61.2 | 71.7 | 72.2 | 68.7 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.5 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Elementary occupations | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 379 | 245 | 245 | 322 | 302 | 1493 |

Table 4.3-12: Percent distribution of respondent owners by whether the reported occupation is main or secondary

| PROVINCE | Is this your main or secondary <br> occupation $/$ job? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main | Secondary | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 87.7 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province | 81.3 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 86.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | 77.0 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 301 |
| TOTAL | 82.6 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-13: Percentage distribution of respondent owners who declared that the reported occupation is their secondary one by type of the main occupation

| OCCUPATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Managers | 10.9 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 6.5 |
| Professionals | 13.0 | 9.6 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 14.1 | 13.4 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 8.7 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 5.0 |
| Clerical support workers | 0.0 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Services and sales workers | 26.1 | 26.9 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 16.5 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 10.9 | 30.8 | 41.3 | 43.5 | 50.7 | 36.8 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 6.5 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 13.0 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 9.2 |
| Elementary occupations | 6.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| Not stated | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 46 | 52 | 46 | 46 | 71 | 261 |

Table 4.3-14: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by whether their current occupation matches their education

| Does your current job match your education? | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Yes | 67.0 | 49.2 | 53.7 | 49.1 | 53.5 | 55.3 |
| No (other job than qualification.) | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 22.7 | 14.0 | 17.2 |
| No (lower level than qualification.) | 7.1 | 9.8 | 11.9 | 9.6 | 12.3 | 9.9 |
| No (higher level than qualification.) | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Not applicable (no training) | 8.2 | 23.2 | 16.8 | 15.8 | 19.3 | 16.0 |
| Not stated | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 379 | 246 | 244 | 322 | 301 | 1492 |

Table 4.3-15: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by whether they are in their first employment after reaching 15 year old

| PROVINCE | Is this your first employment in Rwanda <br> after reaching 15 years old? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 44.1 | 55.9 | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province | 50.0 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province | 50.5 | 49.5 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 58.9 | 41.1 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | 55.4 | 44.6 | 100.0 | 301 |
| TOTAL | 51.6 | 48.4 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-16: Percentage distribution of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by the type of previous establishment they worked for

| TYPE OF PREVIOUS <br> ESTABLISHMENT | Kigali <br> City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16.1 | 13.9 | 15.7 | 27.3 | 26.7 | 19.7 |
| institutions | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Parastatal | 78.2 | 75.4 | 71.1 | 62.9 | 67.4 | 71.7 |
| Company | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Co-operative / SACCO | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 1.9 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 4.2 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Not stated | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 211 | 122 | 121 | 132 | 135 | 721 |

Table 4.3-17: Percentage distribution of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by their employment status in previous occupation

|  | What was your employment status? |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own <br> account <br> worker | Employer | Employee | Unpaid <br> family <br> worker | Not <br> stated | Row \% | Count |  |
|  | 36.8 | 3.0 | 59.3 |  | 1.0 | 100.0 | 212 |  |
|  | 49.1 | 4.1 | 43.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 122 |  |
| Southern Province | 49.0 | 1.7 | 49.3 |  |  | 100.0 | 121 |  |
| Western Province | 46.9 | 0.7 | 50.3 | 2.2 |  | 100.0 | 132 |  |
| Northern Province | 50.9 | 1.5 | 47.6 |  |  | 100.0 | 134 |  |
| Eastern Province | 45.4 | 2.3 | 51.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 721 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3-18: Percentage distribution of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by the economic activity of the previous establishment they worked for

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 3.9 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 23.4 | 15.7 | 12.7 |
| Mining and quarrying | 1.0 |  |  | 2.9 |  | 0.8 |
| Manufacturing | 10.6 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 9.9 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activity |  |  | 1.6 |  | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Construction | 8.3 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycle | 32.3 | 31.9 | 26.2 | 20.5 | 23.0 | 27.3 |
| Transportation and storage | 6.3 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 5.4 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 6.6 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 6.6 |
| Information and communication | 0.5 |  | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Financial and insurance activities |  |  |  | 1.5 |  | 0.3 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 4.7 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 3.9 |
| Administrative and support service activities |  | 1.7 |  | 0.8 |  | 0.4 |
| Public administration defense and compulsory social security | 5.8 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 11.6 | 8.0 |
| Education | 6.4 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| Human health and social work activities | 6.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| Other services activities | 4.6 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| Activities of households as employers; undifferentiated good | 1.0 | 2.4 |  |  | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organisation and bodies | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 |  | 0.8 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 212 | 122 | 121 | 132 | 134 | 721 |

Table 4.3-19: Percentage distribution of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in the previous establishment

| OCCUPATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managers | 6.5 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 5.0 |
| Professionals | 11.7 | 8.2 | 12.2 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 11.7 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 5.1 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 4.8 |
| Clerical support workers | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.4 |
| Services and sales workers | 36.7 | 44.4 | 36.1 | 22.0 | 31.7 | 34.3 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 3.9 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 20.5 | 13.6 | 11.1 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 15.6 | 12.4 | 17.2 | 16.2 | 12.8 | 14.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 7.4 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| Elementary occupations | 4.4 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 5.0 |
| Armed forces occupations | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 5.6 |
| Not started | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 |  | 1.4 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 212 | 122 | 121 | 132 | 134 | 721 |

Table 4.3-20 : Percentage distribution of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by the number of years they worked in the previous establishment

| Worked year intervals | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Less than 2 years | 11.4 | 18.8 | 18.1 | 7.1 | 13.1 | 13.3 |
| 2-4 years | 38.4 | 31.2 | 23.8 | 27.9 | 34.4 | 32.1 |
| 5-7 years | 19.4 | 20.5 | 23.8 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 21.2 |
| 8-10 years | 13.5 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 16.1 | 13.7 | 14.4 |
| 11-13 years | 5.9 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| 14+ | 11.5 | 9.8 | 14.0 | 22.6 | 10.8 | 13.5 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 212 | 122 | 121 | 132 | 134 | 721 |

Table 4.3-21: Percent distribution of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by whether their previous occupation matched their education

| PROVINCE | Was the job marched your education? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | Yes Matched | Not matched | Not stated | Row | 1.5 |
| 100.0 | 212 |  |  |  |  |
| Southern Province | 69.9 | 28.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Western Province | 62.4 | 36.8 |  | 100.0 | 121 |
| Northern Province | 58.2 | 41.8 |  | 100.0 | 132 |
| Eastern Province | 52.0 | 48.0 |  | 100.0 | 134 |
| Total | 56.1 | 43.9 |  | 100.0 | 721 |

Table 4.3-22: Average working years of respondent owners who worked in other establishment before by province and type of establishment

| Mean | TYPE OF ESTABLISHMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROVINCE | Ministry <br> and other <br> institutions | Para-statal | Private <br> Company | Co- <br> operative | NGO/CSO/ <br> CBO | Other |  |
| Kigali City | 1.6 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 12.3 |
| Southern Province | 1.3 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 9.7 |
| Western Province | 1.8 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 11.6 |
| Northern Province | 2.5 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 13.8 |
| Eastern Province | 2.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.6 |
| Total | 1.8 | 0.1 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 11.5 |

Table 4.3-23: Percentage distribution of respondent owners by the highest level of education they had when they first enter the labour market (after reaching 15 years old)

| Highest level of education when <br> you first enter the labour market | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Group <br> Total |
| No education | 11.7 | 24.1 | 23.1 | 21.2 | 23.9 | 20.1 |
| Primary | 47.8 | 49.6 | 54.8 | 59.9 | 54.0 | 53.1 |
| Secondary | 23.1 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 15.0 |
| TVET | 13.7 | 12.7 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 9.7 |
| Tertiary | 3.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Missing Value | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 379 | 245 | 244 | 321 | 301 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-24: Percentage distribution of responding owners with at least post primary education by the year of graduation before entering the labour market.

| YEAR OF GRADUATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
|  | 19.2 | 20.2 | 14.6 | 16.1 | 18.9 | 18.2 |
| 2002-2006 | 19.5 | 15.5 | 23.5 | 18.9 | 20.2 | 19.4 |
| $1997-2001$ | 11.7 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 14.6 |
| $1992-1996$ | 12.7 | 17.4 | 16.3 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 13.8 |
| $1987-1991$ | 15.3 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 12.7 | 14.5 | 14.0 |
| $1982-1986$ | 7.6 | 1.5 | 12.8 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 8.0 |
| $1977-1981$ | 6.8 | 4.5 |  | 4.7 |  | 4.1 |
| $1972-1976$ | 2.8 | 3.2 | 7.2 |  | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| Below 1972 | 2.1 | 1.6 |  | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| Not stated | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 153 | 64 | 54 | 61 | 66 | 399 |

Table 4.3-25: Percentage distribution of responding owners with at least post primary education by the time (in months) it took to find the first waged job

|  | Interval in months |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $0-6$ | $7-18$ | $19-24$ | $25+$ | Not <br> stated | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 46.8 | 12.6 | 8.3 | 30.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 153 |
| Southern Province | 50.2 | 14.0 | 6.2 | 28.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Western Province | 49.6 | 16.2 | 5.5 | 25.2 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Northern Province | 44.5 | 22.3 | 9.4 | 19.1 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Eastern Province | 40.6 | 23.4 | 8.6 | 25.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 66 |
| Total | 46.4 | 16.6 | 7.8 | 27.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 399 |

Table 4.3-26: Percentage distribution of responding owners by whether they have disability or not

| PROVINCE | Do you have any disability? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 3.5 | 96.5 |  | 100.0 | 379 |
| Southern Province | 3.3 | 96.7 |  | 100.0 | 245 |
| Western Province | 4.5 | 95.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Northern Province | 3.9 | 95.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Eastern Province | 2.2 | 97.8 |  | 100.0 | 301 |
| Total | 3.4 | 96.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1490 |

Table 4.3-27: Percentage distribution of responding owners who have disabilities by type of disability

|  | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
|  | 100.0 | 62.8 | 82.1 | 92.5 | 85.6 | 86.7 |
| Intellectual / mental problem |  | 24.6 |  |  | 14.4 | 5.7 |
| Emotional (behavioral, psychological.) |  | 12.6 | 8.9 |  |  | 3.9 |
| Other (specify) |  |  | 9.0 | 7.5 |  | 3.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 13 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 51 |

## Section B. Establishment characteristics

Table 4.3-28: Percentage distribution of establishment by the year of starting operations, according to Province

| Year of starting | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| 2011-2012 | 28.0 | 30.3 | 18.2 | 17.9 | 27.7 | 24.5 |
| 2008-2010 | 41.9 | 39.6 | 47.7 | 39.3 | 39.9 | 41.5 |
| 2001-2007 | 23.6 | 23.1 | 23.3 | 28.6 | 22.2 | 24.3 |
| 2000-2006 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.4 |
| 1999 and before | 3.9 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 5.1 | 5.8 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-29: Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by main economic activity

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing |  | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Mining and quarrying |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing | 6.3 | 17.0 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 10.6 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activity | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and Moto | 51.8 | 39.3 | 35.5 | 37.2 | 42.5 | 42.1 |
| Transportation and storage | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 |  | 0.6 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 18.0 | 23.7 | 25.8 | 35.3 | 29.6 | 26.2 |
| Information and communication | 1.2 |  | 1.1 |  |  | 0.5 |
| Financial and insurance activities |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 3.9 | 2.2 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Education |  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Human health and social work activities |  |  | 0.7 |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Other services activities | 15.2 | 12.6 | 11.6 | 5.9 | 11.9 | 11.5 |
| Activities of households as employers; undifferentiated good | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Not stated | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-30: Percentage distribution of interviewed establishment by second economic activity

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing |  | 1.4 |  | 1.4 |  | 0.6 |
| Manufacturing | 13.6 | 7.7 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 10.5 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 1.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and Moto | 37.4 | 44.3 | 31.3 | 31.7 | 35.8 | 36.3 |
| Transportation and storage |  | 3.0 |  |  |  | 0.6 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 28.3 | 16.6 | 27.4 | 34.0 | 25.0 | 26.4 |
| Information and communication |  | 1.4 | 2.0 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 1.2 | 1.5 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.9 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 3.6 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 5.0 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 6.0 | 1.5 |  | 4.2 | 4.8 | 3.6 |
| Education | 1.4 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| Human health and social work activities |  |  | 1.9 | 1.4 |  | 0.6 |
| Other services activities | 7.3 | 16.6 | 13.7 | 6.9 | 14.1 | 11.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 86 | 66 | 51 | 71 | 62 | 335 |

Table 4.3-31: Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by legal status

| LEGAL STATUS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali | Southern <br> City | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Sole proprietorship | 94.3 | 87.4 | 91.5 | 91.6 | 94.2 | 92.1 |
| Limited by share(LTD) | 2.5 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Limited by guarantee | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Limited by Both share and guarantee | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Unlimited | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Other | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Not stated | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-32: Average number of shareholders by nationality

| PROVINCE | Rwanda | EAC | Rest of Africa | Rest of the <br> world |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kigali City | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Southern Province | 37.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Western Province | 46.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Northern Province | 28.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern Province | 45.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 35.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Table 4.3-33 Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by working place

| Working place | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Workspace in/attached to home | 13.7 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 14.8 | 25.5 | 16.7 |
| Independent from home | 81.1 | 76.7 | 76.8 | 80.6 | 73.1 | 78.0 |
| Home or workplace of client | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Employer's home |  | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Construction site |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Market stall | 3.7 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 3.7 |
| No specified place |  | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 |  | 0.4 |
| Others | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-34 Percentage distribution of establishments by the ownership of premises

|  | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Group <br> Total |
|  | 18.0 | 36.8 | 34.2 | 43.8 | 46.4 | 34.8 |
| Joint ownership | 1.0 |  | 0.7 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |
| Rented | 77.9 | 61.8 | 60.0 | 52.2 | 51.0 | 61.6 |
| Permitted by others to use site | 0.3 |  | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Donated by Government | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Donated by Local authority | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| owned | 0.2 |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Other (specify) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Col \% | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |
| Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3- 35 Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by registration status with RDB

| REGISTRATION STATUS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Already registered | 12.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 6.9 |
| Already in the process | 8.6 | 8.7 | 13.7 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 9.3 |
| Yes, in the near future | 14.4 | 15.6 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 12.7 | 14.3 |
| No plans | 55.3 | 61.1 | 55.0 | 67.6 | 67.2 | 61.2 |
| Not sure | 8.9 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 3.8 | 7.9 | 8.2 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-36 Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by registration status with RRA

| REGISTRATION STATUS TO RRA | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Already registered | 23.2 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 14.5 |
| Already in the process | 12.7 | 12.2 | 17.7 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 12.6 |
| Yes, in the near future | 14.4 | 17.4 | 14.3 | 17.6 | 13.3 | 15.4 |
| No plans | 44.3 | 48.7 | 43.7 | 60.1 | 59.8 | 51.4 |
| Not sure | 4.8 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
| Not stated | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-37 Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by whether they have any license to operate

| PROVINCE | Does the enterprise have any license to operate? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 96.6 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 93.0 | 7.0 |  | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 93.1 | 6.9 |  | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 93.8 | 6.2 |  | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 92.9 | 7.1 |  | 100.0 | 326 |
| Total | 94.2 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-38 Percentage distribution of interviewed establishments by the type of operating license

| PROVINCE | Under what license does the business operate? |  | Group Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Provisional <br> authorization | Other | Row \% | Count |
|  | Trade License | Patente | 0.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 423 |
| Kigali City | 10.9 | 87.8 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| Southern Province | 5.6 | 89.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 253 |
| Western Province | 5.7 | 90.7 | 93.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| Northern Province | 5.1 | 92.1 | 2.2 |  | 100.0 | 303 |
| Eastern Province | 5.7 | 90.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1567 |
| Total | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3-39 Percentage of interviewed establishments by type of utilities

| PROVINCE | Type of utilities |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water | Electricity | Toilet / pit latrine | Waste disposal | Other | Not stated |  |
| Kigali City | 44.6 | 94.5 | 87.9 | 74.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 19.4 | 54.6 | 90.2 | 80.2 | 0.7 |  | 270 |
| Western Province | 25.5 | 71.8 | 85.2 | 79.6 | 0.7 |  | 272 |
| Northern Province | 27.3 | 52.9 | 86.6 | 79.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 32.3 | 49.3 | 94.7 | 85.0 | 0.3 |  | 326 |
| Total | 31.3 | 66.5 | 88.9 | 79.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-40 Percentage distribution of establishments with specified utilities by their source

| UTILITIES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOURCE | Kigali <br> City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| Water | Public/Gvnt <br> Private <br> Not stated | 79.5 | 67.2 | 76.1 | 75.8 | 86.1 | 78.5 |
|  |  | 20.0 | 32.8 | 23.9 | 24.2 | 13.9 | 21.3 |
|  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Group Total |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Electricity <br> Group Total | Public/Gvnt Private | 89.1 | 84.8 | 89.2 | 89.8 | 90.5 | 88.9 |
|  |  | 10.9 | 15.2 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Toilet / pit latrine | Public/Gvnt <br> Private <br> Not stated | 6.7 | 5.3 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 93.0 | 94.7 | 90.4 | 93.0 | 98.0 | 93.9 |
|  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Group Total |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Waste disposal | Public/Gvnt | 13.7 | 13.3 | 14.7 | 9.9 | 6.7 | 11.5 |
|  | Private | 85.7 | 86.7 | 85.3 | 90.1 | 93.3 | 88.3 |
|  | Not stated | 0.6 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Group Total |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-41 Percentage distribution of establishments by the share of the government in providing different utilities

| PROVINCE | Does the government provide these utilities? |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes all | Yes partly | None | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 8.5 | 78.2 | 13.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 5.6 | 48.1 | 46.3 |  | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 6.5 | 63.8 | 29.7 |  | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 3.6 | 55.4 | 40.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 1.8 | 56.4 | 41.8 |  | 100.0 | 326 |
| TOTAL | 5.3 | 61.8 | 32.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-42 Percentage of establishments which have access to specified services

| SERVICES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Postal Services | 3.1 |  | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 |
| Banking | 70.0 | 69.8 | 56.5 | 64.2 | 65.1 | 65.5 |
| Telephone | 29.1 | 29.7 | 18.7 | 36.2 | 15.6 | 26.4 |
| Internet | 4.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
| Transport (Own car) | 4.8 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Other | 1.0 | 2.3 |  | 0.8 | 4.2 | 1.6 |
| TOTAL | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

## Section C. Workload/Business Operations

Table 4.3-43: Average working days and hours of business and managers

| PROVINCE | On average how many <br> hours does your business <br> operate per day? | How many days per <br> week does your business <br> normally operate? | How many days do <br> you usually work <br> per week? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kigali City | 12 | 6.4 | 5.9 |
| Southern Province | 9.8 | 5.9 | 5.4 |
| Western Province | 10.5 | 6 | 5.3 |
| Northern Province | 10 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
| Eastern Province | 10.6 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ |

Table 4.3-44 : Percentage distribution of Establishments by whether they keep regular business records

| PROVINCE | Do you keep written records/business records? |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Kigali City | 5.2 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 6.6 | 93.4 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 5.6 | 94.4 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 4.1 | 95.9 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 5.4 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Total | 5.3 | 94.7 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-45: Percentage distribution of Establishments which don't keep regular business records by the reason

| REASON | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| Records not necessary | 22.0 | 21.6 | 12.7 | 21.0 | 23.1 | 20.4 |
| Lack of skills | 29.1 | 28.6 | 48.7 | 49.4 | 41.5 | 39.1 |
| Lack of finances | 41.8 | 43.5 | 32.1 | 25.8 | 33.5 | 35.4 |
| Other (specify) | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| Not stated | 4.1 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 2.6 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 415 | 252 | 257 | 344 | 309 | 1576 |

## Section D. Employees' Characteristics and Vacant Posts

Table 4.3-46: Percentage distribution of establishments by number of employees

| NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern | Total |  |
|  | 2.6 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 4.8 |  |
| 3 | 48.3 | 52.5 | 48.5 | 51.8 | 58.8 | 51.8 |  |
| 4 | 25.1 | 14.9 | 23.0 | 20.7 | 20.4 | 21.2 |  |
| 5 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 9.4 |  |
| 6 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 5.0 |  |
| $7+$ | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 |  |
|  | 5.9 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 5.4 |  |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | 438 | 269 | 271 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |  |

Table 4.3-47: Average number of working persons per establishment by working status and gender

| WORKING STATUS \& GENDER | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |
| Working owners-Male | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Working owners-Female | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Working owners-Total | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |
| Contributing family workers-Male | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Contributing family workers-Female | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Contributing family workers-Total | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| Paid employees-Male | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Paid employees-Female | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Paid employees-Total | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |
| Unpaid employees out of the family-male | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unpaid employees out of the family-female | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unpaid employees out of the family-Total | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| Total male | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| Total female | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ |

Table 4.3-48: Estimation of total number of working people in 2008, 2009 and 2010

| PROVINCE | YEARS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | $\%$ | 2010 |
|  | TOTAL NUMBER | $\%$ | TOTAL NUMBER | $\%$ | TOTAL NUMBER | $\%$ |
| Kigali City | 5565 | 25 | 6956 | 21 | 8656 | 20,6 |
| Southern | 3201 | 14 | 6917 | 21 | 9273 | 22,1 |
| Western | 3706 | 16 | 5680 | 17 | 7100 | 16,9 |
| Northern | 6498 | 29 | 8299 | 26 | 10179 | 24,3 |
| Eastern | 3591 | 16 | 4621 | 14 | 6721 | 16,0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 9 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Table 4.3-49: Estimation of working person's turnover in 2008, 2009 and 2010

| PROVINCE | YEARS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | $\%$ | TOTAL NUMBER | $\%$ | TOTAL NUMBER | $\%$ |
|  | TOTAL NUMBER | 2009 | 30.0 | 1588 | 27.5 |  |
| Kigali City | 1020 | 1169 | 11.3 | 815 | 14.1 |  |
| Southern | 310 | 8.9 | 442 | 19.5 | 1032 | 17.8 |
| Western | 650 | 18.6 | 758 | 20.4 | 1182 | 20.4 |
| Northern | 837 | 24.0 | 793 | 18.8 | 1166 | 20.2 |
| Eastern | 674 | 19.3 | 734 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 9 6}$ |  |  |  |

Table 4.3-50: Percentage distribution of posts by minimum education requirement

| POSTS BY OCCUPATION | Minimum education requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\tilde{D}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{O} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{E} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers |  | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 27.2 | 69.4 | 0.5 | 100 | 5038 |
| Professionals | 1.1 |  | 4.5 | 4.6 | 50.8 | 37.9 | 1.2 | 100 | 968 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  | 2.3 |  | 37.0 | 60.7 |  | 100 | 572 |
| Clerical support workers |  |  |  |  | 59.2 | 40.8 |  | 100 | 602 |
| Service sales workers |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 14.3 | 84.6 | 0.2 | 100 | 28279 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  | 0.3 |  | 11.0 | 88.2 | 0.4 | 100 | 3092 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 95.0 |  | 100 | 917 |
| Elementary occupations |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.7 | 98.9 |  | 100 | 3084 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 11.2 | 45.6 | 43.2 | 100 | 293 |
| Total | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 16.1 | 82.1 | 0.5 | 100 | 42856 |

Table 4.3-51: Percentage distribution of posts by the field of education required

| POSTS BY OCCUPATION | FIELD OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{C}{7} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{u}{U}$ $\underset{\sim}{U}$ $\sim$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 42.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 20.0 | 2.4 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 8.3 | 14.9 | 100 | 5038 |
| Professionals | 25.0 |  | 2.5 | 45.2 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 100 | 968 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 32.9 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 10.5 | $\begin{gathered} 13 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 13.4 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 100 | 572 |
| Clerical support workers | 33.4 |  |  | 46.5 | $\begin{gathered} 11 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.8 |  |  | 1.7 | 5.6 | 100 | 602 |
| Service sales workers | 57.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 10.9 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 20.1 | 100 | 28279 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 31.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 40.8 | 0.3 |  | 6.4 | 16.0 | 100 | 3092 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 55.4 |  |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 12.0 |  |  | 5.2 | 25.0 | 100 | 917 |
| Elementary occupations | 56.6 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.7 | 0.4 |  |  | 8.2 | 33.6 | 100 | 3084 |
| Not stated | 15.8 |  |  |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |  | 80.6 | 100 | 293 |
| Total | 51.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 20.0 | 100 | 42856 |

Table 4．3－52：Estimation of the total number of filled posts by occupation，nationality and gender and percentage distribution of filled post by occupation according to gender and nationality

| FILLED POSTS BY OCCUPATION | NATIONALITY AND GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{厅}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{U} \\ & \frac{U}{U} \\ & \frac{U}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | か〇 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ภ〇 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | か〇 | $\stackrel{\bar{\sim}}{\square}$ | ภ〇 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | か〇 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ภ〇 |
| Managers | 4215 | 9.6 | 1116 | 6.5 | 5331 | 8.7 | 45 | 13.7 | 42 | 39.2 | 88 | 20.0 |
| Professionals | 677 | 1.5 | 491 | 2.9 | 1168 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 679 | 1.5 | 142 | 0.8 | 820 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Clerical support workers | 459 | 1.0 | 246 | 1.4 | 705 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 10.2 | 11 | 2.5 |
| Service sales workers | 25097 | 56.9 | 11725 | 68.4 | 36822 | 60.1 | 207 | 62.6 | 55 | 50.6 | 262 | 59.7 |
| Skilled agricultural， forestry and fishery workers | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 6899 | 15.6 | 2234 | 13.0 | 9132 | 14.9 | 79 | 23.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 79 | 17.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1696 | 3.8 | 99 | 0.6 | 1795 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Elementary occupations | 4009 | 9.1 | 1010 | 5.9 | 5019 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not stated | 406 | 0.9 | 68 | 0.4 | 473 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 44136 | 100 | 17141 | 100 | 61277 | 100 | 331 | 100 | 108 | 100 | 440 | 100 |

Table 4.3-53: Estimation of total unqualified staff by gender and percentage distribution of unqualified staff by gender according to occupation

| POSTS HAVING UNQUALIFIED STAFF | Male |  | Female |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  |
| Managers | 1110 | 80.0 | 273 | 20.0 | 1383 | 100 |
| Professionals | 112 | 48.0 | 121 | 52.0 | 233 | 100 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 132 | 81.2 | 23 | 18.8 | 155 | 100 |
| Clerical support workers | 221 | 77.5 | 55 | 22.5 | 276 | 100 |
| Service sales workers | 7545 | 68.4 | 2186 | 31.6 | 9731 | 100 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1367 | 80.6 | 262 | 19.4 | 1630 | 100 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 211 | 90.7 | 21 | 9.3 | 232 | 100 |
| Elementary occupations | 511 | 79.3 | 127 | 20.7 | 638 | 100 |
| Not stated | 66 | 66.7 | 11 | 33.3 | 77 | 100 |
| Group Total | 11275 | 71.9 | 3080 | 28.1 | 14355 | 100 |

Table 4.3-54 Mean of average monthly remuneration by occupation

| OCCUPATION | Average <br> wage/salary | Average <br> allowances | Total gross <br> remuneration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Managers | 48353 | 18503 | 49830 |
| Professionals | 43173 | 26337 | 45306 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 45830 | 15070 | 47451 |
| Clerical support workers | 33839 | 19018 | 39081 |
| Service sales workers | 23312 | 15216 | 24310 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 35800 | 19096 | 37555 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 33962 | 7129 | 34623 |
| Elementary occupations | 15959 | 17711 | 17016 |
| Not stated | 28098 | 15114 | 28257 |
| Total | 27239 | 16851 | 28543 |

Table 4．3－55 Distribution of establishments by whether they have plan to increase the number of employee in the future or not according to province

| PROVINCE | Does your establishment have a plan to <br> increase or decrease the number of <br> employees？ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \％ | Count |
|  | 16.9 | 83.1 |  | 100.0 | 438 |
|  | 26.6 | 73.4 |  | 100.0 | 270 |
| Northern Province | 21.2 | 78.8 |  | 100.0 | 272 |
| Eastern Province | 19.5 | 80.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Total | 20.8 | 79.2 |  | 100.0 | 326 |

Table 4．3－56：Estimate of total number of vacant posts by occupation and minimum education required，and percent distribution of vacant posts by minimum education required according to occupation

| VACANT POSTS BY OCCUPATION | Minimum Education Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \tilde{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{T}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ภ〇 | T00 $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{0}$ | ภㅇ |  | ภ〇 | T® $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | ১ | T0 $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | ภ〇 | T® $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ภ〇 |  |  |
| Managers | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 130 | 43.4 | 170 | 56.6 | ． | ． | 300 | 100 |
| Professionals | 12 | 2.2 | 22 | 4.0 | ． | ． | 441 | 81.8 | 65 | 12.0 | ． | ． | 540 | 100 |
| Technical and associate professionals | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 37 | 31.3 | 81 | 68.7 | ． | ． | 118 | 100 |
| Clerical support workers | ． | ． |  | ． | ． | ． | 147 | 73.0 | 54 | 27.0 | ． | ． | 201 | 100 |
| Services and sales workers | ． | ． |  | ． | 22 | 0.5 | 680 | 14.1 | 4118 | 85.4 | ． | ． | 4820 | 100 |
| Craft and related trade workers | ． | ． |  | ． | ． | ． | 284 | 10.7 | 2171 | 81.5 | 210 | 7.9 | 2665 | 100 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | ． | ． | 22 | 5.3 | ． | ． | 11 | 2.5 | 388 | 92.2 | ． | ． | 421 | 100 |
| Elementary occupations | ． | ． | ． | ． |  | ． | 11 | 1.0 | 1136 | 99.0 | ． | ． | 1147 | 100 |
| Not stated | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 12 | 9.7 | 110 | 90.3 | ． | ． | 122 | 100 |
|  | 12 | 0.1 | 44 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.2 | 1753 | 17.0 | 8293 | 80.3 | 210 | 2.0 | 10333 | 100 |

Table 4.3-57 Estimate of total number of vacant posts by field of education required, and percent distribution of vacant posts by field of education requirements according to province

| FIELD OF EDUCATION REQUIRED |  | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\bar{N}} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \overline{\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$ |  | Western Province |  |  |  |
| General Programs | Total | 1180 | 1150 | 406 | 1139 | 772 | 4647 |
|  | \% | 38.2 | 52.4 | 30.1 | 50.6 | 46.9 | 43.3 |
| Education | Total | 13 | 127 | . | 129 | 136 | 405 |
|  | \% | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Humanities and Arts | Total | 26 | 105 | 22 | 86 | 21 | 260 |
|  | \% | 0.9 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Social Science, Business and Law | Total | 377 | 166 | 112 | 130 | 171 | 956 |
|  | \% | 22.8 | 14.0 | 9.5 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 14.6 |
| Sciences | Total | 57 | 45 | 65 | . | 22 | 189 |
|  | \% | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 |  | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 252 | 132 | 411 | 163 | 203 | 1161 |
|  | \% | 8.1 | 8.3 | 20.9 | 9.3 | 6.2 | 10.4 |
| Agriculture | Total |  | 11 | . | . | 11 | 22 |
|  | \% |  | 0.9 |  |  | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Health and Welfare | Total |  |  | . | . | 21 | 21 |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| Services | Total | 593 | 184 | 253 | 193 | 150 | 1374 |
|  | \% | 19.3 | 7.3 | 15.0 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 12.3 |
| Not known or Not stated | Total | 182 | 191 | 352 | 269 | 303 | 1298 |
|  | \% | 5.8 | 9.5 | 20.7 | 12.3 | 17.0 | 12.8 |
| Sum | Total | 2681 | 2111 | 1620 | 2110 | 1811 | 10333 |
| Col \% | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4．3－58：Estimate of total number of vacant posts by occupation and cause of vacancies，and percent distribution of vacant posts by causes according to occupation

| VACANT POSTS BY OCCUPATION | Causes of vacant jobs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow[\square]{\square}$ | か〇 | $\xrightarrow[\square]{\square}$ | か〇 |  | か〇 |  | か〇 | － | ภ〇 | T® $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Managers | 276 | 92.1 | ． | ． | ． | ． | 24 | 7.9 | ． |  | 0 | 0.0 | 300 | 100 |
| Professionals | 528 | 97.8 | ． | ． | ． | ． | 12 | 2.2 | ． | ． | 0 | 0.0 | 540 | 100 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 118 | 100.0 |  | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 118 | 100 |
| Clerical support workers | 190 | 94.4 | 11 | 5.6 | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 201 | 100 |
| Services and sales workers | 4400 | 91.3 | 120 | 2.5 | 34 | 0.7 | 90 | 1.9 | 175 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 4820 | 100 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 2496 | 93.7 | ． | ． | 86 | 3.2 | 48 | 1.8 | 35 | 1.3 | ． | ． | 2665 | 100 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 421 | 100.0 |  |  | － | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 0 | 0.0 | 421 | 100 |
| Elementary occupations | 1058 | 92.2 | ． | ． | 45 | 3.9 | 12 | 1.0 | 22 | 1.9 | 11 | 1.0 | 1148 | 100 |
| Not stated | 122 | 100.0 | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | ． | 122 | 100 |
| Total | 9609 | 93.0 | 131 | 1.3 | 164 | 1.6 | 185 | 1.8 | 233 | 2.3 | 0 | 11.0 | 10333 | 100 |

Table 4．3－59：Percentage distribution of establishments by the major reason that influences the number of person working in it according to province．

| MAJOR REASON INFLUENCING THE NUMBER OF PERSON ENGAGED IN ESTABLISHMENTS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Increased or decreased demand | 82.3 | 65.6 | 75.9 | 88.5 | 78.7 | 79.2 |
| Apprenticeships | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Location（move to other site，space） | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 |  | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Access to external financial support | 4.5 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Introduction of new products／services | 7.4 | 13.1 | 10.3 | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.9 |
| Introduction of new technology | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |
| Other1（specify） | 2.2 | 9.0 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.6 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.3 |
| roup Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-60: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether their staff has some lacking skills or not, according to province

| PROVINCE | Lacking skills or not |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 74.0 | 25.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 79.1 | 20.9 |  | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 85.3 | 13.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 81.7 | 18.3 |  | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 75.0 | 24.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 326 |
| TOTAL | 78.5 | 21.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-61: Percentage distribution of establishments by reported most lacking skills according to province

|  | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
|  | 10.4 | 10.3 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 10.4 |
| Technical skills | 25.4 | 28.9 | 28.3 | 27.1 | 35.9 | 28.8 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 14.2 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 17.9 | 16.0 |
| Language skills | 29.5 | 21.2 | 20.9 | 18.2 | 16.3 | 21.6 |
| Customer care | 8.8 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 9.6 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 5.0 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| IT skills | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Didactics / teaching skills |  | 0.4 |  | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Communication skills | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Other (specify) | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 324 | 213 | 232 | 293 | 245 | 1307 |

Table 4.3-62: Percentage distribution of establishment by reported second lacking skills according to province

| SECOND LACKING SKILLS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Managerial skills | 2.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 3 |
| Technical skills | 8.5 | 5.7 | 11.3 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 11 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 15.7 | 17.0 | 14.3 | 17.2 | 14.4 | 16 |
| Language skills | 27.0 | 25.2 | 25.3 | 19.7 | 28.6 | 25 |
| Customer care | 12.2 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 16.8 | 12 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 16.0 | 19.1 | 21.7 | 17.9 | 13.6 | 17 |
| IT skills | 12.7 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 11.5 | 4.7 | 10 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1 |
| Communication skills | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 3 |
| Other | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 2 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 252 | 158 | 199 | 221 | 207 | 1037 |

Table 4.3-63: Percentage distribution of establishments by reported third lacking skills according to province

| THIRD LACKING SKILLS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managerial skills | 2.0 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Technical skills | 6.8 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 11.6 | 7.5 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 11.5 |
| Language skills | 17.5 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 9.7 | 11.7 | 14.2 |
| Customer care | 7.5 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 8.2 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 21.2 | 22.3 | 18.7 | 23.8 | 26.0 | 22.5 |
| IT skills | 19.9 | 26.1 | 22.5 | 11.3 | 16.8 | 18.7 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 3.9 | 3.7 |  | 1.5 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Communication skills | 9.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 6.2 |
| Other (specify) |  | 1.3 | 6.4 | 20.2 | 3.9 | 7.1 |
| Total |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |
|  | 110 | 79 | 122 | 130 | 123 | 564 |

Table 4.3-64: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they face some challenges that limit their staff training, according to province

| PROVINCE | Have challenge or not |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 68.6 | 30.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 67.8 | 32.2 |  | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 79.8 | 19.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 77.6 | 21.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 72.3 | 27.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Group Total | 73.0 | 26.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-65: Percent distribution of establishments by reported first faced challenge that limit the training of staff according to province

| MOST IMPORTANT CHALLENGES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Grou$p$Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 14.3 | 13.4 | 19.9 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 14.3 |
| Inadequate materials | 8.6 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 6.9 | 15.3 | 9.8 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 9.2 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 17.3 | 17.7 | 13.0 |
| Time off for the trainees | 12.0 | 5.9 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 7.7 |
| Lack of fund | 52.4 | 57.1 | 46.6 | 51.4 | 46.6 | 50.7 |
| Other (specify) | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 4.5 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 300 | 183 | 217 | 278 | 236 | 1214 |

Table 4.3-66: Percentage distribution of establishments by reported second faced challenge that limit the training of staff according to province

| SECOND IMPORTANT <br> CHALLENGES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Group <br> Total |  |
|  | 13.9 | 18.0 | 11.6 | 16.5 | 5.9 | 13.0 |
| Inadequate materials | 31.8 | 24.0 | 29.4 | 27.4 | 30.2 | 28.9 |
| Shortage of skilled | 13.7 | 25.0 | 20.3 | 23.6 | 21.7 | 20.6 |
| trainers | 8.2 | 6.3 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 8.9 |
| Time off for the trainees | 30.5 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 21.4 | 33.2 | 26.8 |
| Lack of fund | 2.0 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| Other (specify) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Col \% | 110 | 161 | 176 | 161 | 779 |  |

Table 4.3-67: Percentage distribution of establishments by reported third faced challenge that limit the training of staff according to province

| THIRD IMPORTANT CHALLENGES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Grou <br> p <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Inadequate facilities (space) | 12.5 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 11.8 | 14.8 |
| Inadequate materials | 26.7 | 26.9 | 19.5 | 26.3 | 13.1 | 22.3 |
| Shortage of skilled trainers | 15.5 | 23.1 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 24.9 | 20.2 |
| Time off for the trainees | 4.3 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 3.4 | 11.6 | 7.1 |
| Lack of fund | 39.4 | 23.9 | 33.3 | 32.8 | 37.3 | 33.7 |
| Other (specify) | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 76 | 60 | 91 | 85 | 73 | 386 |

Table 4.3-68: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have hired TVET graduates or not, according to province

| PROVINCE | Have you hired TVET graduate? |  |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No |  | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City |  | 25.3 | 73.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 17.2 | 82.8 |  | 100.0 | 270 |  |
| Western Province | 24.2 | 75.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 272 |  |
| Northern Province | 11.1 | 88.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 358 |  |
| Eastern Province | 19.9 | 80.1 |  | 100.0 | 326 |  |
| Group Total | 19.7 | 79.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1664 |  |

Table 4.3-69: percentage distribution of establishments which have ever hired TVET graduates by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning TVET graduates according to province

| PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY | PERFORMANCE SATISFACTION CONCERNING TVET GRADUATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fully Satisfied | Partially satisfied | Little satisfied | Not satisfied | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 43.1 | 40.2 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 100 | 115 |
| Southern | 50.2 | 39.6 | 10.2 |  |  | 100 | 46 |
| Western | 41.1 | 36.9 | 17.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 100 | 67 |
| Northern | 52.7 | 40.1 | 4.8 |  | 2.4 | 100 | 41 |
| Eastern | 34.1 | 50.7 | 15.2 |  |  | 100 | 65 |
| Total | 43.1 | 41.5 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 100 | 334 |

Table 4.3-70: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have hired university graduates or not, according to province

| PROVINCE | Have you hired University graduates? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No |  | Not stated | Row \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 5.5 | 93.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 1.9 | 98.1 |  | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 4.3 | 94.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 2.4 | 97.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 3.6 | 96.4 |  | 100.0 | 326 |
| Group Total | 3.7 | 95.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-71: Percentage distribution of establishments which have ever hired university graduates by the perceived performance satisfaction concerning higher institutions graduates

| PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY | PERFORMANCE SATISFACTION CONCERNING HIGHER INSTITUTIONS GRADUATES |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fully Satisfied | Partially satisfied | Little satisfied | Not satisfied | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 45.4 | 25.2 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 19.0 | 100 | 30 |
| Southern | 60.0 | 40.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 5 |
| Western | 20.2 | 39.8 | 13.2 | 6.5 | 20.3 | 100 | 15 |
| Northern | 80.4 | 9.6 |  |  | 10.0 | 100 | 10 |
| Eastern | 25.0 | 58.3 | 16.7 |  |  | 100 | 12 |
| Total | 42.6 | 32.6 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 13.6 | 100 | 71 |

Table 4.3-72: Percentage distribution of establishments by the most important suggestion to improve education and training in TVET

| THE MOST IMPORTANT SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN TVET | In your view, what has to be improved in the training and education in TVET |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| Managerial skills | 9.7 | 11.0 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 7.7 |
| Technical skills | 41.9 | 33.6 | 37.0 | 43.8 | 42.3 | 40.2 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 17.6 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 16.9 | 14.9 | 16.5 |
| Language skills | 6.8 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.7 |
| Customer care | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 2.7 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| IT skills | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 3.2 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.6 |
| Communication skills |  | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Other | 13.0 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 17.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-73: Percentage distribution of establishments by the second important suggestion to improve education and training in TVET

| THE SECOND IMPORTANT <br> SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern | Total |
|  | 4.0 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| Technical skills | 8.5 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 10.0 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 28.8 | 27.6 | 26.1 | 27.3 | 23.7 | 26.9 |
| Language skills | 19.6 | 16.4 | 14.9 | 11.3 | 18.2 | 16.4 |
| Customer care | 9.7 | 11.4 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 8.4 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 13.8 | 12.2 | 23.1 | 16.8 | 24.5 | 17.7 |
| IT skills | 10.9 | 13.7 | 8.2 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 11.2 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Communication skills | 2.9 | 0.6 | 2.9 |  | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Other |  | 1.2 | 2.9 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 289 | 166 | 167 | 213 | 191 | 1025 |

Table 4.3-74: Percentage distribution of establishments according by the third important suggestion to improve education and training in TVET

| THE THIRD IMPORTANT | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY <br> SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE <br> EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TVET |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3-75: Percentage distribution of by the most important suggestion to improve education in higher institutions

| THE MOST IMPORTANT SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE EDUCATION IN HIGHER INSTITUTIONS | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| Managerial skills | 11.6 | 10.0 | 15.6 | 12.6 | 10.7 | 12.0 |
| Technical skills | 15.5 | 15.7 | 9.1 | 13.5 | 23.4 | 15.6 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 20.5 | 16.8 | 19.0 | 15.5 | 13.7 | 17.2 |
| Language skills | 5.4 | 5.5 | 9.8 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
| Customer care | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 12.4 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 8.8 |
| IT skills | 7.3 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 6.4 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 2.6 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Communication skills | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Other | 15.6 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 21.1 |
| Not stated | 4.3 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 43874 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-76 : Percentage distribution of establishments by the second important suggestion to improve education in higher institutions

| THE SECOND IMPORTANT SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE EDUCATION IN HIGHER INSTITUTIONS | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Sothern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| Managerial skills | 3.6 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 5.8 |
| Technical skills | 6.1 | 4.6 | 11.7 | 5.9 | 12.5 | 7.9 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 18.8 | 20.2 | 16.6 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 17.6 |
| Language skills | 16.7 | 16.0 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 18.4 | 15.7 |
| Customer care | 14.4 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 10.6 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 13.4 | 20.2 | 24.1 | 10.7 | 16.6 | 16.3 |
| IT skills | 15.5 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 17.0 | 13.7 | 14.2 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 7.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 6.1 |
| Communication skills | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.3 |
| Other | 0.4 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 3.6 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 263 | 149 | 143 | 182 | 177 | 915 |

Table 4.3-77: Percentage distribution of establishments by the third important suggestion to improve education in higher institutions

| THE THIRD IMPORTANT SUGGESTION TO IMPROVE EDUCATION IN HIGHER INSTITUTIONS | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| Managerial skills | 1.6 | 8.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| Technical skills | 9.8 | 3.9 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 7.3 |
| Entrepreneurial skills | 10.7 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 9.8 |
| Language skills | 13.2 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 12.4 | 17.4 | 12.7 |
| Customer care | 13.1 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 8.0 |
| Innovativeness / creativity | 15.4 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 13.3 | 18.9 | 17.6 |
| IT skills | 20.2 | 25.2 | 25.5 | 14.4 | 18.7 | 20.3 |
| Didactics / teaching skills | 6.8 | 14.9 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 8.9 | 8.6 |
| Communication skills | 7.6 | 7.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 7.7 | 6.1 |
| Other | 1.5 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 18.5 |  | 5.8 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 140 | 75 | 77 | 101 | 87 | 480 |

Table 4.3-78: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have an industrial attachment/apprenticeship program

| PROVINCE | Yes <br> (institutionalized) | Yes <br> (occasionally/informal) | No | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kigali City | 2.4 | 8.3 | 89.3 | 100 |
| Southern | 2.2 | 8.0 | 89.8 | 100 |
| Western | 2.6 | 4.4 | 93.1 | 100 |
| Northern | 4.0 | 7.4 | 88.6 | 100 |
| Eastern | 1.2 | 10.6 | 882 |  |
| Total | 2.5 | 7.9 | 898 |  |

Table 4.3-79: Estimate of total number of annually interns by gender, according to province.

| PROVINCE | TOTAL NUMBER OF ANNUALLY INTERNS BY GENDER |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| Kigali City | 675 | 592 | 1267 |
| Southern | 1112 | 775 | 1887 |
| Western | 610 | 453 | 1063 |
| Northern | 996 | 1044 | 2040 |
| Eastern | 937 | 750 | 1688 |
| Total | 4330 | 3614 | 7944 |

Table 4.3-80: Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2009

| PROVINCE | TOTAL NUMBER OF HIRED BY GENDER IN 2009 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |
| Kigali City | 93 | 34 |
| Southern | 87 | 0 |
| Western | 141 | 34 |
| Northern | 172 | 43 |
| Eastern | 138 | 43 |
| Total | 632 | 154 |

Table 4.3-81 Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2010.

| PROVINCE | TOTAL NUMBER OF HIRED BY GENDER IN <br> 2010 |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| Kigali City | 146 | 45 | 191 |
| Southern | 74 | 22 | 97 |
| Western | 131 | 44 | 175 |
| Northern | 153 | 54 | 206 |
| Eastern | 138 | 43 | 182 |
| Total | 642 | 210 | 851 |

Table 4.3-82 Estimate of total number of hired interns by gender in 2011

| PROVINCE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL NUMBER OF HIRED BY GENDER IN 2011 |  |

Table 4.3-83: Percentage distribution of establishments by the length of internership, according to province

| LENGTH OF <br> INTERNERSHIP | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |  |
| Less than a month | 2.2 | 10.9 |  | 4.8 | 7.5 | 5.1 |
| $1-$ | 13.6 | 17.2 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 7.7 | 12.7 |
| $2-$ | 13.6 | 17.2 | 26.5 | 14.3 | 10.0 | 14.9 |
| $3-$ | 15.5 | 18.2 | 31.6 | 9.6 | 25.0 | 18.4 |
| $4-$ | 9.1 | 11.0 |  |  | 12.5 | 7.0 |
| $6+$ | 22.3 | 10.9 | 31.4 | 50.1 | 32.5 | 30.3 |
| Not stated | 23.7 | 14.6 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 11.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 47 | 27 | 19 | 41 | 38 | 172 |

Table 4.3-84: Percentage distribution of establishments that don't host interns by the most reason they don't do it, according to province

| THE MOST REASON THEY <br> DON'T HOST INTERNS | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| No need | 60.5 | 65.9 | 48.1 | 60.1 | 57.2 | 58.6 |
| No capacities | 16.1 | 10.7 | 24.5 | 13.1 | 21.2 | 17.0 |
| Too cumbersome | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 4.2 |
| No appropriate candidates | 16.1 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 20.4 | 12.9 | 17.0 |
| Candidates want to be paid | 0.6 |  | 0.4 | 0.9 |  | 0.4 |
| Too small | 2.2 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |  | 0.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 391 | 242 | 253 | 318 | 288 | 1492 |

Table 4.3-85: Percentage distribution of establishments that don't host interns by the second reason they don't do it, according to province.

| THE SECOND REASON THEY | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern | Total |
| No need | 11.9 | 17.8 | 9.4 | 16.8 | 7.2 | 12.3 |
| No capacities | 26.7 | 32.2 | 38.7 | 25.5 | 31.9 | 30.9 |
| Too cumbersome | 26.2 | 17.5 | 18.8 | 28.2 | 30.5 | 24.6 |
| No appropriate candidates | 30.1 | 30.4 | 16.0 | 9.9 | 24.8 | 21.6 |
| Candidates want to be paid | 1.5 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 |  | 1.5 |
| Too small | 3.6 | 1.1 | 13.2 | 18.9 | 5.7 | 9.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 144 | 97 | 148 | 144 | 136 | 669 |

Table 4.3-86 Percentage distribution of establishments that don't host interns by the third reason they don't do it, according to province

| THE THIRD REASON THEY | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| No need | 19.2 |  | 7.6 |  | 14.5 | 6.9 |
| No capacities | 17.8 | 13.3 | 4.7 | 15.8 | 14.1 | 12.4 |
| Too cumbersome | 14.9 | 29.5 | 23.3 | 12.0 | 21.3 | 19.2 |
| No appropriate candidates | 41.1 | 47.2 | 26.2 | 17.2 | 40.6 | 30.3 |
| Candidates want to be paid | 7.1 | 6.6 | 9.4 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 6.7 |
| Too small |  | 3.4 | 28.9 | 48.4 | 7.0 | 24.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 29 | 30 | 64 | 73 | 40 | 236 |

## Section E. Capital/Revenues

Table 4.3-87: Percentage distribution of establishments by major source of start-up capital

| MAJOR SOURCE OF START-UP CAPITAL FOR A BUSINESS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Own saving | 68.7 | 56.5 | 69.1 | 67.1 | 65.8 | 65.9 |
| Loans from friends/relatives | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| Contributions from others | 0.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Loans from commercial banks | 12.7 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 11.6 |
| Informal money lenders | 0.2 |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.2 |
| Government lending agencies | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Micro finance institutions | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 2.4 |
| Public share issuing | 2.9 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 4.9 |
| Inheritance | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Other (specify) | 2.7 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Don't know | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |
| Group Total |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-88: Percentage distribution of establishments which started with owners' savings - by source of saved capital

| OWNER'S SAVING BY THE MAJOR <br> OWRCE OF SAVED CAPITAL | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
|  | 9.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 8.0 |
| Previous employment in private | 48.5 | 22.4 | 30.7 | 18.2 | 19.3 | 29.4 |
| sector | 29.9 | 60.0 | 49.3 | 65.2 | 65.4 | 52.1 |
| Sale of farm products | 9.9 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 |
| Sale of assets (cattle, property) | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 |
| Other (specify) | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |  |  | 0.3 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 301 | 152 | 188 | 241 | 215 | 1096 |

Table 4.3-89 Percentage distribution of establishments by whether the owners have applied for a loan or not

| PROVINCE | Have you ever applied for a loan from a bank or another financial institution? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 47.7 | 51.5 | 0.7 | 100 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 50.1 | 49.9 |  | 100 | 270 |
| Western Province | 43.0 | 57.0 |  | 100 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 44.7 | 55.3 |  | 100 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 52.4 | 47.6 |  | 100 | 326 |
| Total | 47.6 | 52.2 | 0.2 | 100 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-90 Percentage of establishments which have never applied for a loan by the reason

| REASON FOR NOT <br> APPLYING FOR A LOAN | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| No need | 45.2 | 34.3 | 40.4 | 47.8 | 51.0 | 44.2 |
| No guarantee | 43.5 | 39.2 | 54.6 | 34.2 | 36.6 | 41.5 |
| Long procedures | 31.8 | 46.9 | 47.9 | 31.7 | 40.3 | 38.5 |
| High interest rate | 32.0 | 29.0 | 31.3 | 26.4 | 33.5 | 30.4 |
| Lack of information | 10.6 | 11.7 | 22.2 | 11.6 | 26.1 | 15.9 |
| Fear of risks | 31.8 | 33.7 | 42.1 | 40.1 | 42.8 | 37.8 |
| Other(specify) | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 2.2 |
| Total | 226 | 135 | 155 | 198 | 155 | 869 |

Table 4.3-91: Percentage distribution of establishments whose owners applied for a loan by whether they received it or not

| PROVINCE | Have you received a loan from a bank or |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Kigali City | 89.0 | 11.0 | 100 | 212 |
| Southern Province | 85.3 | 14.7 | 100 | 135 |
| Western Province | 86.4 | 13.6 | 100 | 117 |
| Northern Province | 89.1 | 10.9 | 100 | 160 |
| Eastern Province | 86.9 | 13.1 | 100 | 171 |
| Total | 87.5 | 12.5 | 100 | 795 |

Table 4.3-92 Percentage distribution of establishments whose owners did not receive the loan they had applied for, by reason

| REASON FOR NOT RECEIVING THE LOAN APPLIED FOR | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Poor/No business plan | 8.6 | 9.9 | 6.2 | 16.3 | 21.8 | 12.8 |
| No guarantee/Insufficient guarantee | 13.9 | 24.9 | 31.2 | 22.4 | 8.9 | 19.2 |
| Lack of start-up fund | 8.9 | 5.1 | 6.1 |  | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| No feedback from the bank | 50.8 | 29.6 | 43.9 | 44.7 | 51.9 | 44.6 |
| Other | 17.7 | 15.4 | 12.6 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 15.2 |
| Not stated |  | 15.2 |  |  |  | 3.0 |
| Group Total | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ | $100$ |
| Group Total | $23$ | 20 | 16 | 17 | $22$ | 99 |

Table 4.3-93: Percentage distribution of establishments by annual gross income in 2010

| ANNUALLY GROSS INCOME <br> IN 2010 | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Less than 100000 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 8.1 |
| $100000-$ | 27.6 | 43.7 | 43.9 | 45.0 | 44.4 | 39.9 |
| $600000-$ | 17.6 | 5.6 | 14.3 | 15.4 | 12.7 | 13.7 |
| $1100000-$ | 6.4 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 4.2 |
| $1600000-$ | 4.6 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| $2100000-$ | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| $2600000-$ | 0.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| $3100000-$ | 0.2 |  |  | 0.8 |  | 0.2 |
| $3600000-$ | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| $4100000-$ | 0.2 |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.2 |
| $4600000+$ | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 2.4 |
| Not stated | 30.6 | 33.4 | 21.1 | 18.4 | 26.6 | 26.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-94 Average annual gross income (in 000) in 2010 by economic activity

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | ANNUAL GROSS INCOME (in 000) BY PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing |  | 1805 | 8232 | 50 |  | 5327 |
| Mining and quarrying |  |  | 1356 |  |  | 1356 |
| Manufacturing | 1166 | 1221 | 1141 | 983 | 394 | 1018 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities |  | 40 |  |  |  | 40 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and Moto | 1547 | 671 | 630 | 883 | 591 | 984 |
| Transportation and storage | 659 | 131 | 514 | 140 |  | 396 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 1742 | 758 | 483 | 629 | 547 | 754 |
| Information and communication | 3087 |  | 1200 |  |  | 2722 |
| Financial and insurance activities |  | 360 |  |  |  | 360 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 918 | 295 | 529 | 635 | 281 | 553 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 885 | 2500 | 762 | 649 | 1047 | 987 |
| Education |  | 500 | 500 | 200 | 500 | 430 |
| Human health and social work activities |  |  | 60 |  | 3000 | 1526 |
| Other services activities | 652 | 332 | 358 | 534 | 426 | 486 |
| Activities of households as employers; undifferentiated good |  |  |  |  | 366 | 366 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated | 269 | 1036 | 990 | 224 | 30 | 495 |
| Total | 1389 | 749 | 748 | 750 | 532 | 865 |

## Section F. Expenditure - Sourcing of required staff / Hiring of Non-Nationals

Table 4.3-95 : Estimation of total number of employees, total and mean expenditure in September 2011, by type of expenditure

| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Number of Permanent <br> employees in <br> thousands | Total <br> Expenditure in <br> millions | Mean expenditure <br> per establishment <br> in thousands |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Wage and salaries including overtime pay | 42.4 | 1067.0 | 61.1 |
| Bonus | 3.5 | 14.2 | 0.8 |
| Allowances | 4.2 | 29.9 | 1.7 |
| Social security contribution | 2.7 | 6.0 | 0.3 |
| Training | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Other expenditures | 4.2 | 30.0 | 1.7 |
| Total | - | 1147.3 |  |

Table 4.3-96: Mean expenditure on labour for permanent employees in September 2011, by type of expenditure

|  | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYPE OF EXPENDITURE | Kigali <br> City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Wages and salaries | 82136 | 72906 | 52860 | 53552 | 38573 | 61076 |
| Amount paid of bonus | 330 | 2047 | 964 | 90 | 1133 | 819 |
| Amount paid of allowances <br> Amount paid of social security <br> contribution | 2778 | 647 | 2033 | 1780 | 980 | 1727 |
| Amount paid for training contribution | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 349 |
| Amount paid for other | 279 | 297 | 371 | 2 | 84 | 9 |
| Total Expenditure on Permanents <br> employees | 109772 | 104682 | 67969 | 64236 | 54518 | 80834 |

Table 4.3-97: Mean expenditure on labour for permanent employees in September 2011, by type economic activity

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | EXPENDITURES ON LABOUR FOR PERMENENT EMPLOYEES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 41314 | 584 | 23464 | 0 | 0 | 4169 | 78384 |
| Mining and quarrying | 1175000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1175000 |
| Manufacturing | 109141 | 2242 | 2251 | 479 | 0 | 1521 | 120966 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 50000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50000 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activities | 93213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93213 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and Moto | 38880 | 267 | 1101 | 65 | 14 | 2267 | 61453 |
| Transportation and storage | 58798 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73232 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 39758 | 358 | 1906 | 898 | 12 | 1016 | 51789 |
| Information and communication | 65267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74961 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 35000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6000 | 41000 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 88599 | 3740 | 1790 | 0 | 0 | 3913 | 109315 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 509941 | $\begin{gathered} 1141 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 15799 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 537689 |
| Education | 219664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219664 |
| Human health and social work activities | 66355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66355 |
| Other services activities | 82773 | 675 | 958 | 0 | 0 | 1274 | 89393 |
| Activities of households as employers; undifferentiated good | 8724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26000 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 8000 | 0 | 133200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141200 |
| Not stated | 45656 | 0 | 460 | 2346 | 0 | 196 | 66801 |
| Total | 61076 | 819 | 1727 | 349 | 9 | 1730 | 80834 |

Table 4.3-98: Mean expenditure on labour for temporary/casual employees in September 2011 by type of expenditure

|  | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPENDITURES ON LABOUR FOR | Kigali | Southern | Western | Northern | Eastern |  |
| TEMPORALLY/CASUAL EMPLOYEES | City | Province | Province | Province | Province | Total |
| Wages and salaries | 1197 | 7883 | 4743 | 3270 | 1430 | 3365 |
| Bonus | 0 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 2 | 57 |
| allowances | 0 | 83 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 48 |
| social security contribution | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| training | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| other benefits | 0 | 0 | 58 | 1122 | 1 | 257 |
| Labor expenditure on temporally | 1194 | 7966 | 5131 | 4540 | 1429 | 3717 |

Table 4.3-99: Establishment mean expenditures on labour for temporary/casual employees as of September 2011 by type of expenditure and economic activity

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | EXPENDITURES ON LABOUR FOR TEMPORALLY/CASUAL EMPLOYEES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 8272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8272 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manufacturing | 13288 | 469 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 16168 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and | 71103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71103 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle | 1862 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1854 |
| Transportation and storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 2137 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2199 |
| Information and communication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 12000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12000 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 2940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2898 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 12057 | 0 | 3743 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15799 |
| Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Human health and social work activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other services activities | 1621 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1621 |
| Activities of households as employers; | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not stated | 2789 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2789 |
| Total | 3365 | 57 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 3717 |

Table 4.3-100: Percentage distribution of establishment by whether any of their products can be exported to EAC or the World Market

| PROVINCE | Can any of your products (good or service) be exported to EAC or Word market? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 13.0 | 87.0 | 0.5 | 100 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 10.0 | 90.0 |  | 100 | 270 |
| Western Province | 4.8 | 95.2 |  | 100 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 3.5 | 96.5 |  | 100 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 4.4 | 95.6 |  | 100 | 326 |
| Total | 7.5 | 92.5 | 0.1 | 100 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-101: Percentage distribution of establishments whose products are exportable and which have exported them during 2011.

|  | Exportation in 2011 |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROVINCE | No | Row \% | Count |  |
| Kigali City | Yes | 12.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 |
| Southern Province | 14.4 | 85.6 | 100.0 | 57 |
| Western Province | 38.2 | 61.8 | 100.0 | 27 |
| Northern Province | 23.2 | 76.8 | 100.0 | 13 |
| Eastern Province | 26.8 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 13 |
| Group Total | 18.4 | 81.6 | 100.0 | 14 |

Table 4.3-102 : Percentage of establishments which reported that they have exported their products during 2011 by the location of the destination market, according to Province

| Location of the market | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| YES to EAC market | 100.0 | 100.0 | 39.8 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 82.6 |
| YES to Other African market | 14.1 | 74.0 | 39.8 | 33.7 | 24.6 | 34.2 |
| YES, outside of Africa | 14.1 | 23.5 | 20.4 | 67.0 |  | 21.5 |
| Total | 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 23 |

Table 4.3-103: Percentage distribution of establishments whose products are exportable and which have exported them before 2011

| PROVINCE | Exportation before 2011 |  |  | Group Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 7.4 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 57 |
| Southern Province | 3.7 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 27 |
| Western Province | 38.1 | 61.9 | 100.0 | 13 |
| Northern Province | 15.5 | 84.5 | 100.0 | 13 |
| Eastern Province | 20.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 14 |
| Group Total | 12.1 | 87.9 | 100.0 | 124 |

Table 4.3-104: Percentage of establishments which reported that they have exported their products before 2011 by the location of the destination market, according to Province

| Location of the market | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| YES to EAC market | 100.0 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 50.3 | 67.7 | 67.4 |
| YES to Other African market | 24.1 | 100.0 | 39.9 | 50.3 | 32.3 | 39.4 |
| YES, outside of Africa | 24.1 |  | 40.5 | 100.0 |  | 33.2 |
| Total | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 15 |

Table 4.3-105: Percentage distribution of establishments whose products are exportable and which have a plan to export them in the future.

| PROVINCE | Exportation in the future |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 35.1 | 64.9 | 100.0 | 57 |
| Southern Province | 40.7 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 27 |
| Western Province | 61.5 | 38.5 | 100.0 | 13 |
| Northern Province | 61.4 | 38.6 | 100.0 | 13 |
| Eastern Province | 40.4 | 59.6 | 100.0 | 14 |
| Group Total | 42.4 | 57.6 | 100.0 | 124 |

Table 4.3-106: Percentage of establishments which reported that they have a plan to export their products in the future by the location of the destination market, according to Province

| Location of the market | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| YES to EAC market | 100.0 | 100.0 | 62.6 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 92.4 |
| YES to Other African market | 41.8 | 44.3 | 24.7 | 50.7 | 66.6 | 43.8 |
| YES, outside of Africa | 26.1 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 50.7 | 50.0 | 32.2 |
| Total | 20 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 52 |

Table 4.3-107: Percentage distribution of establishments by their major source of funding

| MAJOR SOURCE OF FUNDING | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| (International.)Donors | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1 |
| Shareholders | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 |  | 0.6 | 0 |
| Financial Institutions | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2 |
| Faith-based | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 0 |
| organizations/charities | 5.9 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 3.8 | 8 |
| Payments by beneficiaries | 89.7 | 88.0 | 83.5 | 81.1 | 91.7 | 87 |
| Selling of output / services | 0.7 | 2.3 |  | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1 |
| Membership contribution fees | 0.5 |  | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1 |
| Others (specify) | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  | 0 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-108: Percentage distribution of establishments by the means of sourcing personal for vacant posts according to the category of staff (For establishments hiring indicated staff category)

| Means of sourcing personnel for vacant posts | Staff category |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low skilled |  |  | High and middle skilled |  |  |
|  | First priority | Second priority | Third priority | First priority | Second priority | Third priority |
| Media advertisements | 0.7 | 0.9 |  | 0.6 | 1.2 |  |
| Own webpage/ Internet | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| Job agents / bureaus | 0.3 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| Friends/relatives | 76.8 | 18.4 |  | 0.1 | 4.9 | 1.2 |
| Training institution | 0.6 | 4.3 |  | 13.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| Other(specify) | 19.5 | 76.4 | 70.0 | 0.4 | 91.6 | 97.4 |
| Not stated | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 1664 | 115 | 70 | 246 | 86 | 76 |

Table 4.3-109: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they envisage hiring non-nationals or not


Table 4.3-110: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non-national by the reason

| REASON OF HIRING NON-NATIONALS | FIRST PRIORITY | SECOND PRIORITY | THIRD PRIORITY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cheaper | 6.9 | 3.8 | 32.0 |
| Better qualified | 56.7 | 21.8 | 10.2 |
| Productive | 29.5 | 66.7 | 10.1 |
| Other | 5.6 | 7.7 | 47.7 |
| Not stated | 1.4 |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 144 | 78 | 10 |

Table 4.3-111: Percentage distribution of establishments which envisage hiring non-national by their nationality, according to the first, second and third important preferred nationality

| NATIONALITY | FIRST IMORTANT | SECOND IMPORTANT | THIRD IMPORTANT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EAC | 67.1 | 18.5 |  |
| Other African | 16.5 | 65.9 |  |
| Europe | 1.3 | 6.8 | 20.6 |
| Americas | 0.7 | 4.3 | 39.7 |
| Asia | 0.7 |  |  |
| Any where | 10.8 | 4.6 | 39.7 |
| Not stated | 2.8 |  | 100 |
| Total | 100 | 44 | 5 |

Table 4.3-112: Percentage distribution of establishment which do not envisage to hire non-nationals by the first important reason

| FIRST PRIORITY REASON FOR NOT HIRING NON-NATIONALS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| No need | 64.9 | 71.3 | 59.8 | 61.0 | 64.5 | 64 |
| Regulations | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4 |
| Language problems | 8.9 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 9 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 2.5 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3 |
| Cultural problems | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1 |
| Other (specify) | 6.6 | 6.7 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 8.2 | 9 |
| Not stated | 12.1 | 9.3 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 9 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-113: Percentage distribution of establishment which do not envisage to hire non-nationals by the second important reason

| SECOND PRIORITY REASON <br> FOR NOT HIRING NON- <br> NATIONALS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| No need | 1.0 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 7.8 | 4.1 |
| Regulations | 1.9 | 9.6 | 4.1 |  | 0.8 | 2.6 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 18.7 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 19.9 | 14.9 | 15.5 |
| Language problems | 31.7 | 27.9 | 25.7 | 24.6 | 33.0 | 28.5 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 10.2 | 5.5 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 10.1 | 7.8 |
| Cultural problems | 24.6 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 17.0 | 20.2 | 17.5 |
| Other (specify) | 11.9 | 29.3 | 35.0 | 31.5 | 13.2 | 24.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 118 | 71 | 120 | 137 | 124 | 570 |

Table 4.3-114: Percentage distribution of establishment which do not envisage to hire non-nationals by the third important reason

| THIRD PRIORITY REASON FOR NOT HIRING NON-NATIONALS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| No need | 12.1 |  | 5.0 | 3.9 | 9.4 | 5.7 |
| Regulations |  | 5.1 | 1.6 | 1.4 |  | 1.4 |
| Not acquainted with Rwanda | 11.5 | 24.3 | 5.0 | 8.1 | 11.9 | 9.8 |
| Language problems | 10.4 | 35.1 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 19.1 | 14.4 |
| Problems of sourcing / hiring | 5.2 | 10.3 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| Cultural problems | 55.4 | 20.1 | 20.4 | 17.6 | 38.3 | 26.1 |
| Other (specify) | 5.5 | 5.1 | 49.5 | 54.1 | 18.9 | 37.0 |
| Grour | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 19 | 20 | 59 | 72 | 41 | 211 |

## Section G. Membership to Employees Organizations

Table 4.3-115: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they are member of any organisation / association

| PROVINCE | Is your institution a member of any <br> organization/association? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes | No | Total |  |
| Kigali City | 10.8 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 11.9 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 14.6 | 85.4 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 10.8 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 8.2 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Total | 11.1 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-116: Percentage distribution of establishments which are member of some organizations by whether they have received any cooperation or assistance from them

| PROVINCE | Have you Received any cooperation from the organization? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 31.0 | 69.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 47 |
| Southern Province | 25.2 | 74.8 |  | 100 | 32 |
| Western Province | 30.1 | 69.9 |  | 100 | 40 |
| Northern Province | 20.0 | 75.1 |  | 100 | 39 |
| Eastern Province | 32.2 | 67.8 |  | 100 | 27 |
| Total | 27.7 | 71.3 | 1.0 | 100 | 185 |

## Section H. Employment Working Conditions

Table 4.3-117: Percentage distribution of establishments by the type of contract with their employees

| PROVINCE | TYPE OF CONTRACT WITH EMPLOYEES |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Written | Oral | No contract | Not Applicable | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali City | 5.9 | 62.8 | 29.4 | 1.9 |  | 100 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 9.0 | 46.2 | 37.7 | 7.1 |  | 100 | 270 |
| Western Province | 13.3 | 43.9 | 33.3 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 9.2 | 58.9 | 30.5 | 1.3 |  | 100 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 7.7 | 53.1 | 38.3 | 0.9 |  | 100 | 326 |
| Total | 8.7 | 54.3 | 33.4 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-118: Percentage of establishments by benefits provided to employees

| BENEFIT PROVIDED TO EMPLOYEES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Sick leave | 80.8 | 72.9 | 79.3 | 77.5 | 80.4 | 78.5 |
| Paid annually leave | 29.5 | 20.7 | 23.9 | 22.0 | 21.6 | 24.0 |
| Maternity leave | 36.7 | 44.5 | 42.5 | 42.9 | 44.7 | 41.8 |
| Paternity leave | 25.4 | 27.9 | 34.6 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 26.8 |
| Social security | 3.4 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Dismissal payments | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| Total | 438 | 270 | 272 | 358 | 326 | 1664 |

## Section I. HIV/AIDS Policy at Workplace

Table 4.3-119: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have a HIV/AIDS workplace policy

| PROVINCE | Do you have an HIV and AIDS workplace Policy in place? |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Kigali City | 29.4 | 70.6 | 100 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 34.7 | 65.3 | 100 | 270 |
| Western Province | 38.5 | 61.5 | 100 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 34.1 | 65.9 | 100 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 37.2 | 62.8 | 100 | 326 |
| Total | 34.3 | 65.7 | 100 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-120: Percentage of establishment which have an HIV/AIDS workplace policy by the content of the policy

| CONTENT OF HIV/AIDS WORKPLACE POLICY | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| VCT services | 97.5 | 93.8 | 96.2 | 97.7 | 97.6 | 96.7 |
| Free ARVs for workers who are HIV+ | 1.6 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 3.6 |
| Free condom distribution for workers | 9.6 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 12.9 |
| Free food rations for workers who are HIV+ | 0.8 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 3.1 |
| Workers' rights | 52.0 | 57.1 | 47.3 | 62.8 | 44.7 | 52.7 |
| Total | 129 | 93 | 104 | 122 | 121 | 570 |

## Section J. Challenges of Business Expansion

Table 4.3-121: Percentage distribution of establishments by whether they have some challenges that affect their operations/growth or not, according to province

| PROVINCE | Do you have any challenge that affects the operations of your establishment? |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Row \% | Count |
| Kigali City | 94.4 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 438 |
| Southern Province | 94.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Western Province | 97.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Northern Province | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 358 |
| Eastern Province | 97.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Group Total | 96.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1664 |

Table 4.3-122 Percentage distribution of establishments by the reported most important difficulty affecting their operations/growth

| MOST IMPORTANT DIFFICULTY AFFECTING OPERATIONS | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kigali } \\ & \text { City } \end{aligned}$ | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Lack of customers/marketing | 47.3 | 45.6 | 46.1 | 48.7 | 44.8 | 46.6 |
| Non-payment of debts | 6.2 | 6.0 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| Access to finance | 16.1 | 19.9 | 16.2 | 13.0 | 25.1 | 17.8 |
| Lack of management skills | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Lack of capital equipment | 1.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Lack of skilled personnel | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| High taxes and license fees | 11.3 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 7.5 |
| Lack of raw materials/irregular supply | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Lack of space/land | 4.2 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| No new technology |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 |  | 0.3 |
| Difficulties with existing regulations, law ... | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| Increased competition | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| Access to energy | 1.3 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 8.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| Cost of energy | 0.5 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Crime/safety | 0.2 |  | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Customs and trade regulations |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Poor transport system | 0.5 |  | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Transport cost | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| High labour turnover | 0.3 |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Corruption |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Other (specify) | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 414 | 253 | 266 | 352 | 316 | 1602 |

Table 4.3-123 Percentage distribution of establishments by the reported second important difficulty affecting their operations/growth

| SECOND PRIORITY DIFFICULTIES AFFECTING OPERATION/GROWH | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Lack of customers/marketing | 13.1 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 13.4 | 12.3 | 12.5 |
| Non-payment of debts | 16.6 | 19.6 | 17.1 | 24.7 | 21.7 | 20.0 |
| Access to finance | 17.9 | 19.6 | 11.9 | 13.7 | 17.4 | 16.1 |
| Lack of management skills | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.7 |
| Lack of capital equipment | 5.7 | 9.0 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 6.3 |
| Lack of skilled personnel | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| High taxes and license fees | 15.8 | 9.7 | 15.3 | 12.4 | 10.0 | 12.8 |
| Lack of raw materials/irregular supply | 1.7 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| Lack of space/land | 7.1 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.6 |
| No new technology | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Difficulties with existing regulations, law | 3.0 |  | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
| Increased competition | 6.0 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.6 |
| Access to energy | 1.1 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 11.1 | 6.5 |
| Cost of energy | 0.3 |  | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Crime/safety | 0.6 |  |  | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Customs and trade regulations | 0.3 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |
| Poor transport system | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Transport cost | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| High labor turnover | 0.8 |  | 0.4 | 0.6 |  | 0.4 |
| Corruption |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Other (specify) | 3.3 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| Group Total |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
|  | 357 | 221 | 233 | 319 | 289 | 1419 |

Table 4.3-124 Percentage distribution of establishments by the reported third important difficulty affecting their operations/growth

| THIRD PRIORITY DIFFICULTIES AFFECTING OPERATION/GROWH | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali City | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Lack of customers/marketing | 5.9 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 10.1 | 5.3 | 6.4 |
| Non-payment of debts | 5.4 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 9.1 | 3.4 | 6.3 |
| Access to finance | 13.1 | 14.7 | 10.1 | 14.0 | 17.0 | 13.9 |
| Lack of management skills | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 |
| Lack of capital equipment | 4.8 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
| Lack of skilled personnel | 2.9 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 4.0 |
| High taxes and license fees | 23.7 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 20.9 | 11.6 | 16.9 |
| Lack of raw materials/irregular supply | 3.5 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 3.7 |
| Lack of space/land | 7.4 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| No new technology | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| Difficulties with existing regulations, law ... | 4.8 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| Increased competition | 8.2 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 7.6 |
| Access to energy | 2.9 | 11.2 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 11.2 | 7.0 |
| Cost of energy | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Crime/safety | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 |  | 0.8 |
| Customs and trade regulations | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.5 |  | 0.6 |
| Poor transport system | 2.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Transport cost | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| Labor regulations | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |  |  | 0.2 |
| High labor turnover | 1.4 | 0.7 |  | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Corruption |  | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  | 0.3 |
| Other (specify) | 3.0 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 4.4 |
| Group Total | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 146 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 181 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 198 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 883 \end{aligned}$ |

## 4.4: Formal sector employees' module

## Section A. General personal information

Table 4.4-1: Percentage distribution of employee by sex according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Sex |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 34.4 | 65.6 | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 31.9 | 68.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 57.1 | 42.9 | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 24.0 | 76.0 | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 37.5 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-2: Percentage distribution of employees by age group according to sex

| AGE GROUP | M2:A020 Sex |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male |  |
| $15-19$ | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| $20-24$ | 14.8 | 11.6 | 12.8 |
| $25-29$ | 25.8 | 24.6 | 25.1 |
| $30-34$ | 20.8 | 22.0 | 21.6 |
| $35-39$ | 14.3 | 13.9 | 14.1 |
| $40-44$ | 9.6 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| $45-49$ | 5.4 | 6.9 | 6.3 |
| $50-54$ | 2.9 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| 55 and above | 1.9 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 6505 | 10840 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-3: Percentage distribution of employees by marital status according to activity sector

| Marital status | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Single/Never married | 32.2 | 47.8 | 31.6 | 22.8 | 39.4 |
| Married | 65.7 | 49.7 | 64.8 | 74.4 | 57.9 |
| Separated | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Divorced | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Widowed | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-4: Percentage distribution of employees by nationality, according to activity sector

| NATIONALITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Burundian | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Kenyan | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Rwandan | 98.9 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 97.2 | 97.2 |
| Tanzanian | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Ugandan | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| The rest of Africa |  | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| The rest of the world | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-5: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have disability or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you have any disability? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 1.6 | 98.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 1.4 | 98.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 2.4 | 97.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 2.0 | 98.0 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 1.7 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-6: Percentage distribution of employees with disabilities by the type of disability, according to activity sector

| TYPE OF DISABILITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Sight (blind/severe visual limitation) |  | 2.9 | 8.9 | 3.7 | 1.8 |
| Hearing (deaf, hard of hearing) | 6.0 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 8.2 | 4.7 |
| Communicating (speech impairment) |  | 0.7 |  | 4.3 | 0.6 |
| Other Physic. disability/physical handicap | 74.8 | 64.1 | 78.8 | 72.8 | 71.3 |
| Intellectual (difficulties in learning)/mental problem |  | 4.5 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| Emotional (behavioral, psychology.) | 8.7 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 4.4 |
| Other | 7.6 | 10.8 | 5.0 |  | 7.7 |
| Not stated |  | 1.3 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 1.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 60 | 127 | 89 | 20 | 297 |

## Section B. Nature of current employment

Table 4.4-7 : Percentage distribution of employee by occupation according to activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 23.2 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 9.2 | 10.6 |
| Professionals | 23.8 | 8.3 | 27.1 | 28.4 | 17.0 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 21.9 | 9.2 | 46.5 | 28.3 | 21.2 |
| Clerical support workers | 8.2 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 6.5 |
| Services and sales workers | 11.6 | 25.3 | 6.4 | 16.4 | 17.7 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 3.1 | 8.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 5.2 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2.5 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 4.5 |
| Elementary occupations | 5.6 | 26.9 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 17.3 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-8: Percentage distribution of employee by nature of employment contract, according to activity sector

| Nature of employment contract | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Permanent worker | 94.2 | 82.9 | 93.9 | 93.5 | 88.4 |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 4.2 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 5.6 |
| Casual worker | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Seasonal worker | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Daily worker | 0.6 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.6 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8785 | 3797 | 983 | 17343 |

Table 4.4-9: Percentage distribution of employee by the type of contract, according to province

| Type of contract | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Written contract | 51.5 | 44.7 | 66.9 | 60.0 | 51.9 |
| Oral contract | 4.7 | 33.5 | 2.4 | 22.0 | 19.8 |
| No contract | 3.6 | 20.1 | 1.5 | 14.4 | 12.1 |
| Do not know / not sure | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Under Statute | 39.5 | 1.0 | 28.7 | 2.5 | 15.5 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-10: Percentage distribution of employees by the method followed to get a job, according to activity sector

| WAY USED TO GET A JOB | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Passed test and appointed | 77.8 | 30.7 | 58.8 | 32.7 | 47.2 |
| Through relatives/friends | 4.4 | 27.3 | 6.3 | 15.1 | 17.0 |
| Head hunting | 3.8 | 8.9 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 6.7 |
| Nominated | 12.5 | 28.8 | 27.6 | 27.2 | 24.9 |
| Elected | 0.5 | 1.7 |  | 14.9 | 1.8 |
| Other | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-11: Percentage distribution of employee by the year of starting the job, according to activity sector

| YEAR OF STARTING THE JOB | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Below 1990 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.2 |
| $1990-1994$ | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 1.4 |
| $1995-1999$ | 7.6 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 7.3 |
| $2000-2004$ | 8.9 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 13.0 | 10.0 |
| $2004-2009$ | 41.5 | 37.1 | 41.5 | 39.3 | 39.1 |
| 2010 and above | 39.9 | 43.7 | 34.1 | 27.6 | 39.8 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-12: Percentage distribution of employee by whether their current job matches their official education/trainings, according to activity sector

| Does your current job match your official education | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Yes | 90.2 | 78.9 | 92.9 | 82.1 | 84.6 |
| No (other job than qualification.) | 6.6 | 12.7 | 4.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| No (lower level than qualification) | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| No (higher level than qualification) | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| Not applicable (no training) | 0.7 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 2.9 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-13: Percentage distribution of employees by whether the current employment is the first one, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Is this your first employment in Rwanda |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 31.9 | 68.1 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 51.4 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 40.4 | 59.6 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 38.4 | 61.6 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 44.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-14: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the type of establishment they previously worked for, according to activity sector

| TYPE OF PREVIOUS ESTABLISHMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Ministry and other institutions | 63.4 | 21.1 | 63.4 | 36.2 | 43.1 |
| Parastatal | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.3 |
| Company | 26.6 | 69.0 | 26.5 | 42.6 | 46.2 |
| Co-operative | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 5.9 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 16.4 | 5.5 |
| Other | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2573 | 4272 | 2264 | 605 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-15: Percent distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by employment status in their previous job, according to activity sector

| Employment status | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Own account worker | 5.4 | 9.9 | 4.2 | 11.1 | 7.5 |
| Employer | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| Employee | 91.7 | 87.4 | 93.0 | 85.0 | 89.7 |
| Unpaid family worker | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Group | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2573 | 4272 | 2264 | 605 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-16: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the economic activity of establishment they previously worked for, according to activity sector

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 4.0 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 4.7 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Manufacturing | 3.5 | 9.6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 5.7 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activity | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Construction | 3.1 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 4.6 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motor cycle | 4.8 | 13.4 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 9.4 |
| Transportation and storage | 1.9 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 2.9 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 1.3 | 11.7 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 5.8 |
| Information and communication | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 10.5 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 5.5 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 1.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| Public administration defense and compulsory social security | 29.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 14.7 |
| Education | 23.8 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 19.8 | 14.1 |
| Human health and social work activities | 5.3 | 3.9 | 55.1 | 5.9 | 16.3 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Other services activities | 1.7 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 10.0 | 4.0 |
| Activities of Households as Employers; and undifferentiated G | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 2.0 |
| Not stated | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2573 | 4272 | 2264 | 605 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-17: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in establishment they previously worked for, according to activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Managers |  | FORMAL |  |  |  |
| Professionals | 16.7 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 9.2 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 38.9 | 15.9 | 30.7 | 30.0 | 26.3 |
| Clerical support workers | 14.8 | 8.4 | 41.0 | 10.9 | 17.9 |
| Services and sales workers | 8.4 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 8.8 | 25.2 | 8.2 | 16.7 | 16.3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1.1 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 3.0 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2.3 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 5.7 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.8 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 4.4 |
| Armed forces occupations | 2.1 | 12.1 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 7.0 |
| Not stated | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Total | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

Table 4.4-18: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before, by previous occupation, according to current occupation

| PREVIOUS OCCUPATION | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | O U U 0 0 0 0 2 |  |
| Managers | 31.8 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 |  | 9.2 |
| Professionals | 32.1 | 60.5 | 25.3 | 26.1 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 2.5 | 4.3 |  | 26.3 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 14.4 | 13.5 | 48.4 | 12.3 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 2.8 |  | 17.9 |
| Clerical support workers | 8.9 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 31.2 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 3.0 |  | 7.2 |
| Services and sales workers | 7.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 12.1 | 53.1 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 19.4 |  | 16.3 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 16.1 |  | 3.0 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 58.5 | 8.1 | 6.6 |  | 5.7 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 63.3 | 2.2 |  | 4.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 41.0 |  | 7.0 |
| Armed forces occupations | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 2.7 |  | 2.9 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1275 | 1921 | 2252 | 646 | 1629 | 472 | 509 | 1009 | 1 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-19: Percentage distribution of employees by working experience (in years) in establishment they previously worked for, according to activity sector

| Working experience in interval | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| $0-2$ | 50.0 | 50.2 | 47.6 | 35.3 | 48.6 |
| $3-4$ | 20.9 | 20.6 | 21.7 | 25.7 | 21.2 |
| $5-7$ | 14.7 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 14.8 | 14.5 |
| $8-10$ | 8.9 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 7.8 |
| 11-13 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| 14And above | 3.3 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 11.4 | 4.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2573 | 4272 | 2264 | 605 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-20: Percent distribution of employee who worked in other establishment before by reason why they left their previous job, according to activity sector

| Main reason you left your previous job | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Under payment | 26.5 | 30.0 | 21.7 | 19.4 | 26.5 |
| Late payment | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Physical/social harassment | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |
| Poor working conditions | 9.4 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 8.7 | 11.9 |
| Marital/family commitments | 9.4 | 10.6 | 16.7 | 9.2 | 11.6 |
| Going back to school/training | 11.1 | 5.2 | 14.4 | 11.5 | 9.3 |
| Restructuring | 21.5 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 14.6 | 15.2 |
| Others | 21.3 | 25.8 | 20.9 | 34.1 | 24.0 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2573 | 4272 | 2264 | 605 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-21: Mean service period in years of previous experience in different organizations, according to activity sector

| ORGANISATIONS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Ministry and other institutions | 7.3 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| Parastatal | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Company | 1.4 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 3.9 |
| Co-operative | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.5 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Total | 9.2 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 13.2 | 9.4 |

Table 4.4-22: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their previous job marched their education, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Did your first job match your education? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 82.5 | 17.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2573 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 73.8 | 26.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4272 |
| HEALTH | 86.6 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2264 |
| NGO | 77.2 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 605 |
| Total | 79.3 | 20.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 9714 |

Table 4.4-23: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of education when they first entered the labour marker, according to activity sector

| Highest level of education when you first enter the <br> labor market | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| No education |  | 19.1 | 2.5 | 12.9 | 11.5 |
| Primary | 8.7 | 29.0 | 8.7 | 31.0 | 20.3 |
| Vocational training/Tronc commun/A3/TVET, | 12.8 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| Secondary | 44.3 | 25.2 | 53.9 | 27.1 | 35.8 |
| Tertiary | 31.4 | 8.9 | 18.4 | 12.8 | 16.1 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-24 Percentage distribution of employees with secondary level or higher by year of graduation before entering the labour market for the first time, according to activity sector

| Interval of graduation year | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Before 1984 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 5.9 |
| 1985-1989 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 5.8 |
| $1990-1994$ | 11.5 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 9.6 |
| $1995-1999$ | 14.8 | 10.1 | 13.2 | 17.5 | 12.7 |
| 2000-2004 | 21.7 | 19.1 | 27.2 | 20.1 | 22.2 |
| 2009-2009 | 36.1 | 39.4 | 33.0 | 22.8 | 35.9 |
| 2010 to 2012 | 4.5 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.4 |
| Not stated | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3350 | 4561 | 3369 | 552 | 11833 |

Table 4.4-25: Percent distribution of employees by the time it took them to find their first job after turning 15 years old

| HOW LONG TO GET FIRST JOB | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Less than 1year | 53.2 | 48.5 | 56.5 | 50.9 | 51.4 |
| 1Year | 17.3 | 14.9 | 17.6 | 12.7 | 15.9 |
| 2Years | 9.9 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 9.9 |
| 3Years | 4.9 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| 4Years | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 5Years | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| 6Years | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| 7Years | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| 8Years | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 9Years | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| 10+ | 4.0 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 8.1 | 4.5 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

## Section C. Formal education background

Table 4.4-26: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of formal education, according to activity sector

| Highest level of formal education | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Masters Degree | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 2.0 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| Bachelors | 42.5 | 10.6 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 18.9 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 7.6 | 4.5 | 22.3 | 4.1 | 9.0 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 5.6 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 6.7 |
| Secondary-A Level | 19.0 | 17.3 | 32.7 | 12.9 | 20.8 |
| Secondary-O Level | 6.4 | 11.5 | 6.7 | 11.8 | 9.4 |
| Primary | 8.1 | 28.0 | 8.1 | 26.6 | 19.2 |
| None | 2.4 | 18.7 | 2.2 | 12.2 | 11.2 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.7 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.4-27: Percentage distribution of employees with secondary A level and above by the field of studies, according to activity sector

| FIELD OF STUDIES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PRIVATE  <br>  FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Education | 7.1 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 12.7 | 4.0 |
| Humanities and Arts | 2.3 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 16.2 | 2.8 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 59.3 | 54.6 | 18.2 | 48.4 | 44.7 |
| Sciences | 7.8 | 11.3 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 7.6 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and Construction | 7.7 | 15.7 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 8.5 |
| Agriculture | 10.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| Health and Welfare | 4.6 | 3.6 | 74.6 | 9.5 | 25.7 |
| Services | 0.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.7 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3126 | 3555 | 3106 | 452 | 10240 |

Table 4.4- 28: Percentage distribution of employees with secondary A level and above by the country/continent they have got their highest level of education from, according to activity sector

| Where did you got your highest level of <br> education | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Rwanda | 86.3 | 84.4 | 80.1 | 80.5 | 83.5 |
| Other EAC countries | 5.1 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 6.0 |
| Rest of Africa | 5.3 | 5.7 | 12.7 | 6.3 | 7.7 |
| Europe | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 1.5 |
| Americas | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Asia | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Oceania | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3130 | 3564 | 3115 | 454 | 10263 |

Table 4.4-29: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are currently enrolled for further training according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Are you currently enrolled for further training |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 23.0 | 77.0 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 13.4 | 86.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 21.6 | 78.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 19.8 | 80.2 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 17.6 | 82.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-30: Percent distribution of employees presently enrolled in educational institute by the type of training they receive, according to activity sector

| TYPE OF TRAINING | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| General Programs |  | 2.8 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Education | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 1.4 |
| Humanities and Arts | 5.7 | 11.7 | 3.8 | 34.1 | 9.3 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 64.0 | 54.2 | 27.3 | 42.5 | 49.0 |
| Sciences | 8.8 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 8.4 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and Construction | 5.4 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 6.6 |
| Agriculture | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Health and Welfare | 6.8 | 2.9 | 57.8 | 8.5 | 19.1 |
| Services | 2.7 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| Not stated | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 869 | 1174 | 818 | 194 | 3056 |

Table 4.4-31: Percentage distribution of employees presently enrolled in educational institute by the expected qualification, according to activity sector

| Anticipated qualification | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| PhD/Doctorate |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Masters Degree | 19.9 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 13.5 | 11.7 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 |  | 2.0 |
| Bachelors | 47.4 | 47.7 | 57.5 | 29.3 | 49.1 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 4.4 | 5.4 | 21.8 | 1.3 | 9.2 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 1.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Secondary-A Level | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 2.5 |
| None | 1.6 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Other | 2.5 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| Certificate | 17.7 | 21.1 | 7.6 | 39.8 | 17.7 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 869 | 1174 | 818 | 194 | 3056 |

## Section D. Vocational training /other type of training undertaken in the past

Table 4.4-32: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have received any kind of training since they joined the current employer, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Have you received any other kind of training? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 68.3 | 31.7 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 29.4 | 70.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 77.7 | 22.3 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 63.2 | 36.8 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 50.4 | 49.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-33: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the major field of training according to activity sector

| MAJOR FIELD OF TRAINING | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| General Programs | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Education | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| Humanities and Arts | 2.2 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 33.3 | 4.4 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 56.4 | 50.5 | 10.7 | 36.8 | 37.9 |
| Sciences | 10.9 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 6.8 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and Construction | 5.7 | 11.6 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 5.5 |
| Agriculture | 6.7 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 3.5 |
| Health and Welfare | 6.4 | 5.9 | 80.3 | 14.1 | 31.8 |
| Services | 9.2 | 13.3 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 8.1 |
| Not stated | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2581 | 2587 | 2949 | 621 | 8738 |

Table 4.4-34: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by year of training, according to activity sector

| Year of training | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Before 2007 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 14.2 | 9.9 |
| 2008 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 5.5 |
| 2009 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 8.9 |
| 2010 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 26.2 | 20.8 |
| 2011 | 51.5 | 54.7 | 51.4 | 39.5 | 51.6 |
| 2012 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 1.8 |
| Not stated | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2581 | 2587 | 2949 | 621 | 8738 |

Table 4.4-35: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the means of training, according to activity sector

| What was the mean of training? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH |  |

Table 4.4-36: Mean period (in months) of training for employees received training since they joined the current employer according to activity sector and occupation

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Professionals | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 1.0 |
| Clerical support workers | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Services and sales workers | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Elementary occupations | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 |  | . | 0.0 |
| Total | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 0.8 |

Table 4.4- 37: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the qualification they got from it, according to activity sector

| Qualification from the additional training | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
|  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Bachelors |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Diploma level (A1) |  |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Secondary-A Level | 47.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| None | 0.1 | 0.2 | 50.5 | 50.1 | 51.2 |
| Other | 52.1 | 44.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Certificate |  | 0.1 | 49.2 | 48.8 | 48.5 |
| Not stated | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2581 | 2587 | 2949 | 621 | 8738 |

Table 4.4-38: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the place of the training, according to activity sector

| Place of training | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Rwanda | 89.7 | 93.7 | 98.0 | 95.4 | 94.1 |
| Other EAC countries | 2.6 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| Rest of Africa | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Europe | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Americas | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Asia | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Oceania | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |
| Missing value |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 2581 | 2587 | 2949 | 621 | 8738 |

Table 4.4- 39: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by whether the training has improved their performance, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Did that training improve your performance? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 98.8 | 1.2 |  | 100.0 | 2581 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 98.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2587 |
| HEALTH | 98.5 | 1.5 |  | 100.0 | 2949 |
| NGO | 95.1 | 4.9 |  | 100.0 | 621 |
| Total | 98.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8738 |

Table 4.4-40: Percentage distribution of employees who did not receive any training since they joined the current employer by reason of not being trained, according to activity sector

| Reason of not being trained | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
|  | 6.8 | 31.0 | 5.4 | 14.3 | 24.4 |
| No training for my job profile | 22.1 | 24.3 | 21.9 | 28.6 | 23.9 |
| Not offered to me personally | 64.0 | 40.3 | 66.6 | 52.5 | 46.7 |
| Offered to me, but refused | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| No need | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Other (specify) | 4.9 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Missing value |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 1197 | 6198 | 848 | 362 | 8605 |

Table 4.4-41: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are involved in designing training plans, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Are employees involved in designing training plans? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  | Not stated |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 43.4 | 56.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 24.1 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 41.2 | 58.8 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 45.1 | 54.9 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 33.2 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-42: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they need any specific skills to improve their performances, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you need any specific skills to improve your <br> performance at your current job? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 88.3 | 11.7 |  |  | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 67.8 | 32.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 92.1 | 7.9 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 82.4 | 17.6 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 78.4 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-43: Percentage distribution of employees who have reported that they need specific skills to improve their performances by area of lacking skills, according to activity sector

| AREA OF LACKING SKILLS | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| General Programs | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Education | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Humanities and Arts | 6.5 | 14.0 | 3.1 | 42.0 | 11.0 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 47.8 | 31.1 | 10.2 | 26.2 | 29.5 |
| Sciences | 15.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 8.7 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and Construction | 8.2 | 20.7 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 11.7 |
| Agriculture | 6.4 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 4.4 |
| Health and Welfare | 4.3 | 2.6 | 72.5 | 7.3 | 21.3 |
| Services | 8.8 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 8.5 | 10.7 |
| Not stated | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3337 | 5954 | 3496 | 810 | 13596 |

Table 4.4-44: Percentage distribution of employees by their self-assessment of English proficiency, according to activity sector

| ENGLISH PROFICIENCY |  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| SPOKEN | Proficient | 19.9 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 14.5 | 12.3 |
|  | Good | 43.4 | 21.2 | 36.7 | 21.6 | 29.5 |
|  | Basic | 23.1 | 17.8 | 32.3 | 15.7 | 22.0 |
|  | None | 13.6 | 51.2 | 20.8 | 48.1 | 36.2 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| WRITTEN | Proficient | 17.6 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 14.7 | 11.2 |
|  | Good | 44.8 | 22.0 | 36.7 | 21.2 | 30.1 |
|  | Basic | 24.6 | 20.0 | 34.5 | 17.7 | 24.1 |
|  | None | 13.0 | 48.9 | 20.0 | 46.4 | 34.6 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-45: Percent distribution of employee by their self-assessment of french proficiency, according to activity sector

| FRENCH PROFICIENCY |  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| SPOKEN | Proficient | 42.8 | 17.0 | 36.5 | 24.1 | 27.3 |
|  | Good | 38.2 | 22.8 | 45.1 | 23.9 | 31.1 |
|  | Basic | 10.1 | 19.3 | 9.9 | 20.4 | 15.3 |
|  | None | 8.9 | 40.9 | 8.6 | 31.6 | 26.3 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| WRITTEN | Proficient | 42.8 | 17.1 | 35.8 | 23.2 | 27.1 |
|  | Good | 37.4 | 22.1 | 45.4 | 23.4 | 30.6 |
|  | Basic | 10.0 | 17.4 | 9.2 | 19.4 | 14.1 |
|  | None | 9.8 | 43.4 | 9.6 | 34.0 | 28.2 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Group Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-46: Percent distribution of employee by their self-assessment of Kinyarwanda proficiency, according to activity sector

| KINYARWANDA PROFICIENCY |  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| WRITTEN | Proficient | 92.1 | 72.2 | 86.7 | 78.6 | 80.1 |
|  | Good | 5.9 | 14.5 | 9.7 | 14.1 | 11.6 |
|  | Basic | 1.4 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 4.4 |
|  | None | 0.6 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |
| SPOKEN | Proficient | 95.2 | 88.5 | 91.6 | 92.4 | 90.9 |
|  | Good | 4.4 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.3 |
|  | Basic | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
|  | None | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Group Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4- 47: Percent distribution of employee by their self-assessment of Swahili proficiency, according to activity sector

| SWAHILI PROFICIENCY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WRITTEN | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH |  |  |
| SPOKEN |  | 17.3 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 13.6 |
|  |  | 20.3 | 13.5 | 15.4 | 12.1 | 15.3 |
|  | Basic | 24.4 | 17.5 | 23.3 | 17.3 | 20.3 |
|  | None | 38.0 | 56.4 | 48.9 | 56.2 | 50.8 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Group Total | Proficient | 17.9 | 14.0 | 13.4 | 15.6 | 14.8 |
|  | Good | 22.1 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 13.5 | 17.4 |
|  | Basic | 27.1 | 20.7 | 26.4 | 21.9 | 23.4 |
|  | None | 32.8 | 49.3 | 43.3 | 48.9 | 44.4 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |

## Section E. Performance appraisal

Table 4.4-48: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their performance has ever been appraised, according to activity sector

| ATIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Has you performance ever been appraised? |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Row $\%$ |
| PUBLIC | 89.6 | 10.4 |  | 100.0 | 2318 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 61.8 | 38.2 | 0.04 | 100.0 | 9563 |
| HEALTH | 91.9 | 8.1 | 0.02 | 100.0 | 4454 |
| NGO | 72.3 | 27.7 |  | 100.0 | 1010 |
| Total | 73.8 | 26.1 | 0.03 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4- 49: Percentage distribution of employees whose performance have ever been appraised by frequency of appraisal, according to activity sector

| FREQUENCY FOR PERFORMANCE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE |  |  |  |
|  | HEALTH | NGO | Group Total |  |  |
| Monthly | 9.8 | 18.1 | 32.0 | 19.3 | 19.7 |
| Quarterly | 25.3 | 9.0 | 48.9 | 17.0 | 24.4 |
| Bi-Annually | 14.2 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 6.9 |
| Annually | 37.9 | 16.2 | 5.9 | 35.4 | 20.2 |
| Ad hoc | 10.9 | 47.4 | 10.0 | 20.8 | 26.4 |
| Don't know | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 3397 | 5424 | 3489 | 730 | 13040 |

Table 4.4-50: Percent distribution of employees whose performance have ever been appraised by the appraising authority, according to activity sector

| Appraising authority | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Group Total |
| General manager/director | 25.3 | 24.3 | 19.8 | 34.8 | 23.9 |
| HR officer | 10.8 | 17.7 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 14.4 |
| Departmental head | 18.3 | 19.4 | 24.5 | 10.9 | 20.0 |
| Immediate supervisor | 36.2 | 33.1 | 25.3 | 32.2 | 31.7 |
| Consultant | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Panel | 6.8 | 2.9 | 12.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| Other (specify) | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| Missing value | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 3397 | 5424 | 3489 | 730 | 13040 |

Table 4.4-51: Percentage distribution of employees whose performance have ever been appraised by whether they have received feedback after appraisal, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you receive a feedback? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  | Not stated |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 89.1 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 3397 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 87.6 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 5424 |
| HEALTH | 92.4 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 3489 |
| NGO | 87.6 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 730 |
| Total | 89.3 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 13040 |

## Section F: Career growth

Table 4.4-52: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have promoted, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Have you ever been promoted since you <br> joined your current employer? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 20.1 | 79.9 |  |  | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 20.6 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 17.8 | 82.2 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 28.1 | 71.9 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 20.3 | 79.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-53: Percentage distribution of employees who have ever been promoted by how long ago they have been promoted according to activity sector

| LAST PROMOTION IN INTERVAL | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Less than 1year | 35.1 | 31.1 | 30.7 | 23.0 | 31.2 |
| 1year - | 21.3 | 28.6 | 22.8 | 27.6 | 25.8 |
| 2 Years - | 23.0 | 23.3 | 29.1 | 21.1 | 24.2 |
| 4 Years- | 10.9 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.0 |
| 6 Years and above | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 19.2 | 9.6 |
| Not stated | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 758 | 1808 | 678 | 276 | 3519 |

## Section G: Working terms and conditions

Table 4.4-54: Average weekly working hours by occupation and activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 49.3 | 53.4 | 49.0 | 45.7 | 50.6 |
| Professionals | 47.3 | 49.1 | 50.7 | 41.4 | 48.4 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 49.5 | 49.0 | 51.2 | 34.1 | 49.0 |
| Clerical support workers | 46.4 | 56.9 | 48.5 | 41.3 | 52.5 |
| Services and sales workers | 71.3 | 67.5 | 59.9 | 64.9 | 67.3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 52.7 | 53.0 | 50.6 | 43.3 | 52.8 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 61.1 | 53.7 | 60.8 | 49.9 | 55.1 |
| Elementary occupations | 49.6 | 50.0 | 54.0 | 50.7 | 50.5 |
| Not stated |  | 47.2 |  |  | 47.2 |
| Total | 51.6 | 55.6 | 51.8 | 44.6 | 53.3 |

Table 4.4-55: percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to annual leave days, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Are you entitled to annual leave days? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 86.8 | 13.2 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 44.9 | 55.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 96.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 62.7 | 37.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 66.2 | 33.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-56: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to annual leave days, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Are you entitled to annual leave days? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 88.4 | 11.6 |  | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 87.5 | 12.5 |  | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 87.1 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 72.7 | 27.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers Plant and machine operators and | 53.6 | 46.4 |  | 100.0 | 903 |
| assemblers | 65.8 | 34.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 28.2 | 71.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 30.0 | 14.1 | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Row \% | 66.2 | 33.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-57: Mean annually leave in calendar or working days entitled to employees by occupation and activity sector

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE FORMAL |  | HEALTH |  | NGO |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \frac{n}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Managers | 30 | 24 | 27 | 20 | 29 | 22 | 28 | 21 | 29 | 21 |
| Professionals | 30 | 23 | 27 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 28 | 21 | 29 | 21 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 30 | 23 | 26 | 20 | 30 | 21 | 29 | 23 | 29 | 21 |
| Clerical support workers | 29 | 23 | 25 | 19 | 29 | 21 | 27 | 19 | 28 | 20 |
| Services and sales workers | 30 | 20 | 23 | 19 | 29 | 19 | 26 | 20 | 26 | 19 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 29 | 21 | 24 | 18 | 28 | 19 | 29 | 17 | 26 | 18 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 29 | 21 | 24 | 19 | 29 | 18 | 24 | 20 | 26 | 19 |
| Elementary occupations | 27 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 27 | 19 | 26 | 17 | 25 | 19 |
| Not specified |  | . | 18 | . |  | . |  | . | 18 |  |
| Total | 30 | 23 | 25 | 19 | 29 | 20 | 28 | 21 | 28 | 20 |

Table 4.4-58: Percentage distribution of employees by the time it takes them to reach their workplace, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | How long does it take you to reach your workplace? |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 10 minutes | $\begin{gathered} 10-20 \\ \text { minutes } \end{gathered}$ | 21-30 <br> minutes | More than 30 minutes | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 25.7 | 30.8 | 20.9 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 21.5 | 27.6 | 21.3 | 29.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 21.0 | 26.6 | 18.7 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 18.9 | 25.7 | 20.8 | 34.6 |  | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 24.4 | 22.1 | 19.5 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 16.3 | 19.0 | 20.2 | 44.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 13.5 | 21.9 | 22.5 | 41.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 9.9 | 12.9 | 16.2 | 61.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated |  | 30.0 | 14.1 |  | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 19.5 | 23.4 | 19.4 | 37.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4- 59: Percentage distribution of employees by the main mode of transport used to reach their working place by occupation

| OCCUPATION | Main mode of transport you use to reach your workplace |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | प ¢ ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ $\stackrel{y}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{4}{\omega} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 22.3 | 7.7 | 40.7 | 21.1 | 7.6 | 0.6 |  | 100 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 31.0 | 3.2 | 45.0 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 25.0 | 4.3 | 56.8 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 35.7 | 3.1 | 44.6 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 0.4 |  | 100 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 21.6 | 1.9 | 66.7 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 100 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 23.9 | 4.1 | 60.5 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 29.1 | 5.2 | 55.8 | 3.1 | 6.5 |  | 0.2 | 100 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 6.2 | 1.3 | 88.6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100 | 3008 |
| Not stated |  |  | 44.1 |  |  |  | 55.9 | 100 | 4 |
| Total | 22.7 | 3.5 | 59.7 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-60: Percentage distribution of employees by to the time (in minutes) use to reach their workplace they use to get at workplace, according to the mode of transportation

| Mode of transportation | How long does it take you to reach your workplace? |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 10 minutes | 10-20 <br> minutes | 21-30 <br> minutes | More than 30 minutes | Missing value | Row \% | Count |
| Public transport (taxi, bus) | 4.8 | 17.6 | 25.6 | 51.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3936 |
| Office transport | 17.5 | 28.9 | 24.8 | 28.8 |  | 100.0 | 601 |
| On foot | 25.8 | 23.3 | 16.5 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10358 |
| Own transport | 18.4 | 40.3 | 19.4 | 21.9 |  | 100.0 | 1189 |
| Hired (Car, Motor cycle ,bicycle) | 10.9 | 25.2 | 24.2 | 39.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1144 |
| Other (specify) | 78.2 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 13.7 |  | 100.0 | 102 |
| Missing value | 11.2 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 28.7 | 44.4 | 100.0 | 16 |
| Total | 19.5 | 23.4 | 19.4 | 37.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-61: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to medical care assistance, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Entitled to medical care assistance |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 87.0 | 13.0 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 34.5 | 65.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 87.4 | 12.6 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 41.1 | 58.9 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 57.9 | 42.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-62: Distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to medical care assistance, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Entitled to medical care assistance |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| Managers | 84.4 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 78.9 | 21.1 |  | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 80.4 | 19.6 |  | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 63.8 | 36.2 |  | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 35.7 | 64.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 38.6 | 61.4 |  | 100.0 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 59.8 | 40.2 |  | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 19.3 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 30.0 | 14.1 | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 57.9 | 42.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-63: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by the extent it covers the medical expenses, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | To what extent does it cover your medical |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.4-64: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by whether the assistance extend to their family or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Does the assistance extend to your family? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 78.0 | 21.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3288 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 60.5 | 39.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3030 |
| HEALTH | 77.4 | 22.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3317 |
| NGO | 82.1 | 17.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 404 |
| TOTAL | 72.7 | 27.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 10040 |

Table 4.4-65: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by whether the assistance extend to their family or not, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Does the assistance extend to your family? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  | 1554 |
| Managers | 78.6 | 21.3 | 0.2 |  | 1534 |
| Professionals | 76.5 | 23.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2319 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 76.3 | 23.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2960 |
| Clerical support workers | 70.1 | 29.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 722 |
| Services and sales workers | 61.6 | 38.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1093 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 62.3 | 37.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 349 |
| Plant and machine operators and |  |  |  |  |  |
| assemblers | 65.8 | 34.2 |  | 100.0 | 462 |
| Elementary occupations | 59.3 | 40.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 579 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 1 |
| Total | 72.7 | 27.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 10040 |

Table 4.4-66: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by occupation

| BENEFITS FROM EMPLOYER |  | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\omega} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clothing/Uniform | Yes all | 24.9 | 38.1 | 49.2 | 38.8 | 55.6 | 54.2 | 51.5 | 36.7 | 44.1 | 43.4 |
|  | Yes partially | 6.7 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 7.6 | 5.1 |  | 5.6 |
| Protective gear | Yes all | 23.0 | 36.1 | 51.9 | 28.2 | 40.7 | 58.6 | 45.7 | 39.8 |  | 40.6 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.4 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.6 |  | 6.0 |
| Accommodation | Yes all | 12.5 | 11.7 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 21.0 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 6.9 | 14.1 | 12.3 |
|  | Yes partially | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 4.3 |  | 6.4 |
| Transport | Yes all | 14.2 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 14.0 | 3.5 |  | 9.3 |
|  | Yes partially | 7.7 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 5.1 |  | 6.9 |
| Pay to and from | Yes all | 81.0 | 79.8 | 80.4 | 68.0 | 45.0 | 49.3 | 58.8 | 28.3 |  | 61.7 |
| annual leave | Yes partially | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 |  | 2.5 |
| Food | Yes all | 13.4 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 22.3 | 38.2 | 20.8 | 22.4 | 17.3 |  | 18.8 |
|  | Yes partially | 12.8 | 12.6 | 10.1 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 7.6 |  | 10.5 |
| Free education for dependants | Yes all | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 |  | 1.9 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.7 |  | 4.2 |
| Maternal and paternal leave | Yes all | 87.4 | 86.9 | 88.1 | 78.4 | 60.8 | 63.6 | 70.5 | 41.1 | 30.0 | 72.1 |
|  | Yes partially | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |  | 2.1 |
| Health and safety | Yes all | 28.7 | 23.6 | 25.8 | 25.5 | 14.7 | 24.6 | 26.2 | 9.4 |  | 20.9 |
|  | Yes partially | 7.2 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 8.2 | 4.9 |  | 6.6 |
| Terminal benefits | Yes all | 58.7 | 55.1 | 55.5 | 52.6 | 28.6 | 36.4 | 43.9 | 17.5 |  | 42.7 |
|  | Yes partially | 3.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.6 |  | 3.3 |
| Any other | Yes all | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  | 1.1 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.6 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 3.9 |  | 5.1 |
| Total |  | 1841 | 2940 | 3681 | 1131 | 3064 | 903 | 773 | 3008 | 4 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-67: Percent of employees who have reported that they get some specified benefits from their employer by activity sector

| BENEFITS FROM EMPLOYER |  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Clothing/Uniform | Yes all | 27.1 | 43.6 | 66.4 | 15.0 | 43.4 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.2 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Protective gear | Yes all | 21.0 | 35.8 | 78.4 | 12.6 | 40.6 |
|  | Yes partially | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
| Accommodation | Yes all | 10.2 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 12.3 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.8 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 6.4 |
| Transport | Yes all | 11.5 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 9.3 |
|  | Yes partially | 8.0 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 10.0 | 6.9 |
| Pay to and from annual leave | Yes all | 79.7 | 42.3 | 89.0 | 59.5 | 61.7 |
|  | Yes partially | 1.9 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| Food | Yes all | 9.1 | 27.8 | 8.6 | 14.4 | 18.8 |
|  | Yes partially | 11.2 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 12.3 | 10.5 |
| Free education for dependants | Yes all | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
|  | Yes partially | 3.7 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 4.2 |
| Maternal and paternal leave | Yes all | 90.4 | 54.7 | 94.5 | 71.9 | 72.1 |
|  | Yes partially | 1.3 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 2.1 |
| Health and safety | Yes all | 30.2 | 17.0 | 21.6 | 16.9 | 20.9 |
|  | Yes partially | 6.9 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 6.6 |
| Terminal benefits | Yes all | 63.9 | 28.2 | 57.0 | 36.3 | 42.7 |
|  | Yes partially | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
| Any other | Yes all | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.5 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
| Group Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-68: Percentage distribution of employees by the frequency of salary increase according to activity sector

| SALARY INCREAMENT FREQUENCY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Every year | 10.7 | 22.5 | 4.4 | 30.9 | 16.4 |
| Once every 3 years | 10.4 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| Once beyond 3 years | 7.2 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.2 |
| No increase | 52.1 | 51.7 | 72.2 | 37.7 | 55.5 |
| Doesn't know | 19.6 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 17.3 |
| No remuneration |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.6 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-69: Percentage distribution of employees by the frequency of salary increase according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | SALARY INCREAMENT FREQUENCY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\overleftarrow{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \frac{\lambda}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\tilde{\sim}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{U} \\ & . \underline{=} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{3}{c} \\ & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 22.3 | 10.5 | 5.8 | 41.2 | 19.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 15.9 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 54.2 | 18.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 12.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 60.7 | 16.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 23.5 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 46.0 | 18.5 | 0.2 |  | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 17.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 54.6 | 19.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 19.5 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 49.9 | 18.2 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 27.1 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 49.0 | 13.9 |  |  | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 11.1 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 67.0 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 30.0 |  |  |  | 14.1 |  | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 16.4 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 55.5 | 17.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1734 5 |

Table 4.4-70: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their salary is annually adjusted for inflation, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Is your salary annually adjusted for inflation? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes, <br> always | Yes, <br> sometimes | No | Don't <br> know | Missing <br> value | Row \% | Count |
|  | 2.6 | 9.2 | 85.0 | 3.3 |  | 100.0 | 1070 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 5.7 | 12.2 | 76.8 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2664 |
| HEALTH | 4.5 | 6.6 | 83.2 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 476 |
| NGO | 3.9 | 7.9 | 86.2 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 388 |
| Total | 4.7 | 10.5 | 80.2 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4597 |

Table 4.4-71: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their salary is annually adjusted for inflation, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Is your salary annually adjusted for inflation? |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes, always | Yes, sometimes | No | Don't <br> know | Missing value | Row \% | Count |
| Managers | 7.1 | 10.7 | 77.1 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 710 |
| Professionals | 4.3 | 10.9 | 81.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 782 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 4.7 | 8.7 | 83.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 784 |
| Clerical support workers | 3.0 | 12.4 | 80.1 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 400 |
| Services and sales workers Craft and related trade | 4.6 | 11.5 | 78.7 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 760 |
| workers | 5.7 | 10.3 | 78.6 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4.9 | 10.4 | 80.4 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 287 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.8 | 9.9 | 81.2 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 586 |
| Not specified |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 4.7 | 10.5 | 80.2 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4597 |

## Section H: Labour right and related issues

Table 4.4- 72: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to activity sector

| $*\|c\|$ Membership in any trade union  Group Total  <br>  ACTIVITY SECTOR   Missing <br> value     | Row \% | Count |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32.6 | 67.4 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 22.0 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 51.1 | 48.9 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 36.2 | 63.8 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 31.5 | 68.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-73: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Membership in any trade union |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| Managers | 37.3 | 62.7 |  | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 41.1 | 58.9 |  | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 44.2 | 55.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 27.5 | 72.5 |  | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 17.4 | 82.6 |  | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 26.8 | 73.2 |  | 100.0 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 22.8 | 77.2 |  | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 22.4 | 77.6 |  | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 14.1 | 30.0 | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 31.5 | 68.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-74: Percentage distribution of employees who are not a member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining organization by reason, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON EMPLOYEES DO NOT BELONG TO ANY TRADE UNION OR ANY OTHER COLLECTIVE BARGAINING ORGANISATION |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | む $\stackrel{y}{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 5.9 | 79.9 | 3.9 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1154 |
| Professionals | 4.6 | 78.9 | 5.4 | 10.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1733 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 4.2 | 79.8 | 4.1 | 10.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2053 |
| Clerical support workers | 4.9 | 83.8 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 820 |
| Services and sales workers | 4.3 | 83.5 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2532 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 3.6 | 81.1 | 4.3 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 661 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4.8 | 82.4 | 4.6 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 597 |
| Elementary occupations | 5.0 | 82.2 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2335 |
| Not stated |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 1 |
| Total | 4.6 | 81.4 | 4.5 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 11886 |

Table 4.4- 75: Percentage distribution of employees who are not a member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining organization by reason, according to activity sector

| REASON EMPLOYEES DO NOT BELONG TO ANY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRADE UNION OR ANY OTHER COLLECTIVE | Total |  |  |  |  |
| BARGAINING ORGANISATION |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |
| Employer does not allow | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 4.6 |
| I do not know any union | 80.6 | 81.9 | 78.6 | 87.5 | 81.4 |
| Unions do not help | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Other | 10.6 | 7.5 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 8.6 |
| Not stated | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 2547 | 6856 | 1856 | 627 | 11886 |

Table 4.4-76: Percentage distribution of employees by whether employer contributes for them regularly to the social security fund or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Is your employer contributing regularly to the social security fund for you? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
| PUBLIC | 88.7 | 8.5 | 2.8 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 57.3 | 37.2 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 94.0 | 3.7 | 2.3 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 69.5 | 28.3 | 2.2 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 72.9 | 23.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-77: Percentage distribution of employees by whether employer contributes for them regularly to the social security fund or not, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Is your employer contributing regularly to the social security fund for you? |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't <br> know | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 90.8 | 7.8 | 1.4 |  | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 88.3 | 10.0 | 1.6 |  | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 89.0 | 8.9 | 2.1 |  | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 80.8 | 15.5 | 3.7 |  | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 51.9 | 42.3 | 5.8 |  | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 65.7 | 30.2 | 4.1 |  | 100.0 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 77.5 | 18.3 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 46.3 | 45.2 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 30.0 | 14.1 |  | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 72.9 | 23.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-78: Percentage of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to specified dangers by occupation

| OCCUPATION | Type of hazardous work conditions |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |
| Managers | 19.3 | 15.6 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 24.5 | 13.6 | 15.3 | 29.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 31.8 | 18.5 | 18.8 | 48.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 23.9 | 23.3 | 15.6 | 17.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 25.6 | 25.0 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 55.7 | 57.2 | 43.5 | 75.6 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 55.9 | 51.2 | 44.8 | 53.9 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 44.1 | 22.6 | 40.9 | 51.7 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 14.1 |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 4 |
| Total | 32.1 | 23.0 | 26.1 | 39.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-79: Percentage of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to specified dangers, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY EXPOSE OF RISK | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |  |
| Extreme Dust, toxic gases | 30.3 | 33.2 |  | 23.5 | 32.1 |
| Extreme Noise temperature / humidity | 19.0 | 30.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 23.0 |
| Dangerous tools/animals | 22.3 | 32.1 | 17.6 | 19.3 | 26.1 |
| Work underground | 20.8 | 37.9 | 66.2 | 12.7 | 39.0 |
| Other | 1.3 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Total | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 |

## Section I. Challenges at workplace

Table 4.4-80: Percentage of employees who reported that they face some specified challenges at work by type of challenges according to occupation

| Type of challenge | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Clerical support workers |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| Verbal abuse | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 8.1 |  | 8.3 |
| Physical abuse | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 |  | 0.8 |
| Sexual harassment | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |
| Neglect | 5.6 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 9.5 |  | 8.7 |
| Nonpayment of salary Nonpayment of other | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.8 |  | 1.5 |
| benefits | 4.0 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |  | 4.3 |
| Delayed payments of salary | 6.1 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 13.2 | 8.0 |  | 8.2 |
| Delayed payments of benefits | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 |  | 5.9 |
| Underpayment of salary | 16.5 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 18.4 | 19.5 | 18.1 | 20.7 | 18.1 |  | 18.9 |
| Fatigue | 34.2 | 34.1 | 35.2 | 30.4 | 33.9 | 35.1 | 41.7 | 30.0 |  | 33.7 |
| Excess workload/hours | 38.9 | 36.9 | 36.7 | 32.1 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 38.5 | 18.8 |  | 32.1 |
| Dependants | 16.5 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 13.9 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 6.1 |  | 13.0 |
| Discrimination | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 0.6 |  | 0.9 |
| Other | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.8 |  | 4.6 |
| Total | 1841 | 2940 | 3681 | 1131 | 3064 | 903 | 773 | 3008 | 4 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-81: Percentage of employees who reported that they face some specified challenges at work by type of challenges according to activity sector

| Type of challenge | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Verbal abuse | 8.7 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 8.3 |
| Physical abuse | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Sexual harassment | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Neglect | 9.0 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 8.7 |
| Nonpayment of salary | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
| Nonpayment of other benefits | 6.5 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.3 |
| Delayed payments of salary | 10.3 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 8.2 |
| Delayed payments of benefits | 7.9 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 5.9 |
| Underpayment of salary | 22.2 | 16.7 | 21.2 | 16.0 | 18.9 |
| Fatigue | 41.3 | 30.4 | 37.5 | 19.9 | 33.7 |
| Excess workload/hours | 42.8 | 26.0 | 39.4 | 18.1 | 32.1 |
| Dependants | 19.1 | 8.5 | 18.9 | 6.3 | 13.0 |
| Discrimination | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Other | 5.6 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| Total | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-82: Percentage of employees reacting against faced challenge by the manner of reaction, according to activity sector

| Manner of reaction | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Inform HR management | 34.2 | 30.6 | 38.1 | 30.7 | 33.4 |
| Take painkillers | 8.5 | 6.6 | 13.3 | 6.1 | 8.8 |
| Talk to family members | 43.4 | 44.2 | 46.4 | 45.4 | 44.6 |
| Talk to supervisor | 53.9 | 46.6 | 52.9 | 50.4 | 50.2 |
| Inform police/lawyers | 6.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.1 |
| Inform manager/directors | 19.7 | 12.8 | 17.5 | 19.1 | 16.1 |
| Talk to friend | 39.0 | 43.1 | 44.3 | 34.7 | 42.0 |
| Ignore them | 35.3 | 33.7 | 38.0 | 28.6 | 35.0 |
| Inform the Labour Inspector | 4.3 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 2.8 |
| Ombudsman | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Public Service Commission | 2.6 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Other (specify) | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Total | 2172 | 3680 | 2022 | 308 | 8182 |

Table 4.4-83: Percentage of employees reacting against faced challenge by the manner of reaction, according to occupation

| Manner of reaction | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |
| Inform HR management | 29.9 | 32.4 | 37.7 | 32.7 | 35.0 | 37.3 | 36.9 | 26.8 | 100.0 | 33.4 |
| Take painkillers | 10.7 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 12.0 | 4.1 |  | 8.8 |
| Talk to family members | 43.0 | 42.3 | 45.0 | 43.6 | 45.2 | 47.5 | 55.1 | 43.1 |  | 44.6 |
| Talk to supervisor | 51.7 | 50.2 | 53.9 | 45.8 | 53.0 | 52.2 | 46.0 | 43.1 |  | 50.2 |
| Inform police/lawyers | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.0 |  | 4.1 |
| Inform manager/directors | 20.7 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 12.1 | 9.5 |  | 16.1 |
| Talk to friend | 37.5 | 40.6 | 41.7 | 41.4 | 44.7 | 45.4 | 46.9 | 41.8 |  | 42.0 |
| Ignore them | 37.3 | 36.6 | 34.6 | 31.6 | 36.1 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 34.9 |  | 35.0 |
| Inform the Labour Inspector | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.7 |  | 2.8 |
| Ombudsman | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 |  | 1.8 |
| Public Service Commission | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 |  | 1.8 |
| Other | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 |  | 0.9 |
| roup Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Group Total | 891 | 1471 | 1836 | 526 | 1380 | 422 | 416 | 1240 | 1 | 8182 |

## Section J. Gender

Table 4.4-84: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation has a gender policy, according to activity sector

| Does your organization have a gender <br> policy? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Yes | 85.4 | 59.1 | 77.2 | 74.2 | 69.6 |
| No | 7.1 | 25.4 | 11.1 | 16.9 | 17.8 |
| Unknown | 7.5 | 15.5 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 12.5 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.4-85: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex, according to activity sector

| Preferential treatment due to sex? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Yes | 5.6 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 4.9 |
| No | 86.1 | 80.7 | 88.1 | 87.2 | 83.9 |
| Unknown | 8.3 | 13.9 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 11.2 |
| Not stated |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

Table 4.4- 86: Percentage of employees who reported that their organisation practice some form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of such preferential treatment and activity sector

| TYPE OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Quota for women (managm.) | 77.5 | 24.1 | 74.4 | 65.7 | 46.5 |
| Overall quota for women | 61.8 | 28.7 | 70.7 | 57.4 | 44.0 |
| Pref. recruitment for women | 44.2 | 21.4 | 33.7 | 49.6 | 30.7 |
| Pref. recruitment for men | 9.7 | 55.5 | 13.5 | 43.5 | 38.2 |
| Maternity leave |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Differential retirement age | 17.8 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 15.7 |
| Preferential payment | 12.5 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 6.3 | 11.3 |
| Others | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Total | 212 | 475 | 101 | 64 | 852 |

Table 4.4-87: Percentage of employees by their opinions on whether any establishment should practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of preferential treatment and activity sector

| TYPE OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Quota for women (management) | 62.6 | 55.7 | 57.7 | 56.4 | 57.7 |
| Overall quota for women | 54.5 | 49.6 | 51.6 | 52.1 | 51.2 |
| Pref. recruitment for women | 24.7 | 24.1 | 23.4 | 28.6 | 24.3 |
| Pref. recruitment for men | 11.5 | 13.4 | 9.6 | 13.0 | 12.1 |
| Maternity leave | 92.2 | 86.6 | 92.7 | 88.4 | 89.3 |
| Differential retirement age | 39.6 | 33.8 | 37.6 | 39.7 | 36.2 |
| Preferential payment | 11.0 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 11.2 | 10.4 |
| Others | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Total | 3778 | 8787 | 3797 | 983 | 17345 |

## Section K. Use of ICT

Table 4.4-88: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation has introduced the use of ICT , according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Has your organization introduced the use of ICT? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 89.9 | 10.1 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 68.8 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 92.4 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 62.1 | 37.9 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 78.2 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-89: Percentage of employees who have reported that their establishments have introduced the use of ICT by type of its utilisation, according to activity sector

| UTILISATION TYPE | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Production | 90.1 | 69.4 | 84.5 |  | 79.0 |
| Marketing | 54.7 | 46.5 | 25.4 | 34.3 | 42.5 |
| Human resource management | 59.7 | 44.5 | 46.0 | 40.5 | 48.5 |
| Communication | 78.8 | 58.5 | 71.3 | 68.9 | 67.4 |
| Records management | 94.5 | 74.2 | 93.0 | 89.3 | 84.8 |
| Accounting/Finance/Budgeting | 90.8 | 72.6 | 90.0 | 85.7 | 82.3 |
| Others | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Recruitment | 46.2 | 23.0 | 26.3 | 21.9 | 29.6 |
| Total | 3396 | 6045 | 3510 | 611 | 13562 |

Table 4.4-90: Percentage of employees who reported the way their establishments were affected by the use of technology, according to activity sector

| USE OF TECHNOLOGY EFFECTS |  | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Production | Increased/improved | 88.5 | 67.8 | 82.9 | 78.5 | 77.4 |
|  | Decreased | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
|  | No effect | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 |
|  | Not applicable | 5.8 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 9.8 |
|  | Don't know | 4.6 | 19.8 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 11.8 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Marketing | Increased/improved | 53.5 | 44.7 | 24.3 | 32.6 | 41.1 |
|  | Decreased | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
|  | No effect | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 1.4 |
|  | Not applicable | 36.4 | 28.0 | 62.1 | 49.3 | 39.9 |
|  | Don't know | 7.8 | 25.5 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 16.8 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Human resource management | Increased/improved | 58.4 | 42.3 | 43.2 | 36.8 | 46.3 |
|  | Decreased | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |
|  | No effect | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 1.6 |
|  | Not applicable | 32.5 | 31.1 | 44.9 | 44.7 | 35.6 |
|  | Don't know | 6.7 | 24.7 | 9.4 | 13.3 | 15.7 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Communication | Increased/improved | 76.9 | 56.3 | 68.9 | 65.2 | 65.1 |
|  | Decreased | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |  | 0.5 |
|  | No effect | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
|  | Not applicable | 16.3 | 18.8 | 22.6 | 21.1 | 19.2 |
|  | Don't know | 5.0 | 23.3 | 6.8 | 10.1 | 13.8 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Records management | Increased/improved | 92.3 | 71.2 | 89.5 | 84.7 | 81.9 |
|  | Decreased | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | No effect | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
|  | Not applicable | 2.1 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 4.6 |
|  | Don't know | 4.2 | 20.4 | 4.9 | 8.4 | 11.8 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Accounting/Finance/Bud geting | Increased/improved | 88.5 | 69.8 | 87.6 | 80.8 | 79.6 |
|  | Decreased | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | No effect | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
|  | Not applicable | 5.0 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
|  | Don't know | 4.9 | 21.0 | 5.8 | 9.5 | 12.5 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Others | Increased/improved | 4.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 |
|  | Decreased | 5.4 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | No effect | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
|  | Not applicable | 81.1 | 68.7 | 80.9 | 79.8 | 75.5 |
|  | Don't know | 8.1 | 23.3 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 15.8 |
|  | Not stated | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Recruitment | Increased/improved | 44.1 | 21.4 | 24.6 | 20.6 | 27.9 |
|  | Decreased | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 2.1 |
|  | No effect | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
|  | Not applicable | 43.3 | 49.2 | 61.0 | 59.7 | 51.2 |
|  | Don't know | 8.8 | 26.2 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 17.2 |
|  | Not stated | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | Total \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 3396 | 6045 | 3510 | 611 | 13562 |

Table 4.4-91: Percentage of employees who have reported that they have access to specified ICT facilities according to activity sector

| ICT FACILITIES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Individual Computer | 55.6 | 29.2 | 21.2 | 43.0 | 34.4 |
| Shared computer | 47.0 | 25.8 | 53.3 | 39.4 | 38.9 |
| Private access to email | 62.8 | 32.3 | 45.7 | 42.5 | 43.9 |
| Common access to email | 50.7 | 25.3 | 33.5 | 35.9 | 34.3 |
| Access to internet | 63.3 | 34.3 | 50.4 | 48.8 | 46.4 |
| Others | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Total | 3396 | 6045 | 3510 | 611 | 13562 |

Table 4.4-92: Percentage of employees who reported that they need different specified ICT facilities to perform their duty in their daily work, according to activity sector

| ICT FACILITIES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Individual Computer | 78.3 | 41.6 | 68.7 | 59.9 | 58.6 |
| Shared computer | 51.8 | 30.0 | 62.5 | 43.9 | 44.5 |
| Private access to email | 76.3 | 42.7 | 72.5 | 57.3 | 59.5 |
| Common access to email | 67.0 | 35.1 | 63.6 | 47.5 | 51.0 |
| Access to internet | 80.4 | 46.0 | 78.9 | 63.9 | 63.9 |
| Others | 3.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Total | 3396 | 6045 | 3510 | 611 | 13562 |

Table 4.4-93: Percentage distribution of employees using individual computers by whether they feel properly equipped to make a full use of the potential of ICT at work place, according to activity sector.

| Do you feel properly equipped to make full use <br> of potential of ICT at your workplace? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Yes | 55.8 | 59.5 | 33.6 | 52.5 | 49 |
| No, lack of skills | 11 | 8.3 | 15.6 | 12 | 12 |
| No, lack of enough equipment | 21.1 | 17.3 | 27.6 | 13.9 | 22 |
| No Lack of skills and equipment | 11.9 | 14.4 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 17 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 |  | 0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1350 | 1155 | 1432 | 142 | 4079 |

## Section L. Earnings

Table 4.4-94: Mean monthly earning by occupation and activity sector (in thousands RWF)

| OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE FORMAL |  | HEALTH |  | NGO |  |  |  |
|  | gross | net | gross | net | gross | net | gross | net | gross | net |
| Managers | 411.2 | 280.1 | 527.1 | 402.2 | 373.2 | 255.3 | 741.9 | 530.8 | 464.9 | 333.2 |
| Professionals | 464.2 | 296.5 | 333.7 | 243.0 | 299.6 | 208.4 | 233.4 | 178.5 | 353.4 | 241.7 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 319.3 | 224.8 | 230.7 | 172.9 | 197.8 | 137.6 | 168.2 | 126.7 | 230.8 | 164.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 408.5 | 231.8 | 191.5 | 140.5 | 148.3 | 108.1 | 208.1 | 175.6 | 248.1 | 164.4 |
| Services and sales workers | 96.2 | 70.9 | 77.2 | 61.1 | 95.0 | 74.3 | 53.6 | 43.5 | 80.2 | 62.7 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 256.7 | 183.4 | 99.2 | 81.4 | 137.1 | 100.5 | 53.1 | 45.0 | 120.0 | 94.6 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 165.1 | 141.6 | 117.8 | 94.4 | 93.8 | 82.6 | 228.8 | 165.8 | 125.3 | 101.6 |
| Elementary occupations | 69.5 | 44.2 | 28.1 | 24.7 | 37.0 | 30.0 | 40.3 | 33.8 | 32.4 | 26.9 |
| Not stated |  |  | 106.4 | 91.3 |  |  |  |  | 106.4 | 91.3 |
| Total | 336.5 | 223.8 | 145.6 | 111.8 | 209.5 | 147.0 | 214.3 | 161.1 | 205.3 | 146.9 |

Table 4.4-95: Percentage distribution of employees by the mode of their monthly payment, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Mode of your monthly payment |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \stackrel{y}{c} \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{0}{n}$ 0 0 0 ह ह 0 0 $\frac{0}{0}$ |  |  |  | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{+}$ <br> 0 | ¢ t 0 0 0 0 |  |  |
| Managers | 99.6 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1822 |
| Professionals | 99.6 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2880 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 99.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3607 |
| Clerical support workers | 99.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1113 |
| Services and sales workers | 99.6 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2977 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 99.4 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 902 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 99.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.6 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Elementary occupations | 99.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3006 |
| Not stated | 44.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 99.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 17079 |

Table 4.4-96: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have other occupation, according to present occupation

| PRESENT OCCUPATION | Do you have another occupation / job/employment? |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes, another employ ment | Yes, another business (selfempl.) | Yes, farming | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 92.6 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1841 |
| Professionals | 89.4 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2940 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 90.8 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3681 |
| Clerical support workers | 93.8 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 |  | 100.0 | 1131 |
| Services and sales workers | 90.4 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3064 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 92.1 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.7 |  | 100.0 | 903 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 92.6 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 100.0 | 773 |
| Elementary occupations | 92.1 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3008 |
| Not stated | 14.1 | 30.0 |  |  | 55.9 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Total | 91.2 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-97: Percentage distribution of employees having another occupation by the type of second occupation, according to activity sector

| SECOND OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| Managers | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Professionals | 11.0 | 7.5 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 7.2 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 6.4 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Service and sales workers | 13.2 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 16.3 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 53.7 | 45.7 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 49.9 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 1.8 | 9.2 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 5.0 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 3.3 |
| Elementary occupation | 5.7 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 6.0 |
| Armed forces occupation |  |  | 1.4 |  | 0.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Group Total | 275 | 690 | 245 | 300 | 1510 |

Table 4.4-98: Mean annually gross income (in thousands) from all additional jobs by additional occupation and activity sector

| SECOND OCCUPATION | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Managers | 1000.0 | 3438.7 | 1704.1 | 2044.4 | 2387.3 |
| Professionals | 2527.1 | 1958.4 | 3885.6 | 1766.9 | 2419.3 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 963.5 | 1756.9 | 889.0 | 1236.2 | 1358.9 |
| Clerical support workers | 240.0 | 3192.7 | 3479.9 | 1000.0 | 2106.3 |
| Service and sales workers | 1237.7 | 1467.3 | 954.2 | 461.6 | 1141.0 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 376.2 | 279.4 | 315.9 | 188.3 | 285.2 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 3599.1 | 712.1 | 273.3 | 747.1 | 814.0 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2649.0 | 2758.1 | 714.7 | 888.0 | 2336.7 |
| Elementary occupation | 180.6 | 1461.7 | 944.2 | 336.6 | 1154.5 |
| Armed forces occupation |  |  | 168.0 |  | 168.0 |
| Mean | 955.7 | 988.4 | 902.1 | 553.9 | 880.7 |

## Section M. HIV/AIDS at workplace

Table 4.4-99: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their establishments have an HIV/AIDS policy, according to activity sector

| Do you have an HIV / AIDS policy at <br> workplace? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE | FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Group |
| :---: |
| Total |
| Yes |
| No |
| Don't know |
| Not stated |

Table 4.4-100: Percentage of employees who reported their establishments have HIV policy by provided services according to activity sector

| PROVIDED SERVICES | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| VCT services | 96.8 | 94.8 | 98.6 | 97.2 | 96.9 |
| Free ARVs for HIV+ workers | 19.2 | 14.4 | 77.2 | 12.5 | 38.1 |
| Free condom distribution | 52.4 | 34.0 | 81.4 | 16.7 | 54.6 |
| Free food for HIV+ workers | 6.2 | 12.8 | 30.9 | 14.3 | 17.7 |
| Equal rights | 74.3 | 61.7 | 86.6 | 70.3 | 74.6 |
| Others | 3.7 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.5 |
| TOTAL | 2375 | 2832 | 3318 | 686 | 9210 |

## Section N. Job search and candidate preferences

Table 4.4-101: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are looking for a different job, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Are you currently looking for a different job? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 11.7 | 88.3 |  | 100.0 | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 10.4 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 7.8 | 92.2 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 10.9 | 89.1 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 10.2 | 89.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-102: Percentage distribution of employees who are looking for a different job by their current occupation, according to the first priority targeted occupation

| FIRST PRIORITY TARGETED OCCUPATION | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢0 3 0 ¢ | + |
| Managers | 34.6 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 13.9 | 11.2 |  | 0.3 | 1.2 |  | 100.0 | 217 |
| Professionals | 10.2 | 41.9 | 21.2 | 9.2 | 13.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 539 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 10.7 | 15.8 | 59.2 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 |  | 100.0 | 224 |
| Clerical support workers | 6.8 | 13.5 | 11.3 | 27.4 | 26.5 |  | 3.4 | 11.1 |  | 100.0 | 84 |
| Service and sales workers Skilled agricultural, forestry and | 3.8 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 50.8 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 17.1 |  | 100.0 | 303 |
| fishery workers |  | 8.4 | 13.5 | 8.2 | 53.1 |  |  | 16.8 |  | 100.0 | 19 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 0.7 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 24.0 | 28.8 | 5.2 | 25.2 |  | 100.0 | 124 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1.9 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 39.7 | 8.2 | 24.4 | 16.4 |  | 100.0 | 163 |
| Elementary occupation |  | 1.6 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 22.2 |  | 1.6 | 63.6 |  | 100.0 | 51 |
| Not stated | 9.6 | 15.6 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 25.7 | 4.6 |  | 28.7 |  | 100.0 | 38 |
| Total | 10.2 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 8.4 | 23.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1762 |

Table 4.4-103: Percentage distribution of employees who are looking for a different job by their current occupation, according to the second priority targeted occupation

|  | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SECOND PRIORITY TARGETED OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ৯o } \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | H <br> $\stackrel{y}{5}$ <br> 0 |
| Managers | 27.7 | 26.3 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 13.1 |  | 2.1 |  | 100.0 | 78 |
| Professionals | 14.6 | 45.1 | 24.8 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 154 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 10.4 | 26.4 | 37.4 | 13.2 | 8.1 | 3.4 |  | 1.2 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Clerical support workers | 8.9 | 27.0 | 12.3 | 7.9 | 39.8 |  |  | 4.1 | 100.0 | 22 |
| Service and sales workers | 3.0 | 4.0 | 14.7 | 13.9 | 41.7 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 18.9 | 100.0 | 88 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 8.9 |  | 16.6 | 8.2 | 53.5 |  |  | 12.9 | 100.0 | 20 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  | 4.0 | 4.1 |  | 12.0 | 50.0 | 4.3 | 25.5 | 100.0 | 20 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  |  | 3.8 | 46.8 | 12.5 | 19.0 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 21 |
| Elementary occupation |  |  |  |  | 45.2 |  |  | 54.8 | 100.0 | 10 |
| Armed forces occupation |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 1 |
| Not stated | 14.6 | 31.7 | 7.2 | 14.6 | 32.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 11 |
| Total | 12.0 | 24.6 | 19.3 | 9.4 | 20.7 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 481 |

Table 4.4-104: Percentage of employees who reported that they are looking for a different job by used means to search for it and targeted occupation

| TARGETED OCCUPATION | USED MEAN TO SEARCH FOR A JOB |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\sum_{\sim}^{N}$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{ \pm}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 63.8 | 13.0 | 87.2 | 13.9 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 179 |
| Professionals | 66.9 | 12.1 | 87.4 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 350 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 71.7 | 14.4 | 85.6 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 348 |
| Clerical support workers | 68.3 | 15.7 | 80.2 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Services and sales workers | 89.2 | 27.0 | 41.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 408 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 89.3 | 24.5 | 31.5 |  | 3.4 | 3.8 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 74 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 86.1 | 22.1 | 45.2 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 73 |
| Elementary occupations | 88.1 | 28.9 | 26.4 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Total | 76.8 | 19.0 | 65.5 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1762 |

Table 4.4-105: Percentage of employees who reported that they are looking for a different job by the means used to search for it, according to activity sector.

| USED METHOD TO SEARCH FOR A JOB | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Word of mouth/family/friends | 65.2 | 84.9 | 74.1 | 62.4 | 76.8 |
| Unsolicited / passing-by | 15.1 | 23.5 | 11.7 | 17.6 | 19.0 |
| Internet, media | 86.7 | 51.3 | 84.5 | 46.0 | 65.5 |
| LMIS | 13.8 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 15.1 | 7.3 |
| Job agents / bureaus | 7.6 | 5.4 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 6.6 |
| Training institutions | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| Other | 2.8 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 4.2 |
| Total | 442 | 915 | 298 | 107 | 1762 |

Table 4.4-106: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they want to move away from their current place of residence to search for a different job, according to their current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | Do you want to move away from your <br> current residence to search for a new job? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 77.7 | 22.3 |  | 100.0 | 1841.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professionals | 79.0 | 21.0 |  | 100.0 | 2940.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical and associate professionals | 78.0 | 22.0 |  | 100.0 | 3680.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical support workers | 78.8 | 21.2 |  | 100.0 | 1131.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services and sales workers | 79.0 | 20.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3064.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Craft and related trade workers | 82.2 | 17.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 902.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 81.4 | 18.6 |  | 100.0 | 773.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary occupations | 67.4 | 32.6 |  | 100.0 | 3007.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated | 44.1 |  | 55.9 | 100.0 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 76.9 | 23.1 | 0.03 | 100.0 | 17345 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.4-107: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they want to move away from their current place of residence for a different job or not, according to activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Do you want to move away from your current <br> residence to search for a new job? |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 81.9 | 18.1 |  |  | 3778 |
| PRIVATE FORMAL | 76.0 | 24.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8787 |
| HEALTH | 76.9 | 23.1 |  | 100.0 | 3797 |
| NGO | 66.0 | 34.0 |  | 100.0 | 983 |
| Total | 76.9 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17345 |

Table 4.4-108: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by reason, according to their current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | Why are you willing to move? |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 76.6 | 17.7 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 |  | 100.0 | 1430 |
| Professionals | 77.5 | 15.9 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2324 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 79.0 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2873 |
| Clerical support workers | 79.9 | 16.4 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 |  | 100.0 | 891 |
| Services and sales workers | 86.4 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2421 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 84.8 | 13.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 742 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 90.5 | 8.9 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 629 |
| Elementary occupations | 91.0 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2027 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 2 |
| Total | 82.6 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13339 |

Table 4.4-109: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by reason, according to activity sector

| Why are you willing to move? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PUBLIC |  | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |
| Better salary/benefits | 78.9 | 86.0 | 81.6 | 69.2 | 82.6 |
| Better working conditions | 16.6 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 13.4 |
| Exposure | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Security | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Other | 2.5 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 12.4 | 2.5 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 309 | 6677 | 2918 | 649 | 13339 |

Table 4.4-110: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by the place they want to move to for a different job, according to their current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | Where do you want to move to? |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 20.6 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 70.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1430 |
| Professionals | 22.3 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 71.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2324 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 28.1 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 66.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2873 |
| Clerical support workers | 22.3 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 72.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 891 |
| Services and sales workers | 31.9 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 60.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2421 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 28.6 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 64.2 |  | 100.0 | 742 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 27.2 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 65.1 |  | 100.0 | 629 |
| Elementary occupations | 51.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 44.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2027 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 2 |
| Total | 30.1 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 63.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 13339 |

Table 4.4-111: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by the place they want to move to for a different job, according to activity sector

| Where do you want to move to? | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO | Total |
| within Rwanda | 25.9 | 31.2 | 31.5 | 32.0 | 30.1 |
| Other EAC countries | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.9 |
| Other African counties/Rest of Africa | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Out of Africa | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Anywhere | 67.4 | 62.3 | 63.2 | 63.0 | 63.7 |
| Not stated | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Group Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 3094 | 6677 | 2918 | 649 | 13339 |

Table 4.4-112: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the first important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | FIRST PRIORITY REASON TO MOVE INSIDE RWANDA OR IN EAC ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\imath}{n} \\ & \frac{n}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $$ |  |  |
| Managers | 62.7 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 22.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 411 |
| Professionals | 65.9 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 20.5 |  | 100.0 | 617 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 71.6 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 15.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 808 |
| Clerical support workers | 71.3 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 15.1 |  | 100.0 | 240 |
| Services and sales workers | 64.8 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 14.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 641 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 68.0 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 8.7 |  | 100.0 | 159 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 65.2 | 12.1 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 13.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Elementary occupations | 71.8 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 981 |
| Total | 68.4 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 14.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4001 |

Table 4.4-113: Distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the first important reason, according to activity sector

| FIRST PRIORITY REASON TO MOVE INSIDE RWANDA OR <br> IN EAC ONLY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Family / dependents / friends |  | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Different culture | 71.7 | 65.3 | 72.0 | 71.6 | 68.4 |
| Too risky | 2.7 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Language problems | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 3.8 |
| Lack skills / competence | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| Lack certificate / authorization | 3.1 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 4.9 |
| Other | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Not stated | 16.5 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 16.5 | 14.3 |
| Total | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

Table 4.4-114: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the second important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | SECOND PRIORITY REASON TO MOVE INSIDE RWANDA OR IN EAC ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{v} \\ & \frac{n}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\pm$ <br> $\pm$ |  |  |
| Managers | 14.6 | 14.2 | 24.5 | 4.7 | 11.8 | 6.8 | 23.4 | 100.0 | 185 |
| Professionals | 13.4 | 15.2 | 30.7 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 269 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 9.2 | 17.3 | 22.4 | 9.2 | 13.7 | 8.8 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 443 |
| Clerical support workers | 6.7 | 23.1 | 23.4 | 8.9 | 17.2 | 6.5 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Services and sales workers | 7.1 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 20.9 | 24.6 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 388 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.9 | 10.4 | 17.4 | 22.4 | 19.2 | 7.0 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 6.3 | 10.5 | 29.2 | 17.1 | 20.4 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Elementary occupations | 6.7 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 22.7 | 32.7 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 692 |
| Total | 8.8 | 13.3 | 18.7 | 15.2 | 21.2 | 8.7 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 2305 |

Table 4.4-115: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the second important reason, according to activity sector

| SECOND PRIORITY REASON TO MOVE INSIDE RWANDA OR IN EAC ONLY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Family / dependents / friends | 7.8 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| Different culture | 17.6 | 12.4 | 14.6 | 9.0 | 13.3 |
| Too risky | 26.5 | 14.6 | 22.1 | 23.3 | 18.7 |
| Language problems | 7.3 | 19.1 | 9.6 | 17.0 | 15.2 |
| Lack skills / competence | 14.2 | 24.6 | 16.8 | 21.6 | 21.2 |
| Lack certificate / authorization | 8.0 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| Other | 18.6 | 12.1 | 16.8 | 12.0 | 14.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 346 | 1294 | 455 | 209 | 2305 |

Table 4.4-116: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the third important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\imath}{n} \\ & \hdashline \frac{n}{2} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | Lack skills / competence |  | $\pm$ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ \pm}$ |  |  |
| Managers |  | 2.3 | 17.8 | 27.5 | 24.0 | 19.4 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Professionals | 7.5 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 27.3 | 11.2 | 13.4 | 17.6 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 6.7 | 12.9 | 5.9 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 25.8 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 163 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.9 | 3.7 | 17.3 | 34.0 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Services and sales workers | 4.3 | 5.3 | 8.6 | 14.8 | 21.3 | 33.3 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 188 |
| Craft and related trade workers Plant and machine operators and | 7.9 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 18.4 | 29.5 | 25.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 45 |
| assemblers | 3.3 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 44.0 | 17.3 | 21.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 51 |
| Elementary occupations | 3.8 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 15.9 | 31.0 | 35.4 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Total | 4.6 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 20.4 | 22.7 | 28.6 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 1007 |

Table 4.4-117: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the third important reason, according to activity sector

| If you don't want to move, please indicate why | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE <br> FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Family / dependents / friends |  | 3.1 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 4.6 |
| Different culture | 9.7 | 3.9 | 11.9 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| Too risky | 9.5 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 7.8 |
| Language problems | 17.9 | 21.2 | 17.5 | 23.4 | 20.4 |
| Lack skills / competence | 12.6 | 27.3 | 15.7 | 18.0 | 22.7 |
| Lack certificate / authorization | 28.0 | 30.7 | 21.5 | 29.5 | 28.6 |
| Other | 15.4 | 6.0 | 16.4 | 13.9 | 9.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 108 | 621 | 184 | 95 | 1007 |

Table 4.4-118: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the first important reason, according to the current occupation.

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | FIRST PRIORITY REASON TO NOT WANT TO MOVE AWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\imath}{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\Omega$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | む $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ \pm}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 63.6 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 389 |
| Professionals | 61.1 | 9.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 644 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 62.7 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 956 |
| Clerical support workers | 52.9 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 3.1 | 8.8 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 244 |
| Services and sales workers | 55.8 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 912 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 52.4 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 13.7 | 10.2 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 70.1 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Elementary occupations | 53.1 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 18.1 | 10.5 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1133 |
| Total | 58.2 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 4744 |

Table 4.4-119: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the first important reason, according to activity sector

| FIRST PRIORITY REASON TO NOT WANT TO MOVE AWAY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Family / dependents / friends | 63.1 | 54.4 | 63.7 | 52.1 | 58.2 |
| Different culture | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 6.4 |
| Too risky | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.1 |
| Language problems | 4.5 | 13.8 | 3.9 | 15.1 | 9.7 |
| Lack skills / competence | 5.0 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 9.7 | 7.7 |
| Lack certificate / authorization | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 2.6 |
| Other | 9.7 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 7.5 |
| Not stated | 6.3 | 3.8 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 5.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 976 | 2421 | 1094 | 253 | 4744 |

Table 4.4-120: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the second important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | SECOND PRIORITY REASON TO NOT WANT TO MOVE AWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{n} \\ & \vdots \vdots \\ & \circ \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{y}{\square}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 12.7 | 20.5 | 14.7 | 8.9 | 12.7 | 7.7 | 16.6 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 240 |
| Professionals | 12.1 | 23.7 | 15.1 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 14.2 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 389 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 10.7 | 25.9 | 12.2 | 14.7 | 11.2 | 6.6 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 604 |
| Clerical support workers | 8.9 | 24.6 | 10.3 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 10.8 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 155 |
| Services and sales workers | 7.4 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 25.0 | 25.5 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 667 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 8.8 | 10.9 | 6.4 | 27.8 | 23.0 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 165 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4.8 | 16.2 | 8.5 | 28.5 | 20.9 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Elementary occupations | 6.8 | 12.6 | 7.5 | 32.1 | 27.0 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 923 |
| Total | 8.8 | 18.2 | 9.9 | 22.0 | 19.0 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 3304 |

Table 4.4-121: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the second important reason, according to activity sector

| SECOND PRIORITY REASON TO NOT WANT TO MOVE AWAY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Family / dependents / friends |  | PRIVATE | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Different culture | 12.3 | 7.8 | 7.9 |  | 8.8 |
| Too risky | 20.5 | 15.5 | 22.1 | 21.0 | 18.2 |
| Language problems | 12.6 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 9.4 | 9.9 |
| Lack skills / competence | 12.1 | 28.1 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 22.0 |
| Lack certificate / authorization | 16.1 | 23.5 | 10.6 | 18.4 | 19.0 |
| Other | 6.5 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 10.2 | 8.0 |
| Not stated | 12.6 | 6.3 | 10.3 | 3.9 | 8.2 |
| Total | 7.4 | 2.8 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 5.8 |

Table 4.4-122: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the third important reason, according to the current occupation

|  | THIRD PRIORITY REASON TO NOT WANT TO MOVE AWAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 근 } \\ & \vdots \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{0}{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 6.4 | 8.2 | 13.5 | 15.5 | 5.1 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Professionals | 9.1 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 6.2 | 13.0 | 11.8 | 25.9 | 100.0 | 168 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 5.6 | 8.2 | 12.5 | 17.4 | 14.5 | 15.2 | 8.6 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Clerical support workers | 7.9 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 18.0 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 5.1 | 25.9 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Services and sales workers | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 15.0 | 22.6 | 33.0 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 4.9 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 22.5 | 35.5 | 6.1 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 84 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 5.3 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 15.0 | 24.1 | 31.1 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Elementary occupations | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 14.6 | 33.9 | 28.1 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 575 |
| Total | 6.1 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 14.8 | 22.3 | 24.8 | 6.8 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 1730 |

Table 4.4-123: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the third important reason, according to activity sector

| THIRD PRIORITY REASON TO NOT WANT TO MOVE AWAY | ACTIVITY SECTOR |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC | PRIVATE FORMAL | HEALTH | NGO |  |
| Family / dependents / friends | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| Different culture | 7.8 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 6.4 |
| Too risky | 10.1 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 7.7 |
| Language problems | 14.7 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 25.8 | 14.8 |
| Lack skills / competence | 13.2 | 28.3 | 14.7 | 17.0 | 22.3 |
| Lack certificate / authorization | 23.9 | 29.6 | 14.1 | 19.5 | 24.8 |
| Other | 8.5 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| Not stated | 15.7 | 4.9 | 22.2 | 17.9 | 11.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Group Total | 286 | 975 | 355 | 114 | 1730 |

### 4.5. Education employees' module

## Section A: General personal information

Table 4.5-1: Percentage distribution of employee by sex according to Type of learning institution

| LEARNING INSTITUTTION | Sex |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total |  |
| Primary | 49.8 | 50.2 | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 29.5 | 70.5 | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 22.6 | 77.4 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 28.6 | 71.4 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 41 | 59 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-2: Percentage distribution of employees by age group according to sex

| Age group | Sex |  | Group Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male |  |
| $15-19$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 13.6 |
| $20-24$ | 15.1 | 12.6 | 27.6 |
| $25-29$ | 26.2 | 28.5 | 22.3 |
| $30-34$ | 23.6 | 21.5 | 13.8 |
| $35-39$ | 14.1 | 13.7 | 8.2 |
| $40-44$ | 7.4 | 8.7 | 5.8 |
| $45-49$ | 5.1 | 6.3 | 3.9 |
| $50-54$ | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| $55+$ | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 |
| Not stated | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-3: Percentage distribution of employees by marital status according to Type of learning institution

| Marital status | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 33.1 | 47.9 | 45.6 | 27.5 | 38.6 |
| Separated | 63.1 | 49.7 | 51.7 | 70 | 58.2 |
| Divorced | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Widowed | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Missing value | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.5-4: Percentage distribution of employees by nationality, according to Type of learning institution

| Nationality | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| Kenyan | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 1.5 |
| Rwandan | 97.3 | 94.4 | 91.7 | 83.5 | 95.7 |
| Tanzanian |  |  | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Ugandan | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| The rest of Africa |  | 2.1 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 1 |
| The rest of the world |  |  |  | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-5: Percentage distribution of employees by weather they have disability or not, according to Type of learning institution

|  | Disability |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Yes | Notal |  |  |
| Primary | 2.1 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 1.3 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 27256 |
| TVET | 2.1 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| University | 1.1 | 98.9 | 100.0 | 2220 |
| Total | 1.8 | 98.2 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5- 6: Percentage distribution of employees with disabilities by the type of disability, according to Type of learning institution

| Type of disability | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
|  | 4.9 | 13.4 | 5.1 |  | 6.8 |
| Hearing (deaf, hard of hearing) | 4.9 | 14.6 | 23.7 |  | 8.3 |
| Communicating (speech impairment) |  |  | 5.1 |  | 0.3 |
| Other Physic disability/physical handicap. | 58.8 | 42.8 | 42.4 | 78.7 | 54.4 |
| Intellectual (difficulties in learning) | 4.9 |  | 4.6 |  | 3.7 |
| /mental problem |  |  | 4.9 |  | 3.7 |
| Emotional (behavioral, psychology.) | 4.9 |  | 9.9 | 21.3 | 7.7 |
| Other |  | 29.2 | 4.3 |  | 15.1 |
| Not stated | 21.6 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 98 | 23 | 1484 |
| Total | 1021 | 343 | 98 |  |  |

## Section B. Nature of current employment

Table 4.5-7: Percentage distribution of employee by occupation according to Type of learning institution

| OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Professionals | 3.7 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 15.8 | 5 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 87.6 | 63.5 | 53.2 | 75.4 | 77.3 |
| Clerical support workers | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| Services and sales workers | 0.6 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery | 5.5 | 17.9 | 23.4 | 2 | 10.5 |
| workers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Plant and machine operators and |  |  | 1.1 |  | 0.2 |
| assemblers | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 4.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.3 | 7.6 | 8.9 |  | 0 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-8: Percentage distribution of employee by nature of employment contract, according to Type of learning institution

| employment contract | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 35.4 | 89.7 | 86.7 | 95.2 | 93 |
| Casual worker | 0.2 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| Seasonal worker | 0.3 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.2 |
| Daily worker | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Other (specify) | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.4 |  | 0.6 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4709 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-9: Percentage distribution of employee by the type of contract, according to Type of learning institution

| Contract | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  | 35.7 | 46.9 | 66.7 | 68.6 | 42.1 |
| Oral contract | 4.9 | 7.9 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 6.2 |
| No contract | 4.4 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5 |
| Do not know / not sure | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Other (specify) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Under Statute | 53.5 | 37.2 | 15 | 28.3 | 45.2 |
| Missing value |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-10: Percentage distribution of employees by the method followed to get a job, according to Type of learning institution

|  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Means of getting job | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
| Passed test and appointed | 33.6 | 37.3 | 29.3 | 63.9 | 35.4 |
| Through relatives/friends | 6.7 | 13.5 | 19.7 | 3 | 9.6 |
| Head hunting | 6.4 | 8.5 | 12.1 | 9.2 | 7.5 |
| Nominated | 49.3 | 37.1 | 35.5 | 22.8 | 43.8 |
| Other | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 3.8 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-11: Percentage distribution of employee by the year of starting the job, according to Type of learning institution

| YEAR OF STARTING THE JOB | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2 |
| $1995-1999$ | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| 2000-2004 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 8.5 |
| $2004-2009$ | 15.1 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 12.3 |
| 2010 and above | 38 | 32.9 | 37.1 | 45.1 | 36.5 |
| Not stated | 32.8 | 50.4 | 39.9 | 32.6 | 39 |
|  | 0.2 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.2 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.5-12: Percentage distribution of employee by whether their current job matches their official education/trainings, according to Type of learning institution

| match of official education | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | TOTAL |
|  | 85.4 | 79.9 | 75.4 | 97.7 | 83.3 |
| No (other job than qualification) | 10.3 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 0.5 | 10.5 |
| No (lower level than qualification) | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| No (higher level than qualification) | 1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Not applicable (no training) | 1.9 | 4.3 | 9 | 0.5 | 3.1 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-13: Percentage distribution of employees by whether the current employment is the first one, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Is this your first employment in Rwanda |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 55.8 | 44.2 |  | 100.0 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 50.6 | 49.4 |  | 100.0 | 27256 |
| TVET | 43.0 | 56.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| University | 34.7 | 65.3 |  | 100.0 | 2220 |
| Total | 52.8 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-14: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the type of establishment they previously worked for, according to Type of learning institution

| Establishment | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Ministry and other | 65.8 | 49.7 | 43.1 | 63.7 | 58.6 |
| Parastatal | 6.1 | 7.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| Company | 19.6 | 32.9 | 37.8 | 23.2 | 25.6 |
| Co-operative | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 3.7 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 4.5 |
| Other (specify) | 3.8 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
|  | 21351 | 13454 | 2678 | 1449 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-15: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by employment status in their previous job, according to Type of learning institution

| Employment status | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Own account worker | 3.6 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 3.4 |  |
| Employer | 0.5 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 1.8 |
| Employee | 95.4 | 92.6 | 90.0 | 90.3 | 93.9 |
| Unpaid family worker | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Not stated |  | 0.3 |  | 1.2 | 0.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 21351 | 13454 | 2678 | 1449 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-16: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the establishment's main economic activity, according to Type of learning institution

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 2 }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { 号 }}{\gtrless}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { N }}$ |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 0.7 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| Mining and quarrying |  | 0.4 | 0.5 |  | 0.2 |
| Manufacturing | 2.5 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply |  |  | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Water supply, sewage, waste management and remediation activity |  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Construction | 1.6 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and Moto | 2.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 3.5 |
| Transportation and storage | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Accommodations and food service activities | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 |
| Information and communication | 0.7 |  | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.1 |
| Administrative and support service activities | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| Public administration defense and compulsory social security | 7.2 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 17.0 | 9.0 |
| Education | 72.0 | 59.6 | 48.4 | 50.3 | 65.3 |
| Human health and social work activities | 2.3 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 9.9 | 3.3 |
| Arts, entertainments and recreation |  | 0.3 |  | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| Other services activities | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| Activities of Households as Employers; and undifferentiated G | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 |  | 0.7 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organization and bodies | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 0.5 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.8 |  | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 21351 | 13454 | 2678 | 1449 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-17: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in establishment they previously worked for, according to Type of learning institution

| OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
| Managers | 5.1 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 23.8 | 6.9 |
| Professionals | 70.4 | 55.4 | 44.7 | 58.2 | 63.0 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 2.1 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 11.5 | 2.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 3.8 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| Services and sales workers | 9.5 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 0.3 | 12.2 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 2.5 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 |  | 0.5 |
| Elementary occupations | 3.8 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 5.2 |
| Armed forces occupations | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 |  | 1.1 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 21351 | 13454 | 2678 | 1449 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-18: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by previous occupation, according to current occupation

| PREVIOUS OCCUPATION | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \Omega \\ & \stackrel{\Omega}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \Sigma \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Managers | 19.2 | 6.4 | 18.6 | 11.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 5.9 | 6.9 |
| Professionals | 75.2 | 76.4 | 53.3 | 22.0 | 4.4 |  | 34.8 |  | 3.0 | 63.0 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 2.7 | 3.0 | 20.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 2.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 0.9 | 5.0 |  | 56.0 | 1.5 |  |  |  | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| Services and sales workers <br> Skilled agricultural, forestry | 1.8 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 57.5 | 15.3 |  |  | 22.0 | 12.2 |
| and fishery workers |  | 0.2 |  |  | 5.0 | 28.1 |  |  | 3.8 | 0.9 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 0.1 | 1.0 | 4.0 |  | 8.4 | 15.3 | 49.7 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 90.0 |  | 0.5 |
| Elementary occupations |  | 0.8 |  | 6.9 | 17.7 | 41.2 | 15.5 | 2.8 | 55.0 | 5.2 |
| Armed forces occupations |  | 0.9 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 4.7 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Not stated |  | 0.4 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 3301 | 28191 | 126 | 894 | 4497 | 32.6 | 29.3 | 177 | 1685 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-19: Percentage distribution of employees by working experience (in years) in establishment they previously worked for, according to Type of learning institution

| Working experience in interval | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  | 40.9 | 56.4 | 53.7 | 45.6 | 47.3 |
| $3-4$ | 19.2 | 23.1 | 19.9 | 23.2 | 20.8 |
| $5-7$ | 17.8 | 12.0 | 15.1 | 12.6 | 15.4 |
| $8-10$ | 10.0 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 7.1 |
| $11-13$ | 5.5 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 7.9 | 4.7 |
| 14 And above | 6.6 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 21351 | 13454 | 2678 | 1449 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-20: Percent distribution of employee who worked in other establishment before by reason why they left their previous job, according to Type of learning institution

| reason you left your previous job | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  |  | 17.3 | 23.1 | 30.6 | 14.7 |
| Late payment | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Physical/social harassment | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  | 0.5 |
| Poor working conditions | 13.4 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 12.3 |
| Marital/family commitments | 28.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 19.4 |
| Going back to school/training | 10.7 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 23.6 | 14.9 |
| Restructuring | 9.3 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 10.3 |
| Others (specify) | 25.2 | 29.2 | 29.6 | 15.9 | 26.5 |
| Not stated | 0.5 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 21351 | 13454 | 2678 | 1449 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-21: Mean service period in years of previous experience in different organisations, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF INSTITUTION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Group Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ministry and other institutions | 8.6 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 7.8 | 6.8 |
| Parastatal | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Company | 1.1 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Co-operative | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Other (specify) | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total working years | 10.9 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 9.7 |

Table 4.5- 22: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their previous job marched their education, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Match your education |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes matched | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 74.3 | 25.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 21351 |
| Secondary | 61.1 | 38.9 |  | 100.0 | 13454 |
| TVET | 63.7 | 36.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2678 |
| University | 95.3 | 4.7 |  | 100.0 | 1449 |
| Group Total | 69.8 | 30.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 38933 |

Table 4.5-23: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of education when they first entered the labour marker, according to Type of learning institution

|  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest level of education | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
| No education | 3.1 | 6.8 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 4.7 |
| Primary | 5.3 | 13.9 | 17.3 | 1.2 | 8.7 |
| Vocational training/Tronc | 16.0 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 12.8 |
| commun/A3/TVET,.. | 74.4 | 53.2 | 39.0 | 24.8 | 64.0 |
| Secondary | 1.3 | 18.2 | 19.4 | 70.7 | 9.8 |
| Tertiary |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Missing value | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-24: Percentage distribution of employees with secondary level or higher by year of graduation before entering the labour market for the first time, according to Type of learning institution

| Graduation year in interval | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
|  | 9.3 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 10.1 | 7.0 |
| 1985-1989 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 3.5 |
| 1990-1994 | 6.1 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 7.7 |
| 1995-1999 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 15.4 | 12.2 |
| 2000-2004 | 31.2 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 21.0 | 26.7 |
| 2009-2009 | 29.9 | 39.1 | 36.0 | 26.6 | 32.9 |
| 2010 to 2012 | 6.9 | 15.7 | 11.0 | 5.9 | 9.7 |
| Not specifies | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 44284 | 21631 | 3329 | 2178 | 71422 |

Table 4.5-25: Percent distribution of employees by the time it took them to find their first job after turning 15 years old

| TIME TO GET FIRST JOB | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Less than 1year | 58.7 | 55.2 | 50.4 | 82.3 | 57.7 |
| 1Year | 17.5 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 17.2 |
| 2Years | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 7.4 |
| 3Years | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 3.7 |
| 4Years | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 2.6 |
| 5Years | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| 6Years | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.7 |  | 1.6 |
| 7Years | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  | 1.1 |
| 8Years | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 |  | 1.0 |
| 9Years | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| 10 years + | 3.3 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 4.4 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

## Section C: Formal education background

Table 4.5-26: Percentage distribution of employee by their highest level of formal education, according to Type of learning institution

| Highest level of formal education | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| PhD/Doctorate |  |  | 0.2 | 11.8 | 0.3 |
| Masters Degree | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 47.9 | 1.5 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| Bachelors | 2.7 | 23.3 | 25.4 | 28.0 | 11.5 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 3.7 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 5.4 | 8.3 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 23.2 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 15.5 |
| Secondary-A Level | 60.5 | 31.5 | 17.6 | 0.7 | 46.8 |
| Secondary-O Level | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| Primary | 4.8 | 13.3 | 15.8 | 1.2 | 8.2 |
| None | 2.9 | 6.8 | 12.0 | 0.7 | 4.6 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |
| Missing value |  | 0.2 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-27: Percentage distribution of employees with at least secondary A level by the field of studies, according to Type of learning institution

| FIELD OF STUDIES | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Education | 76.6 | 26.3 | 19.4 | 8.8 | 56.9 |
| Humanities and Arts | 3.5 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 6.1 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 12.0 | 30.1 | 35.6 | 34.3 | 19.1 |
| Sciences | 6.7 | 26.1 | 10.7 | 17.8 | 13.0 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and |  | 3.2 | 19.2 | 9.9 | 2.1 |
| Construction |  |  | 1.4 | 3.8 | 6.7 |
| Agriculture | 0.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 0.8 |
| Health and Welfare | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Services | 0.7 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Not known or Not stated | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 43778 | 20726 | 3212 | 2161 | 69877 |

Table 4.5-28: Percentage distribution of employee with at least secondary A levels by the country/continent they have got their highest level of education from, according to Type of learning institution

| COUNTRY | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Rwanda | 93.4 | 87.8 | 84.3 | 40.3 | 89.7 |
| Other EAC countries | 3.5 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 15.4 | 4.9 |
| Rest of Africa | 2.6 | 5.3 | 8.5 | 13.2 | 4.0 |
| Europe | 0.1 |  | 1.1 | 20.0 | 0.7 |
| Americas |  |  |  | 2.6 | 0.1 |
| Asia |  | 0.0 |  | 7.7 | 0.3 |
| Oceania |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Missing value | 0.4 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 43778 | 20726 | 3212 | 2161 | 69877 |

Table 4.5-29: Percent distribution of employees by whether they are currently enrolled for further training according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Are you currently enrolled for further training (formal Education)? |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Missing value |  |  |
| Primary | 24.5 | 75.5 |  | 100.0 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 28.2 | 71.8 |  | 100.0 | 27256 |
| TVET | 21.0 | 78.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| University | 26.0 | 74.0 |  | 100.0 | 2220 |
| Total | 25.6 | 74.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-30: Percentage distribution of employees presently enrolled in educational institute by the type of training they receive, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF TRAINING | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| General Programs | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 |  | 0.9 |
| Education | 22.5 | 24.3 | 10.3 | 14.0 | 22.4 |
| Humanities and Arts | 28.0 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 9.2 | 21.2 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 29.6 | 35.7 | 39.5 | 43.5 | 32.7 |
| Sciences | 7.3 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 10.6 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and Construction | 0.4 | 3.1 | 13.9 | 4.8 | 2.2 |
| Agriculture |  | 1.2 |  | 4.9 | 0.6 |
| Health and Welfare | 8.7 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 6.8 |
| Services | 1.3 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Not known or Not stated | 0.8 |  |  | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 11854 | 7678 | 990 | 578 | 21100 |

Table 4.5-31: Percentage distribution of employees presently enrolled in educational institute by the expected qualification, according to Type of learning institution

| Qualification | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| PhD/Doctorate |  |  |  | 31.0 | 0.8 |
| Masters Degree | 0.4 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 41.3 | 4.5 |
| Post Graduate Diploma |  |  | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.1 |
| Bachelors | 56.0 | 55.5 | 51.8 | 5.6 | 54.2 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 9.3 | 22.8 | 11.4 |  | 14.1 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 0.4 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.3 |
| Secondary-A Level | 2.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 1.4 |
| None | 12.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 7.9 |
| Other (specify) |  |  | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| Certificate | 19.0 | 11.5 | 22.6 | 12.8 | 16.2 |
| Not stated |  | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 0.3 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 11854 | 7678 | 990 | 578 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 21100 |

## Section D: Vocational training /type of training

Table 4.5-32: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have received any kind of training since they joined the current employer or not, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | training received | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Primary | 77.5 | 22.5 | 100.0 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 54.2 | 45.8 | 100.0 | 27256 |
| TVET | 48.3 | 51.7 | 100.0 | 4709 |
| University | 63.6 | 36.4 | 100.0 | 2220 |
| Group Total | 67.8 | 32.2 | 100.0 | 82484 |

Table 4.5-33: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the major field of training, according to Type of learning institution

| TRAINING | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| General Programs | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Education | 18.5 | 20.7 | 22.4 | 26.7 | 19.4 |
| Humanities and Arts | 66.9 | 32.3 | 20.9 | 7.6 | 54.4 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 5.0 | 10.2 | 16.4 | 24.8 | 7.4 |
| Sciences | 4.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 14.9 | 9.7 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and Construction | 0.4 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 1.0 |
| Agriculture | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Health and Welfare | 2.5 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 3.7 |
| Services | 2.0 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| Not known or Not stated | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 5.0 | 0.6 |
| Not stated |  | 0.6 |  | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| tal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Tota | 37436 | 14780 | 2274 | 1411 | 55900 |

Table 4.5-34: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by year of training, according to Type of learning institution

| Year of training | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Before 2007 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 3.9 |
| 2008 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 3.8 |
| 2009 | 5.0 | 11.6 | 13.0 | 10.3 | 7.2 |
| 2010 | 68.6 | 46.2 | 42.8 | 26.3 | 60.6 |
| 2011 | 17.9 | 32.9 | 30.7 | 48.7 | 23.2 |
| 2012 |  | 0.4 |  | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| Not stated | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 37436 | 14780 | 2274 | 1411 | 55900 |

Table 4.5-35: Percent distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the mean of training, according to Type of learning institution

| Mean of training | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Apprenticeship | 23.7 | 21.3 | 19.5 | 16.1 | 22.7 |
| Formal training institution | 15.4 | 21.0 | 36.2 | 26.1 | 18.0 |
| On the Job | 56.8 | 55.4 | 41.8 | 57.0 | 55.8 |
| Other (specify ) | 4.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 37436 | 14780 | 2274 | 1411 | 55900 |

Table 4.5-36: Mean period (in months) of training for employees received training since they joined the current employer according to Type of learning institution and occupation

| OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Managers | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Professionals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Clerical support workers | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Services and sales workers | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Plant and machine operators and | 1 |  | 6 |  | 1 |
| Elementary occupations | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Not stated |  | , |  | . | . |
| Total/mean | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 4.5-37: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the qualification they got from it, according to Type of learning institution

| qualification | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Masters Degree |  |  |  | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| Post Graduate Diploma |  |  |  | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| None | 79.2 | 51.2 | 42.6 | 26.3 | 69.0 |
| Other (specify) | 0.5 |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Certificate | 20.3 | 48.8 | 57.2 | 71.3 | 30.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 37436 | 14780 | 2274 | 1411 | 55900 |

Table 4.5-38: Percentage distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by the place of training, according to Type of learning institution

| Country | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |  |
| Rwanda | 99.9 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 78.6 | 99.1 |  |
| Other EAC countries | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.3 |  |
| Rest of Africa |  |  | 0.2 | 6.4 | 0.2 |  |
| Europe |  |  |  | 5.6 | 0.1 |  |
| Americas |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |
| Asia |  |  |  | 0.9 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1411 |

Table 4.5-39: Percent distribution of employees received training since they joined the current employer by whether the training has improved their performance, according to province

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Did that training improve your <br> performance? |  |  | Total <br>  | Yes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not | Not <br> stated |  |  |  |
|  | 95.7 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 37436 |
| TVET | 96.5 | 3.5 |  | 100.0 | 14780 |
| University | 95.6 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2274 |
| Total | 98.1 | 1.9 |  | 100.0 | 1411 |

Table 4.5-40: Percentage distribution of employees who did not receive any training since they joined the current employer by reason of not being trained, according to Type of learning institution

|  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| No training policy | 4.1 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 4.9 |
| No training for my job profile | 20.5 | 26.6 | 32.3 | 20.9 | 24.5 |
| Not offered to me personally | 59.9 | 57.3 | 51.4 | 56.9 | 57.8 |
| Offered to me, but refused | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| No need | 5.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 4.2 |
| Other (specify) | 9.7 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 7.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 10863 | 12477 | 2436 | 809 | 26584 |

Table 4.5-41: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are involved in designing training plans, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Are employees involved in designing training plans? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | Not stated |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 22.6 | 77.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 48298 |
| TVET | 22.4 | 77.6 |  | 100.0 | 27256 |
| University | 25.1 | 74.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| Total | 53.8 | 45.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2220 |

Table 4.5-42: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they need any specific skills to improve their performances, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Do you need any specific skills to improve your performance at your current job? |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 91.9 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 48,298 |
| Secondary | 86.4 | 13.6 |  | 100.0 | 27,256 |
| TVET | 83.3 | 16.5 |  | 100.0 | 4,719 |
| University | 85.3 | 14.7 |  | 100.0 | 2,220 |
| Group Total | 89.4 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82,494 |

Table 4.5- 43: Percentage distribution of employees who have reported that they need specific skills to improve their performances by area of lacking skills, according to Type of learning institution

| LACKING SKILLS | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| General Programs | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Education | 28.4 | 20.5 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 24.6 |
| Humanities and Arts | 38.6 | 14.0 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 28.4 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 7.9 | 22.8 | 21.6 | 30.5 | 14.0 |
| Sciences | 17.9 | 20.4 | 17.2 | 20.3 | 18.7 |
| Engineering ,Manufacturing and | 0.4 | 2.3 | 13.6 | 8.1 | 1.9 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 0.5 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.4 |
| Health and Welfare | 1.3 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 10.0 | 2.8 |
| Services | 4.4 | 11.4 | 17.1 | 3.8 | 7.3 |
| Not known or Not stated | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Not stated | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.5-44 Percentage distribution of employees by their self-assessment of English proficiency, according to Type of learning institution

| ENGLISH PROFICIENCY LEVEL |  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| SPOKEN | Proficient | 13.6 | 27.5 | 22.9 | 58.6 | 19.9 |
|  | Good | 60.7 | 43.1 | 36.7 | 35.0 | 52.8 |
|  | Basic | 17.2 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 3.7 | 13.2 |
|  | None | 8.5 | 21.9 | 31.3 | 2.4 | 14.1 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| WRITTEN | Proficient | 7.5 | 20.6 | 17.2 | 55.2 | 13.7 |
|  | Good | 64.1 | 48.7 | 40.3 | 38.4 | 56.9 |
|  | Basic | 19.9 | 9.2 | 11.7 | 4.0 | 15.5 |
|  | None | 8.5 | 21.5 | 30.6 | 2.2 | 13.9 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-45: Percentage distribution of employee by self-assessment of French proficiency, according to Type of learning institution

| FRENCH PROFICIENCY LEVEL |  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  | Proficient | 29.5 | 34.2 | 33.6 | 60.5 | 32.1 |
|  | Good | 56.8 | 37.5 | 31.5 | 21.1 | 48.0 |
| SPOKEN | Basic | 6.8 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 7.6 |
|  | None | 7.0 | 20.0 | 23.5 | 8.9 | 12.3 |
|  | Missing value |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Proficient | 32.2 | 37.4 | 35.8 | 61.6 | 34.9 |
| WRITTEN | Good | 54.3 | 34.4 | 28.8 | 20.0 | 45.3 |
|  | Basic | 6.1 | 7.6 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
|  | None | 7.4 | 20.7 | 25.2 | 11.0 | 12.9 |
|  | Missing value |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-46: Percentage distribution of employee by self-assessment of Kinyarwanda proficiency, according to Type of learning institution

| KINYARWANDA PROFICIENCY LEVEL |  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
|  | Proficient | 89.0 | 82.4 | 77.1 | 79.7 | 85.9 |
|  | Good | 8.9 | 10.6 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 9.6 |
| SPOKEN | Basic | 1.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
|  | None | 0.9 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 10.8 | 2.0 |
|  | Missing value |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Proficient | 91.3 | 88.9 | 83.9 | 82.3 | 89.9 |
|  | Good | 7.8 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 4.9 | 8.3 |
| WRITTEN | Basic | 0.7 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 1.4 |
|  | None | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 0.4 |
|  | Missing value |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total |  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-47: Percentage distribution of employee by self-assessment of Swahili proficiency, according to Type of learning institution

| SWAHILI PROFICIENCY LEVEL |  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| SPOKEN | Proficient | 4.8 | 10.2 | 12.0 | 22.6 | 7.5 |
|  | Good | 9.5 | 15.1 | 15.9 | 22.4 | 12.1 |
|  | Basic | 18.8 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 22.5 | 20.0 |
|  | None | 66.9 | 52.9 | 51.2 | 32.2 | 60.4 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| WRITTEN | Proficient | 5.0 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 24.0 | 7.7 |
|  | Good | 10.5 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 23.3 | 13.3 |
|  | Basic | 22.2 | 26.1 | 23.6 | 27.3 | 23.7 |
|  | None | 62.4 | 46.7 | 46.5 | 25.2 | 55.3 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

## Section E: Performance appraisal

Table 4.5-48: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their performance has ever been appraised, according to Type of learning institution.

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Has your performance ever been <br> appraised? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Row \% | Count |
|  | 95.3 | 4.7 |  | 100.0 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 86.0 | 14.0 |  | 100.0 | 27256 |
| TVET | 84.2 | 15.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| University | 88.0 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2220 |
| Group Total | 91.4 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5- 49: Percentage distribution of employees whose performance have ever been appraised by frequency of appraisal, according to Type of learning institution

| How often is your performance <br> appraised? | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Monthly | 40.1 | 33.4 | 25.5 | 5.1 | 36.3 |
| Quarterly | 35.1 | 32.1 | 36.1 | 15.9 | 33.7 |
| Bi-Annually | 1.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 10.1 | 2.0 |
| Annually | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 61.6 | 6.6 |
| Ad hoc | 18.2 | 24.6 | 26.3 | 5.1 | 20.3 |
| Don't know | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 46043 | 23447 | 3974 | 1953 | 75416 |

Table 4.5-50: Distribution of employees whose performance have ever been appraised by the appraising authority, according to Type of learning institution

| Appraising authority | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| General manager/director | 58.4 | 43.8 | 42.7 | 12.6 | 51.8 |
| HR officer | 10.8 | 14.6 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 12.4 |
| Departmental head | 3.4 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 41.1 | 6.4 |
| Immediate supervisor | 20.8 | 25.8 | 20.2 | 23.2 | 22.4 |
| Consultant | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 0.5 |
| Panel | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| Other | 1.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 2.5 |
| Not stated |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 46043 | 23447 | 3983 | 1959 | 75431 |

Table 4.5-51: Percentage distribution of employees whose performance have ever been appraised by whether they have received feedback after appraisal, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING <br> INSTITUTION | HAVE YOU RECEIVED FEEDBACK AFTER <br>  |  | APPRAISAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Section F: Career growth

Table 4.5-52: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have been promoted, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING | HAVE YOU BEEN PROMOTED |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 19.2 | 80.8 |  | 100.0 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 16.2 | 83.8 |  | 100.0 | 27256 |
| TVET | 22.0 | 77.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| University | 32.2 | 67.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2220 |
| Total | 18.7 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-53: Percentage distribution of employees who have ever been promoted by how long ago they have been promoted, according to Type of learning institution

| LAST PROMOTION IN <br> INTERVAL | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Less than 1year | 12.8 | 25.6 | 28.7 | 29.6 | 18.3 |
| 1year- | 17.9 | 28.3 | 27.6 | 21.6 | 21.7 |
| 2 to 3Years | 29.8 | 26.7 | 26.4 | 26.3 | 28.5 |
| 4 to 5 Years | 19.0 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 12.7 | 15.1 |
| 6 Years and above | 20.5 | 10.8 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 16.3 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 9278 | 4410 | 1038 | 716 | 15441 |

## Section G: Working terms and conditions

Table 4.5-54: Average weekly working hours by occupation and by Type of learning institution

| OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Managers | 46.0 | 51.2 | 56.7 | 44.1 | 48.6 |
| Professionals | 39.0 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 42.0 | 37.1 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 45.0 | 45.0 | 43.5 | 44.8 | 44.7 |
| Clerical support workers | 46.2 | 48.7 | 48.5 | 46.3 | 48.1 |
| Services and sales workers | 72.7 | 75.1 | 74.4 | 42.8 | 74.1 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery | . | . | 45.0 | . | 45.0 |
| Craft and related trade workers | . | . | 43.8 | 45.0 | 43.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 60.0 | 65.4 | 53.2 | . | 61.2 |
| Elementary occupations | 58.0 | 49.6 | 57.8 | 60.4 | 53.2 |
| Not stated | . | . | . | . | . |
| Group Total | 41.7 | 43.4 | 47.3 | 42.7 | 42.6 |

Table 4.5-55: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to annual leave days, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | ARE YOU ENTITLED TO ANNUAL LEAVE DAYS |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
| Primary | 78.1 | 21.9 |  | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 70.1 | 29.9 |  | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 66.9 | 32.9 | 0.2 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 93.4 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 75.2 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-56: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to annual leave days, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | ARE YOU ENTITLED TO ANNUAL LEAVE DAYS |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 78.9 | 20.9 | 0.1 | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 82.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 57.2 | 42.8 |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 75.6 | 24.4 |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 43.3 | 56.7 |  | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery | 75.4 | 24.6 |  | 100 |  |
| workers | 74.1 | 25.9 |  | 100 | 57 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 19.9 | 80.1 |  | 100 |  |
| Plant and machine operators and | 32.7 | 67.3 |  | 187 |  |
| assemblers |  | 46.7 | 53.3 | 100 | 3634 |
| Elementary occupations | 75.2 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5-57: Mean annually leave in calendar or working days entitled to employees by occupation and Type of learning institution

| OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary |  | Secondary |  | TVET |  | University |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\pi}{\pi} & \\ \frac{\pi}{0} & \\ \frac{\pi}{0} & n \\ \frac{\pi}{0} & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{array}$ |  |
| Managers | 30 | 21 | 33 | 18 | 29 | 17 | 30 | 21 | 31 | 20 |
| Professionals | 31 | 30 | 31 | 25 | 32 | 26 | 30 | 21 | 31 | 27 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | . |  |  |  |  | 30 |  | 30 |  |
| Clerical support workers | 30 | . | 31 | 18 | 32 | 18 | 30 | 19 | 31 | 18 |
| Services and sales workers | 28 | 12 | 29 | 16 | 29 | 19 | 30 | 18 | 29 | 16 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | . | . | . | . | 34 | 17 | . |  | 34 | 17 |
| Craft and related trade workers | . | . | . | . | 38 | 15 | . | 19 | 38 | 17 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  | . |  | 24 | 23 | . |  | 24 | 23 |
| Elementary occupations | 30 | 15 | 33 | 15 | 25 | 18 | 30 | 18 | 31 | 16 |
| Not stated | . | . | . | . | . | . |  | . |  |  |
| Total | 31 | 25 | 31 | 18 | 31 | 20 | 30 | 21 | 31 | 21 |

Table 4.5-58: Percentage distribution of employees by the time it takes them to reach their workplace, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | TIME IT TAKE TO REACH WORKPLACE |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\#} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 26.1 | 32.2 | 17.6 | 24.1 |  | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 14.7 | 26.0 | 19.2 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 13.7 | 67.3 | 19.0 |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 27.4 | 22.4 | 17.6 | 32.6 |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 27.3 | 24.0 | 18.7 | 30.0 |  | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 36.1 | 25.9 | 13.4 | 24.6 |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 26.2 | 8.1 | 49.6 | 16.2 |  | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 6.9 | 31.9 | 2.7 | 58.5 |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 23.4 | 21.2 | 25.7 | 29.7 |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 17.3 | 25.8 | 19.4 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-59: Percentage distribution of employees by the main mode of transport used to reach their working place by occupation

| OCCUPATION | MAIN MODE OF TRANSPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & U 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ | ¢ \# U 0 0 |  |  |
| Managers | 14.9 | 1.7 | 64.9 | 5.4 | 13.0 | 0.3 |  | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 8.0 | 0.6 | 82.6 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 19.9 |  | 52.2 |  | 28.0 |  |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 23.1 | 2.8 | 69.6 | 3.7 | 0.6 |  | 0.3 | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 3.3 | 0.7 | 85.2 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  | 86.6 |  | 13.4 |  |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 31.8 |  | 65.7 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 0.3 |  | 93.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 7.9 | 0.7 | 82.1 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-60: Percentage distribution of employees by the time used (in minutes), according to the mode of transportation they use to get at workplace

| Mode of transportation | How long does it take you to reach your workplace? |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 10 minutes | $10-20$ <br> minutes | $21-30$ <br> minutes | More <br> than 30 <br> minutes | Missing value | Row \% | Count |
| Public transport (taxi, bus) | 3.5 | 16.9 | 19.5 | 60.1 |  | 100.0 | 6549 |
| Office transport | 16.3 | 38.7 | 24.3 | 20.7 |  | 100.0 | 557 |
| On foot | 19.2 | 27.0 | 19.4 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 67756 |
| Own transport | 8.8 | 27.2 | 20.3 | 43.8 |  | 100.0 | 2217 |
| Hired (Car, Motor cycle, bicycle) | 4.4 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 56.4 |  | 100.0 | 4246 |
| Other (specify) | 47.0 | 14.6 | 20.4 | 17.9 |  | 100.0 | 1144 |
| Missing value | 22.4 | 18.7 |  |  | 58.9 | 100.0 | 26 |
| Total | 17.3 | 25.8 | 19.4 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-61: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to medical care assistance, according to the type of Type of learning institution.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entitled to medical care assistance? | Group Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated | Col \% | Count |
| Secondary | 78.1 | 21.9 |  | 100.0 | 48298 |
| TVET | 70.1 | 29.9 |  | 100.0 | 27256 |
| University | 66.9 | 32.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4719 |
| TOTAL | 93.4 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2220 |

Table 4.5-62: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to medical care assistance, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | ARE YOU ENTITLED TO MEDICAL CARE ASSISTANCE? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 93.4 | 6.6 |  | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 87.9 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 70.3 | 29.7 |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 57.6 | 42.4 |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 15.6 | 83.9 | 0.6 | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 50.7 | 49.3 |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 23.7 | 76.3 |  | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 29.1 | 70.9 |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 12.5 | 87.5 |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 76.4 | 23.5 | 0.1 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5- 63: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by extent it cover the medical expenses, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | EXTENT COVERED YOUR <br> MEDICAL EXPENSES |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Partially | Totally |  |  |
| Managers | 93.2 | 6.8 | 100 | 3834 |
| Professionals | 97.4 | 2.6 | 100 | 56107 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 92.8 | 7.2 | 100 | 140 |
| Clerical support workers | 86.4 | 13.6 | 100 | 1016 |
| Services and sales workers | 68.1 | 31.9 | 100 | 1355 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 73.5 | 26.5 | 100 | 19 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 34.1 | 65.9 | 100 | 13 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 100.0 |  | 100 | 55 |
| Elementary occupations | 82.0 | 18.0 | 100 | 456 |
| Total | 96.2 | 3.8 | 100 | 62994 |

Table 4.5-64: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by whether the assistance extend to their family or not, according to the type of Type of learning institution

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | IS MEDICAL ASSISTANCE EXTENDED TO YOUR |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FAMILY? |  |  |

Table 4.5-65: Percentage distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by whether the assistance extend to their family, according to occupation.

| OCCUPATION | IS MEDIACAL ASSISTANCE EXTENDED TO YOUR FAMILY? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 88.0 | 12.0 |  | 100 | 3834 |
| Professionals | 68.1 | 31.7 | 0.2 | 100 | 56107 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 96.4 | 3.6 |  | 100 | 140 |
| Clerical support workers | 89.1 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 100 | 1016 |
| Services and sales workers | 42.5 | 57.5 |  | 100 | 1355 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 100.0 |  | 100 | 19 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  | 65.9 | 34.1 | 100 | 13 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 100.0 |  |  | 100 | 55 |
| Elementary occupations | 59.1 | 40.9 |  | 100 | 456 |
| Total | 69.1 | 30.7 | 0.2 | 100 | 62994 |

Table 4.5-66: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by occupation

| BENEFITS FROM EMPLOYER |  | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clothing/Uniform | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.8 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.9 \\ 6.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.3 \\ 27.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.9 \\ 7.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.7 \\ 9.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 48.5 \\ & 26.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 51.5 | 31.1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.7 \\ 6.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 44.9 \\ 6.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Protective gear | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28.5 \\ & 11.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34.5 \\ 7.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.7 \\ & 25.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \\ & 11.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27.4 \\ 9.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.4 \\ & 35.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25.4 \\ & 16.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.7 \\ 24.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.5 \\ 6.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.7 \\ 8.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Accommodation | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.0 \\ & 12.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & 8.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.8 \\ 25.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ 9.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ 9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.6 \\ & 13.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.2 \\ 15.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 7.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.7 \\ & 9.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Transport | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 12.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 7.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 25.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ 3.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | 13.4 | 8.1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pay to and from annual leave | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} 75.6 \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.4 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | 87.2 | $\begin{gathered} 76.7 \\ 2.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.3 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.6 \\ & 13.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.8 \\ 8.1 \end{gathered}$ | 68.0 | $\begin{gathered} 42.9 \\ 5.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.2 \\ 2.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Food | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.0 \\ 19.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.4 \\ 12.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25.1 \\ 7.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24.1 \\ & 36.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 35.4 \\ & 16.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 61.7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.2 \\ 15.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.4 \\ 2.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24.6 \\ & 19.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7 \\ & 14.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Free education for dependants | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.2 \\ 12.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 7.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 28.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 4.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.3 \\ 10.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13.4 | 8.1 | 2.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 6.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 8.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Maternal and paternal leave | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 93.2 \\ 2.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 92.0 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 97.5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 88.5 \\ 0.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 65.3 \\ 6.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.6 \\ & 13.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 83.8 | 94.9 | $\begin{gathered} 70.6 \\ 5.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 88.2 \\ 2.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Health and safety | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & 39.8 \\ & 13.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.9 \\ & 12.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32.7 \\ 2.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.0 \\ & 16.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & 10.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 38.1 \\ 25.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.7 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 9.5 | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \\ 7.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \\ & 11.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Terminal benefits | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 68.6 \\ 6.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.9 \\ 5.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 80.9 \\ 2.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.1 \\ 4.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35.2 \\ 6.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 74.1 \\ & 13.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.2 \\ 8.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 67.7 | $\begin{gathered} 33.2 \\ 6.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.9 \\ 5.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Any other | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.5 \\ 12.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 8.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.5 \\ 25.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 7.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 8.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13.4 | 16.3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 7.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.1 \\ & 8.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Group Total |  | 4104 | 63801 | 199 | 1763 | 8703 | 37 | 56 | 187 | 3634 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-67: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by Type of learning institution

| BENEFITS FROM THEIR EMPLOYER | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |  |
| Clothing/Uniform | Yes all | 46.2 | 45.5 | 40.2 | 21.1 | 44.9 |
|  | Yes partially | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 6.8 |
| Protective gear | Yes all | 33.8 | 32.1 | 28.3 | 23.6 | 32.7 |
|  | Yes partially | 8.0 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| Accommodation | Yes all | 2.4 | 10.8 | 21.6 | 17.1 | 6.7 |
|  | Yes partially | 8.4 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
| Transport | Yes all | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 17.7 | 1.8 |
|  | Yes partially | 7.7 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Pay to and from annual leave | Yes all | 75.3 | 69.2 | 69.4 | 85.5 | 73.2 |
|  | Yes partially | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 2.6 |
| Food | Yes all | 5.9 | 18.5 | 33.8 | 5.9 | 11.7 |
|  | Yes partially | 10.1 | 21.4 | 16.8 | 10.0 | 14.2 |
| Free education for dependants | Yes all | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
|  | Yes partially | 7.7 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 |
| Maternal and paternal leave | Yes all | 90.2 | 85.6 | 82.1 | 89.3 | 88.2 |
|  | Yes partially | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.9 |  | 2.2 |
| Health and safety | Yes all | 36.4 | 33.4 | 29.2 | 20.4 | 34.5 |
|  | Yes partially | 11.5 | 13.5 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 11.9 |
| Terminal benefits | Yes all | 64.8 | 52.1 | 54.4 | 59.8 | 59.9 |
|  | Yes partially | 5.3 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 5.9 |
| Group Total | Yes all | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 3.1 |
|  | Yes partially | 9.1 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 8.7 |

Table 4.5-68: Percentage distribution of employees by the frequency of salary increase, according to Type of learning institution

| FREQUENCY OF INCREASING SALARIES | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Every year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Once every three years | 8.2 | 9.2 | 24.7 | 17.0 | 9.7 |
| Once over three years | 7.4 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 7.4 |
| No increase | 12.3 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 9.4 |
| Doesn't know | 56.2 | 59.5 | 48.7 | 53.3 | 56.8 |
| No remuneration | 15.8 | 17.7 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 16.5 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Group Total |  | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 |

Table 4.5-69: Percentage distribution of employees by the frequency of salary increase according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | FREQUENCY OF INCREASING SALARIES |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \hline \pm \\ & \hline \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 19.7 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 45.9 | 17.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 8.0 | 6.6 | 10.0 | 59.8 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 11.9 | 2.5 |  | 82.7 | 2.8 |  |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 14.1 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 51.1 | 15.7 |  |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 14.7 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 45.0 | 20.3 |  |  | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 26.9 | 11.2 |  | 61.9 |  |  |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 23.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 18.1 | 32.3 |  | 9.7 | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 5.1 | 26.7 |  | 53.4 | 14.8 |  |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 14.5 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 45.6 | 27.1 |  |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 9.7 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 56.8 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-70: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their salary is annually adjusted for inflation, according to Type of learning institution.

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | IS YOUR SALARY IS ANNUARY AJUSTED FOR INFLATION? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes, always | Yes, sometimes | No | Unknown |  |  |
| Primary | 2.3 | 3.8 | 93.1 | 0.7 | 100 | 13509 |
| Secondary | 3.1 | 5.9 | 88.5 | 2.4 | 100 | 6111 |
| TVET | 3.7 | 6.5 | 86.2 | 3.5 | 100 | 1674 |
| University | 3.1 | 15.7 | 74.3 | 6.9 | 100 | 605 |
| Total | 2.7 | 4.9 | 90.8 | 1.6 | 100 | 21899 |

Table 4.5-71: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their salary is annually adjusted for inflation, according to occupation .

| CCUPATION | IS YOUR SALARY IS ANNUARY AJUSTED FOR INFLATION? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes, always | Yes, sometimes | No | Unknown |  |  |
| Managers | 3.4 | 2.9 | 92.9 | 0.8 | 100 | 1478 |
| Professionals | 2.9 | 4.3 | 91.6 | 1.2 | 100 | 15697 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 17.4 |  | 82.6 |  | 100 | 29 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.9 | 19.7 | 68.8 | 9.6 | 100 | 586 |
| Services and sales workers | 2.0 | 4.6 | 92.5 | 0.9 | 100 | 3021 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  | 64.7 | 35.3 | 100 | 14 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100 | 22 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100 | 60 |
| Elementary occupations | 1.0 | 10.6 | 83.2 | 5.2 | 100 | 992 |
| Total | 2.7 | 4.9 | 90.8 | 1.6 | 100 | 21899 |

## Section H: Labour right and related issues

Table 4.5-72: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | ARE YOU MEMBER OF ANY TRADE UNION |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
|  | 60.6 | 39.4 |  | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 45.8 | 54.2 |  | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 31.7 | 68.1 | 0.2 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 49.1 | 50.3 | 0.6 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 53.7 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-73: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | ARE YOU MEMBER OF ANY TRADE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UNION |  | Group Total |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 53.7 | 46.3 |  | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 60.3 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 58.7 | 41.3 |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 38.4 | 61.6 |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 25.1 | 74.8 | 0.1 | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery | 22.4 | 77.6 |  | 100 | 37 |
| workers | 16.2 | 83.8 |  | 100 | 56 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 4.9 | 95.1 |  | 100 | 187 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 16.8 | 83.2 |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Elementary occupations |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Not stated | 53.7 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.5-74: Percentage distribution of employees who are not a member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining organization by reason, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON EMPLOYEES DO NOT BELONG TO ANY TRADE UNION |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employer does not allow | I do not know any union | Unions do not help | Other | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 5.5 | 71.2 | 1.4 | 21.9 |  | 100 | 1901 |
| Professionals | 3.3 | 71.3 | 6.4 | 17.6 | 1.3 | 100 | 25299 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 87.3 | 6.7 |  | 6.1 | 100 | 82 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.4 | 67.3 | 5.7 | 25.6 |  | 100 | 1085 |
| Services and sales workers | 5.8 | 72.5 | 2.2 | 18.4 | 1.1 | 100 | 6507 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 84.0 |  | 16.0 |  | 100 | 29 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 9.6 | 78.8 | 11.6 |  |  | 100 | 47 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  | 97.2 |  | 2.8 |  | 100 | 178 |
| Elementary occupations | 5.4 | 85.3 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 100 | 3022 |
| Total | 4.0 | 72.7 | 5.1 | 17.1 | 1.2 | 100 | 38151 |

Table 4.5-75: Percentage distribution of employees who are not a member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining organization by reason, according to Type of learning institution

|  | REASON EMPLOYEES DO NOT BELONG TO ANY TRADE UNION |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Employer does not allow | I do not know any union | Unions do not help | Other | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 4.2 | 71.7 | 5.2 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 100 | 19036 |
| Secondary | 3.7 | 72.8 | 4.6 | 17.6 | 1.4 | 100 | 14785 |
| TVET | 5.0 | 73.2 | 6.0 | 13.8 | 2.0 | 100 | 3213 |
| University | 1.0 | 85.8 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 100 | 1116 |
| Total | 4.0 | 72.7 | 5.1 | 17.1 | 1.2 | 100 | 38151 |

Table 4.5-76: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their employer contributes for them regularly to the social security, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | DOES EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTE REGULARY TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 87.8 | 8.7 | 3.6 |  | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 85.6 | 10.0 | 4.3 |  | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 79.8 | 13.1 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 90.0 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 86.7 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-77: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their employer contributes for them regularly to the social security, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | DOES EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTE REGULARY TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 96.2 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 90.8 | 6.2 | 3.0 |  | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 97.9 |  | 2.1 |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 94.8 | 4.7 | 0.6 |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 60.7 | 28.5 | 10.8 |  | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 75.8 | 16.2 | 8.1 |  | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 73.3 | 26.7 |  |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 62.5 | 26.3 | 11.1 |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 86.7 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-78: Distribution of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to specified dangers according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | KIND OF DANGERS |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |
| Managers | 26.9 | 13.4 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 48.9 | 18.8 | 24.6 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 54.8 | 13.9 | 40.3 | 26.4 |  |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 19.0 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 3.3 |  | 0.2 | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 34.1 | 16.5 | 50.9 | 32.2 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 74.1 | 12.4 | 13.4 | 65.2 |  | 13.4 | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 58.3 | 73.8 | 49.4 | 50.3 |  | 18.6 | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 39.1 | 29.4 | 7.3 | 5.0 |  | 2.7 | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 63.7 | 23.0 | 33.1 | 41.1 |  | 1.3 | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 46.3 | 18.3 | 26.6 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-79: Distribution of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to specified dangers according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | KIND OF DANGERS |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ |  |  |
| Primary | 49.7 | 20.2 | 27.3 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 43.3 | 15.6 | 26.4 | 12.7 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 40.7 | 19.4 | 28.9 | 28.1 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 19.6 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 46.3 | 18.3 | 26.6 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 100 | 82494 |

## Section I. Challenges and work place

Table 4.5-80: Percentage of employees who reported that they face some specified challenges at work by type of faced challenges according to occupation

| TYPE OF CHALLENGES | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Verbal abuse | 5.5 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 12.5 | 8.8 |  | 8.1 | 29.4 | 9.9 |  | 6.4 |
| Physical abuse | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Sexual harassment | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.4 |  | 0.1 |
| Neglect | 10.0 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 12.1 | 14.4 | 11.2 | 8.1 | 26.7 | 16.3 |  | 10.5 |
| Non payment of salary | 0.5 | 5.1 |  | 5.5 | 5.6 |  |  |  | 6.0 |  | 4.9 |
| Non payment of other benefits | 7.9 | 12.1 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 12.5 |  |  | 29.4 | 13.7 |  | 11.9 |
| Delayed payments of salary | 12.2 | 27.8 | 33.0 | 21.2 | 33.9 | 11.2 | 27.0 | 2.5 | 27.2 |  | 27.4 |
| Delayed payments of benefits | 16.0 | 23.0 | 40.8 | 7.5 | 16.8 | 37.1 | 9.7 |  | 12.3 |  | 21.2 |
| Underpayment of salary | 40.6 | 50.7 | 12.8 | 36.4 | 47.6 | 37.1 | 25.4 | 61.4 | 48.4 |  | 49.4 |
| Fatigue | 53.8 | 52.6 | 18.2 | 37.7 | 55.8 | 49.5 | 67.1 | 38.8 | 42.0 |  | 52.1 |
| Excess workload/hours | 49.4 | 27.2 | 16.1 | 49.0 | 40.8 | 36.1 | 44.0 | 33.9 | 32.1 |  | 30.4 |
| Dependants | 28.6 | 16.1 | 41.2 | 24.3 | 15.9 | 23.6 | 8.1 | 33.7 | 21.1 |  | 17.2 |
| Discrimination | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.2 |  | 9.7 |  | 0.8 |  | 1.1 |
| Other | 7.0 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 13.4 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 |  | 6.0 |
| Total | 4104 | 63801 | 199 | 1763 | 8703 | 37 | 56 | 187 | 3634 | 9 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-81: Percentage of employees who reported that they face some specified challenges at work by type of faced challenges according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF CHALLENGES | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Verbal abuse | 5.9 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 3.6 | 6.4 |
| Physical abuse | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Sexual harassment | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Neglect | 9.6 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 8.5 | 10.5 |
| Nonpayment of salary | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 4.9 |
| Nonpayment of other benefits | 12.6 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 7.5 | 11.9 |
| Delayed payments of salary | 28.2 | 27.7 | 25.5 | 11.1 | 27.4 |
| Delayed payments of benefits | 23.9 | 18.2 | 16.2 | 7.9 | 21.2 |
| Underpayment of salary | 53.4 | 46.0 | 43.3 | 16.3 | 49.4 |
| Fatigue | 55.6 | 48.3 | 48.6 | 29.3 | 52.1 |
| Excess workload/hours | 27.1 | 35.1 | 37.0 | 29.9 | 30.4 |
| Dependants | 15.6 | 19.3 | 22.3 | 13.1 | 17.2 |
| Discrimination | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| Other | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 17.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-82: Percentage of employees reacting against faced challenge by the manner of reaction, according, according to Type of learning institution

| FIRST PRIORITY WAY OF REACTING <br> AGAINST FACED CHALLENGE | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Inform HR management | 45.9 | 46.8 | 49.7 | 36.5 | 46.3 |
| Take painkillers | 8.2 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 12.1 | 7.4 |
| Talk to family members | 55.4 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 43.1 | 52.6 |
| Talk to supervisor | 63.3 | 58.6 | 57.2 | 45.7 | 61.1 |
| Inform police/lawyers | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 6.3 |
| Inform manager/directors | 37.1 | 32.7 | 33.2 | 24.3 | 35.2 |
| Talk to friend | 56.9 | 53.6 | 53.4 | 42.6 | 55.4 |
| Ignore them | 31.0 | 29.4 | 31.7 | 46.9 | 30.8 |
| Inform the Labour Inspector | 11.8 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 10.5 |
| Ombudsman | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 2.1 |
| Public Service Commission | 5.3 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| Other (specify) | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Group Total | 37390 | 19901 | 3478 | 1045 | 61815 |

Table 4.5-83: Percentage of employees reacting against faced challenge by the manner of reaction, according to occupation

| FIRST PRIORITY WAY OF REACTING AGAINST FACED CHALLENGE | OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Inform HR management | 44.5 | 46.0 | 19.7 | 34.2 | 52.8 | 80.2 | 26.4 | 51.4 | 41.4 | 46.3 |
| Take painkillers | 3.0 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 17.7 | 7.1 | 41.1 |  |  | 5.0 | 7.4 |
| Talk to family members | 42.9 | 53.5 | 20.0 | 64.5 | 49.1 | 37.5 | 54.5 | 82.8 | 52.0 | 52.6 |
| Talk to supervisor | 53.7 | 61.7 | 71.7 | 43.3 | 64.4 | 58.9 | 44.1 | 58.8 | 58.0 | 61.1 |
| Inform police/lawyers | 1.2 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 4.3 | 6.3 |
| Inform manager/directors | 35.4 | 35.4 | 14.6 | 10.1 | 40.6 | 39.1 | 17.6 | 48.4 | 26.8 | 35.2 |
| Talk to friend | 55.1 | 57.5 | 19.8 | 48.2 | 45.8 | 57.3 | 83.0 | 41.6 | 45.5 | 55.4 |
| Ignore them | 37.0 | 31.8 | 23.7 | 46.4 | 19.2 | 37.5 | 28.3 | 41.1 | 27.9 | 30.8 |
| Inform the Labour Inspector | 9.6 | 12.5 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 10.5 |
| Ombudsman | 2.1 | 2.4 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.4 | 2.1 |
| Public Service Commission | 5.1 | 5.8 |  | 0.5 | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 5.0 |
| Other | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |  | 10.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 3.0 |
| Group Total | 3015 | 47793 | 107 | 1060 | 6868 | 23 | 52 | 132 | 2417 | 61469 |

## Section J. Gender

Table 4.5-84: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation has a gender policy, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING | Does your organization have a gender policy |  |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Unknown | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 88.2 | 6.9 | 4.9 |  | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 78.2 | 10.5 | 11.3 |  | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 75.1 | 9.1 | 15.6 | 0.2 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 68.5 | 16.4 | 14.6 | 0.5 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 83.6 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-85: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organization practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | DOES YOUR ORGANIZATIONPRACTICE ANY FORM OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMNENT DUE TO SE |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Unknown | Not stated |  |  |
| Primary | 9.0 | 83.3 | 7.7 |  | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 8.1 | 79.0 | 13.0 |  | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 5.2 | 78.3 | 16.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 5.0 | 79.0 | 15.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 8.4 | 81.5 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-86: Percentage of employees who reported that their organization practice some form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of such preferential treatment, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Quota for women (management) | 65.6 | 46.7 | 35.2 | 61.3 | 58.4 |
| Overall quota for women | 50.4 | 36.5 | 33.0 | 79.8 | 45.8 |
| Pref. recruitment for women | 33.5 | 20.8 | 19.7 | 29.0 | 28.9 |
| Pref. recruitment for men | 11.9 | 6.5 | 15.5 |  | 10.1 |
| Maternity leave | 2.3 |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| Differential retirement age | 15.4 | 21.8 | 16.2 |  | 17.2 |
| Preferential payment | 13.6 | 24.2 | 19.3 |  | 17.0 |
| Others | 3.9 | 1.9 | 3.7 |  | 3.2 |
| Total | 4338 | 2196 | 247 | 111 | 6892 |

Table 4.5-87: Percentage of employees by their opinions on whether any establishment should practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of preferential treatment and Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF PREFERENTIAL | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Quota for women (management) | 63.0 | 63.0 | 55.9 | 42.6 | 62.0 |
| Overall quota for women | 59.3 | 56.4 | 49.4 | 35.3 | 57.2 |
| Pref. recruitment for women | 33.4 | 26.0 | 23.5 | 13.9 | 29.9 |
| Pref. recruitment for men | 11.1 | 10.1 | 13.6 | 6.3 | 10.8 |
| Maternity leave | 92.3 | 92.0 | 91.2 | 78.1 | 91.7 |
| Differential retirement age | 44.7 | 46.4 | 46.9 | 26.3 | 44.9 |
| Preferential payment | 11.2 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 5.9 | 11.6 |
| Others (specify) | 2.9 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Total | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

## Section K. Use of ICT

Table 4.5- 88: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation has introduced the use of ICT, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING <br> INSTITUTION | Has your organization introduced the use of ICT |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |
| Primary | 26.8 | 73.2 |  | 100 | 48298 |
| Secondary | 65.2 | 34.8 |  | 100 | 27256 |
| TVET | 92.1 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 100 | 4719 |
| University | 99.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100 | 2220 |
| Total | 45.2 | 54.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-89: Percentage of employees who have reported that their establishments have introduced the use of ICT by type of its utilisation, according to Type of learning institution

| TYPE OF UTILISATION OF ICT | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Production | 72.6 | 75.2 | 81.3 | 93.7 | 76.1 |
| Marketing | 20.6 | 22.0 | 27.3 | 66.9 | 24.8 |
| Human resource management | 42.8 | 38.1 | 41.7 | 61.1 | 41.5 |
| Communication | 38.0 | 43.9 | 54.9 | 94.3 | 46.1 |
| Records management | 88.1 | 84.2 | 84.0 | 97.0 | 86.3 |
| Accounting/Finance/Budgeting | 76.1 | 78.4 | 80.8 | 97.0 | 79.0 |
| Others | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 6.8 |
| Recruitment | 14.4 | 15.1 | 17.1 | 55.0 | 17.5 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 12937 | 17772 | 4346 | 2204 | 37258 |

Table 4.5-90: Percentage of employees who reported the way their establishments were affected by the use of technology, according to Type of learning institution

| USE OF TECHNOLOGY EFFECTS |  | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Production | Don't know | 5.7 | 10.6 | 12.2 | 1.9 | 8.5 |
|  | Increased | 68.4 | 72.2 | 76.4 | 92.9 | 72.6 |
|  | Decreased | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
|  | No effect | 3.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 2.4 |
|  | Not | 21.9 | 14.7 | 8.6 | 4.8 | 15.9 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Marketing | Don't know | 6.6 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 3.5 | 11.3 |
|  | Increased | 17.6 | 19.6 | 25.2 | 65.8 | 22.3 |
|  | Decreased | 3.9 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 2.2 |
|  | No effect | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |
|  | Not | 68.0 | 61.8 | 53.1 | 30.2 | 61.1 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Human resource management | Don't know | 7.5 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 3.4 | 11.3 |
|  | Increased | 38.4 | 33.5 | 37.4 | 60.0 | 37.2 |
|  | Decreased | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
|  | No effect | 5.6 | 2.2 | 3.8 |  | 3.5 |
|  | Not | 46.6 | 48.4 | 42.0 | 35.9 | 46.3 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Communication | Don't know | 6.8 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 0.9 | 10.0 |
|  | Increased | 33.8 | 39.9 | 51.8 | 93.6 | 42.3 |
|  | Decreased | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 2.1 |
|  | No effect | 6.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 3.6 |
|  | Not | 50.9 | 43.3 | 28.9 | 5.1 | 42.0 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Records management | Don't know | 6.3 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 1.8 | 9.9 |
|  | Increased | 83.5 | 77.2 | 77.4 | 95.3 | 80.4 |
|  | Decreased | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | No effect | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 2.1 |
|  | Not | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 6.5 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Accounting/Finance/Budgeting | Don't know | 9.6 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 11.9 |
|  | Increased | 68.0 | 71.1 | 73.6 | 95.4 | 71.7 |
|  | Decreased | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
|  | No effect | 5.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 |  | 3.1 |
|  | Not | 14.9 | 11.6 | 9.1 | 2.0 | 11.9 |
|  | Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| Others | Don't know | 8.6 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 5.2 | 13.1 |
|  | Increased | 5.5 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 6.0 |
|  | Decreased | 7.0 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 4.8 |
|  | No effect | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.8 |
|  | Not | 75.8 | 73.1 | 69.2 | 78.9 | 73.9 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Recruitment | Don't know | 7.7 | 14.6 | 16.6 | 5.0 | 11.9 |
|  | Increased | 12.2 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 53.2 | 14.9 |
|  | Decreased | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
|  | No effect | 6.7 | 0.8 | 4.7 |  | 3.3 |
|  | Not | 70.7 | 69.6 | 60.4 | 39.0 | 67.1 |
|  | Not stated |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |
| Group Total |  | 12937 | 17772 | 4346 | 2204 | 37258 |

Table 4.5-91: Percentage of employees who have reported that they have access to specified ICT facilities according to Type of learning institution

| ICT FACILITIES | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Individual Computer | 13.5 | 15.7 | 19.9 | 71.9 | 18.7 |
| Shared computer | 47.8 | 57.9 | 55.0 | 60.3 | 54.2 |
| Private access to email | 16.2 | 36.1 | 38.5 | 86.6 | 32.5 |
| Common access to email | 14.3 | 19.6 | 23.1 | 63.6 | 20.8 |
| Access to internet | 25.0 | 30.4 | 41.1 | 95.2 | 33.6 |
| Others | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 12937 | 17772 | 4346 | 2204 | 37258 |

Table 4.5-92: Percentage of employees who reported that they need different specified ICT facilities to perform their duty in their daily work, according to Type of learning institution

| ICT FACILITIES | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Individual Computer | 68.4 | 64.0 | 64.3 | 92.3 | 67.2 |
| Shared computer | 63.7 | 56.3 | 50.0 | 52.5 | 57.9 |
| Private access to email | 63.4 | 66.5 | 59.7 | 86.4 | 65.8 |
| Common access to email | 55.5 | 48.6 | 46.5 | 69.8 | 52.0 |
| Access to internet | 73.2 | 72.0 | 67.2 | 91.1 | 73.0 |
| Others | 3.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 12937 | 17772 | 4346 | 2204 | 37258 |

Table 4.5-93: Percentage distribution of employees using individual computers by whether they feel properly equipped to make full use of the potential of ICT at work place, according to Type of learning institution

| Do you feel properly equipped <br> to make full use of the <br> potential of ICT at work place? | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes | 24.1 | 26.8 | 32.4 | 67.8 | 29.2 |
| No, lack of skills | 21.3 | 9.5 | 15.7 | 5.2 | 14.4 |
| No, lack of enough equipment | 29.8 | 44.2 | 34.1 | 19.0 | 35.9 |
| No Lack of skills and equipment | 24.7 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 8.1 | 20.6 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5061 | 5815 | 1170 | 934 | 12980 |

## Section L. Earnings

Table 4.5-94: Mean monthly earning (in thousands) by occupation and Type of learning institution

| OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary |  | Secondary |  | TVET |  | University |  | Group Total |  |
|  | Gross | net | Gro ss | net | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gros } \\ & \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | net | Gross | net | Gross | net |
| Managers | 136.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 109 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147 . \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 113.2 | 222.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 168 . \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 622.4 | 421.4 | 185.8 | 140.2 |
| Professionals | 46.8 | 36.6 | 90.9 | 70.3 | 127.1 | 96.7 | 696.3 | 481.6 | 78.3 | 59.4 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  | 41.5 | 22.0 | 79.8 | 59.5 | 310.0 | 201.3 | 145.1 | 93.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 47.4 | 42.5 | 92.4 | 70.7 | 68.7 | 57.5 | 268.9 | 167.5 | 86.6 | 67.2 |
| Services and sales workers | 17.3 | 16.3 | 25.5 | 22.8 | 35.8 | 30.1 | 173.9 | 121.1 | 25.1 | 22.2 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  |  | 25.3 | 23.7 |  |  | 25.3 | 23.7 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  |  |  | 56.3 | 36.4 | 90.0 | 83.0 | 59.3 | 40.6 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 50.0 | 50.0 | 166. 1 | 124.5 | 80.2 | 67.9 |  |  | 115.9 | 91.9 |
| Elementary occupations | 20.7 | 19.4 | 22.6 | 20.9 | 23.1 | 21.1 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 22.1 | 20.5 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 130.8 | 97.1 |  |  | 130.8 | 97.1 |
| TOTAL | 48.0 | 37.9 | 77.8 | 60.9 | 95.3 | 73.8 | 647.1 | 444.8 | 76.0 | 58.1 |

Table 4.5-95: Percentage distribution of employees by the mode of their monthly payment, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | MODE OF PAYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\varkappa}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 99.6 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 99.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 99.9 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 98.5 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 1.4 | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 99.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-96: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have the other occupation, according to present occupation


Table 4.5-97: Percentage distribution of employees having another occupation by the type of second occupation, according to Type of learning institution

| SECOND OCCUPATION | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET |  |  |
| Managers | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 12.8 | 1.3 |
| Professionals | 6.2 | 16.2 | 24.3 | 32.7 | 11.1 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 1.8 |  | 7.3 | 10.8 | 1.9 |
| Clerical support workers | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 |  | 0.8 |
| Service and sales workers | 10.8 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 8.2 | 10.6 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 71.0 | 62.2 | 37.6 | 10.4 | 64.7 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 3.0 | 1.3 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 2.9 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Elementary occupation | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| Not stated | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 16.4 | 2.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 8026 | 3947 | 906 | 302 | 13182 |

Table 4.5-98: Mean annually gross income (in thousands) from the additional jobs by all additional occupation and Type of learning institution

| Second occupation | Type of learning institution |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University | Total |
| Managers | 1950.0 | 336.0 | 3908.5 | 2744.2 | 1729.9 |
| Professionals | 519.8 | 1068.4 | 1089.3 | 3430.8 | 1043.2 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 242.5 |  | 893.5 | 3399.4 | 840.3 |
| Clerical support workers | 400.0 | 100.0 | 2000.0 |  | 323.5 |
| Service and sales workers | 581.3 | 981.2 | 869.5 | 1206.7 | 726.9 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery |  |  |  |  |  |
| workers | 173.7 | 162.1 | 217.2 | 1364.3 | 176.4 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 4530.4 | 500.0 | 575.2 | 1083.1 | 3081.7 |
| Plant and machine operators and |  |  |  |  |  |
| assemblers | 300.0 | 420.0 | 240.0 | 6000.0 | 613.7 |
| Elementary occupation | 255.4 | 619.6 | 432.4 | 6500.5 | 448.3 |
| Not stated | 140.0 | 1440.0 | 249.8 | 5042.7 | 899.1 |
| Total | 387.4 | 435.0 | 690.6 | 2997.7 | 473.6 |

## Section M: HIV/AIDS at workplace

Table 4.5-99: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their establishment have an HIV/AIDS policy, according to Type of learning institution

| Do you have an HIV / AIDS policy at <br> workplace? | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| No | 91.2 | 86.9 | 81.3 | 46.3 | 88.0 |
| Don't know | 5.8 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 34.5 | 7.0 |
| Missing value | 3.0 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 18.9 | 4.9 |
| Col \% |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.03 |
| Count | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.5-100: Percentage of employees who reported their establishments have HIV policy by provided services, according to Type of learning institution

| PROVIDED SERVICES | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| VCT services | 93.1 | 91.9 | 90.5 | 93.5 | 92.6 |
| Free ARVs for HIV+ workers | 9.2 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| Free condom distribution | 9.7 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 50.2 | 10.2 |
| Free food for HIV+ workers | 2.9 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 3.2 |
| Equal rights | 65.6 | 60.1 | 58.6 | 56.2 | 63.3 |
| Others | 16.7 | 15.2 | 17.2 | 6.1 | 16.1 |
| Total | 44045 | 23687 | 3835 | 1029 | 72595 |

Section N: Job search and candidate preferences

Table 4.5-101: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are looking for a different job, according to Type of learning institution

| ARE LOOKING FOR A <br> DIFFERENT JOB | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Yes | 8.5 | 20.5 | 25.6 | 8.9 | 13.5 |
| No | 91.5 | 79.5 | 74.2 | 90.6 | 86.5 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 48298 | 27256 | 4719 | 2220 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-102: Percentage distribution of employees who are looking for a different job by their current occupation, according to the first priority targeted occupation

|  | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIRST PRIORITY TARGETED OCCUPATION | $\stackrel{N}{0}$ 0 $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢0 3 0 0 | + |
| Managers | 3.6 | 93.2 |  | 2.7 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 2043 |
| Professionals | 12.6 | 81.4 |  | 3.7 | 2.3 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 3880 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 1.3 | 95.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.8 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 1946 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.4 | 93.2 |  | 4.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 366 |
| Service and sales workers |  | 55.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 35.6 |  |  | 8.3 | 100.0 | 1427 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 115 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  | 70.9 |  |  | 4.5 | 1.4 |  | 23.2 | 100.0 | 324 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  | 63.2 |  |  | 35.5 | 1.3 |  |  | 100.0 | 349 |
| Elementary occupation |  | 40.1 |  |  | 40.2 |  |  | 19.7 | 100.0 | 286 |
| Not stated | 15.2 | 65.1 | 1.6 |  | 16.6 |  | 1.5 |  | 100.0 | 364 |
| Total | 5.8 | 80.8 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 11099 |

Table 4.5-103: Percentage distribution of employees who are looking for a different job by their current occupation, according to the second priority targeted occupation

| FIRST PRIORITY TARGETED OCCUPATION | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\omega} \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | o 3 3 ¢ | H $\stackrel{3}{3}$ 0 |
| Managers | 8.7 | 91.3 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 1264 |
| Professionals | 14.2 | 79.0 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1802 |
| Technicians and associates professionals | 0.6 | 97.1 |  | 2.3 |  | 100.0 | 823 |
| Clerical support workers |  | 96.4 | 3.6 |  |  | 100.0 | 130 |
| Service and sales workers | 1.4 | 72.1 | 1.5 | 17.4 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 694 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 50 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  | 25.8 |  | 74.2 |  | 100.0 | 75 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  | 95.5 |  |  | 4.5 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Elementary occupation |  |  |  | 66.1 | 33.9 | 100.0 | 15 |
| Armed forces occupation |  |  |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 45 |
| TOTAL | 7.6 | 83.4 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 5008 |

Table 4.5-104: Percentage of employees who reported that they are looking for a different job by used means to search for it, according to targeted occupation

| USED MEANS TO SEARCH FOR A JOB | TARGETED OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\Gamma} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Word of mouth/family/friends | 79.9 | 69.5 | 100.0 | 25.4 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 71.8 |
| Unsolicited / passing-by | 2.5 | 11.2 |  | 9.1 | 24.5 | 100.0 |  | 49.2 | 12.7 |
| Internet, media | 89.0 | 81.2 | 66.2 | 72.9 | 32.6 |  | 100.0 |  | 75.3 |
| LMIS | 24.3 | 11.4 | 38.1 | 2.1 | 5.6 |  |  |  | 11.2 |
| Job agents / bureaus | 10.9 | 12.1 |  | 4.5 | 11.9 |  |  | 26.8 | 12.1 |
| Training institutions |  | 8.5 | 33.8 | 2.4 | 11.3 | 50.0 |  |  | 8.0 |
| Other | 8.5 | 4.9 |  | 24.4 | 12.8 |  |  | 2.0 | 6.1 |
| Group Total | 648 | 8968 | 15 | 225 | 979 | 9 | 5 | 249 | 11099 |

Table 4.5-105: Percentage of employees who reported that they are looking for a different job by used means to search for it, according to Type of learning institution

| USED MEAN TO SEARCH FOR A JOB | TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary | Secondary | TVET | University |  |
| Word of mouth/family/friends | 74.9 | 68.7 | 74.9 | 77.2 | 71.8 |
| Unsolicited / passing-by | 12.6 | 12.2 | 14.9 | 16.5 | 12.7 |
| Internet, media | 59.2 | 86.2 | 77.3 | 86.9 | 75.3 |
| LMIS | 5.9 | 14.8 | 12.1 | 16.5 | 11.2 |
| Job agents / bureaus | 11.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 8.2 | 12.1 |
| Training institutions | 4.1 | 10.9 | 8.5 | 5.1 | 8.0 |
| Other | 11.8 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 6.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4101 | 5592 | 1209 | 197 | 11099 |

Table 4.5-106: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they want to move away from their current place of residence to search for a different job, according to their current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | Do you want to move away <br> from your current residence <br> to search for a new job? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |  |
|  | 81.5 | 18.5 |  | 100 | 4104 |
| Professionals | 77.8 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 100 | 63801 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 91.2 | 8.8 |  | 100 | 199 |
| Clerical support workers | 77.6 | 22.4 |  | 100 | 1763 |
| Services and sales workers | 65.7 | 34.3 |  | 100 | 8703 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 100.0 |  |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 83.0 | 17.0 |  | 100 | 56 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 70.9 | 29.1 |  | 100 | 187 |
| Elementary occupations | 64.4 | 35.6 |  | 100 | 3634 |
| Not stated |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 76.1 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 82494 |

Table 4.5-107: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they want to move away from their current place of residence to search for a different job, according to Type of learning institution.

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | Do you want to move away <br> from your current residence <br> to search for a new job? |  | Group Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.5-108: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by reason, according to their current occupation

|  | Why are you willing to |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { N }}$ | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 74.3 | 17.4 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 100 | 3346 |
| Professionals | 74.8 | 18.5 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 100 | 49612 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 93.9 | 3.1 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 100 | 181 |
| Clerical support workers | 84.0 | 10.9 |  |  | 5.1 |  | 100 | 1369 |
| Services and sales workers | 83.2 | 12.6 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 100 | 5715 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 74.1 |  |  |  | 25.9 |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 90.3 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 47 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 93.2 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 133 |
| Elementary occupations | 91.9 | 7.9 |  | 0.2 |  |  | 100 | 2342 |
| Total | 76.5 | 17.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100 | 62781 |

Table 4.5-109: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by reason, according to Type of learning institution

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | REASON TO MOVE AWAY FOR A DIFFERENT JOB |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N N U N | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{+}{ \pm}$ |  |  |  |
| Primary | 75.7 | 17.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 35133 |
| Secondary | 77.3 | 18.1 |  | 1.3 | 3.3 |  | 100 | 22140 |
| TVET | 77.9 | 16.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 100 | 3854 |
| University | 81.4 | 14.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.0 |  | 100 | 1653 |
| Total | 76.5 | 17.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100 | 62781 |

Table 4.5-110: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by the place they want to move to for a different job, according to their current occupation

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | PLACE TO MOVE TO FOR A DIFFERENT JOB |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 34.5 | 11.5 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 46.8 | 2.1 | 100 | 3346 |
| Professionals | 41.7 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 47.1 | 0.7 | 100 | 49612 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 40.0 | 2.8 |  |  | 57.3 |  | 100 | 181 |
| Clerical support workers | 47.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 48.5 |  | 100 | 1369 |
| Services and sales workers | 51.6 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 41.3 | 1.0 | 100 | 5715 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 62.9 |  |  |  | 37.1 |  | 100 | 37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 39.1 | 9.7 |  |  | 51.2 |  | 100 | 47 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 48.2 | 37.6 |  |  | 14.1 |  | 100 | 133 |
| Elementary occupations | 63.7 | 4.8 |  |  | 31.5 |  | 100 | 2342 |
| Total | 43.2 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 46.0 | 0.7 | 100 | 62781 |

Table 4.5-111: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by the place they want to move to for a different job, according to Type of learning institution

| CURRENT OCCUPATION AND TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | PLACE TO MOVE TO FOR A DIFFERENT JOB |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Primary | 47.5 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 40.9 | 0.9 | 100 | 35133 |
| Secondary | 39.4 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 50.4 | 0.5 | 100 | 22140 |
| TVET | 38.2 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 51.9 | 0.5 | 100 | 3854 |
| University | 12.6 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 79.7 |  | 100 | 1653 |
| Total | 43.2 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 46.0 | 0.7 | 100 | 62781 |

Table 4.5-112: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the first important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{n}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{ \pm}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 47.7 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 0.9 | 13.2 |  | 21.1 |  | 100 | 758 |
| Professionals | 70.1 | 1.2 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 11.3 | 0.1 | 100 | 14177 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 18 |
| Clerical support workers | 57.8 |  | 1.3 |  |  | 12.7 | 28.3 |  | 100 | 394 |
| Services and sales workers | 66.6 |  | 5.7 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 14.8 |  | 100 | 2988 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 52.4 |  | 47.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 10 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 55 |
| Elementary occupations | 60.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 9.2 | 8.2 | 21.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 1292 |
| Total | 67.9 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 100 | 19692 |

Table 4.5-113: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the first important reason, according to the current occupation

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{E}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| Primary | 71.8 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 10.1 |  | 100 | 13165 |
| Secondary | 60.0 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 17.9 |  | 100 | 5116 |
| TVET | 63.8 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 18.2 | 1.1 | 100 | 855 |
| University | 55.9 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 1.3 |  | 0.9 | 31.9 | 0.9 | 100 | 555 |
| Total | 67.9 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 100 | 19692 |

Table 4.5-114 : Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the second important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |
| Managers | 30.2 | 1.5 | 19.9 |  | 14.0 |  | 34.3 | 100 | 357 |
| Professionals | 16.1 | 9.9 | 20.9 | 4.9 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 23.9 | 100 | 8506 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  | 71.6 |  |  |  | 28.4 | 100 | 18 |
| Clerical support workers | 19.2 | 37.0 |  |  | 19.2 | 22.8 | 1.7 | 100 | 286 |
| Services and sales workers | 17.2 | 7.9 | 25.2 | 20.0 | 16.9 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 100 | 2203 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 10 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 50 |
| Elementary occupations | 7.5 | 6.9 | 16.8 | 6.4 | 38.3 | 6.3 | 17.8 | 100 | 866 |
| Total | 16.1 | 9.7 | 21.3 | 7.5 | 15.5 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 100 | 12294 |

Table 4.5-115: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the second important reason, according to the type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{+}{+}$ |  |  |
| Primary | 12.7 | 9.8 | 22.1 | 7.0 | 16.5 | 9.9 | 21.9 | 100 | 8066 |
| Secondary | 23.7 | 8.5 | 18.9 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 8.1 | 17.8 | 100 | 3543 |
| TVET | 16.9 | 7.4 | 17.7 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 14.1 | 18.7 | 100 | 406 |
| University | 15.6 | 22.8 | 30.8 | 4.3 |  | 1.8 | 24.7 | 100 | 279 |
| Total | 16.1 | 9.7 | 21.3 | 7.5 | 15.5 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 100 | 12294 |

Table 4.5-116: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the third important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 玄 } \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \circ \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 30.2 | 1.5 | 19.9 |  | 14.0 |  | 34.3 | 100 | 47 |
| Professionals | 16.1 | 9.9 | 20.9 | 4.9 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 23.9 | 100 | 94 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  | 71.6 |  |  |  | 28.4 | 100 | 166 |
| Clerical support workers | 19.2 | 37.0 |  |  | 19.2 | 22.8 | 1.7 | 100 | 45 |
| Services and sales workers | 17.2 | 7.9 | 25.2 | 20.0 | 16.9 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 100 | 177 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 46 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 50 |
| Elementary occupations | 7.5 | 6.9 | 16.8 | 6.4 | 38.3 | 6.3 | 17.8 | 100 | 384 |
| Total | 16.1 | 9.7 | 21.3 | 7.5 | 15.5 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 100 | 1009 |

Table 4.5-117: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the third important reason, according to the type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | If you don't want to move, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{n}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |
| Primary | 12.7 | 9.8 | 22.1 | 7.0 | 16.5 | 9.9 | 21.9 | 100 | 111 |
| Secondary | 23.7 | 8.5 | 18.9 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 8.1 | 17.8 | 100 | 606 |
| TVET | 16.9 | 7.4 | 17.7 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 14.1 | 18.7 | 100 | 196 |
| University | 15.6 | 22.8 | 30.8 | 4.3 |  | 1.8 | 24.7 | 100 | 95 |
| Total | 16.1 | 9.7 | 21.3 | 7.5 | 15.5 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 100 | 1009 |

Table 4.5-118: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the first important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\imath}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{1} \\ & \circ \\ & \bullet \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{+}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 62.6 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 9.8 |  | 10.6 | 3.6 | 100 | 1541 |
| Professionals | 59.5 | 9.6 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 11.1 | 4.4 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 24841 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 77 |
| Clerical support workers | 59.8 |  |  | 0.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 17.8 | 7.1 | 100 | 646 |
| Services and sales workers | 43.0 | 10.2 | 1.6 | 27.2 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 100 | 3182 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 62.5 | 17.8 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  |  | 100 | 23 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 20.3 |  |  | 79.7 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 23 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 114 |
| Elementary occupations | 72.4 | 4.9 |  | 17.3 | 5.0 |  | 0.3 |  | 100 | 1604 |
| Total | 58.9 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 100 | 32053 |

Table 4.5-119: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the first important reason, according to the type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\imath}{n} \\ & \cdots \frac{1}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \pm \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Primary | 56.8 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 4.9 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 100 | 19609 |
| Secondary | 62.3 | 8.9 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 10.6 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 100 | 10492 |
| TVET | 60.9 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 100 | 1697 |
| University | 63.7 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 100 | 255 |
| Total | 58.9 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 100 | 32053 |

Table 4.5-120: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the second important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | n 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 00 0 0 |  |  | む $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |
| Managers | 27.5 | 38.0 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 15.7 | 12.3 | 100 | 929 |
| Professionals | 16.7 | 28.1 | 8.0 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 12.3 | 100 | 17915 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 15.5 |  | 7.0 | 77.5 |  |  | 100 | 72 |
| Clerical support workers | 11.5 | 59.5 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 1.2 | 100 | 435 |
| Services and sales workers | 11.9 | 18.3 | 2.3 | 28.7 | 29.3 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 100 | 2587 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 13 |
| Craft and related trade workers |  | 19.9 |  | 20.3 | 59.8 |  |  | 100 | 23 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  | 12.3 |  | 47.9 | 39.9 |  |  | 100 | 114 |
| Elementary occupations | 5.0 | 17.3 | 8.3 | 26.5 | 29.5 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 100 | 1341 |
| Total | 15.7 | 27.3 | 6.9 | 14.3 | 15.1 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 100 | 23429 |

Table 4.5-121: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the second important reason, according to the type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ <br> \# |  |  |
| Primary | 16.8 | 27.6 | 7.1 | 14.1 | 14.9 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 100 | 14683 |
| Secondary | 13.7 | 26.8 | 6.1 | 13.8 | 15.5 | 10.2 | 14.0 | 100 | 7501 |
| TVET | 14.9 | 24.4 | 9.8 | 21.5 | 16.1 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 100 | 1123 |
| University | 18.9 | 39.2 |  | 8.2 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 21.1 | 100 | 122 |
| Total | 15.7 | 27.3 | 6.9 | 14.3 | 15.1 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 100 | 23429 |

Table 4.5-122: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the third important reason, according to the current occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{\hat{u}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\vdots} \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | ơ 3 ¢ ¢ |  |
| Managers |  | 19.2 | 58.3 | 18.8 |  | 3.8 |  | 100.0 | 266 |
| Professionals | 10.8 | 12.1 | 7.5 | 16.8 | 12.2 | 21.2 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 8667 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  | 52.3 |  | 47.7 |  |  | 100.0 | 10 |
| Clerical support workers | 17.1 |  | 58.0 |  | 4.1 | 18.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 265 |
| Services and sales workers | 12.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 12.5 | 32.1 | 28.7 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 1727 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  | 47.4 |  | 52.6 |  |  | 100.0 | 9 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 75.0 |  |  |  |  | 25.0 |  | 100.0 | 18 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  | 82.8 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Elementary occupations | 1.3 | 12.3 | 1.2 | 34.0 | 8.9 | 33.5 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 813 |
| Total | 10.3 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 16.9 | 14.4 | 22.6 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 11830 |

Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the third important reason, according to the type of learning institution

| TYPE OF LEARNING INSTITUTION | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Family / dependents } \\ & \text { / friends } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | n <br>  <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oొ } \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | せ $\stackrel{3}{3}$ 0 |
| Primary | 12.5 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 18.5 | 14.5 | 20.0 | 14.4 | 100.0 | 7466 |
| Secondary | 6.3 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 28.8 | 20.4 | 100.0 | 3707 |
| TVET | 7.3 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 21.2 | 17.2 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 611 |
| University |  | 10.8 | 45.0 |  | 21.6 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Total | 10.3 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 16.9 | 14.4 | 22.6 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 11830 |

## 4.6: Informal sector employees' module

## Section A. General Information on Employees

Table 4.6-1: Percentage distribution of employees by sex

| PROVINCE | Sex |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male |  |  |
| Kigali city | 35.6 | 64.4 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 31.9 | 68.1 | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 28.2 | 71.8 | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 30.7 | 69.3 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 41.7 | 58.3 | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 33.7 | 66.3 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-2: Percentage distribution of employees by sex and age group

| Age group | M2:A020 Sex |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male |  |
| $15-19$ | 9.3 | 12.1 | 11.2 |
| $20-24$ | 25.3 | 30.5 | 28.7 |
| $25-29$ | 21.6 | 25.7 | 24.3 |
| $30-34$ | 17.0 | 13.7 | 14.8 |
| $35-39$ | 11.0 | 5.9 | 7.6 |
| $40-44$ | 7.4 | 4.1 | 5.2 |
| $45-49$ | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| $50-55$ | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| 55 and above | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Not Specified | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1353 | 2659 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-3: Percentage distribution of employees by marital status

|  | Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROVINCE | Single / <br> Never <br> married | Married | Separated | Divorced | Widowed | Group Total |  |
|  | 59.9 | 38.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100 | 1108 |  |
|  | 45 | 53.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 100 | 755 |  |
|  | 50.1 | 48.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100 | 638 |  |
|  | 45.4 | 54.1 | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 100 | 841 |  |
|  | 52.9 | 46 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 669 |  |
|  | 51.3 | 47.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100 | 4012 |  |

Table 4.6-4: Percentage distribution of employees by nationality

| PROVINCE | Nationality |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Burun dian | Kenyan | Rwandan | Tanzanian | Ugandan | The rest of Africa | The rest of the world |  |  |
| Kigali city | 0.5 | 0.8 | 97.4 |  | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern | 0.5 | 0.4 | 99.0 |  |  | 0.1 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 0.5 | 1.3 | 97.5 | 0.2 |  | 0.6 |  | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 0.1 | 0.7 | 98.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 0.3 | 0.7 | 98.5 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 0.4 | 0.7 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-5: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have disability or not

| PROVINCE | Do you have any disability? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Kigali city | 1.2 | 98.8 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 2.5 | 97.5 | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 1.3 | 98.7 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 1.4 | 98.6 | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-6: Percentage distribution of employees who have disability by the type of disability

| PROVINCE | Type of disability |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hearing (deaf, hard of hearing) | Communicat ing (speech impairment) | Other <br> Physic. <br> Disability | Intellectua I/mental problem | Emotional (behavioral, psycholog.) | Other |  |  |
| Kigali city |  |  | 92.9 |  |  | 7.1 | 100 | 13 |
| Southern Province | 15.1 |  | 69.3 | 7.8 | 7.8 |  | 100 | 12 |
| Western Province | 11.4 |  | 88.6 |  |  |  | 100 | 16 |
| Northern Province | 8.3 | 8.5 | 66.6 |  | 8.5 | 8.1 | 100 | 11 |
| Eastern Province |  |  | 80.8 | 9.8 | 9.4 |  | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 7.4 | 1.5 | 80.7 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 100 | 62 |

## Section B. Nature of current employment

Table 4.6-7: Percentage distribution of employees by occupation

| EMPLOYEE BY OCCUPATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managers | 3.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Professionals | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Clerical support workers | 2.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| Services and sales workers | 64.1 | 53.4 | 49.6 | 48.4 | 62.1 | 56.2 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 17.2 | 12.8 | 19.6 | 28.6 | 19.4 | 19.5 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1.6 | 4.6 | 12.3 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 4.1 |
| Elementary occupations | 9.0 | 21.7 | 10.3 | 14.8 | 9.2 | 12.8 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-8: Percentage distribution of employees by the nature of employment contract

| Nature of Employment Contract | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Permanent worker | 86.3 | 84.9 | 85.0 | 73.8 | 88.4 | 83.6 |
| Temporary worker | 8.9 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 9.3 |
| Casual worker | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Seasonal worker | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Daily worker | 4.1 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 5.7 |
| Other (specify) | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 |  | 0.6 |
| Not stated |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-9: Percentage distribution of employees by the type of employment contract

| Type of contract of your <br> main employment | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Written contract | 5.5 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 7.7 |
| Oral contract | 57.0 | 43.9 | 46.1 | 62.0 | 55.9 | 53.7 |
| No contract | 36.5 | 45.8 | 41.1 | 30.3 | 36.8 | 37.7 |
| Do not know / not sure |  |  | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Other (specify) | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.6-10: Percentage of employees by their experience in current establishment

| YEARS OF EXPERIENCE | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Less than 1 year | 53.9 | 42.1 | 37.0 | 38.1 | 47.7 | 44.7 |
| 1-2 | 23.3 | 34.2 | 34.3 | 31.4 | 26.0 | 29.3 |
| 3-4 | 13.8 | 12.8 | 14.8 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 14.0 |
| 5-7 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 5.7 |
| 8-10 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| 11-13 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 14+ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Not stated |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Group Total |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-11: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their current job matches their skills/education

| Does your current job matches your <br> skills / received trainings/studies? | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Yes | 76.0 | 57.9 | 53.4 | 60.9 | 62.4 | 63.6 |
| No (other job than qualification) | 11.9 | 13.0 | 21.9 | 17.1 | 9.9 | 14.4 |
| No (lower level than qualification | 3.5 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 5.9 |
| No (higher level than qualification) | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Not applicable (no training) | 7.8 | 20.7 | 15.4 | 15.6 | 19.5 | 15.0 |
| Not stated |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-12: Percentage distribution of employees by whether the current employment is the first one

| PROVINCE | Is this your first employment in Rwanda? |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Kigali city | 57.3 | 42.7 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 64.5 | 35.5 | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 67.5 | 32.5 | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 64.5 | 35.5 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 68.4 | 31.6 | 100 | 669 |
| TOTAL | 63.6 | 36.4 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-13: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the type of establishment they previously worked for.

| Establishment worked for before <br> joining current Employer | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Ministry and other Public | 5.0 | 12.7 | 6.7 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 8.0 |
| institutions | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Parastatal | 86.8 | 70.6 | 82.1 | 79.0 | 80.4 | 80.6 |
| Company | 1.7 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| Co-operative | 3.1 | 0.9 |  | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 2.7 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 4.5 |
| Other (specify) |  | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 474 | 268 | 207 | 298 | 212 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-14: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by employment status in their previous job.

| PROVINCE | What was your employment status? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own account <br> worker | Employer | Employee | Unpaid <br> family <br> worker | Not stated |  |  |
|  | 18.7 | 1.7 | 76.8 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 100 | 474 |
| Southern Province | 27.6 | 2.8 | 64.6 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 100 | 268 |
| Western Province | 27.0 | 5.3 | 67.3 | 0.5 |  | 100 | 207 |
| Northern Province | 34.3 | 2.1 | 63.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100 | 298 |
| Eastern Province | 28.5 | 0.9 | 69.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 100 | 212 |
| Total | 26.1 | 2.4 | 69.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 100 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-15: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by the economic activity of the establishment they previously worked for

| ECONOMIC ACTIVITY OF PREVIOUS ESTABLISHMENT | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 5.0 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 18.5 | 14.6 | 11.9 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.2 |  | 1.4 | 3.1 |  | 0.9 |
| Manufacturing | 10.0 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 13.9 |
| Electricity, gas and air conditioning supply | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 |  | 0.4 |
| Water supply, gas and remediation services | 0.2 |  | 0.9 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| Construction | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and mot | 25.0 | 19.1 | 18.0 | 14.2 | 18.6 | 19.8 |
| Accommodation and food services | 4.0 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Transportation and storage | 17.5 | 12.3 | 18.4 | 9.8 | 20.3 | 15.5 |
| Information and communication | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Financial and insurance activities |  |  | 0.4 | 0.6 |  | 0.2 |
| Professional scientific and technical activities | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 3.9 |
| Administrative and support services activities | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 |
| Public administration and defense, compulsory social security | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.1 |
| Education | 2.3 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 3.3 |
| Human health and social work activities | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Arts, entertainment and recreation | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Other services activities | 12.4 | 7.1 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 5.2 | 10.0 |
| Activities of households as employers, undifferentiated good | 4.5 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
| Activities of extraterritorial organizations and bodies | 0.4 |  |  |  | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.4 |  | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 474 | 268 | 207 | 298 | 212 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-16: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by their occupation in the establishment they previously worked for

| OCCUPATION IN PREVIOUS ESTABLISHMENT | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managers | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Professionals | 4.1 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 8.1 | 4.6 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 1.3 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| Services and sales workers | 51.7 | 41.2 | 40.5 | 25.1 | 34.0 | 40.1 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 4.3 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 16.5 | 12.4 | 10.0 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 14.5 | 16.0 | 22.7 | 25.3 | 19.1 | 18.8 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 3.1 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 3.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 14.6 | 14.7 | 10.7 | 21.5 | 13.9 | 15.4 |
| Armed forces occupations | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 |
| Not stated |  | 0.4 |  | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Group Total |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 474 | 268 | 207 | 298 | 212 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-17: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by previous occupation, according to current occupation

| PREVIOUS OCCUPATION | CURRENT OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 7.0 | 12.1 |  | 3.4 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.7 |  | 2.0 |
| Professionals | 9.6 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 10.1 | 4.9 |  | 1.8 | 1.7 |  | 4.6 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 2.8 | 11.9 |  | 3.7 | 1.5 |  | 1.3 | 1.5 |  | 1.6 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.5 |  |  | 39.1 | 1.7 |  | 0.8 |  | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Services and sales workers | 42.7 | 7.8 | 30.1 | 21.6 | 54.3 | 34.7 | 16.2 | 14.2 | 26.8 | 40.1 |
| fishery workers | 6.9 | 7.8 | 19.7 | 4.1 | 12.4 |  | 4.6 | 23.5 | 4.8 | 10.0 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 17.0 | 4.2 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 30.6 | 61.7 | 19.2 | 6.1 | 18.8 |
| and assemblers | 4.4 | 3.9 | 10.7 |  | 3.0 | 34.7 | 1.1 | 26.5 | 0.5 | 3.4 |
| Elementary occupations | 6.7 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 |  | 9.4 | 8.4 | 56.2 | 15.4 |
| Armed forces occupations | 1.4 | 4.0 |  |  | 1.7 |  | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| Not specified |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.3 |  | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 68 | 24 | 9 | 27 | 821 | 3 | 279 | 56 | 172 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-18: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by working experience in the previous establishment.

| WORKING EXPERIENCE (IN <br> YEARS) | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Less than 1 year | 18.4 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 15.4 |
| $1-2$ | 31.3 | 33.2 | 32.0 | 25.1 | 38.4 | 31.5 |
| $3-4$ | 22.2 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 18.2 | 14.3 | 19.9 |
| $5-7$ | 14.1 | 13.4 | 16.3 | 13.8 | 15.5 | 14.4 |
| $8-10$ | 7.6 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| $11-13$ | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| $14+$ | 4.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 12.7 | 7.6 | 6.7 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.6-19: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by reason for leaving the previous employment

| MAIN REASON OF LEAVING <br> PREVIOUS JOB | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Under payment | 27.2 | 15.2 | 24.3 | 16.2 | 18.8 | 21.1 |
| Late payment | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Physical/social harassment | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Poor working conditions | 22.0 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 25.1 | 17.8 | 21.6 |
| Marital/family commitments | 13.6 | 23.5 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 25.0 | 17.9 |
| Going back to school/training | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| Restructuring | 11.8 | 16.8 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 10.5 |
| Others (specify) | 20.5 | 19.0 | 29.7 | 28.0 | 24.9 | 23.7 |
| Not stated |  | 0.4 |  | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 474 | 268 | 207 | 298 | 212 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-20: Other reasons" for leaving previous employment

| Others reasons | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Still holding the job | 46 | 13.3 |
| Termination of contract | 52 | 15.0 |
| Close of the business | 145 | 41.8 |
| Illness/Oldness | 23 | 6.6 |
| Faired out | 21 | 6.1 |
| Start own business | 10 | 2.9 |
| Others | 50 | 14.4 |
| Grand Total | 347 | 100 |

Table 4.6-21: Mean service period (years) of previous experience in different organisations

| TYPE OF INSTITUTION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Group <br> Total |
|  | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Parastatal | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Company | 5.8 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| Co-operative | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| NGO/CSO/CBO | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total experience | 7.0 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 7.4 |

Table 4.6-22: Percentage distribution of employees who worked in other establishment before by whether their previous job matched their education

| PROVINCE | Did your first job match your education? |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 69.6 | 30.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 474 |
| Southern Province | 54.4 | 44.8 | 0.7 | 100 | 268 |
| Western Province | 42.4 | 57.6 |  | 100 | 207 |
| Northern Province | 55.1 | 44.3 | 0.6 | 100 | 298 |
| Eastern Province | 59.5 | 40.1 | 0.5 | 100 | 212 |
| Total | 58.5 | 41.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 1459 |

Table 4.6-23: Percentage distribution of employees by their highest level of education when they first entered the labour market

| Highest level of education when you <br> first enter the labour market | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Group <br> Total |
|  | 22.2 | 32.7 | 35.0 | 32.1 | 30.2 | 29.6 |
| Primary | 45.6 | 47.3 | 46.3 | 52.5 | 49.7 | 48.2 |
| Vocational training/Tronc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| commun/A3/TVET ... | 17.9 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 14.0 |
| Secondary | 12.7 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| Tertiary | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Missing value | 0.3 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Col \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Count | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-24: Percentage distribution of employees with at least post primary education by year of graduation before entering the labour market for the first time

| GRADUATION INTERVAL <br> YEARS BEFORE ENTERING <br> THE LABOUR MARKET | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
|  | 41.3 | 37.4 | 43.0 | 39.1 | 43.4 | 40.9 |
| 2003-2007 | 29.8 | 25.3 | 33.5 | 24.0 | 29.4 | 28.7 |
| $1998-2002$ | 9.1 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 9.3 |
| $1993-1997$ | 5.3 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 10.9 | 5.1 | 6.2 |
| $1988-1992$ | 5.1 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 4.9 |
| $1983-1987$ | 3.1 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 |
| Below 1983 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.2 |
| Not stated | 3.1 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4.6-25: Percentage distribution of employees by the time it took them to find their first job after turning 15 years old

| HOW LONG IT TOOK TO FIND THE FIRST JOB AFTER REACHING 15 YEARS OLD | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Less than 1 year | 50.8 | 59.5 | 35.0 | 40.2 | 39.2 | 45.8 |
| 1 year | 11.4 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 10.7 | 14.6 | 11.6 |
| 2years | 10.2 | 7.6 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 10.0 |
| 3 years | 6.8 | 4.4 | 9.3 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 6.8 |
| 4 years | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| 5 years | 3.9 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 5.1 |
| 6 years | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| 7 years | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 8 years | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 9 years | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| 10 years and above | 4.7 | 5.9 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 7.9 | 7.6 |
| Not stated | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

## Section C: Formal education background

Table 4.6-26: Percentage distribution of employee by their highest level of formal education

| HIGHEST LEVEL OF FORMAL <br> EDUCATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Masters Degree | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Post Graduate Diploma |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Bachelors | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Certificate level (TVET) | 5.5 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| Secondary-A Level | 9.9 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 |
| Secondary-O Level | 15.1 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 12.1 |
| Primary | 44.8 | 48.8 | 44.5 | 52.0 | 49.2 | 47.7 |
| None | 21.8 | 30.0 | 33.0 | 31.4 | 29.6 | 28.4 |
| Other (specify) | 1.0 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-27: Percent distribution of employees with at least secondary A level by the field of studies

| FIELD OF STUDIES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| General Programs | 0.5 |  | 1.7 |  | 3.8 | 1.0 |
| Education | 2.7 |  | 6.8 | 14.1 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| Humanities and Arts | 6.1 | 4.2 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 32.3 | 25.0 | 20.8 | 30.4 | 35.9 | 29.9 |
| Sciences | 14.7 | 21.3 | 24.3 | 14.1 | 13.2 | 16.8 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and | 20.0 | 39.0 | 15.5 | 17.8 | 12.3 | 21.3 |
| Construction | 1.7 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 3.4 |
| Agriculture | 1.6 | 2.6 |  | 4.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Health and Welfare | 4.9 |  | 1.7 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Services | 15.6 | 5.3 | 23.9 | 7.2 | 17.8 | 14.5 |
| Not known or Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 189 | 71 | 54 | 39 | 61 | 414 |

Table 4.6-28: Percentage distribution of employee with at least secondary A level by the country/continent they have got their highest degree from

| EMPLOYEES BY PLACE THEY HAVE GOT THEIR HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Rwanda | 84.9 | 93.4 | 87.9 | 92.8 | 83.6 | 87.3 |
| Other EAC countries | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 |  | 9.0 | 5.3 |
| Rest of Africa | 1.6 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| Europe | 0.5 |  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Not stated | 5.3 | 4.0 |  | 2.4 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 189 | 71 | 54 | 39 | 61 | 414 |

Table 4.6-29: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are currently enrolled for further training

| PROVINCE | Are you currently enrolled for further training (formal Education)? |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 5.7 | 94.1 | 0.2 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 5.4 | 94.5 | 0.1 | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 6.0 | 93.9 | 0.1 | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 5.6 | 94.3 | 0.1 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 6.4 | 93.6 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 5.8 | 94.1 | 0.1 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-30: Percentage distribution of employees presently enrolled in educational institute by the type of training they receive.

| EMPLOYEES BY THE TYPE OF TRAINING THEY ARE ENROLLED FOR | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| General Programs | 4.9 | 19.2 | 22.4 | 39.6 | 27.1 | 21.4 |
| Education |  | 4.6 |  |  | 4.2 | 1.6 |
| Humanities and Arts | 22.3 | 11.5 | 2.4 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 11.7 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 25.4 | 15.9 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 6.3 | 15.1 |
| Sciences | 20.2 | 13.9 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 14.4 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 25.5 | 15.8 | 27.3 | 23.7 | 29.5 | 24.5 |
| Agriculture |  | 7.6 |  |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| Health and Welfare |  | 4.7 | 7.3 | 2.0 |  | 2.4 |
| Services | 1.7 | 4.4 | 16.8 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
| Not known or Not stated |  | 2.3 |  |  | 4.4 | 1.2 |
| otal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 64 | 41 | 38 | 47 | 43 | 233 |

Table 4.6-31: Percent distribution of employees presently enrolled in educational by the expected qualification

| EXPECTED QUALIFICATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Bachelors | 31.4 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 14.5 |
| Diploma level (A1) | 6.9 |  | 2.4 |  | 4.2 | 3.1 |
| Certificate level (TVET) |  | 6.8 | 5.0 | 1.9 |  | 2.4 |
| Secondary-A Level | 6.5 | 30.8 | 23.7 | 37.8 | 27.4 | 23.8 |
| Certificate | 34.4 | 28.2 | 17.4 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 27.2 |
| Other (specify) | 8.0 | 7.1 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 19.0 | 10.6 |
| None | 11.0 | 13.4 | 28.9 | 19.3 | 14.8 | 16.7 |
| Not stated | 1.7 | 4.5 | 2.6 |  |  | 1.7 |
| otal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 64 | 41 | 38 | 47 | 43 | 233 |

## Section D. Vocational training /type of training

Table 4.6-32: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have received any kind of training since they joined the current employer

| PROVINCE | Have you received any other kind of training since <br> you joined your current employer? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  | 1108 |
| Kigali city | 8.4 | 91.6 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Southern Province | 10.8 | 89.2 |  | 100 | 100 |
| Western Province | 9.5 | 90.5 |  | 638 |  |
| Northern Province | 9.3 | 90.6 | 0.1 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 6.4 | 93.6 |  | 100 | 669 |
|  | 8.9 | 91.1 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6-33: Percentage distribution of employees who did not receive any training since they joined the current employer by reasons of not being trained

| REASON FOR NOT TAKE PART IN ANY TRAINING | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| No training policy | 54.0 | 31.7 | 52.1 | 47.2 | 61.9 | 49.5 |
| No training for my job profile | 17.5 | 16.6 | 21.2 | 18.9 | 17.0 | 18.1 |
| Not offered to me personally | 25.8 | 46.9 | 18.0 | 27.4 | 14.9 | 26.9 |
| Offered to me, but refused | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| No need | 1.1 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 |
| Other (specify) | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1015 | 673 | 578 | 763 | 626 | 3655 |

Table 4.6-34: Percentage distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by year of training.

| YEAR OF TRAINING | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali <br> city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| 2011 | 68.7 | 48.6 | 44.3 | 41.9 | 60.3 | 53.1 |
| 2010 | 12.2 | 42.6 | 24.1 | 21.7 | 23.5 | 24.6 |
| $2008-2009$ | 6.3 | 4.4 | 22.2 | 20.4 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| $2005-2007$ | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| 2004 and below | 6.4 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 10.5 |  | 5.0 |
| Not stated | 2.2 |  | 1.5 |  | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 94 | 82 | 60 | 78 | 43 | 357 |

Table 4.6-35: Percentage distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by the mean of training.

| PROVINCE | MEAN OF TRAINING |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apprenticeship | Formal training institution | On the Job | Other | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 19.9 | 10.8 | 67.1 |  | 2.2 | 100 | 94 |
| Southern Province | 24.7 | 6.6 | 66.4 | 2.3 |  | 100 | 82 |
| Western Province | 29.7 | 9.1 | 47.0 | 12.7 | 1.5 | 100 | 60 |
| Northern Province | 34.9 | 16.4 | 47.5 | 1.1 |  | 100 | 78 |
| Eastern Province | 21.5 | 12.0 | 64.4 |  | 2.0 | 100 | 43 |
| Total | 26.1 | 10.9 | 58.9 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 100 | 357 |

Table 4.6-36: Mean period (in months) of training for employee who have received the training since they joined the current employer by occupation

| TRAINING BY OCCUPATION | DURATION IN MONTHS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Managers | 1 |
| Professionals | 1 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 0 |
| Clerical support workers | 4 |
| Services and sales workers | 0 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 2 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 1 |
| Elementary occupations | 0 |
| Not stated | 6 |
| Total | 1 |

Table 4.6-37: Percent distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by the qualification they got from it

| PROVINCE | QUALIFICATION OBTAINED FROM THE TRAINING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Certificate level (TVET) | None | Certificate | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city |  | 77.2 | 20.6 | 2.2 | 100 | 94 |
| Southern Province | 1.2 | 80.4 | 18.4 |  | 100 | 82 |
| Western Province |  | 92.4 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 100 | 60 |
| Northern Province |  | 75.9 | 24.1 |  | 100 | 78 |
| Eastern Province |  | 89.3 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 100 | 43 |
| Total | 0.3 | 81.7 | 17.0 | 1.1 | 100 | 357 |

Table 4.6-38: Percentage distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by the field of study.

| TRAINING BY THE FIELD OF <br> STUDY | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Humanities and Arts | 26.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.4 |  | 7.9 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 23.6 | 23.6 | 35.4 | 32.8 | 30.1 | 28.4 |
| Sciences |  | 2.4 | 1.6 |  | 6.6 | 1.6 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and | 11.7 | 58.3 | 17.0 | 42.0 | 29.7 | 32.1 |
| Construction |  | 6.3 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
| Agriculture | 6.5 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 4.7 |
| Health and Welfare | 23.5 | 3.7 | 23.2 | 18.1 | 20.9 | 17.4 |
| Services | 8.4 |  | 11.3 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Not known or Not stated | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 94 | 82 | 60 | 78 | 43 | 357 |

Table 4.6-39: Percentage distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by the place of training.

| PROVINCE | PLACE OF TRAINING |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rwanda | Other EAC countries | Not stated |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3.2 | 100 | 94 |
| Southern Province | 96.8 |  |  | 100 | 82 |
| Western Province | 98.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 100 | 60 |
| Northern Province | 100.0 |  |  | 100 | 78 |
| Eastern Province | 98.0 |  | 2.0 | 100 | 43 |
| Total | 98.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 100 | 357 |

Table 4.6-40: Percentage distribution of who have received the training since they joined the current employer by whether the training has improved their performance.

| PROVINCE | Did that training improve your performance? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 95.8 |  | 4.2 | 100 | 94 |
| Southern Province | 91.9 | 8.1 |  | 100 | 82 |
| Western Province | 88.6 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 100 | 60 |
| Northern Province | 94.1 | 5.9 |  | 100 | 78 |
| Eastern Province | 95.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 100 | 43 |
| Total | 93.3 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 100 | 357 |

Table 4.6-41: Percentage distribution of employees who have received the training since they joined the current employer by whether employees are involved in designing training plans.

| PROVINCE | Are employees involved in designing training plans? |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 37.1 | 61.7 | 1.2 | 100 | 94 |
| Southern Province | 10.9 | 89.1 |  | 100 | 82 |
| Western Province | 35.0 | 63.6 | 1.5 | 100 | 60 |
| Northern Province | 37.5 | 62.5 |  | 100 | 78 |
| Eastern Province | 19.2 | 78.7 | 2.0 | 100 | 43 |
| Total | 28.7 | 70.5 | 0.8 | 100 | 357 |

Table 4.6-42: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they need any specific skills to improve their performances.

| PROVINCE | Do you need any specific skills to improve your <br> performance at your current job? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 73.1 | 26.9 |  | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 65.5 | 34.5 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 71.3 | 28.7 |  | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 65.5 | 34.4 | 0.1 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 76.0 | 24.0 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 70.3 | 29.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-43: Percentage distribution of employees who have reported that they need specific skills to improve their performances by area of lacking skills

| FIELD OF STUDY | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| General Programs | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Education |  | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Humanities and Arts | 16.7 | 5.3 | 10.8 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 9.3 |
| Social Sciences, Business and Law | 34.4 | 31.6 | 22.8 | 25.2 | 32.8 | 29.9 |
| Sciences | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction | 16.6 | 30.3 | 25.6 | 37.4 | 24.7 | 26.0 |
| Agriculture | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Health and Welfare | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Services | 27.1 | 25.2 | 29.0 | 21.0 | 32.5 | 26.9 |
| Not known or Not stated | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 811 | 494 | 455 | 551 | 509 | 2820 |

Table 4.6- 44: Percentage distribution of employee by their self - assessment of English proficiency.

| PROFICIENCY IN ENGLISH | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| English Speaking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Good | 8.2 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 6.0 |
| Basic | 19.8 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 15.5 | 14.7 |
| None | 69.6 | 83.2 | 79.9 | 83.7 | 77.2 | 78.0 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| English Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Good | 8.1 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 5.9 |
| Basic | 16.5 | 8.7 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 13.9 | 12.5 |
| None | 72.9 | 85.4 | 81.0 | 85.5 | 79.0 | 80.2 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6- 45: Percentage distribution of employee by their self - assessment of French proficiency.

| PROFICIENCY IN FRENCH | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| French Speaking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 4.2 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Good | 13.1 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 9.5 |
| Basic | 24.6 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 21.4 | 23.0 | 21.5 |
| None | 58.0 | 71.7 | 68.7 | 70.8 | 66.0 | 66.3 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| French Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 4.0 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Good | 12.4 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| Basic | 20.9 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 18.9 |
| None | 62.6 | 74.7 | 70.2 | 72.2 | 70.0 | 69.3 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-46: Percentage distribution of employee by their self - assessment of Kinyarwanda proficiency.

| PROFICIENCY IN KINYARWANDA | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| Kinyarwanda Speaking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 87.1 | 78.4 | 87.3 | 84.9 | 87.3 | 85.1 |
| Good | 11.1 | 19.8 | 11.8 | 14.4 | 10.7 | 13.5 |
| Basic | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| None | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Kinyarwanda Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 59.6 | 44.5 | 68.9 | 55.0 | 58.1 | 57.1 |
| Good | 23.2 | 35.2 | 16.4 | 22.2 | 20.7 | 23.7 |
| Basic | 11.4 | 13.0 | 7.2 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 11.8 |
| None | 5.7 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 9.8 | 6.7 | 7.3 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Soup Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-47: Percentage distribution of employee by their self - assessment of Swahili proficiency..

| PROFICIENCY IN SWAHILI | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Swahili Speaking |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 8.1 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| Good | 9.6 | 4.1 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
| Basic | 16.8 | 9.3 | 16.6 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 12.5 |
| None | 65.5 | 84.7 | 70.9 | 87.2 | 81.2 | 77.1 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Swahili Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 5.8 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| Good | 7.4 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 4.8 |
| Basic | 12.1 | 7.2 | 12.4 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 9.3 |
| None | 74.6 | 88.3 | 77.3 | 90.2 | 85.7 | 82.7 |
| Not stated | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1108 | 755 | 638 | 841 | 669 | 4012 |

## Section E. Working terms and conditions

Table 4.6-48: Weekly working hours by occupation and province

| WEEKLY WORKING HOURS BY OCCUPATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managers | 70 | 46 | 62 | 60 | 54 | 59 |
| Professionals | 54 | 59 | 47 | 49 | 62 | 55 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 64 | 56 | 54 | 24 | 68 | 52 |
| Clerical support workers | 73 | 71 | 66 | 60 | 62 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 70 | 49 | 60 | 55 | 60 | 60 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 50 | 41 | 60 | 39 |  | 46 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 54 | 49 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 51 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 65 | 49 | 46 | 48 | 52 | 50 |
| Elementary occupations | 71 | 49 | 59 | 52 | 66 | 57 |
| Not stated | . | 66 | . | 48 |  | 54 |
| Total | 67 | 49 | 56 | 53 | 58 | 58 |

Table 4.6-49: Distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to annual leave days or not, according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Are you entitled to annual leave days? |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 9.3 | 90.7 |  | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 12.9 | 87.1 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 6.5 | 93.5 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 7.4 | 92.6 |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 4.3 | 95.6 | 0.0 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and | 21.2 | 78.8 |  | 100 | 11 |
| fishery workers | 3.3 | 96.7 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 16.2 | 83.8 |  | 100 | 163 |
| Plant and machine operators and | 4.5 | 95.5 |  | 100 | 515 |
| assemblers | 32.9 | 67.1 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Elementary occupations | 5.0 | 94.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6-50: Mean annually leave days entitled to employees by occupation

| OCCUPATION | Calendar days | Working days |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Managers | 18 | 16 |
| Professionals | 11 | 15 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 23 | 18 |
| Clerical support workers | 20 | 15 |
| Services and sales workers | 24 | 16 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 30 | . |
| Craft and related trade workers | 30 | 14 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 19 | 7 |
| Elementary occupations | 24 | 11 |
| Not stated | 30 | . |
| Total | 23 | 14 |

Table 4.6-51 : Distribution of employees by the time it takes them to reach their workplace.

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | How long it takes to reach workplace |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 10 minutes | $\begin{gathered} 10-20 \\ \text { minutes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21-30 \\ \text { minutes } \end{gathered}$ | More than 30 minutes | Not stated |  |  |
|  | 41.8 | 26.1 | 16.2 | 15.9 |  | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 36.7 | 36.0 | 8.1 | 19.2 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 37.6 | 25.9 | 21.5 | 15.0 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 34.4 | 27.7 | 20.6 | 17.4 |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 44.8 | 22.6 | 15.3 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 26.2 | 56.0 | 17.8 |  |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 25.2 | 21.1 | 22.8 | 31.0 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 28.7 | 23.1 | 21.2 | 27.0 |  | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 39.1 | 23.9 | 17.7 | 19.3 |  | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated | 66.5 |  |  | 33.5 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 39.1 | 23.0 | 17.4 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-52: Distribution of employees by the main mode of transport used to reach their working place

| EMPLOYEES BY THE MAIN MODE OF TRANSPORT | Main mode of transport used to reach the workplace |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public transport (taxi, bus) | Office transport | On <br> foot | Own transport | Hired (Car, <br> Motor cycle, bicycle) | Other | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 8.2 |  | 82.0 | 3.3 | 6.5 |  |  | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 9.8 |  | 81.7 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 1.6 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 10.9 |  | 80.4 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 2.1 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 9.3 | 1.6 | 87.1 |  | 2.0 |  |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers Skilled agricultural, | 6.5 | 0.7 | 86.4 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 100 | 2253 |
| forestry and fishery workers | 9.3 |  | 90.7 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 7.1 | 1.4 | 85.8 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.5 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 3.5 | 1.1 | 90.3 | 1.1 | 3.9 |  |  | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.8 | 0.5 | 92.5 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 |  | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 6.2 | 0.8 | 87.0 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-53: Distribution of employees by whether they are entitled to medical care assistance or not

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Do you Entitle to medical care assistance? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 40.2 | 59.8 |  | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 17.8 | 82.2 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 15.2 | 84.8 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 24.4 | 75.6 |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 27.4 | 72.6 | 0.0 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 44.8 | 55.2 |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 9.3 | 90.7 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 27.2 | 72.2 | 0.6 | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 9.6 | 90.4 |  | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated | 66.5 | 33.5 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 21.7 | 78.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-54: Distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by extent it covers the medical expenses, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | EXTENT COVERED BY MEDICAL CARE ASSISTANCE |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Partially | Totally | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 38.6 | 61.4 | 0.1 | 100 |  |
| Professionals | 54.2 | 45.8 |  | 100 |  |
| Technical and associate professionals | 57.5 | 42.5 |  | 100 | 7 |
| Clerical support workers | 58.5 | 41.5 |  | 100 | 16 |
| Services and sales workers | 30.8 | 69.0 |  | 100 | 617 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 57.5 | 42.5 |  | 100 | 5 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 49.0 | 51.0 |  | 100 | 73 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 55.7 | 44.3 |  | 100 | 45 |
| Elementary occupations | 55.7 | 44.3 |  | 100 | 49 |
| Not stated |  | 100.0 |  | 100 | 2 |
| Total | 36.5 | 63.4 | 0.1 | 100 | 869 |

Table 4.6-55: Distribution of employees who are entitled to medical care assistance by whether the assistance extend to their family or not.

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Does the assistance extend to your family? |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Managers | 62.0 | 38.0 | 100 | 46 |
| Professionals | 55.4 | 44.6 | 100 | 10 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 71.5 | 28.5 | 100 | 7 |
| Clerical support workers | 23.7 | 76.3 | 100 | 16 |
| Services and sales workers | 68.0 | 32.0 | 100 | 617 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 76.4 | 23.6 | 100 | 5 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 33.7 | 66.3 | 100 | 73 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 21.8 | 78.2 | 100 | 45 |
| Elementary occupations | 27.8 | 72.2 | 100 | 49 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  | 100 | 2 |
| Total | 59.3 | 40.7 | 100 | 869 |

Table 4.6-56: Percentage of employees who have reported that their activities expose them to different kind of dangers by occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | different kind of dangers |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{y}{*}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 26.7 | 30.0 | 15.6 | 33.2 |  | 1.2 | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 29.0 | 34.2 | 20.7 | 22.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 38.2 | 45.8 | 37.1 | 34.4 |  |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 21.5 | 24.2 | 18.1 | 25.9 |  |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 30.7 | 27.5 | 27.1 | 26.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 48.6 | 9.3 | 18.9 | 18.1 |  |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 52.5 | 45.4 | 36.9 | 68.0 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 65.0 | 55.6 | 38.6 | 60.3 | 15.4 | 1.9 | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 40.5 | 23.0 | 35.5 | 44.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated | 32.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 37.4 | 31.9 | 30.1 | 38.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-57: Percentage of employees who have reported that they get some benefits from their employer by those benefits and occupation

| BENEFITS FROM EMPLOYER | EXTENT <br> COVERED BY <br> THOSE <br> BENEFITS | EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |
| Clothing/Uniform | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & 15.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \\ 5.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ 9.0 \end{gathered}$ | 9.3 | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ 9.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \\ & 20.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25 . \\ 3 \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | 33.5 | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ 9.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Protective gear | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \\ & 15.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \\ & 14.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.1 \\ 8.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ 7.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ 9.7 \end{gathered}$ | 21.2 9.3 | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ 9.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.5 \\ & 20.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 . \\ 5 \\ 8.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Accommodation | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2 \\ & 11.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 8.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \\ & 8.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ 5.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.8 \\ 8.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 17.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \\ 8.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ 21.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16 . \\ 8 \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | 33.5 | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \\ 9.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Transport | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 14.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.0 \\ 13.0 \end{gathered}$ | 8.6 | 7.3 | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ 10.0 \end{gathered}$ | 9.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.0 \\ & 9.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 21.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \\ & 8.4 \end{aligned}$ | 33.5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.5 \\ 10.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Pay to and from annual leave | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.3 \\ 13.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.4 \\ & 11.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \\ & 6.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 7.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \\ & 9.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.2 \\ 9.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.7 \\ & 8.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 24.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.1 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.9 \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.5 \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Food | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{aligned} & 46.3 \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.5 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & 12.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.2 \\ & 12.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.2 \\ 8.8 \end{gathered}$ | 26.4 9.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & 11.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.1 \\ & 24.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 . \\ 4 \\ 11 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.7 \\ & 10.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Free education for dependants | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ 15.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ 11.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \\ & 4.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ 8.5 \end{gathered}$ | 9.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 9.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ 20.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | 33.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \\ & 9.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Maternal and paternal leave | Yes all <br> Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} 43.5 \\ 7.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.0 \\ 6.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.7 \\ 8.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.7 \\ 4.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.3 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \\ 9.3 \end{gathered}$ | 25.9 6.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 13.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 . \\ 6 \\ 6.3 \end{gathered}$ | 66.5 | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 7.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Health and safety | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.6 \\ 16.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ 11.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.3 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ 10.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.4 \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.1 \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ 20.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.6 \\ & 8.2 \end{aligned}$ | 33.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 9.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Terminal benefits | Yes all Yes partially | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ 13.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.0 \\ 11.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | 8.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 9.4 \end{aligned}$ | 9.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 19.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ | 33.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.6 \\ & 9.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Any other | Yes all Yes partially | 14.2 | 13.1 | 8.6 | 7.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 9.6 \end{aligned}$ | 9.3 | 9.3 | 21.0 | 8.0 | 33.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 9.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Group Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 2253 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 783 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 515 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 4012 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 4.6-58: Percent distribution of employees according to the frequency of increasing of their salaries and occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | LEVEL OF SALARY INCREMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\overline{0}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \frac{\lambda}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 3 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{\ddot{O}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 6.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 61.5 | 21.3 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 8.1 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 49.7 | 27.5 | 5.0 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 10.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 60.5 | 22.0 | 2.3 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 16.2 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 58.9 | 15.1 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 7.6 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 58.2 | 20.6 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 8.3 |  |  | 64.2 | 27.5 |  |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.6 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 66.1 | 16.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 23.1 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 49.4 | 17.8 |  |  | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 14.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 67.3 | 14.1 | 1.1 |  | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated |  | 33.5 |  | 33.5 | 32.9 |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 9.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 60.5 | 18.9 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-59: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their salary is annually adjusted for inflation, according to occupation.

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Is your salary annually adjusted for inflation? |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes, always | Yes, sometimes | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 5.3 | 37.3 | 46.0 | 11.4 |  | 100 | 17 |
| Professionals | 9.0 | 44.5 | 46.5 |  |  | 100 | 10 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 14.1 |  | 85.9 |  |  | 100 | 7 |
| Clerical support workers | 6.6 | 14.0 | 79.3 |  |  | 100 | 14 |
| Services and sales workers | 6.9 | 29.8 | 56.5 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 100 | 270 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.1 | 30.5 | 54.9 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 100 | 126 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 28.2 | 13.1 | 52.4 | 6.3 |  | 100 | 54 |
| Elementary occupations | 4.1 | 18.3 | 71.1 | 6.5 |  | 100 | 90 |
| Not stated |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 9.1 | 26.5 | 58.4 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 588 |

## Section F. Labour right and related issues

Table 4.6-60: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Member of any trade union |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Managers | 22.8 | 77.2 | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 24.1 | 75.9 | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 19.6 | 80.4 | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 16.8 | 83.2 | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 12.9 | 87.1 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 29.6 | 70.4 | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 30.7 | 69.3 | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 42.5 | 57.5 | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 17.8 | 82.2 | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated | 32.9 | 67.1 | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 18.9 | 81.1 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-61: Percentage distribution of employees who are not a member in any trade union or any other collective bargaining organisation by reason, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | REASON WHY EMPLOYEES DO NOT BELONG TO ANY TRADE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

Table 4.6-62: Percentage distribution of employees who are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association by the first kind of organization, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | In which kind of organization are you a member? |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Welfare | Professional | Cooperative | Others | None | N/S |  |  |
| Managers | 33.8 | 14.5 | 48.1 | 3.7 |  |  | 100 | 26 |
| Professionals | 32.8 | 40.6 | 26.6 |  |  |  | 100 | 14 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 33.7 | 21.6 | 44.7 |  |  |  | 100 | 9 |
| Clerical support workers | 67.3 | 8.3 | 24.4 |  |  |  | 100 | 11 |
| Services and sales workers | 55.5 | 8.2 | 30.6 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 100 | 291 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 42.7 | 13.7 | 42.3 |  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 100 | 240 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 14.5 | 7.6 | 75.2 | 1.3 |  | 1.5 | 100 | 69 |
| Elementary occupations | 46.9 | 16.5 | 31.7 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 92 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 45.6 | 11.8 | 39.0 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 100 | 757 |

Table 4.6-63: Percentage distribution of employees who are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association by the second kind of organisation, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | In which kind of organization are you a member? |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Welfare | Professional | Coopera tive | Others | None |  |  |
| Managers | 19.3 | 22.4 | 58.3 |  |  | 100 | 10 |
| Professionals | 9.2 | 27.0 | 63.8 |  |  | 100 | 10 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 17.5 | 50.8 | 31.7 |  |  | 100 | 6 |
| Clerical support workers |  | 34.4 | 33.5 |  | 32.2 | 100 | 3 |
| Services and sales workers | 30.9 | 28.6 | 31.5 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 100 | 93 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 71.4 | 28.6 |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 23.4 | 35.6 | 35.5 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 100 | 120 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 57.9 | 20.9 | 16.4 |  | 4.8 | 100 | 23 |
| Elementary occupations | 17.4 | 26.7 | 52.9 |  | 3.1 | 100 | 28 |
| Not stated |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 26.6 | 31.6 | 35.8 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 100 | 297 |

Table 4.6-64: Percentage distribution of employees who are member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association by the third priority kind of organisation, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | In which kind of organization are you a member? |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Welfare | Professional | Cooperative | Others | None |  |  |
| Managers | 30.0 | 40.1 | 29.9 |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Professionals |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 2 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 65.2 | 34.8 |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Clerical support workers |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100 | 1 |
| Services and sales workers | 3.1 | 20.8 | 29.5 | 12.3 | 34.3 | 100 | 32 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 7.0 | 22.6 | 47.4 | 4.1 | 18.9 | 100 | 44 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 23.4 | 52.3 | 11.1 |  | 13.2 | 100 | 8 |
| Elementary occupations | 20.1 | 30.2 | 30.2 |  | 19.5 | 100 | 9 |
| Total | 8.6 | 28.1 | 35.1 | 5.6 | 22.6 | 100 | 103 |

Table 4.6-65: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their employer contribute for them regularly to the social security fund, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Is your employer contributing regularly to the social security fund for you? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 4.9 | 91.7 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 8.2 | 91.8 |  |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 2.1 | 95.6 | 2.3 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 5.6 | 93.0 | 1.4 |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 1.0 | 97.1 | 1.8 |  | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 90.7 | 9.3 |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 2.0 | 96.6 | 1.4 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 3.3 | 91.8 | 4.9 |  | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.1 | 96.2 | 1.7 |  | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated | 32.9 | 67.1 |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 1.7 | 96.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100 | 4012 |

## Section G. Challenges at workplace

Table 4.6-66: Percentage of employees who reported that they face some specified challenges at work by type of challenges, according to occupation

| CHALLENGES FACED AT WORK | EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Clerical support workers |  |  |  |  |  | $$ | Total |
| Verbal abuse | 2.6 | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 5.3 |  | 4.1 | 2.2 | 5.7 |  | 4.8 |
| Physical abuse | 1.8 |  |  |  | 0.6 |  | 0.4 |  | 1.6 |  | 0.7 |
| Sexual harassment |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  | 0.1 |
| Neglect | 2.6 | 4.7 | 10.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 |  | 4.1 | 2.9 | 7.0 |  | 5.5 |
| Non-payment of salary | 0.9 |  | 6.5 | 1.3 | 2.3 |  | 1.5 |  | 2.7 |  | 2.1 |
| Non-payment of other benefits | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 |  | 1.4 |
| Delayed payments of salary | 0.8 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 5.1 |  | 3.6 |
| Delayed payments of benefits | 2.0 | 3.2 |  | 4.8 | 2.1 |  | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 |  | 2.1 |
| Underpayment of salary | 8.9 | 14.3 | 10.5 | $\begin{gathered} 10 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8.8 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 11.7 | 11.2 |  | 9.6 |
| Fatigue | 15.1 | 15.8 | 19.6 | $\begin{gathered} 32 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 16.4 | 47.3 | 22.3 | 14.8 | 22.7 | 32.9 | 18.7 |
| Excess workload/hours | 13.4 | 15.8 | 13.4 | $\begin{gathered} 29 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 12.6 | 39.0 | 13.5 | 5.9 | 14.4 | 32.9 | 13.2 |
| Dependants | 6.0 | 6.5 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 4.1 |  | 4.9 | 4.6 | 6.5 |  | 4.7 |
| Discrimination |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |
| Other | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.7 |  | 1.7 | 9.8 | 1.6 |  | 2.0 |
| Total | 114 | 59 | 44 | 67 | 2253 | 11 | 783 | 163 | 515 | 3 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-67: Percentage distribution of employees reacting against faced challenges by the first important way of reaction, according to occupation

| First important way of reaction | EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\#} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Inform HR management | 11.7 | 12.2 | 8.4 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 16.5 | 8.0 | 21.0 | 13.6 |  | 11.0 |
| Take painkillers | 4.1 |  | 7.5 | 3.3 | 0.3 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.9 |
| Talk to family members | 17.3 | 23.3 | 20.7 | 17.1 | 21.2 | 14.6 | 28.5 | 20.2 | 18.0 |  | 22.0 |
| Talk to supervisor | 20.9 | 41.4 | 14.0 | 18.5 | 22.3 | 37.5 | 26.2 | 20.0 | 34.9 |  | 25.0 |
| Inform police/lawyers |  |  |  |  | 1.6 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.5 |  | 1.0 |
| Inform manager/directors | 4.1 |  | 6.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 16.4 | 0.8 |  | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 |
| Talk to friend | 6.6 |  | 21.0 | 6.5 | 11.5 |  | 9.2 | 12.3 | 11.0 |  | 10.6 |
| Ignore them | 21.0 | 23.2 | 14.1 | 25.5 | 24.5 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 21.9 | 17.1 |  | 22.1 |
| Other | 7.0 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 |  | 1.3 |
| Not stated | 7.4 |  | 7.8 | 7.0 | 5.4 |  | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.5 |  | 4.1 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 27 | 16 | 13 | 29 | 588 | 6 | 244 | 43 | 165 | 1 | 1132 |

Table 4.6-68: Percentage distribution of employees reacting against faced challenges by the second important way of reaction, according to occupation

| Second important way of reaction | EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inform HR management |  | 11.1 |  | 5.4 | 3.1 |  | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| Take painkillers |  | 10.2 |  | 10.9 | 1.5 |  | 1.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| Talk to family members | 24.7 | 11.2 | 50.3 | 16.4 | 20.9 | 35.9 | 26.0 | 38.8 | 18.7 | 22.8 |
| Talk to supervisor | 51.6 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 5.4 | 22.8 |  | 16.6 | 12.8 | 26.1 | 20.9 |
| Inform police/lawyers |  | 11.9 |  |  | 3.5 | 35.9 |  |  | 5.5 | 3.0 |
| Inform manager/directors |  | 11.1 |  |  | 3.0 |  | 1.6 |  |  | 2.0 |
| Talk to friend | 23.7 | 22.2 | 37.3 | 34.6 | 30.2 |  | 34.3 | 23.9 | 32.5 | 31.0 |
| Ignore them |  | 11.3 |  | 27.3 | 14.1 |  | 16.0 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 13.8 |
| Other |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 28.1 |  | 6.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Group | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group | 8 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 319 | 3 | 135 | 32 | 91 | 620 |

Table 4.6-69: Percentage distribution of employees reacting against faced challenges by the third important way of reaction, according to occupation

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Third important way of reaction} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION} \& \\
\hline \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \tilde{\omega} \\
\& 0 \\
\& 00 \\
\& 0 \\
\& \stackrel{0}{0} \\
\& \Sigma
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& Total \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Inform HR management \\
Take painkillers \\
Talk to family members \\
Talk to supervisor \\
Inform police/lawyers \\
Inform manager/directors \\
Talk to friend \\
Ignore them \\
Other (specify)
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 23.3 \\
\& 48.9 \\
\& 27.8
\end{aligned}
\] \& 18.5

41.7

39.8 \& | 19.5 |
| :--- |
| 19.8 |
| 40.4 |
| 20.4 | \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
12.4 \\
8.4 \\
\\
34.0 \\
45.2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
2.0 \\
3.4 \\
11.7 \\
8.3 \\
2.7 \\
2.7 \\
49.0 \\
19.4 \\
0.7 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& 100.0 \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.6 \\
4.6 \\
18.5 \\
6.5 \\
\\
50.8 \\
17.9
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& | 40.9 |
| :--- |
| 40.9 |
| 18.2 | \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
2.0 \\
15.6 \\
6.0 \\
2.0 \\
\\
51.5 \\
20.8 \\
2.0 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \\
3.0 \\
10.8 \\
10.5 \\
3.4 \\
1.4 \\
48.4 \\
20.7 \\
0.7 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Group Total \& $$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
4
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
5
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
5
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
11
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 \\
& 135
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
2
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
58
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
5
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
100 \\
46
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 \\
& 271
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## Section H. Gender

Table 4.6-70: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation has a gender policy.

| PROVINCE | Does your organization have a gender policy? |  |  | Group Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
|  | 39.5 | 48.8 | 11.6 | 0.1 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 41.3 | 53.4 | 5.2 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 36.4 | 49.5 | 14.1 |  | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 37.4 | 47.5 | 14.9 | 0.1 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 42.7 | 46.5 | 10.7 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 39.5 | 49.1 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-71: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex

| PROVINCE | Preferential treatment due to sex? |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 7.9 | 80.6 | 11.5 | 0.1 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 7.5 | 88.0 | 4.5 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 12.6 | 73.5 | 13.7 | 0.1 | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 6.4 | 80.1 | 13.3 | 0.2 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 10.0 | 78.0 | 12.0 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 8.6 | 80.3 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-72: Percentage of employees who reported that their orgnisation practice some form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of such preferential treatment

| PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT DUE <br> TO SEX | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
|  | 15.7 | 18.6 | 17.1 | 12.5 | 5.7 | 14.0 |
| Overall quota for women | 13.3 | 16.9 | 23.2 | 16.1 | 8.6 | 15.7 |
| Pref. recruitment for women | 27.7 | 6.8 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 12.9 | 16.6 |
| Pref. recruitment for men | 63.9 | 81.4 | 73.2 | 78.6 | 72.9 | 73.1 |
| Differential retirement age | 14.5 | 15.3 | 7.3 | 14.3 | 25.7 | 15.1 |
| Preferential payment | 3.6 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 14.3 | 27.1 | 12.3 |
| Others | 1.2 |  |  | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| GROUP TOTAL | 83 | 59 | 82 | 56 | 70 | 350 |

Table 4.6-73: Percentage of employees by their opinions on whether any establishment should practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex by the type of preferential treatment

| TYPE OF PREFERENTIAL <br> TREATMENT DUE TO SEX | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Quota for women | 52.7 | 54.4 | 54.3 | 51.8 | 49.3 | 52.5 |
| Overall quota for women | 46.8 | 56.0 | 46.9 | 48.3 | 46.1 | 48.7 |
| Pref. recruitment for women | 22.1 | 25.2 | 29.5 | 26.1 | 24.0 | 25.1 |
| Pref. recruitment for men | 13.4 | 12.9 | 23.7 | 19.0 | 12.7 | 16.1 |
| Maternity leave | 85.0 | 87.7 | 78.0 | 85.6 | 87.8 | 85.0 |
| Differential retirement age | 31.2 | 32.9 | 29.8 | 32.6 | 41.6 | 33.3 |
| Preferential payment | 10.8 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 14.7 | 10.4 | 10.7 |
| Others | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1039 | 747 | 650 | 905 | 671 | 4012 |

## Section I: Use of ICT

Table 4.6-74: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their organisation has introduced the use of ICT

| PROVINCE | Has your organization introduced the use of ICT? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 5.2 | 94.8 | 0.1 | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 4.1 | 95.9 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 4.6 | 95.4 |  | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 1.4 | 98.5 |  | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 4.8 | 95.2 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 4.1 | 95.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-75: Percentage of employees who have reported that their establishments have introduced the use of ICT by type of its utilisation

| TYPE OF ICT UTILISATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Production | 94.8 | 88.1 | 90.2 | 84.4 | 91.3 | 91.2 |
| Marketing | 7.0 | 30.3 | 19.3 | 46.5 | 41.8 | 23.5 |
| Human resource management | 15.9 | 24.5 | 22.5 | 15.3 | 29.0 | 21.3 |
| Communication | 36.0 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 38.2 | 47.7 | 36.7 |
| Records management | 53.4 | 45.9 | 83.7 | 61.4 | 93.0 | 65.8 |
| Accounting/Finance/Budgeting | 48.3 | 42.7 | 64.7 | 61.4 | 82.7 | 57.9 |
| Recruitment | 1.6 |  | 3.2 |  |  | 1.2 |
| Others |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 10.1 | 3.2 |
| Group Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 58 | 31 | 29 | 12 | 32 | 163 |

Table 4.6-76: Percentage of employees who reported the way their establishments were affected by the use of technology

| EFFECT OF USE OF TECHNOLOGY |  | PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Production | Increased/improv | 90.2 | 84.9 | 83.9 | 92.3 | 91.3 | 88.4 |
|  | Decreased |  |  | 3.1 |  |  | 0.5 |
|  | No effect |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 1.2 |
|  | Not applicable | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.7 |  | 4.7 |
|  | Don't know | 4.6 | 5.7 | 3.4 |  | 8.7 | 5.1 |
| Marketing | Increased/improv | 7.0 | 27.2 | 19.3 | 38.6 | 33.1 | 20.6 |
|  | Decreased |  |  | 6.2 | 7.4 |  | 1.7 |
|  | No effect |  |  |  |  | 4.4 | 0.9 |
|  | Not applicable | 85.5 | 64.0 | 71.0 | 46.5 | 53.8 | 69.6 |
|  | Don't know | 7.5 | 8.8 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 7.2 |
| Human resource management | Increased/improv | 15.9 | 24.5 | 19.3 | 15.3 | 24.5 | 19.8 |
|  | Decreased |  |  | 6.2 | 15.3 |  | 2.2 |
|  | No effect |  |  |  |  | 4.4 | 0.9 |
|  | Not applicable | 80.6 | 69.7 | 67.8 | 62.0 | 66.8 | 72.1 |
|  | Don't know | 3.4 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Communication | Increased/improv | 36.0 | 33.5 | 25.6 | 38.2 | 43.4 | 35.3 |
|  | Decreased |  |  | 6.2 | 15.3 |  | 2.2 |
|  | Not applicable | 62.3 | 60.7 | 64.7 | 46.5 | 52.3 | 59.3 |
|  | Don't know | 1.7 | 5.7 | 3.4 |  | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Records management | Increased/improv | 53.4 | 42.7 | 74.3 | 61.4 | 93.0 | 63.5 |
|  | Decreased |  |  | 6.2 | 7.9 |  | 1.7 |
|  | No effect |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 1.2 |
|  | Not applicable | 43.1 | 48.4 | 12.8 | 30.7 | 7.0 | 30.6 |
|  | Don't know | 3.4 | 5.7 | 3.4 |  |  | 2.9 |
| Accounting/Finance/Budg eting | Increased/improv | 46.3 | 39.4 | 52.1 | 61.4 | 78.5 | 53.5 |
|  | Decreased |  |  | 9.4 | 7.9 |  | 2.3 |
|  | No effect |  |  | 3.2 |  |  | 0.6 |
|  | Not applicable | 46.4 | 51.6 | 25.4 | 23.3 | 12.8 | 35.3 |
|  | Don't know | 7.3 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
| Recruitment | Increased/improv | 1.6 |  | 3.2 |  |  | 1.2 |
|  | Decreased | 1.6 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 15.3 |  | 3.4 |
|  | Not applicable | 93.3 | 88.0 | 87.1 | 69.9 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
|  | Don't know | 3.4 | 8.8 | 3.4 | 14.8 | 11.5 | 6.9 |
| Others | Increased/improv | 1.6 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 5.7 | 2.9 |
|  | Decreased | 1.6 |  | 6.2 | 15.3 |  | 2.8 |
|  | No effect |  |  |  |  | 4.4 | 0.9 |
|  | Not applicable | 91.2 | 91.0 | 87.1 | 84.7 | 82.6 | 88.2 |
|  | Don't know | 5.5 | 5.7 | 3.4 |  | 7.2 | 5.1 |
| TOTAL |  | 58 | 31 | 29 | 12 | 32 | 163 |

Table 4.6-77: Percentage of employees who have reported that they have access to different ICT facilities according to province

| ACCESS TO DIFFERENTS ICT <br> FACILITIES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern <br> Province | Western <br> Province | Northern <br> Province | Eastern <br> Province | Total |
| Individual Computer | 29.1 | 15.7 | 44.6 | 30.9 | 28.1 | 29.2 |
| Shared computer | 24.5 | 30.1 | 19.2 | 23.2 | 36.2 | 26.9 |
| Private access to email | 37.3 | 21.4 | 34.9 | 38.3 | 20.2 | 30.5 |
| Common access to email | 5.3 | 2.9 |  | 7.9 | 21.3 | 7.2 |
| Access to internet | 32.2 | 15.1 | 22.1 | 23.5 | 37.4 | 27.5 |
| Others | 1.6 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 2.0 |
| Total | 58 | 31 | 29 | 12 | 32 | 163 |

Table 4.6-78: Percentage of employees who reported that they need different specified ICT facilities to perform their duty in their daily work

| NEEDED ICT FACILITIES TO ACCOMPLISH WELL DIFFERENT DUTIES IN DAILLY WORK | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Individual Computer | 43.3 | 45.8 | 57.7 | 38.3 | 57.2 | 48.7 |
| Shared computer | 24.2 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 15.8 | 22.9 | 16.6 |
| Private access to email | 43.6 | 42.5 | 60.9 | 30.4 | 69.0 | 50.6 |
| Common access to email | 5.0 |  |  |  | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Access to internet | 55.2 | 42.5 | 51.3 | 30.4 | 65.9 | 52.4 |
| Others | 8.6 |  | 9.7 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 5.9 |
| Total | 58 | 31 | 29 | 12 | 32 | 163 |

Table 4.6-79: Percentage distribution of employees using individual computer by whether they feel properly equipped to make a full use the potential of ICT at workplace.

| PROVINCE | Do you feel properly equipped to make full use of the potential of ICT at your workplace? |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No, lack of skills | No, lack of enough equipment | No Lack of skills and equipment | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 32.8 | 6.9 | 13.6 | 14.1 | 32.5 | 100 | 58 |
| Southern Province | 9.6 | 29.5 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 39.6 | 100 | 31 |
| Western Province | 22.4 | 3.2 | 28.8 | 13.1 | 32.5 | 100 | 29 |
| Northern Province | 38.3 | 7.7 | 15.8 |  | 38.2 | 100 | 12 |
| Eastern Province | 30.3 | 17.3 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 19.9 | 100 | 32 |
| Total | 26.4 | 12.7 | 16.6 | 12.5 | 31.8 | 100 | 163 |

## Section J. Earnings

Table 4.6-80: Mean of monthly earning according to occupation

| OCCUPATION | Monthly gross earnings | Monthly net earnings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Managers | 49658 | 49345 |
| Professionals | 38235 | 37675 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 43103 | 43620 |
| Clerical support workers | 32689 | 32042 |
| Services and sales workers | 24160 | 23576 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 14877 | 14877 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 34588 | 35044 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 24920 | 24639 |
| Elementary occupations | 18892 | 18815 |
| Not stated | 20741 | 20247 |
| Total | 26982 | 26745 |

Table 4.6-81: Percentage distribution of employees by the mode of their monthly payment, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Mode of monthly payment |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{む} \\ & \stackrel{+}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 88 |
| Professionals | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 48 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 97.6 |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |  | 100 | 39 |
| Clerical support workers | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 55 |
| Services and sales workers | 98.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100 | 1664 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 10 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 99.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 753 |
| Plant and machine operators and | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 99.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 100 | 502 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 99.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100 | 3324 |

Table 4.6-82: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they have the other occupation or not, according to present occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Do you have another occupation / job/employment? |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Yes, another employme nt | Yes, another business (selfemployer.) | Yes, farming | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 74.8 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 12.3 |  | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 75.2 | 9.9 | 3.3 | 11.6 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 79.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 16.8 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 90.8 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 4.2 |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 79.8 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 73.7 | 9.3 |  | 16.9 |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 83.9 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 8.8 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 81.6 | 4.7 |  | 12.4 | 1.2 | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 89.5 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 81.9 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-83: Mean annually income from the additional jobs by additional occupation and province

| ADDITIONAL OCCUPATION | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Group Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western Province | Northern Province | Eastern Province |  |
| Managers | 1005194 |  | . | 80000 | 608057 | 666161 |
| Professionals | 1940456 | 807315 | 752408 | 294142 | 283767 | 930796 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 103044 | 1080000 | 85941 | . | 250000 | 236095 |
| Services and sales workers | 563165 | 223979 | 104188 | 222585 | 171709 | 296562 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 444128 | 147477 | 202440 | 164040 | 185682 | 190459 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 271972 | 226975 | 319113 | 198736 | 219349 | 249599 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 414587 | 220275 | . | . | 243222 | 332180 |
| Elementary occupations | 326146 | 197069 | 148596 | 104812 | 206192 | 180825 |
| Missing values | 1000000 | 120000 | . | 113648 | 40000 | 259694 |
| Mean | 532191 | 181478 | 201843 | 165225 | 195037 | 227197 |

## Section K : HIV/AIDS at workplace

Table 4.6-84: Percentage distribution of employees by whether their establishment have an HIV/AIDS policy or not, according to province

| PROVINCE | Do you have an HIV / AIDS policy at workplace? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ges | No | Don't know | Not stated |  |  |
| Kigali city | 21.8 | 72.5 | 5.7 |  | 100 | 1108 |
| Southern Province | 31.1 | 65.3 | 3.7 |  | 100 | 755 |
| Western Province | 39.4 | 53.1 | 7.6 |  | 100 | 638 |
| Northern Province | 30.9 | 59.4 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 100 | 841 |
| Eastern Province | 29.1 | 64.8 | 6.1 |  | 100 | 669 |
| Total | 29.5 | 64.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-85: Percentage of employees who reported their establishments have HIV policy by provided services

| PROVIDED SERVICES | PROVINCE |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kigali city | Southern Province | Western <br> Province | Northern Province | Eastern <br> Province |  |
| VCT services | 95.7 | 94.6 | 96.5 | 96.7 | 94.0 | 95.6 |
| Free ARVs for HIV+ workers | 4.6 | 2.9 | 8.2 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Free condom distribution | 14.6 | 20.7 | 24.2 | 8.7 | 13.1 | 16.3 |
| Free food for HIV+ workers | 5.1 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.1 |
| Equal rights | 53.0 | 48.5 | 51.3 | 58.1 | 34.3 | 49.8 |
| Others | 2.2 |  | 0.4 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| TOTAL | 242 | 234 | 251 | 260 | 195 | 1182 |

## Section N. job search and candidate preference

Table 4.6-86: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they are looking for a different job, according to occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATION | Are you currently looking for a different job? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 5.8 | 94.2 |  | 100 | 114 |
| Professionals | 16.2 | 83.8 |  | 100 | 59 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 12.9 | 87.1 |  | 100 | 44 |
| Clerical support workers | 13.4 | 86.6 |  | 100 | 67 |
| Services and sales workers | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 2253 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 8.5 | 91.5 |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.9 | 89.1 |  | 100 | 783 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 13.2 | 86.8 |  | 100 | 163 |
| Elementary occupations | 9.8 | 90.2 |  | 100 | 515 |
| Not stated |  | 100.0 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 10.6 | 89.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-87: Percentage distribution of employees who are looking for a different job by the current occupation, according to the first priority targeted occupation

| CURRENT OCCUPATION | FIRST PRIORITY TARGETED OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| Managers |  |  | 16.9 |  | 2.1 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Professionals |  | 19.8 | 31.3 | 20.8 | 13.5 |  | 4.6 |  |  | 9.7 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 29.4 | 35.6 | 37.3 | 4.6 |  | 3.2 | 4.7 |  | 5.3 |
| Clerical support workers |  | 9.8 | 16.2 | 21.4 | 2.1 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |
| Services and sales workers | 27.0 | 21.1 |  | 20.4 | 31.9 |  | 19.7 | 23.0 | 41.3 | 29.1 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  | 1.1 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 28.4 |  |  |  | 13.7 |  | 33.3 | 13.2 | 11.5 | 16.7 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 44.6 | 10.0 |  |  | 18.1 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 46.3 | 13.1 | 21.2 |
| Elementary occupations |  | 9.8 |  |  | 8.0 |  | 5.6 | 4.3 | 18.5 | 8.2 |
| Armed forces occupations |  |  |  |  | 0.8 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 4.5 |  | 1.1 |  | 9.9 | 3.9 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Group Total | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 237 | 1 | 85 | 22 | 50 | 426 |

Table 4.6-88: Percentage distribution of employees who are looking for a different job by the current occupation, according to the second priority targeted occupation


Table 4.6-89: Percentage of employees who reported that they are looking for a different job by used means to search for it and current occupation

| EMPLOYEES BY CURRENT OCCUPATION | MEANS / WAYS USED TO SEARCH FOR ANOTHER JOB |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{n}{\sum}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Managers | 71.4 | 28.6 | 14.3 |  |  |  | 42.9 | 100 | 7 |
| Professionals | 93.0 | 20.9 | 62.8 | 4.7 | 11.6 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 100 | 43 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 87.0 | 34.8 | 52.2 |  |  | 4.3 |  | 100 | 23 |
| Clerical support workers | 100.0 | 44.4 | 77.8 |  | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 100 | 9 |
| Services and sales workers | 80.2 | 31.0 | 11.1 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 | 13.5 | 100 | 126 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 83.3 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  | 33.3 | 100 | 6 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 93.8 | 27.7 | 12.3 |  | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 100 | 65 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 94.7 | 28.7 | 16.0 |  | 3.2 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 100 | 94 |
| Elementary occupations | 97.0 | 42.4 |  |  | 3.0 |  | 6.1 | 100 | 33 |
| Armed forces occupations | 75.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 25.0 | 100 | 4 |
| Not stated | 87.5 | 18.8 | 31.3 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 16 |
| Total | 89.0 | 29.8 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 100 | 426 |

Table 4.6-90: Percentage distribution of employees by whether they want to move away from their current place of residence to search for a different, according to their current occupation.

| OCCUPATION | Are you willing to move away from your current place of residence for a different job? |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 66.4 | 33.6 |  | 100 | 116 |
| Professionals | 70.5 | 29.5 |  | 100 | 61 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 67.4 | 32.6 |  | 100 | 46 |
| Clerical support workers | 80.0 | 20.0 |  | 100 | 65 |
| Services and sales workers | 70.5 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 2250 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 72.7 | 27.3 |  | 100 | 11 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 80.2 | 19.8 |  | 100 | 792 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 78.9 | 21.1 |  | 100 | 166 |
| Elementary occupations | 72.9 | 27.1 |  | 100 | 502 |
| Not stated | 33.3 | 66.7 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Total | 73.1 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 4012 |

Table 4.6-91: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by reason, according to their current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON FOR MOVING AWAY FOR A DIFFERENT JOB |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Better salary/ rel benefits | Better working conditions | Exposure | Security | Others | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 58.4 | 39.0 |  | 2.6 |  |  | 100 | 77 |
| Professionals | 83.7 | 11.6 |  |  | 4.7 |  | 100 | 43 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 74.2 | 22.6 |  | 3.2 |  |  | 100 | 31 |
| Clerical support workers | 80.8 | 19.2 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 52 |
| Services and sales workers | 74.9 | 22.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 100 | 1587 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 87.5 |  |  |  | 12.5 |  | 100 | 8 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 81.9 | 16.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100 | 635 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 82.4 | 16.0 |  |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 100 | 131 |
| Elementary occupations | 86.9 | 11.7 |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100 | 366 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 78.1 | 19.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 100 | 2931 |

Table 4.6-92: Percentage distribution of employees willing to change their current residence by the place they want to move to for a different job, according to their current occupation

| OCCUPATION | SUGGESTED PLACE TO MOVE TO FOR A DIFFERENT JOB |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | within <br> Rwanda | Other <br> EAC <br> countries | Other <br> African counties | Out of <br> Africa | Anywhere | Not stated |  |  |
| Managers | 45.5 | 9.1 | 1.3 |  | 42.9 | 1.3 | 100 | 77 |
| Professionals | 37.2 | 2.3 |  |  | 58.1 | 2.3 | 100 | 43 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 32.3 | 6.5 |  |  | 61.3 |  | 100 | 31 |
| Clerical support workers | 19.2 | 11.5 |  |  | 69.2 |  | 100 | 52 |
| Services and sales workers | 44.5 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 47.3 | 0.4 | 100 | 1587 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 75.0 |  |  |  | 25.0 |  | 100 | 8 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 40.5 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 51.7 | 0.3 | 100 | 635 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 37.4 | 3.8 |  |  | 58.0 | 0.8 | 100 | 131 |
| Elementary occupations | 55.2 | 4.4 | 0.5 |  | 39.6 | 0.3 | 100 | 366 |
| Not stated | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 44.1 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 48.3 | 0.4 | 100 | 2931 |

Table 4.6-93: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the first important reason, according to the current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON FOR NOT MOVING AWAY (\|FIRST PRIORITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\grave{y}}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{+}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 71.8 | 10.3 |  | 2.6 |  |  | 15.4 |  | 100 | 39 |
| Professionals | 77.8 |  |  |  | 5.6 | 5.6 | 11.1 |  | 100 | 18 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 66.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 15 |
| Clerical support workers | 69.2 | 7.7 |  | 7.7 |  | 7.7 | 7.7 |  | 100 | 13 |
| Services and sales workers | 76.4 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 100 | 662 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 66.7 |  |  |  | 33.3 |  |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 68.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 100 | 157 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 65.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 |  | 20.0 | 5.7 | 2.9 |  | 100 | 35 |
| Elementary occupations | 63.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 12.5 | 1.5 | 13.2 | 0.7 | 100 | 136 |
| Not stated | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  | 100 | 2 |
| Total | 72.8 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 100 | 1080 |

Table 4.6-94: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the second important reason, according to the current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON FOR NOT MOVING AWAY (SECOND PRIORITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{\grave{n}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \text { ○ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\square}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 3.8 | 7.7 | 26.9 | 15.4 | 34.6 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 100 | 26 |
| Professionals | 22.2 | 33.3 | 11.1 |  | 22.2 |  | 11.1 | 100 | 9 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 38.5 | 100 | 13 |
| Clerical support workers |  | 12.5 | 37.5 | 12.5 |  | 25.0 | 12.5 | 100 | 8 |
| Services and sales workers | 4.9 | 11.4 | 16.5 | 25.7 | 29.1 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 100 | 474 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.9 | 17.3 | 12.7 | 21.8 | 19.1 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 100 | 110 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 13.8 | 17.2 | 6.9 | 13.8 | 24.1 | 20.7 | 3.4 | 100 | 29 |
| Elementary occupations | 13.2 | 13.2 | 15.4 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 11.0 | 5.5 | 100 | 91 |
| Total | 7.2 | 12.7 | 15.7 | 23.3 | 26.0 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 100 | 763 |

Table 4.6-95: Percentage distribution of employees who do not want to move away by the third priority reason, according to the current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON FOR NOT MOVING AWAY ( THIRD PRIORITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \frac{n}{2} \\ & \circ \\ & \therefore \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  |
| Managers |  | 7.1 | 14.3 | 28.6 |  | 35.7 | 14.3 | 100 | 14 |
| Professionals | 20.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 100 | 5 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  | 25.0 |  | 75.0 |  |  |  | 100 | 4 |
| Clerical support workers |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 |  | 100 | 5 |
| Services and sales workers | 4.6 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 22.8 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 8.9 | 100 | 259 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  | 50.0 |  |  | 50.0 |  |  | 100 | 2 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 10.2 | 5.1 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 10.2 | 100 | 59 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 5.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 |  | 100 | 20 |
| Elementary occupations | 2.2 | 4.3 | 21.7 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 28.3 | 8.7 | 100 | 46 |
| Total | 5.1 | 4.8 | 10.6 | 22.2 | 23.4 | 25.4 | 8.5 | 100 | 414 |

Table 4.6-96: Percentage distribution of employees who want to move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the first important reason, according to the current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON WHY FOR MOVING WITHIN RWANDA OR WITHIN EAC (FIRST PRIORITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 츤 } \\ & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{\circlearrowright} \\ & \stackrel{ \pm}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Managers | 59.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 11.9 | 19.0 |  | 4.8 |  | 100 | 42 |
| Professionals | 47.1 | 5.9 |  | 11.8 | 17.6 | 11.8 |  | 5.9 | 100 | 17 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 58.3 | 8.3 |  | 16.7 | 16.7 |  |  |  | 100 | 12 |
| Clerical support workers | 50.0 |  |  | 25.0 | 12.5 |  | 6.3 | 6.3 | 100 | 16 |
| Services and sales workers | 51.2 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 21.4 | 13.5 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 100 | 810 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 50.0 | 16.7 |  |  | 16.7 |  | 16.7 |  | 100 | 6 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 52.2 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 23.4 | 11.2 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 295 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 51.9 | 5.6 |  | 16.7 | 18.5 | 5.6 | 1.9 |  | 100 | 54 |
| Elementary occupations | 43.6 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 24.3 | 17.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 100 | 218 |
| Not stated |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 50.5 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 21.6 | 13.9 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 100 | 1471 |

Table 4.6-97: Percentage distribution of employees who want move inside Rwanda in EAC only by the second important reason, according to the current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON WHY FOR MOVING WITHIN RWANDA OR WITHIN EAC (SECOND PRIORITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 气 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \text { O} \\ & \text { 음 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers | 9.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 22.6 | 35.5 | 16.1 | 3.2 | 100 | 31 |
| Professionals |  | 15.4 | 15.4 | 30.8 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 100 | 13 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  |  | 30.0 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 100 | 10 |
| Clerical support workers | 22.2 | 11.1 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 100 | 9 |
| Services and sales workers | 9.1 | 13.5 | 6.3 | 26.3 | 31.0 | 11.2 | 2.6 | 100 | 651 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  | 50.0 | 50.0 |  |  | 100 | 4 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 8.7 | 14.7 | 3.5 | 33.8 | 29.9 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 100 | 231 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 6.4 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 44.7 | 21.3 | 4.3 | 10.6 | 100 | 47 |
| Elementary occupations | 11.3 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 31.1 | 23.2 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 100 | 177 |
| Not stated |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 100 | 1 |
| Total | 9.1 | 13.0 | 6.4 | 29.0 | 29.3 | 10.0 | 3.2 | 100 | 1174 |

Table 4.6-98: Percentage distribution of employees who want move inside Rwanda or in EAC only by the third important reason, according to the current occupation

| OCCUPATION | REASON WHY FOR MOVING WITHIN RWANDA OR WITHIN EAC (THIRD PRIORITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Group Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & \circ \\ & \circ \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \stackrel{\text { n }}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Managers | 11.8 |  |  | 29.4 | 17.6 | 35.3 | 5.9 | 100 | 17 |
| Professionals | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 40.0 | 100 | 5 |
| Technical and associate professionals |  |  |  | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 |  | 100 | 7 |
| Clerical support workers | 33.3 | 33.3 |  |  |  | 33.3 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Services and sales workers | 5.1 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 17.0 | 27.3 | 35.2 | 6.0 | 100 | 352 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers |  |  |  | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 |  | 100 | 3 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 8.5 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 28.7 | 2.3 | 100 | 129 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 15.4 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 11.5 | 23.1 | 34.6 | 3.8 | 100 | 26 |
| Elementary occupations | 4.9 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 18.6 | 27.5 | 32.4 | 2.9 | 100 | 102 |
| Total | 6.5 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 18.3 | 25.5 | 33.5 | 4.8 | 100 | 644 |

Annexes

## Annex 1: Precision estimates

## 5.1: Formal employer

Table 5.1-1: Percentage distribution of total posts by minimum education requirement

| Minimum education requirements | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.12 | 1.24 |
| Masters Degree | 2.7 | 0.14 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 0.05 | 2.11 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.09 | 2.17 |
| Bachelors | 23.9 | 0.49 | 22.9 | 24.8 | 0.02 | 3.49 |
| Diploma level | 8.4 | 0.29 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 0.04 | 2.99 |
| Certificate A2 | 33.1 | 0.59 | 31.9 | 34.2 | 0.02 | 4.12 |
| Other (specify) | 30.5 | 0.60 | 29.3 | 31.7 | 0.02 | 4.59 |

Table 5.1-2: Percentage distribution of total post by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard <br> Error | $\qquad$ |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 20.7 | 0.32 | 20.1 | 21.4 | 0.02 | 1.66 |
| Professionals | 20.1 | 0.35 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 0.02 | 2.07 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 16.5 | 0.32 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 0.02 | 1.93 |
| Clerical support workers | 7.1 | 0.22 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 0.03 | 1.98 |
| Services and sales workers | 21.2 | 0.49 | 20.3 | 22.2 | 0.02 | 3.80 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 3.4 | 0.24 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 0.07 | 4.49 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2.4 | 0.11 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.04 | 1.34 |
| Elementary occupations | 8.1 | 0.28 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 0.03 | 2.72 |

Table 5.1- 3: Estimate of total number of employees in permanent posts by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 11461 | 225 | 11020 | 11901 | 0.02 | 0.18 |
| Professionals | 15864 | 981 | 13939 | 17788 | 0.06 | 1.28 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 19221 | 843 | 17566 | 20875 | 0.04 | 1.17 |
| Clerical support workers | 4793 | 140 | 4518 | 5067 | 0.03 | 0.15 |
| Services and sales workers | 17964 | 539 | 16908 | 19021 | 0.03 | 0.25 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 5352 | 300 | 4763 | 5941 | 0.06 | 0.21 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4070 | 218 | 3641 | 4498 | 0.05 | 0.20 |
| Elementary occupations | 12313 | 277 | 11770 | 12856 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Total permanent employee | 91168 | 1905 | 87431 | 94905 | 0.02 | 0.44 |

Table 5.1-4: Estimate of total number of employees in permanent posts by activity sector

| ACTIVITY SECTOR | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 11921 | 0 | 11921 | 11921 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PRIVATE BUSINESSES | 48317 | 893 | 46565 | 50069 | 0.02 | 0.12 |
| HEALTH | 23582 | 1647 | 20352 | 26812 | 0.07 | 2.46 |
| NGO | 7348 | 608 | 6156 | 8541 | 0.08 | 5.70 |
| Total employees | 91168 | 1905 | 87431 | 94905 | 0.02 | 0.44 |

Table 5.1-5: Estimate of total number of vacant post by minimum education requirement

| Minimum education requirements | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| PhD/Doctorate | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Masters Degree | 261 | 66 | 132 | 391 | 0.25 | 0.87 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 23 | 9 | 6 | 41 | 0.38 | 1.49 |
| Bachelors | 1280 | 61 | 1160 | 1400 | 0.05 | 0.11 |
| Diploma level | 477 | 69 | 341 | 612 | 0.14 | 1.18 |
| Certificate A2 | 1139 | 61 | 1019 | 1258 | 0.05 | 0.39 |
| Other (specify) | 457 | 41 | 376 | 537 | 0.09 | 0.36 |
| Sum | 3639 | 140 | 3365 | 3914 | 0.04 | 0.38 |

Table 5.1-6: Estimate of total number of vacant post by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 549 | 29 | 491 | 606 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
| Professionals | 966 | 88 | 793 | 1139 | 0.09 | 1.15 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 996 | 82 | 836 | 1157 | 0.08 | 1.18 |
| Clerical support workers | 251 | 30 | 193 | 310 | 0.12 | 1.29 |
| Services and sales workers | 520 | 46 | 430 | 610 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 95 | 12 | 71 | 119 | 0.13 | 0.05 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 147 | 14 | 118 | 175 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| Elementary occupations | 115 | 19 | 77 | 153 | 0.17 | 0.29 |
| Total | 3639 | 140 | 3365 | 3914 | 0.04 | 0.38 |

## 5.2: Education employers

Table 5.2-1: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by minimum education requirement

| Minimum education requirements | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| PHD/Professor | 0.5 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| Masters | 1.2 | 0.04 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 0.09 |
| Postgrad. Diploma | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.30 | 0.57 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 26.2 | 1.25 | 23.9 | 28.8 | 0.05 | 5.88 |
| Diploma | 13.6 | 1.18 | 11.5 | 16.1 | 0.09 | 8.58 |
| Certificate A2 | 45.1 | 1.07 | 43.0 | 47.2 | 0.02 | 3.36 |
| Other(Less than secondary) | 13.2 | 0.44 | 12.3 | 14.1 | 0.03 | 1.22 |

Table 5.2-2: Estimate of total number of employees in permanent posts by occupation

| OCCUPATION | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 14.6 | 0.3 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 0.02 | 0.50 |
| Professionals | 67.2 | 0.6 | 65.9 | 68.4 | 0.01 | 1.39 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.21 | 1.34 |
| Clerical support workers | 3.1 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 0.07 | 1.13 |
| Services and sales workers | 10.4 | 0.4 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 0.04 | 1.04 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.27 | 1.11 |
| Elementary occupations | 3.9 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 0.07 | 1.32 |

Table 5.2- 3: Estimate of total number of employees in permanent posts by type of learning institution

| Type of learning institution | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Primary | 48271 | 1293 | 45729 | 50812 | 0.03 | 1.54 |
| Secondary | 25719 | 1153 | 23453 | 27985 | 0.04 | 2.61 |
| TVET | 4766 | 216 | 4340 | 5191 | 0.05 | 0.52 |
| Universities and high learning inst. | 2374 | 0 | 2374 | 2374 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total permanent employees | 81130 | 1746 | 77698 | 84561 | 0.02 | 2.16 |

Table 5.2-4: Estimate of total number of vacant post by minimum education requirement

| Minimum education requirements | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| PHD | 39 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Masters | 116 | 36 | 45 | 187 | 0.31 | 1.64 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 1137 | 160 | 821 | 1454 | 0.14 | 3.36 |
| Diploma | 434 | 91 | 255 | 614 | 0.21 | 3.83 |
| Certificate A2 | 1008 | 93 | 824 | 1192 | 0.09 | 2.26 |
| Less than secondary | 75 | 23 | 29 | 121 | 0.31 | 0.79 |
| Sum | 2810 | 189 | 2437 | 3183 | 0.07 | 4.98 |

Table 5.2-5: Estimate of total number of vacant post by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% <br> Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 497 | 63 | 373 | 621 | 0.13 | 0.81 |
| Professionals | 1803 | 171 | 1464 | 2142 | 0.10 | 3.75 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 30 | 13 | 4 | 56 | 0.44 | 0.99 |
| Clerical support workers | 286 | 47 | 193 | 379 | 0.16 | 1.63 |
| Services and sales workers | 129 | 34 | 61 | 197 | 0.27 | 1.13 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 19 | 10 | 0 | 38 | 0.51 | 0.83 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Elementary occupations | 46 | 19 | 9 | 83 | 0.41 | 1.06 |
| Total vacant posts | 2810 | 189 | 2437 | 3183 | 0.07 | 4.98 |

## 5.3: Informal employer

Table 5.3-1: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by minimum education requirement

| Minimum education required | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design <br> Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Masters Degree | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 0.1 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.55 | 0.96 |
| Bachelors | 0.6 | 0.13 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.24 | 1.34 |
| Diploma level | 0.6 | 0.14 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.24 | 1.45 |
| Certificate A2 | 16.1 | 0.70 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 0.04 | 1.52 |
| Below secondary | 82.1 | 0.74 | 80.6 | 83.5 | 0.01 | 1.58 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.14 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.27 | 1.65 |

Table 5.3-2: Percentage distribution of permanent posts by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of <br> Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 11.8 | 0.44 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 0.04 | 0.80 |
| Professionals | 2.3 | 0.24 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 0.11 | 1.11 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 1.3 | 0.20 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.15 | 1.23 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.4 | 0.20 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.15 | 1.27 |
| Service sales workers | 66.0 | 0.91 | 64.2 | 67.7 | 0.01 | 1.56 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 7.2 | 0.49 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 0.07 | 1.53 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 2.1 | 0.27 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 0.12 | 1.44 |
| Elementary occupations | 7.2 | 0.41 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 0.06 | 1.07 |
| Not stated | 0.7 | 0.17 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.25 | 1.87 |

Table 5.3-3: Estimate of total number of employees by minimum education required

| Minimum education required | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Masters Degree | 11 | 10 | -9 | 31 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 32 | 18 | -2 | 67 | 0.55 | 0.96 |
| Bachelors | 237 | 56 | 126 | 348 | 0.24 | 1.34 |
| Diploma level | 271 | 64 | 145 | 397 | 0.24 | 1.40 |
| Certificate A2 | 8050 | 557 | 6958 | 9142 | 0.07 | 1.59 |
| Below secondary | 52944 | 2880 | 47295 | 58594 | 0.05 | 1.15 |
| Missing value | 172 | 61 | 53 | 291 | 0.35 | 1.49 |
| Total | 61716 | 2924 | 55981 | 67451 | 0.05 | 1.19 |

Table 5.3-4: Estimate of total number of employees in permanent posts by Province

| Province | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Kigali city | 15594 | 670 | 14281 | 16908 | 0.04 | 0.89 |
| Southern province | 13460 | 2593 | 8374 | 18547 | 0.19 | 1.07 |
| Western province | 9461 | 737 | 8016 | 10906 | 0.08 | 1.10 |
| Northern Province | 12858 | 859 | 11173 | 14542 | 0.07 | 1.52 |
| Eastern province | 10343 | 308 | 9739 | 10948 | 0.03 | 0.61 |
| Total | 61716 | 2924 | 55981 | 67451 | 0.05 | 1.19 |

Table 5.3-5: Estimate of total number of vacant post by minimum education requirement

| Minimum education requirements | Estimate | Standard <br> Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Post Graduate Diploma | 12 | 11 | -10 | 34 | 0.95 | 1.06 |
| Bachelors | 44 | 21 | 3 | 85 | 0.48 | 0.99 |
| Diploma level | 22 | 15 | -7 | 51 | 0.67 | 0.97 |
| Certificate A2 | 1753 | 196 | 1368 | 2139 | 0.11 | 1.39 |
| Less than secondary | 8293 | 499 | 7311 | 9274 | 0.06 | 1.08 |
| Not stated | 210 | 199 | -182 | 601 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| Sum | 10333 | 525 | 9301 | 11366 | 0.05 | 1.15 |

Table 5.3-6: Estimate of total number of vacant post by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 300 | 129 | 46 | 553 | 0.43 | 0.96 |
| Professionals | 540 | 84 | 374 | 706 | 0.16 | 0.99 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 118 | 45 | 29 | 207 | 0.38 | 1.07 |
| Clerical support workers | 201 | 57 | 88 | 314 | 0.29 | 1.15 |
| Services and sales workers | 4820 | 319 | 4192 | 5447 | 0.07 | 1.22 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 2665 | 431 | 1817 | 3513 | 0.16 | 1.06 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 421 | 221 | -14 | 855 | 0.53 | 1.03 |
| Elementary occupations | 1148 | 170 | 814 | 1482 | 0.15 | 1.17 |
| Not stated | 122 | 87 | -49 | 293 | 0.71 | 1.83 |
| Total | 10333 | 525 | 9301 | 11366 | 0.05 | 1.15 |

## 5.4: Employee formal

Table 5.4-1: Percentage distribution of employee by nature of employment contract

| Nature of employment contract | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design <br> Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Permanent worker | 88.4 | 0.2 | 88.0 | 88.7 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 5.6 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 0.03 | 1.02 |
| Casual worker | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.64 |
| Seasonal worker | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.05 | 0.56 |
| Daily worker | 4.6 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 0.02 | 0.35 |
| Other (specify) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.13 | 0.97 |
| Missing value | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.00 |

Table 5.4-2: Estimation of total number of employee by nature of employment contract

| Nature of employment contract | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Permanent worker | 93885 | 1010 | 91904 | 95866 | 0.01 | 18.21 |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 5977 | 175 | 5635 | 6319 | 0.03 | 1.05 |
| Casual worker | 147 | 22 | 104 | 190 | 0.15 | 0.63 |
| Seasonal worker | 1083 | 56 | 974 | 1193 | 0.05 | 0.57 |
| Daily worker | 4872 | 82 | 4711 | 5034 | 0.02 | 0.28 |
| Other (specify) | 282 | 37 | 209 | 354 | 0.13 | 0.96 |
| Not stated | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.00 | . |
| Total | 106252 | 1041 | 104211 | 108292 | 0.01 | . |

Table 5.4- 3: Estimation of total number of employee by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 11281 | 228 | 10834 | 11727 | 0.02 | 1.00 |
| Professionals | 18014 | 289 | 17446 | 18581 | 0.02 | 1.09 |
| Technical and assiate professionals | 22551 | 316 | 21930 | 23172 | 0.01 | 1.10 |
| Clerical support workers | 6929 | 199 | 6540 | 7319 | 0.03 | 1.19 |
| Services and sales workers | 18773 | 542 | 17710 | 19836 | 0.03 | 3.71 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 5531 | 258 | 5026 | 6036 | 0.05 | 2.47 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4736 | 182 | 4380 | 5093 | 0.04 | 1.42 |
| Elementary occupations | 18427 | 228 | 17979 | 18875 | 0.01 | 0.67 |
| Not specified | 22 | 8 | 6 | 39 | 0.37 | 0.61 |
| Total | 106264 | 1041 | 104222 | 108306 | 0.01 |  |

## 5.5: Employee education

Table 5.5-1: Percentage distribution of employee by nature of employment contract

| Nature of employment contract | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Permanent worker | 93.0 | 0.4 | 92.1 | 93.8 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 5.3 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 0.07 | 0.83 |
| Casual worker | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.55 | 1.40 |
| Seasonal worker | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.14 | 0.44 |
| Daily worker | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.23 | 0.87 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.31 | 0.74 |

Table 5.5-2: Estimation of total number of employee by nature of employment contract

| Nature of employment contract | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Permanent worker | 76724 | 1524 | 73729 | 79719 | 0.02 | 15.58 |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 4344 | 312 | 3732 | 4957 | 0.07 | 0.85 |
| Casual worker | 130 | 72 | -11 | 270 | 0.55 | 1.42 |
| Seasonal worker | 605 | 86 | 436 | 774 | 0.14 | 0.44 |
| Daily worker | 462 | 107 | 252 | 671 | 0.23 | 0.89 |
| Other (specify) | 219 | 68 | 87 | 352 | 0.31 | 0.75 |
| Total | 82484 | 1583 | 79375 | 85593 | 0.02 |  |

## 5.6: Employee informal

Table 5.6-1: Percentage distribution of employee by nature of employment contract

| Nature of employment contract | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Permanent worker | 83.6 | 1.0 | 81.5 | 85.4 | 0.01 | 3.13 |
| Temporary worker(possibility of renewal) | 9.3 | 0.6 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 0.07 | 2.09 |
| Casual worker | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.30 | 1.18 |
| Seasonal worker | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.27 | 1.60 |
| Daily worker | 5.7 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 0.13 | 4.67 |
| Other (specify) | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.21 | 1.23 |
| Not stated | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.66 | 0.92 |

Table 5.6-2Percentage distribution of employee by occupation

| Occupation | Estimate | Standard Error | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | Coefficient of Variation | Design <br> Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| Managers | 2.83 | 0.26 | 2.36 | 3.39 | 0.09 | 1.09 |
| Professionals | 1.46 | 0.19 | 1.13 | 1.88 | 0.13 | 1.10 |
| Technical and associate professionals | 1.09 | 0.17 | 0.80 | 1.48 | 0.16 | 1.19 |
| Clerical support workers | 1.68 | 0.23 | 1.28 | 2.20 | 0.14 | 1.45 |
| Services and sales workers | 56.17 | 1.89 | 52.43 | 59.84 | 0.03 | 6.38 |
| Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.34 | 1.37 |
| Craft and related trade workers | 19.52 | 1.55 | 16.65 | 22.74 | 0.08 | 6.72 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 4.08 | 1.30 | 2.17 | 7.54 | 0.32 | 18.90 |
| Elementary occupations | 12.85 | 1.13 | 10.78 | 15.23 | 0.09 | 5.01 |
| Not stated | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.71 | 1.50 |

## Annex 2: Questionnaires

## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS OF RWANDA MINISTRY OF PUBLIC SERVICE AND LABOUR

## RWANDA NATIONAL MANPOWER SURVEY

## EMPLOYERS MODULE (formal)

Zone number.

## Confidentiality Note

The Information you give in this questionnaire will only be used for statistical purposes. According to the Statistical law individual data are kept confidential and will not be disclosed for any reason what so ever.

1. SAMPLE SPECIFICATION :
2. PUBLIC
3. PRIVATE FORMAL
4. HEALTH

|  | Damy <br> stage | Second stage |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | High <br> skilled(A) | Medium <br> Skilled(B) | Low <br> skilled(C) | (A+B+C) |
| Population size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |

3. INTERVIEW RESULTS

| 0050 | A.Visit 1 | B.Visit 2 | C.Visit 3 | D.Reason of non response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date : ................../....... | Date : ........./......../....... | Date : ......./......../..... |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Fully completed } \\ \text { 2. Partially completed } \\ \text { 3. Non response } \\ \text { 4. Posponed } \\ \text { 5. Other (specify) }\end{array}\right\}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Fully completed } \\ \text { 2. Partially completed } \\ \text { 3. Non response } \\ \text { 4. Posponed } \\ \text { 5. Other (specify) }\end{array}\right\}$ | 1. Fully completed <br> 2. Partially completed <br> 3. Non response $\rightarrow D$ <br> 4. Posponed <br> 5. Other (specify) | 1.Refused <br> 2.No contact <br> 3.Not found (establishment)/ <br> No longer operating <br> 4.Other (Specify) |
|  |  | $\square$ |  |  |

## 4.NAMES AND SIGNATURE OF SURVEYS STAFFS

| Name of the interviewer: | Name of the Field Editor: | Name of the <br> Team Leader <br> $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | Name of coder: <br> entry clerk: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date of the interview: <br> $\ldots$ | Editing date: | Date: |  |  |
| Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: |

5. ADDRESS/LOCATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

5-1. PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY:


5-3. SECTOR $\qquad$


5-5. VILLAGE


5-6. ESTABLISHMENT NAME:
5-7. ESTABLISHMENT PHONE NUMBER/THE MANAGER $\qquad$
5.8. E_MAIL ADDRESS (OFFICE)

| 0060 (Option) | Respondent Position | 1. Owner/Co-owner ( manager) <br> 2. Manager/Employee <br> 3. Human resource Manager <br> 4. Other (specify) | , | $\text { If } 2,3 \text { or } 4 \text { go }$ to A010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

SECTION 0: GENERAL PERSONAL INFORMATION ON EMPLOYER (ONLY TO BE FILLED IF THE RESPONDENT IS OWNER)

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:0061 | Name of the owner |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1:0062 } \\ & \text { (option) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Owner phone number | ... |  |  |
| M1:0063 (option) | Email adress (respondent) |  |  |  |
| M1:0064 (option) | Sex | 1. Female <br> 2. Male | $\square$ |  |
| M1:0065 (option) | Age in completed years |  |  |  |
| M1:0066 (option) | Marital status | 1.Single/Never married <br> 2. Married <br> 3. Separated <br> 4. Divorced <br> 5. Widowed | $\square$ |  |
| M1:0067 (option) | Nationality | 1. Burundian <br> 2. Kenyan <br> 3. Rwandan <br> 4. Tanzanian <br> 5. Ugandan <br> 6. The rest of Africa (specify) <br> 7. The rest of the world (specify) | $\square$ |  |

NATURE OF CURRENT AND PAST OCCUPATION (only to be filled if interview with OWNER)


| M1:0071 (option) | Have ever worked for other establishments before starting your current bisinness? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & 0077 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:0072 (option) | What kind of establishment did you work for before joining your current employer? | 1=Ministry and other government institutions <br> 2 =Parastatal <br> 3=Company/firm <br> 4=Co-operative / SACCO <br> 5=NGO/CSO/CBO <br> 6=Other (specify) | $\square$ |  |
| M1:0073 (option) | What was your employment status? | 1. Own account worker <br> 2. Employer <br> 3 Employee <br> 4 Unpaid family worker | $\square$ |  |
| M1:0074 (option) | What was the establishment's main economic activity? |  | ISIC for office use |  |
| M1:0075 (option) | What was your occupation? |  | $\square$ <br> ISCO-Level 4 for office use |  |
| M1:0076 (option) | How long did you work in the previous job? | (If less than one year enter 00 | Years  |  |

FORMAL EDUCTION BACKGROUND (only to be filled if interview with OWNER)

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:0077 (option) | What is the highest level of formal education you have completed? | 01.PhD/Doctorate 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06. Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07.Secondary-A Level <br> 08.Secondary-O Level <br> 09.Primary <br> 10. Other (specify) <br> 11. None |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 8,9,10,11 \\ & \Rightarrow 0080 \end{aligned}$ |
| M1:0078 (option) | Please indicate the field of Specialization | $\qquad$ | ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |
| M1:0079 (option) | Where have you got your highest level of education? | 1. Rwanda <br> 2. Other EAC countries <br> 3. Rest of Africa <br> 4. Europe <br> 5. Americas <br> 6. Asia <br> 7. Oceania | , |  |
| M1:0080 (option) | Are you currently enrolled in further training? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{0 0 8 3}$ |
| M1:0081 (option) | Please indicate the field/type of training you are enrolled for (formal Education) |  | ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |


| M1:0082 | What kind of degree are you expecting from that training | 01.PhD/Doctorate <br> 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06. Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07.Secondary-A Level <br> 10. None <br> 12.Certificate <br> 11. Other (specify) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Disability

| M1:0083 (option) | Do you have any disability? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ A010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1:0084 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | If Yes, what type of disability (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1. Sight (blind/severe visual limitation) <br> 2. Hearing (deaf, hard of hearing) <br> 3. Communicating (speech impairment) <br> 4. Other Physic. desability/physical handic. <br> 5. Intellectual (difficulties in learning) /mental problem <br> 6. Emotional (behavioural, psycholog.) <br> 7. Other (specify). $1=\mathrm{Yes} \quad 2=\mathrm{NO}$ | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |  |

## SECTION A. ESTABLISHMENT INFORMATION




## SECTION B. WORKLOAD

M1:B010: Please fill in working/operating hours, working days per week and information about shifts number of shifts and their duration by occupation category for this establishement


1. Managers 2.Professionals 3 .Technicians 4. Clerical support 5. Service and support workers Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers 6 . Craft and related trades workers 7.Plant and machine operators, and assemblers 8. Elementary occupations 9. Low occupation

## SECTION C. EMPLOYEE CHARACTERISTICS AND VACANT POSTS



M1:C020. Please indicate by job title, total number of PERMANENT Posts, Minimum Education Required for the post and the Number of Filled Posts by Sex and Citizenship and Montly
Gross remuneration as of the 30 September 2011




M1:C030: Please indicate by job title, total number of TEMPORARY Posts, Minimum Education Required for the post and the Number of Filled Posts by Sex and Citizenship and Gross remuneration as of the 30 September, 2011




M1:C040: Please indicate by job title, total number of CASUAL Posts, Minimum Education Required for the post and the Number of Filled Posts by Sex and Citizenship and Gross remuneration as of the 30 September, 2011

| Job title / Description <br> a | Minimum education requirements <br> 1. PHD <br> 2. Masters <br> 3. Postgrad. Diploma <br> 4. Bachelor's Degree <br> 5. Diploma <br> 6. Certificate <br> 7. Other (specify) C | Field(s) of education requirements | Filled Posts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | How <br> many <br> do not <br> have <br> re- <br> quired <br> quali- <br> fica- <br> tion? |  | Total monthly gross remuneration |  | For official use only <br> ISCO Code <br> p | For official use only <br> ISCED <br> Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Citizens only <br> d |  | Non-citizens only |  |  |  |  |  | Total filled posts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East <br> African <br> only |  | Other African |  | Other (overseas) |  |  |  | Average Wage I Salary | Average Allowances |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | j k |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | f g | h i |  | hh ii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | M | F |  |  | M | F | M | F | M |  |  |  | M | F |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  | ................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |
|  | .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |  |
|  | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  | .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |  |
|  | .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  | .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |  |



M1:C050: Please indicate by job title, total number of PERMANENT Vacant Posts, Minimum Education Required and the number by whether the post is available due to Growth or Replacement and Reasons why the post is vacant as of 30 September, 2011



## SECTION D. FUTURE MANPOWER PROJECTIONS

M1:D001:Does your establishment have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees between 2012 and 2021? 1: Yes 2: No


If 2 7 E010
M1:D010: Indicate the Number of Staff and Skills Required for Future Employment (human resource forecast). Give reasons for future numbers (indicate different fields of teaching separately)

| Job title/ Category or Job description/ Occupation |  |  |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |  | 2021 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimum education requirement 1. PHD <br> 2. Masters <br> 3. Postgrad <br> Diploma <br> 4. Bachelor's <br> Degree <br> 5. Diploma <br> 6. Certificate(A2) <br> 7. Other (specify) <br> c | Field of education required | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \mathrm{Nu} \\ \mathrm{mb} \\ \mathrm{er} \end{array}$ | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion <br> 2. Change <br> in <br> technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) <br> 5. No change <br> $e$ | Nu mb <br> f | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion <br> 2. Change <br> in <br> technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) <br> 5. No change | Nu <br> mb <br> er <br> h | Reason <br> 1. Expansion 2. Change in technology 3. Attrition 4. Other (specify) 5. No change | Nu mb <br> ${ }_{\mathrm{mb}}^{\mathrm{er}}$ <br> j | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion <br> 2. Change <br> in <br> technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) <br> 5. No change <br> k | Nu <br> m be r I | Reason <br> 1. Expansion 2. Change in technology 3. Attrition 4. Other (specify) 5. No change | Nu <br> m <br> be <br> r <br> 0 | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion 2. Change in <br> technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) <br> 5. No change <br> 00 | For official use only <br> ISCO Code | For official use only <br> ISCED Code <br> R |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ס0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \mathrm{mon}$ [0] |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80070 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%0ו0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 唯 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8070 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4070 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \mathrm{mmo}$ |

SECTION E. STAFF DEVELOPMENT

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:E010 | Does your establishment have a staff training and development policy or plan in place? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { If } 2 \rightarrow$ E080 |
| M1:E020 | How is staff training carried out for managerial employees in your establishment? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=Y e s$ or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1.Apprenticeship <br> 2.On the-job-training <br> 3.Own Training Centre <br> 4.Sponsorship to training instit. (local) <br> 5.Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) <br> 6.Workshops <br> 8.Other programs (specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |
| M1: E030 | How is staff training carried out for technical and professional employees in your establishment? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=Y e s$ or $2=N o$ ) | 1.Apprenticeship <br> 2.On the-job-training <br> 3.Own Training Centre <br> 4.Sponsorship to training instit. (local) <br> 5.Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) <br> 6.Workshops <br> 8.Other programs (specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |
| M1: E040 | How is staff training and development carried out for clerical staff and casual employees? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=$ No) | 1.Apprenticeship <br> 2.On the-job-training <br> 3.Own Training Centre <br> 4.Sponsorship to training instit. (local) <br> 5.Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) <br> 6.Workshops <br> 8.Other programs (specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |
| M1:E050 | Please indicate how often such training exercises take place for each category of staff <br> (Please indicate the code against the appropriate category) | a. Managerial(Mninisters,PS,DGs) <br> b. Supervisory (Directors) <br> c. Technical staff/Professional <br> d. Clerical <br> e. Casual <br> 1. Monthly <br> 2. Quarterly <br> 3. Twice a year <br> 4. Annually <br> 5. Every two years <br> 6. Irregular/adhoc <br> 7.Other(specify) <br> 8.No training <br> 9. Not applicable | a. <br> b. |  |
| M1:E070 | Does your establishment have in-house training facilities for own staff? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { E080 } \end{aligned}$ |


| M1:E071 (option) | What kind of facilities do you have? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by 1=Yes or 2=No) | 1.Training space <br> 2.Specialised trainers <br> 3.Computers <br> 4.Projector <br> 5.Training materials (manuals, books...) <br> 6. Laboratory <br> 7.Other(Specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:E080 | What skills / qualifications are in general lacking among your staff? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1. Managerial skills <br> 2. Technical skills <br> 3. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 4. Language skills <br> 5. Customer care <br> 7. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 8. IT skills <br> 9. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 6. Other (specify) $1=\mathrm{Yes} \quad 2=\mathrm{No}$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. <br> 5. |  |  |
| M1: E090 | Did you conduct any staff training in the last 12 months? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{E} 120 \end{aligned}$ |
| M1:E100 | In what kind of area(s)? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=Y e s$ or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1. Managerial skills <br> 2. Technical skills <br> 3. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 4. Language skills <br> 5. Customer care <br> 7. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 8. IT skills <br> 9. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 6. Other (specify) <br> 1=Yes 2=No | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. <br> 5. <br> 7. |  |  |
| M1:E120 | Do you face any challenges that limit your staff training? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { E131 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M1:E130 | Which challenges limit the training of your staff? <br> (Mult. answers, rank three most important) | 1.Inadequate facilities (space) <br> 2.Inadequate materials <br> 3.Shortage of skilled trainers <br> 4.Time off for the trainees <br> 5.Other (specify) <br> 6. Lack of funds | 1rst. $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  |  |
| M1: E131 (option) | If you have hired graduates of TVET and / or higher institutions, how satisfied are you with their performance? | 1. Fully Satisfied <br> 2. Partially satisfied <br> 3. Little satisfied <br> 4. Not satisfied <br> 5. Not applicable (no such graduates hired) | TVET | Higher inst. |  |


| M1:E132 (option) | In your view, what has to be improved in the training and education in TVET and higher institutions? (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. Managerial skills <br> 2. Technical skills <br> 3. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 4. Language skills <br> 5. Customer care <br> 7. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 8. IT skills <br> 9. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 11.None <br> 6. Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{rst} . \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & 3 \mathrm{rd} \end{aligned}$ |  | Higher I | st. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:E140 | Do you have an industrial attachment. / apprenticeship / internship program? | 1.Yes (institutionalised) <br> 2.Yes (occasionally/informal) <br> 3. No |  |  |  |  | If $3 \rightarrow$ <br> E155 |
| M1:E150 | If Yes, how many interns do you have on average annually? |  | Male | Female | Total |  |  |
| M1:E151 (option) | How many of them are hired afterwards in 2009, 2010,2011? |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2009 \\ \hline 2010 \\ \hline 2011 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Male | Female | Total |  |
| M1:E152 (option) | Do interns take part in specifically designed training? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M1:E153 (option) | How long on average are the apprenticeships / internships? | (Indicate the average duration in months) |  |  |  |  |  |
| M1:E154 (option) | What are the financial agreements? | 1. Interns have to pay money <br> 2. Interns receive money <br> 3. Interns sponsored by other institution <br> 4. No payments |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ F001 |
| M1:E155 (option) | What is the reason for not hosting interns (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. No need <br> 2. No capacity / tooo small <br> 3. Too cumbersome <br> 4. No appropriate candidates <br> 5. Candidates want to be paid / paid too much <br> 6. Other (indicate) |  | 1 rst. <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> 3 rd |  |  |  |

SECTION F: CAPITAL / EXPENDITURES / REVENUE (for public institutions or ONG $\rightarrow$ F010)
If the answer to A 010 is $2,3,4$, Complete this section.

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:F001 (option) | What was the major source of your start- up capital for your business? | 01. Own saving <br> 02. Loans from friends/relatives <br> 03. Contributions from others <br> 04. Loans from commercial banks <br> 05. Informal money lenders <br> 06. Government lending agencies <br> 07. Micro finance institutions <br> 08. Public share issuing <br> 09. Inhertance <br> 10. Other (specify) <br> 11. Don't know |  | If $\mathbf{2 - 1 1} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathrm{FOO3}$ |



| M1:F010: <br> Indicate your establishment's <br> expenditures on labour in <br> September 2011 (in FRW) | Number of <br> employees as of 30th <br> September 2011 | Amount Paid for the <br> month of <br> September 2011(in <br> FRW) | Number of employees as <br> at 30 September 2011 | Amount Paid for the <br> month of September <br> 2011(in FRW) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages and salaries including <br> overtime pay |  |  |  |  |
| Bonuses |  |  |  |  |
| Allowances |  |  |  |  |
| Social security Contribution |  |  |  |  |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Other not else where classified |  |  |  |  |


| M1:F20 (option) | Can any of your product (good or service) be exported to EAC or Word market? | $\begin{aligned} & 1=Y E S ; \\ & 2=N O \end{aligned}$ |  | IF 2 go to F060 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1:F030 } \\ & \text { (option } \end{aligned}$ | During 2011, have you exported any of your product to EAC or Word market? | 1. YES to EAC market <br> 2. YES to Other African market <br> 3. YES, out side of Africa 1= Yes 2= No | 1 2 3 |  |
| M1:F040 | Before 2011, have you exported any of your product to EAC or Word market? | 1. YES to EAC market <br> 2. YES to Other African market <br> 3. YES, out side of Africa $\text { 1= Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | 1 |  |


| M1:F050 <br> (option) | Do you plan to <br> export any of your <br> product to EAC or <br> Word market in the <br> future? | 1. YES to EAC market <br> 2. YES to Other African market <br> 3. YES, out side of Africa |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## SECTION G. SOURCING FOR REQUIRED STAFF

| No. | Question | Answers | Code |  |  | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:G010 | How do you source personnel to fill vacant posts? (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important for skilled / low-skilled) | 1.Media advertisements <br> 2.Own webpage/ Internet <br> 3. LMIS/Registration systems <br> 4. Job agents / bureaus <br> 5. Friends/relatives <br> 6. Training institution <br> 7. Other(specify) | $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ | Low skilled | High and middle skilled |  |
| M3: G011 option | In the last year, have you recruited any person in your establishment? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M1:G020 | In the last year, have you advertised any post? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | If $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{~ G 0 4 0}$ |

M1:G030 Please indicate the type of jobs, number advertised in the past year, number of applicants and the number filled through the process of advertising

| Occupation / Job description | Number advertise d | Number of applicants | Number of post filled through the process | For official use only ISCO Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | If no body applied enter 0 | If no post filled enter 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |


|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\square \square$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |  |


| M1:G040 (option) | Have you ever used LMIS? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{G 0 4 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:G041 (option) | Please indicate your experience with LMIS <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. Satisfactory <br> 2. Too complicated <br> 3. Too few applications <br> 4. Too many applications <br> 5. Too many unqualified applications <br> 6. Too few applicants registered <br> 7. Other problems (indicate) | 1rst  <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$  <br> 3 rd  | $\rightarrow$ G050 |
| M1:G042 (option) | As you did not use LMIS, have you heard about it? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | If $2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { G 0 5 0 }}$ |
| M1:G043 (option) | Since you have heard about LMIS but have not used it, please indicate why (Mult. answers, rank three most important) | 1. No need <br> 2. Complicated / cumbersome <br> 3. Fear too much applications <br> 4. Don't want to expose information <br> 5. Applicants don't match requirements <br> 6. Is not properly working <br> 7. Other ( specify) | 1 rst  <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$  <br> 3 rd  |  |
| M1:G050 (option) | Do you currently envisage hiring nonnationals? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. } \mathrm{No} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | If $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{} \mathbf{5 3}$ |
| M1:G051 (option) | If Yes, Why? (Multiple answers possible, rank) | 1.Cheaper <br> 2.Better qualified <br> 3. More Efficient <br> 4.More productive <br> 5.Other (specify) | 1rst  <br> 2 $^{\text {nd }}$  <br> 3rd  |  |
| M1:G052 (option) | From which countries / regions? ( rank three most important) | 1. EAC <br> 2. Other African <br> 3. Europe <br> 4. Americas <br> 5. Asia <br> 6.Oceania <br> 7.Any where | 1rst  <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$  <br> 3rd  | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{HO10}$ |



## SECTION G. MEMBERSHIP TO EMPLOYERS ORGANIZATIONS

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:H010 | Is your institution a member of any organization/association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | If $2 \rightarrow 1010$ |
| M1:H020 | Name that organisation/association |  |  |  |
| M1:H030 | In the past year have you received any cooperation or assistance from the organization/association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| M1:H060 | Is your organization affiliated to an other organisation/associaton? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

SECTION I. CHALLENGES OF BUSINESS EXPANSION (Only applicable for private companies and public institutions which offer commercial services)

| N ${ }^{\circ}$ | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1:1010 | What are the difficulties affecting the operation / growth of your establishment / enterprise / organization. <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. Lack of customers/marketing <br> 2. Non-payment of debts <br> 3. Access to finance <br> 4. Lack of management skills <br> 5. Lack of capital equipment <br> 6. Lack of skilled personnel <br> 7. High taxes and license fees <br> 8. Lack of raw materials/irregular supply <br> 9. Lack of space/land <br> 10. No new technology <br> 11. Difficulties with existing regulations, law ... <br> 12 Increased competition <br> 13 Access to energy <br> 14. Cost of energy <br> 15. Crime/safety <br> 16. Customs and trade regulations <br> 17. Poor transport system <br> 18. Transport cost <br> 19. Labour regulations <br> 20. High labour turnover <br> 21. Corruption <br> 22. HIV/AIDS <br> 23. Other (specify) <br> 24. No difficulties | 1rst  <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$  <br> 3 rd  |  |

SECTION J. GENDER

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Goto |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1: J010 | Does your organization have a gender policy? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| M1: J020 | Does your organisation practice preferential treatment due to sex? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{O 1 0}$ |
| M1: J030 | Does this practice involve the following policy? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1. Quota of management positions for women <br> 2. Overall quota for women <br> 3. Preferential recruitment for women <br> 4. Preferential recruitment for men <br> 5. Maternity leave <br> 6. Differential retirement age <br> 7. Preferential payment <br> 8. Others (specify) $\text { 1= Yes } \quad \text { 2= No }$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |

SECTION K. HIV/AIDS POLICY AT WORKPLACE

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1: K010 | Do you have an HIV and AIDS workplace policy in place? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ L010 |
| M1: K020 | Does the HIV and AIDS work-place policy entail/contain the following <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Ask for each modality and respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1.VCT services <br> 2.Free ARVs for workers who are HIV+ <br> 3.Free condom distribution for workers <br> 4.Free food rations for workers who are <br> HIV+ <br> 5.Workers' rights <br> 6.Others (specify) 1= Yes 2= No | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. |  |

## SECTION L. USE OF ICT



| M1: L030 | According to your opinion how has the use of ICT affected the following? (read all) <br> 1 = Increased/improved <br> 2 = Decreased/worsened <br> $3=$ No effect <br> $0=$ Not applicable | 1. Production <br> 2. Marketing <br> 3. Human resource management <br> 4. Communication <br> 5. Records management <br> 6 . Accounting/Finance/Planning/Budgeting <br> 8. Employment <br> 7. Others (specify) | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1: L040 (option) | What are the most important challenges with regard to the use of ICT? <br> (multiple answers possible, list three most important by rank) | 1. Lack of skilled employees <br> 2. Lack of skilled outside IT support <br> 3. Lack of skilled trainers <br> 4. Insufficient / unreliable connectivity <br> 5. Unreliable electricity <br> 6. Costs of equipment <br> 7. Availability of equipment <br> 8. Others (specify) <br> 9. No challenge |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 \mathrm{rst}}{\frac{2^{\text {nd }}}{}} \frac{3 \mathrm{rd}}{} \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

FICHE NUMBER:


## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS OF RWANDA MINISTRY OF PUBLIC SERVICE AND LABOUR RWANDA NATIONAL MANPOWER SURVEY

## EMPLOYEES MODULE (formal)

## Zone Number.

## Confidentiality Note

The Information you give in this questionnaire will only be used for statistical purposes. According to the Statistical law individual data are kept confidential and will not be disclosed for any reason what so ever.

1. STRATUM :
2. PUBLIC
3. PRIVATE FORMAL
4. EDUCATION
5. HEALTH
6. OCCUPATION LEVEL:
7. Low 4. Unspecified
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. High } & \text { 2. Medium }\end{array}$
8. INTERVIEW RESULTS

| 0050 | A.Visit 1 | B.Visit 2 | C.Visit 3 | D.Reason of non response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date : ........../......../....... | Date : ........../......../....... | Date : ......./......../...... |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Fully completed } \\ \text { 2. Partially completed } \\ \text { 3. Non response } \\ \text { 4. Posponed } \\ \text { 5. Other (specify) }\end{array}\right\}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Fully completed } \\ \text { 2. Partially completed } \\ \text { 3. Non response } \\ \text { 4. Posponed } \\ \text { 5. Other (specify) }\end{array}\right\}$ | 1. Fully completed <br> 2. Partially completed <br> 3. Non response $\rightarrow$ D <br> 4. Posponed <br> 5. Other (specify) | 1.Refused 2. No contact 3.Not eligible 4.Other (Specify) |
|  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

4.NAMES AND SIGNATURE OF SURVEYS STAFFS

| Name of the interviewer: | Name of the Field Editor: | Name of the Team Leader | Name of coder: | Name of the Data entry clerk: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of the interview: $\qquad$ | Editing date: $\qquad$ | Date: $\qquad$ 1 | Coding date: $\qquad$ | Data entry date: $\qquad$ |
| Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: |

## 5. ADDRESS/LOCATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

5-1. PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY:


5-2. DISTRICT


5-3. SECTOR.


5-4. CELL


5-5. VILLAGE


5-6. ESTABLISHMENT NAME:
5-7. ESTABLISHMENT PHONE NUMBER/THE MANAGER

### 5.8. E_MAIL ADDRESS (OFFICE)

SECTION A . GENERAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:A010 | Name of respondent | ... |  |  |
| M2:A011 (option) | Phone number | ................................................... |  |  |
| M2:A012 (option) <br> (option) | Email adress | ................................................. |  |  |
| M2:A020 | Sex | 1. Female <br> 2. Male | $\square$ |  |
| M2:A030 | Age in compl. years |  |  |  |
| M2:A040 | Marital status | 1.Single/Never married <br> 2. Married <br> 3. Separated <br> 4. Divorced <br> 5. Widowed | $\square$ |  |
| M2:A050 | Nationality <br> (country codes for office use) | 1. Burundian <br> 2. Kenyan <br> 3. Rwandan <br> 4. Tanzanian <br> 5. Ugandan <br> 6. The rest of Africa (specify) <br> 7. The rest of the world (specify) | $\square$ |  |
| M2:A060 | Do you have any disability? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \mathrm{BO} 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:A070 | If Yes, what type of disability | 1. Sight (blind/severe visual limitation) <br> 2. Hearing (deaf, hard of hearing) <br> 3. Communicating (speech impairment) <br> 4. Other Physic. desability/physical handic. <br> 5. Intellectual (difficulties in learning) /mental problem <br> 6. Emotional (behavioural, psycholog.) <br> 7. Other (specify) |  |  |

SECTION B. NATURE OF CURRENT EMPLOYMENT

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| (option) | sector? (after reaching 15 year old age) | 2=Parastatal <br> 3=Company <br> 4=Co-operative <br> 5=NGO/CSO/CBO <br> 6=Other (specify). | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 6 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2 <br> B101 <br> (option) | Did your first job match your education? | 1. Yes matched <br> 2. No |  |  |  |
| M2: <br> B110 <br> (option) | What was your highest level of education when you first enter the labour market? (after reaching 15 year old age) | 1. No education <br> 2. Primary <br> 3. Vocational training/Tronc commun/A3/TVET,.... <br> 4. Secondary <br> 5. Tertiary |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 1 \text { or } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \text { B140 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2: <br> B120 <br> (option) | Indicate the year of your graduation before entering the labour market for the first time. |  |  |  |  |
| M2: <br> B140 (option) | How long did it take you to find your first job? | If less than 1 Year write 00 and write in months | Years  | Months |  |

SECTION C. FORMAL EDUCATION BACKGROUND

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2: <br> C010 | What is the highest level of formal education you have completed? | 01.PhD/Doctorate 02.Masters Degree 03.Post Graduate Diploma 04.Bachelors 05.Diploma level (A1) 06.Certificate level (TVET) 07. Secondary-A Level 08. Secondary-O Level 09.Primary <br> 11. Other (specify) <br> 10. None |  | If $8,9,10$, 11 <br> $\rightarrow \mathrm{CO} 0$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2: } \\ & \text { C020 } \end{aligned}$ | Please indicate the field of specialization |  | ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |
| M2: <br> C021 <br> (option) | Where did you got your highest level of education? | 1. Rwanda <br> 2. Other EAC countries <br> 3. Rest of Africa <br> 4. Europe <br> 5. Americas <br> 6. Asia <br> 7. Oceania | $\square$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2: } \\ & \text { C030 } \end{aligned}$ | Are you currently enrolled for further training (formal Education)? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \text { D010 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2 } \\ & \text { C040 } \end{aligned}$ | Please indicate the field/type of training you are enrolled for |  | $\square$ <br> ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M2 } \\ & \text { C041 } \end{aligned}$ | What is the anticipated qualification you are expecting after finishing | 01.PhD/Doctorate 02.Masters Degree 03.Post Graduate Diploma |  |  |



SECTION D. VOCATIONAL TRAINING / OTHER TRAINING / TYPE OF TRAINING UNDERTAKEN IN THE PAST

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:D010 | Have you received any other kind of training since you joined your current employer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \text { D072 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:D020 | What was the major field of training? <br> (Please indicate the training you consider the most important to you) |  | $\square$ <br> ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |
| M2:D030 | Year of Training |  |  |  |
| M2:D040 | What was the mean of training? | 1. Apprenticeship <br> 2. Formal training institution <br> 3. On the Job <br> 4. Other (specify) |  |  |
| M2:D050 | Duration in months |  |  |  |
| M2:D060 | What is your qualification from the additional training? | 01.PhD/Doctorate 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06.Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07. Secondary-A Level <br> 10. None <br> 12.Certificate <br> 11. Other (specify) | $\square$ |  |
| M2:D070 | Where were you trained? | 1. Rwanda <br> 2. Other EAC countries <br> 3. Rest of Africa <br> 4. Europe <br> 5. Americas <br> 6. Asia <br> 7. Oceania |  |  |
| M2:D071 (option) | Did that training improve your performance? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\rightarrow$ D 073 |
| M2:D072 (option) | If you did not take part in any training, please indicate why. | 1. No training policy <br> 2. No training for my job profile <br> 3. Not offered to me personally <br> 4. Offered to me, but refused <br> 5. No need <br> 6. Other (specify) |  |  |
| M2:D073 (option) | Are employees involved in designing training plans? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ |  |
| M2:D080 | Do you need any specific skills to improve your performance at your current job? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \text { D100 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:D090 | In which area do you need | ........................................... |  |  |



## SECTION E. PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:E010 | Normally;how often is your performance appraised? | 1. Never <br> 2. Monthly <br> 3. Quarterly <br> 4. Bi-Annually <br> 5. Annually <br> 6. Ad hoc <br> 7. Don't know | $\square$ | If 1 $\rightarrow \text { F010 }$ |
| M2:E011 (option) | Who appraises your performance? | 1. General manager/director <br> 2. HR officer <br> 3. Departmental head <br> 4. Immediate supervisor <br> 5. Consultant <br> 6. Panel <br> 7. Other (specify) | $\square$ |  |
| M2:E012 (option) | Has your performance been appraised since your begun working for this establishment? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \text { F010 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:E020 | Do you receive a feedback? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. } \mathrm{No} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ |  |

SECTION F. CAREER GROWTH

| No. | Question | Answers | Code |  | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:F010 | Have you ever been promoted since you joined your current employer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \mathrm{G} 010 \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:F020 | How long ago is your last promotion? | (If less than 1 year write in months) | Year | Month |  |

SECTION G. WORKING TERMS AND CONDITIONS


| M2:G060 | Are you entitled to medical care assistance from your employer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { G080 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:G061 <br> (Option) | To what extent does it cover your medical expenses? | 1. Partially <br> 2. Totally |  |  |
| M2:G070 | Does the assistance extend to your family? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| M2:G080 | Do you get the following benefits from your employer? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes all 2= Yes partially 3= No) | 1. Clothing/Uniform <br> 2. Protective gear <br> 3. Accommodation <br> 4. Transport <br> 5. Pay to and from annual leave <br> 6. Food <br> 7. Free education for dependants <br> 8. Maternal and paternal leave <br> 9. Health and safety <br> 10. Terminal benefits <br> 11. Any other (specify) <br> 1=Yes all 2= Yes partially 3= No | $\begin{gathered} 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ 4 . \\ 5 . \\ 6 . \\ 7 . \\ 8 . \\ 8 . \\ 9 . \\ 10 . \\ 11 . \\ . \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2:G090 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | How often does your salary get increased? | 1. Every year <br> 2. Once every three years <br> 3. Once over three years <br> 4. No increase <br> 5. Doesn't know <br> 6. No remuneration |  | If 4,5 and 6 go to HO10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2:G091 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | Is your salary annually adjusted for inflation? | 1.Yes, always <br> 2.Yes, sometimes <br> 3.No <br> 4.Dont know |  |  |

SECTION H. LABOUR RIGHTS AND RELATED ISSUES

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:H010 | Are you a member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { If } 1 \rightarrow \\ \text { H021 } \end{array}$ |
| M2:H020 | If No , why? | 1. Employer does not allow <br> 2. I do not know any union <br> 3. Unions do not help <br> 4. Other (specify) |  |  |
| M2:H021 (option) | Is your employer contributing regularly to the social security fund for you? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \\ & \text { 3.Don't know } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M2:H030 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | Does any of your activities expose you to any of the following? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=Y e s$ or 2=No) | 1. Extreme Dust, toxic gases <br> 2. Extreme Noise <br> 3. Extreme temperat. / humidity <br> 4. Dangerous tools/animals <br> 5. Work underground <br> 6. Other (specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 6 . \end{aligned}$ |  |

SECTION I. CHALLENGES AT WORKPLACE

| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:1010 | Do you face any of the following challenges at work? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 01. Verbal abuse <br> 02. Physical abuse <br> 03. Sexual harassment <br> 04. Neglect <br> 05. Non payment of salary <br> 06. Non payment of other benefits <br> 07. Delayed payments of salary <br> 08. Delayed payments of benefits <br> 09. Underpayment of salary <br> 10. Fatigue <br> 11. Excess workload/hours <br> 12. Dependants <br> 13. Discrimination (specify). <br> 14. Other (specify). <br> $1=$ Yes $2=\mathrm{No}$ | 07 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \text { to all } \\ & \rightarrow \mathrm{J} 010 \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:1020 (option) | How do you react to such challenges / problems? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1. Inform HR management <br> 2. Take painkillers <br> 3. Talk to family members <br> 4. Talk to supervisor <br> 5. Inform police/lawyers <br> 6. Inform manager/directors <br> 7. Talk to friend <br> 8. Ignore them <br> 9. Inform the Labour Inspector <br> 10. Ombudsman <br> 11. Public Service Commission <br> 12. Other (specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ 4 . \\ 5 . \\ 6 . \\ 7 . \\ 7 . \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  |

SECTION J. GENDER

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:J010 | Does your organization have a gender policy? | 1.Yes <br> 2. No <br> 3.Don't know |  |  |
| M2:J020 | Does your organisation practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex? | 1.Yes <br> 2. No <br> 3.Don't know |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2,3 \rightarrow \\ & \text { J040 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:J030 | Does this practice involve the following policy? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No and 3= Don't know) | 1. Quota for women (managm.) <br> 2. Overall quota for women <br> 3. Pref. recruitment for women <br> 4. Pref. recruitment for men <br> 5.Maternity leave <br> 6. Differential retirement age <br> 7. Preferential payment <br> 8. Others (specify) <br> 1=Yes or 2=No and 3= Don't know | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. <br> 5. <br> 6. <br> 7. <br> 8. |  |
| M2:J040 | In your opinion should any/your establishment have the following policy? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1. Quota for women (managm.) <br> 2. Overall quota for women <br> 3. Pref. recruitment for women <br> 4. Pref. recruitment for men <br> 6. Differential retirement age <br> 7. Preferential payment <br> 8. Others (specify) <br> 1=Yes 2=No) | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. <br> 6. <br> 7. <br> 8. |  |

## SECTION K. USE OF ICT



SECTION L. EARNINGS



## SECTION M. HIV/AIDS AT WORKPLACE

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:M010 | Do you have an HIV / AIDS policy at workplace? | 1. Yes <br> 2. No <br> 3.Don't know |  | If 2 or 3 go to N020 |
| M2:M020 | Does the HIV / AIDS workplace policy entail/contain the following <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No})$ | 1.VCT services <br> 2.Free ARVs for HIV+ workers <br> 3.Free condom distribution <br> 4.Free food for HIV+ workers <br> 6.Others (specify) <br> 1=Yes 2=No 3= Doesn't know | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. <br> 5. <br> 6 |  |

SECTION N. JOB SEARCH AND CANDIDATE PREFERENCES (option)

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:N020 | Are you currently looking for a different job? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If No } \rightarrow \\ & \text { N060 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:N030 | If Yes, which occupations are you targeting? (List up to two) | ...................................... |     <br>     <br> ISCO Codes for office us    |  |
| M2:N050 | How do you search for jobs? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1. Word of mouth/family/friends <br> 2. Unsolicited / passing-by <br> 3. Internet, media <br> 4. LMIS <br> 5. Job agents / bureaus <br> 6. Training institutions <br> 7. Other (specify) <br> 1 =Yes $2=$ No | 1. $\square$ <br> 2. $\square$ <br> 3.  <br> 4.  <br> 5.  <br> 6.  <br>   <br> 7.  <br>   |  |
| M2:N060 | Do you want to move away from your current residence to search for a new job? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. } \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If }, 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { N090 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M2:N070 | Why are you willing to move? | 1. Better salary/ rel benefits <br> 2. Better working conditions <br> 3. Exposure <br> 4. Security <br> 5. Other(specify) |  |  |


| M2:N080 | Where do you want to move to? | 1. within Rwanda <br> 2.Other EAC countries <br> 3.Other African counties/Rest of Africa <br> 4. Out of Africa <br> 5. Anywhere | $\square$ | IF 1 OR 2 <br> GO TO <br> N091 <br> IF 3,4 OR <br> 5, END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2:N090 | If you don't want to move, please indicate why <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Rank 3 most important) | 1. Family / dependents / friends <br> 2. Different culture <br> 3. Too risky <br> 4. Language problems <br> 5. Lack skills / competence <br> 6. Lack certificate / authorisation <br> 7. Other (specify) | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. | End of interview |
| M2:N091 | If you only want to move within Rwanda or within EAC, please indicate why <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Rank 3 most important) | 1. Family / dependents / friends <br> 2. Different culture <br> 3. Too risky <br> 4. Language problems <br> 5. Lack skills / competence <br> 6. Lack certificate / authorisation <br> 7. Other (specify) | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. | End of interview |

## End of interview

THANK YOU


## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS OF RWANDA MINISTRY OF PUBLIC SERVICE AND LABOUR

## RWANDA NATIONAL MANPOWER SURVEY EDUCATION MODULE

## Zone Number

## Confidentiality Note

The Information you give in this questionnaire will only be used for statistical purposes. According to the Statistical law individual data are kept confidential and will not be disclosed for any reason what so ever.
2. EMPLOYEES SAMPLE


## 4.NAMES AND SIGNATURE OF SURVEYS STAFFS

| Name of the interviewer: $\qquad$ | Name of the Field Editor: | Name of the Team Leader $\qquad$ | Name of coder: $\qquad$ | Name of the Data entry clerk: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of the interview: $\qquad$ | Editing date: $\qquad$ <br> 1 | Date: $\qquad$ <br> I__ 1 1 | Coding date: $\qquad$ | Data entry date: $\qquad$ |
| Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: |

## 5. ADDRESS/ LOCATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

5-1. PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY:
5-2. DISTRICT
5-3. SECTOR


5-4. CELL


5-5. VILLAGE


5-6. ESTABLISHMENT NAME:
5-7. ESTABLISHMENT PHONE NUMBER/THE MANAGER
5.8. E_MAIL ADDRESS (OFFICE) $\qquad$
0. GENERAL PERSONAL INFORMATION OF THE RESPONDENT

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0060 (option) | Name of respondent | .............................................. |  |  |
| 0061 (option) | Phone number | ................................................ |  |  |
| 0062 (option) | Email adress |  |  |  |

SECTION A. ESTABLISHMENT INFORMATION



## SECTION B. STAFFING PROFILE AND LABOUR TURNOVER

| No. | Question | Answers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3:B010 | Indicate the total number of employees for the following period as at the 31 st December of each of the years indicated | $2008$ <br> (a) | $2009$ <br> (b) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { (c) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3. Total |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Teaching/Training |  |  |  |
|  | 5. Administration |  |  |  |
|  | 6. Support staff |  |  |  |
| M3:B011 | How many of them are permanent? |  |  |  |
| M3:B020 | Indicate the total number of employees turnover for the following period as at the 31st December of each of the years indicated (For permanent staff only) | $2008$ <br> (a) | $2009$ <br> (b) | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { (c) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3. Total |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Teaching/Training |  |  |  |
|  | 5. Administration |  |  |  |
|  | 6. Support staff |  |  |  |


| M3:B030 | In the last year (2010) what was the most common reason for staff turn over among male employees | 1.Low pay <br> 2.Poor working conditions <br> 3.Late payment <br> 4. Lack of <br> amenities for staff <br> 5.Marital <br> 6.Dismisal <br> 8.Not applicable <br> 7.Others (specify) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3:B040 | In the last year (2010) what was the mos common reason for staff turn over among female employees | 1.Low pay <br> 2.Poor working conditions <br> 3.Late payment <br> 4.Lack of <br> amenities for staff <br> 5.Marital <br> 6.Dismisal <br> 8.Not applicable <br> 7.Others (specify) |  |  |

CECTION C. Institutional Staffing Capacity
M3:C010 : Please indicate by job title, total number of PERMANENT Posts, Minimum Education Required for the post and the Number of Filled Posts by Sex and Citizenship and Gross remuneration as of the $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2011 (please indicate different fields of teaching separately)

| Job title / Description | Minimum education requirements <br> 1. PHD <br> 2. Masters <br> 3. Postgrad. Diploma <br> 4. Bachelor's Degree <br> 5. Diploma <br> 6. Certificate <br> 7. Other (specify) <br> ........................... <br> c | Field(s) of education requirements | Filled Posts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Howmanydo nothavere-quiredquali-fica-tion?$1 \quad \mathrm{~m}$ | Total gross remuneration |  | For official use only ISCO Code <br> p | For official use only <br> ISCED Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Citizens only |  |  | n- | itiz | ens | only <br> Other (overseas) <br> Hh ii |  | Total <br> filled <br> posts $j \quad k$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Africa <br> n only |  | Other Africa n |  |  |  | Average <br> Wage / <br> Salary | Average Allowances |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | n | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | M | F |  |  | M |  | M | F |  |  | M | F | M | F | M F |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | पП\|】 | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |


|  |  |  | M | F | M | 4 F | M | 1 F | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square 1$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square^{\square}$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square 1 \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |


|  |  |  | M | F | M | 17 | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -1] | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
| İITERANYO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

M3:C020. Please indicate by job title, total number of PERMANENT Vacant Posts, Minimum Education Required and the number and Reasons why the post is vacant as of 30th September 2011 (please indicate different fields of teaching separately)


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tim |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ITm |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -m |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tin |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 而茴 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | Tm |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \#\# |

M3:C030 . Indicate the Number of Staff and Skills Required for Future Employment (human resource forecast). Give reasons for future numbers (indicate different fields of teaching separately)
Does your establishment have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees between 2012 and 2021? 1: Yes
2: No $\qquad$ If $2 \rightarrow \mathrm{CO} 1$

|  |  |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017_2021 |  | For official use only <br> ISCO Code | For official use only <br> ISCED Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job title/ Category or Job description/ Occupation | Minimum education requirement 1. PHD <br> 2. Masters <br> 3. Postgrad Diploma <br> 4. Bachelor's Degree <br> 5. Diploma <br> 6. Certificate <br> 7. Other (specify) | Field of educatio n required | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Nu} \\ \mathrm{mb} \\ \mathrm{er} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion <br> 2. Change in technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Nu} \\ & \mathrm{mb} \\ & \mathrm{er} \end{aligned}$ | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion <br> 2. Change in technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) <br> 5. No <br> change | Num ber | Reason <br> 1. Expansion <br> 2. Change in technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Nu} \\ & \mathrm{mb} \\ & \mathrm{er} \end{aligned}$ | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansio <br> 2. <br> Change <br> in <br> technolog <br> y <br> 3. <br> Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) | Num ber | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansio <br> n <br> 2. Change <br> in <br> technolog <br> y <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Nu} \\ & \mathrm{mb} \\ & \mathrm{er} \end{aligned}$ | Reason <br> 1. <br> Expansion <br> 2. Change <br> in <br> technology <br> 3. Attrition <br> 4. Other <br> (specify) |  |  |
| a | c | 9 | d | e | f | G | h | i | j | k | L | m | jj | kk | n | $r$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$   | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|l}
\hline
\end{tabular} | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ | $\square \square$ |



M3:C031(option) Enrollement by programme ( Only for TVET and Higher Institutions)

| Programme | Number of enrolled students by programme and by year |  |  |  |  |  |  | For official use only ISCED Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1rst year | ${ }^{2 n d y}$ year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ year | $4^{\text {th }}$ year | $5^{\text {th }}$ year | $6^{\text {th }}$ year | $7^{\text {th year }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |



SECTION E. STAFF DEVELOPMENT (option)

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Goto |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3 :E010 | Does your establishment have a staff training and development policy or plan in place? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { E080 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M3 : E020 | How is staff training carried out for managerial employees in your establishment? (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by 1=Yes or 2=No) | 1.Apprenticeship <br> 2.On the-job-training <br> 3.Own Training Centre <br> 4.Sponsorship to training instit. (local) <br> 5.Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) <br> 6.Workshops <br> 8.Other programs (specify) $\text { 1.=YES } \quad 2 .=N O N$ |  |  |
| M3 : E030 | How is staff training carried out for technical and professional employees in your establishment? <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1.Apprenticeship <br> 2.On the-job-training <br> 3.Own Training Centre <br> 4.Sponsorship to training instit. (local) <br> 5.Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) <br> 6.Workshops <br> 8.Other programs (specify) $\text { 1. }=\mathrm{YES} \quad 2 .=\mathrm{NON}$ | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. |  |
| M3 :E040 | How is staff training and development carried out for clerical staff and casual employees? <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 1.Apprenticeship <br> 2.On the-job-training <br> 3.Own Training Centre <br> 4.Sponsorship to training instit. (local) <br> 5.Sponsorship to training instit. (abroad) <br> 6.Workshops <br> 8.Other programs (specify) $\text { 1.=YES } \quad 2 .=\text { NON }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| M3 : E050 | Please indicate how often such training exercises take place for each category of staff <br> (Please indicate the code against the appropriate category) | a. Managerial(Rectors; Head) <br> b. Supervisory (Dean) <br> c. Technical staff/Professional <br> d. Clerical <br> e. Casual <br> 1. Monthly <br> 2. Quarterly <br> 3. Twice a year <br> 4. Annually <br> 5. Every two years <br> 6. Irregular/adhoc <br> 7.Other(specify) <br> 8. No training <br> 9. Not applicable | a. <br> b. <br> c. <br> d. |  |
| M3 :E070 | Does your establ. have in-house training facilities for your own staff? | 1.Yes |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \mathrm{E} 080 \end{aligned}$ |
| M3 : E071 (option) | What kind of facilities do you have? <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=\mathrm{Yes}$ or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1.Training space <br> 2.Specialised trainers <br> 3.Computers/Lab <br> 4.Projector <br> 5.Training materials(manuals, books...) <br> 6. Laboratory <br> 7.Other(Specify) |  |  |


|  |  | 1.=YES 2.= NO | ........... | ...... | ....... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3 : E080 | What skills / qualifications are in general lacking among your staff? <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 01. Managerial skills <br> 02. Technical skills <br> 03. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 04. Language skills <br> 05. Customer care <br> 07. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 08. IT skills <br> 09. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 06. Other (specify) $\text { 1.=YES } \quad 2 .=\text { NON }$ | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 |  |  |  |
| M3 : E090 | Did you conduct any staff training in the last 12 months? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\square$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { E120 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M3 : E100 | In what kind of area(s)? <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1. Managerial skills <br> 2. Technical skills <br> 3. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 4. Language skills <br> 5. Customer care <br> 7. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 8. IT skills <br> 9. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 6. Other (specify) $\text { 1. }=\mathrm{YES} \quad \text { 2. }=\text { NON }$ | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 |  |  |  |
| M3 :E120 | Do you face any challenges that limit your staff training? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { E131 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M3 : E130 | Which challenges limit the training of your staff? <br> (Mult. answers, rank three most important) | 1.Inadequate facilities (space) <br> 2.Inadequate materials <br> 3.Shortage of skilled trainers <br> 4.Time off for the trainees <br> 5.Other (specify) <br> 6. Lack of funds | $1^{\text {st }}$ $7^{\text {nd }}$ |  |  |  |
| M3 : E131 (option) | If in the last 5 years you have hired graduates of TVET and I or higher institutions, how satisfied are you with their performance? | 6. Fully satisfied <br> 7. Partially satisfied <br> 8. Little satisfied <br> 9. Not satisfied <br> 10. Not appicable (no such graduates hired) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TVET } \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | High | ins. |  |
| M3: E132 (option) | In your view, what has to be improved in the training and education in TVET and higher institutions? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 01. Managerial skills <br> 02. Technical skills <br> 03. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 04. Language skills <br> 05. Customer care <br> 07. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 08. IT skills <br> 09. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 11.None <br> 06. Other (specify) |  <br> $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{TVET}}$ | Higher Inst. $\qquad$ |  |


| M3 : E140 | Do you have an industrial attachment./ apprenticeship / internship program? | 1.Yes (institutionalised) <br> 2.Yes (occasionally/informal) <br> 3. No | $\square$ |  |  |  |  | If $3 \rightarrow$ <br> E155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3 : E150 | If Yes, how many interns do you have on average annually? |  | Male |  | Fem | Total |  |  |
| M3 :E151 (option) | How many of them are hired afterwards in 2009, 2010,2011? |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 200 \\ \hline 2016 \\ \hline 201 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | ale | Female | Tota |  |
| M3: E152 <br> (option) | Do interns take part in specifically designed training for them? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M3: E153 (option) | How long on average are the apprenticeships / internships? | (Indicate the average duration in months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M3 :E154 (option) | What are the financial agreements? | 1. Interns have to pay money <br> 2. Interns receive money <br> 3. Interns sponsored by other institution <br> 4. No payments |  |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ F001 |
| M3:E155 (option) | What is the reason for not hosting interns <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 7. No need <br> 8. No capacity / tooo small <br> 9. Too cumbersome <br> 10. No appropriate candidates <br> 11. Candidates want to be paid / paid too much <br> 12. Other (indicate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SECTION F: CAPITAL / EXPENDITURES / REVENUE (option) (FOR PRIVATE EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS ONLY) IF PUBLIC INSTITUTIONAL SCHOOL and NGO School $\rightarrow$ F010)
If the response to $\mathbf{A 0 2 0}$ is 3 or 4 fill this section


| M3:F050 (option) | What are the major sources of funding for this institution? | 1. Government <br> 2. (Internat.) Donors <br> 3. Shareholders <br> 4. Financial Institutions <br> 5. Faith-based organizations <br> 6. Payments by beneficiaries <br> 7. Selling of output / services <br> 8. Contributions from shareholders <br> 9.Others (specify). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## SECTION G. SOURCING FOR REQUIRED STAFF

| No. | Question | Answers | Code |  |  | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3:G010 | How do you source personnel to fill vacant posts? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important for skilled / low-skilled) | 1.Media advertisements <br> 2.Own webpage/ Internet <br> 3. LMIS/Registration systems <br> 4. Job agents / bureaus <br> 5. Friends/relatives <br> 6. Training institution <br> 7. Other specify $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st }} \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Low } \\ \text { skil(position) } \\ \text { <A2 } \end{gathered}$ | High and middle skilled(Position) $>$ A2 |  |
| M3: G011 option | In the last year, have you recruited any person in your establishment? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M3:G020 | In the last year, have you advertised any post? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { G040 } \end{aligned}$ |

M3:G030 Please indicate the type of jobs, number advertised in the past year (past twelve months), number of applicants and the number filled through the process of advertising

| Occupation / Job description | Number advertised | Number of applicants | Number of post filled through the process | For official use only ISCO Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | If no body applied enter 0 | If no post filled enter 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square 10$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |





SECTION H. CAPACITY UTILIZATION AND RELEVANCE OF TRAINING (APPLICABLE FOR HIGHER INSTITUTIONS AND TVET Delivering A2 or higher certificate only)

| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3:H040 | Please indicate any obstacles that negatively affect the capacity utilization in your institution? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1.Lack of teaching materials <br> 2. Physical facilities (building) etc <br> 3.Lack of qualified staff <br> 4.Financial/Budget constraints <br> 5.Lack of clients/students <br> 6.Lack of power/energy <br> 8. No obstacle <br> 7.Other (specify) | $1^{\text {st }}$ $2^{\text {nd }}$ $\square$ |  |
| M3:H041 (option) | What would you need to improve your services? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. New equipment <br> 2. Facilities <br> 3. Qualified teachers <br> 4. Expert instructors/teachers <br> 5. Subsidies for students <br> 6. Deregulation <br> 7. Nothing <br> 8. Other (specify) | $1^{\text {st }}$ $2^{\text {nd }}$ $\square$ |  |
| M3:H050 | Do you conduct Tracer studies? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| M3:H060 | How long does it take for a former student to get employment? | 1. Less than 7 months <br> 2. 7 - 12 months <br> 3. More than 12 month <br> 4. Don"t know | $\square$ |  |
| M3:H070 | How do you ensure | 1. Curriculum review with employers |  |  |



SECTION I. MEMBERSHIP TO ORGANIZATIONS/ ASSOCIATIONS

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3 :1020 | Is your institution a member of any organization/association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \\ & 1060 \end{aligned}$ |
| M3 1030 | Name one of the organization | .......................................... ................................. |  |  |
| M3 :1040 | In the past year have you received any cooperation or assistance from the organization/association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| M3 :1060 | Is your institution affiliated to another organisations/association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

SECTION J. GENDER

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3:J010 | Does your organization have a gender policy? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| M3:J020 | Does your organisation practice preferential treatment due to sex? | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \\ & \text { K010 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M3:J030 | Does this practice involve the following policy? <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1. Quota of management positions for women <br> 2. Overall quota for women <br> 3. Preferential recruitment for women <br> 4. Preferential recruitment for men <br> 5. Maternity leave <br> 6. Differential retirement age <br> 7. Preferential payment <br> 8. Others (specify) <br> 1=Yes 2=No | 1 2 3 4 |  |

SECTION K. HIV/AIDS POLICY AT WORKPLACE

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3 :K010 | Do you have an HIV and AIDS in your workplace? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \\ & \text { L010 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M3:K020 | Does the HIV and AIDS policy workplace policy entail/contain the following <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1.VCT services <br> 2.Free ARVs for workers who are HIV+ <br> 3.Free condom distribution for workers <br> 4.Free food rations for workers who are HIV+ <br> 5.Workers' rights <br> 6.Others (specify) $1=\mathrm{Yes} \quad 2=\mathrm{No}$ | 1 2 3 |  |

SECTION L. USE OF ICT

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M3:L010 | Has your institution introduced ICT use? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ end |
| M3:L020 | Please indicate what for it is being used <br> (Ask for each option and respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 1. Production/Teaching <br> 2. Marketing <br> 3. Human resource management <br> 4. Communication <br> 5. Records management <br> 6. Accounting/Finance/Planning/Budgeting <br> 8. Empoyment <br> 7. Others (specify) <br> 1=Yes 2=No | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 |  |
| M3:L030 | According to your opinion how has the use of ICT affected the following? <br> (read all) <br> 1 = Increased <br> 2 = Decreased <br> 3 = No effect <br> $0=$ Not applicable | 1. Production/Teaching <br> 2. Marketing <br> 3. Human resource management <br> 4. Communication <br> 5. Records management <br> 6 . Accounting <br> 8. Employment <br> 7. Others (specify) |  |  |
| M3:L040 <br> (option) | What are the most important challenges with regard to the use of ICT? <br> (multiple answers possible, list three most important by rank) | 1. Lack of skilled employees <br> 2. Lack of skilled outside IT support <br> 3. Lack of skilled trainers <br> 4. Insufficient / unreliable connectivity <br> 5. Unreliable electricity <br> 6. Costs of equipment <br> 7. Availability of equipment <br> 8. Others (specify) <br> 9. No challenge | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} 1^{\text {st }} & \square \\ 2^{\text {nd }} & \square \\ \end{array}$ | End of intervi ew |

## End of interview

Thank you

## RWANDA NATIONAL MANPOWER SURVEY

## EMPLOYERS MODULE (Informal)

## Confidentiality Note

The Information you give in this questionnaire will only be used for statistical purposes. According to the Statistical law individual data are kept confidential and will not be disclosed for any reason what so ever.
3. INTERVIEW RESULTS

| 0050 | A Visit 1 | B Visit 2 | C Visit 3 | D Reason for not responding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date : ........../......../....... | Date : ................../....... | Date : ................../....... |  |
|  | 1. Fully completed <br> 2. Partially completed <br> 3. Not answered <br> 4. Posponed <br> 5. Other (specify) | 1. Fully completed <br> 2. Partially completed <br> 3. Not answered <br> 4. Posponed <br> 5. Other (specify) | 1. Fully completed <br> 2. Partially completed <br> 3. Not answered <br> 4. Posponed <br> 5. Other (specify) | 1. Refused <br> 2. Not found (owner) <br> 3.Not found (establishment)/ <br> No longer operating <br> 4. Other (specify) |
|  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 4.NAMES AND SIGNATURE OF SURVEYS STAFFS

| Name of the interviewer: $\qquad$ | Name of the Field Editor: $\qquad$ | Name of the Team Leader $\qquad$ | Name of coder: $\qquad$ | Name of the Data entry clerk: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of the interview: $\qquad$ | Editing date: $\qquad$ <br> __I I | Date: $\qquad$ <br> 1 I | Coding date: $\qquad$ | Data entry date: $\qquad$ |
| Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: |

5. ADDRESS/LOCATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

5-1. PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY:
5-2. DISTRICT.


5-4. CELL $\qquad$


5-5. VILLAGE


5-6. ESTABLISHMENT NAME: $\qquad$

## 5-7. ESTABLISHMENT PHONE NUMBER/THE MANAGER

5.8. E_MAIL ADDRESS (OFFICE)

| 0040 (option) | Are you registred in RRA? | 1. Yes <br> 2. No |  | If $2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} 0050$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0041 (option) | How many working persons do you have? | 1. Less than 5 <br> 2. More than 5 |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ Fill Formal Questionnaire |
| 0042 (option) | Do you keep regular account books? | 1. Yes <br> 2. No |  | If $1 \rightarrow$ Fill Formal Questionnaire |
| 0050 (option) | Position of respondent | 1. Owner/Co-owner ( manager) <br> 2. Manager <br> 3. Human resource Manager <br> 4. Other (specify) | $\square$ | If 2,3 or 4 go to M51: <br> B010 |

SECTION A. GENERAL PERSONAL INFORMATION ON EMPLOYER (only to be filled if interview with OWNER)


|  | Specialization | ......... | ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51:A071 (option) | Where did you got your highest level of education? | 1. Rwanda <br> 2. Other EAC countries <br> 3. Rest of Africa <br> 4. Europe <br> 5. Americas <br> 6. Asia <br> 7. Oceania | 栭 |  |
| M51:A072 (option) | Are you currently enrolled in further training? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ A110 |
| M51:A073 (option) | Please indicate the field/type of training you are enrolled for | ... | ISCED Code-Level 3 for office use |  |
| M51:A074 (option) | What kind of degree are you expecting from that training? | 01.PhD/Doctorate <br> 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06. Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07.Secondary-A Level <br> 10. None <br> 12.Certificate <br> 11. Other (specify) |  |  |
| M51:A110 | What is your current main occupation / job title? |  | ISCO-Level 4 for office use |  |
| M51:A120 | Is this your main or secondary occupation / job? | 1. Main <br> 2. Secondary |  | If $1 \rightarrow$ A140 |
| M51:A130 | What is the other occupation? |  | ISCO-Level 4 for office use |  |
| M51:A140 (option) | Does your current occupation match your official education / training (certificate / degree)? | 9. Yes  <br> 10. No (other job than qualific.) <br> 11. No (lower level than qualific.) <br> 12. No (higher level than qualif.) <br> 5. Not applicable (no training)  | $\square$ |  |
| M51:A150 (option) | Is this your first employment in Rwanda after reaching 15 years old? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | If $1 \rightarrow$ A158 |
| M51:A151 (option) | What kind of establishment did you work for before joining your current employer? | ```1=Ministry and Other institutions 2= Parastatal 3=Company 4=Co-operative / SACCO 5=NGO/CSO/CBO 6=Other (specify)``` | $\square$ |  |
| M51:A152 (option) | What was your employment status? | 5. Owner account worker <br> 6. Employer <br> 3 Employee <br> 4 Unpaid family worker | $\square$ |  |
| M51:A153 (option) | What was the establishment's main economic activity? |  | $\square$ <br> ISIC-Level 4 for office use |  |
| M51: | What was your | ............................ |  |  |



## SECTION B. ESTABLISHMENT INFORMATION



|  | of your establishment | 2.Limited by share(LTD) <br> 3.Limited by guarantee <br> 4 Limited by both share and guarantee <br> 5.Unlimited <br> 6.Other(specify) |  |  | ........ | ... | B040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51:B031 (option | Please record the number shareholders by nationality and sex |  |  | Male | Female | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Rwandese |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Other EAC |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Other African |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Rest of the World |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| M51:B040 | Where do you mainly undertake your business? | 01. Workspace in/attached to home <br> 02. Factory, office, workshop, kiosk <br> 03. Independent from home <br> 05. Home or workplace of client <br> 06. Employer's home <br> 07. Construction site <br> 08. Market stall <br> 09. No specified place <br> 10. Other (specify) |  |  | ......... | $\ldots$ |  |
| M51:B050 | Ownership of premises | 1. Fully owned <br> 2. Joint ownership <br> 3. Permitted by others to use site <br> 4. Rented <br> 5. Donated by the Government <br> 6. Donated by Local authority <br> 7. Not Applicable <br> 8. Other (specify) |  |  |  | ... |  |
| M51:B060 | Are there plans to register your enterprise with the Registrar of Companies(RDB)? | 1. Already registered <br> 2. Already in the process <br> 3. Yes, in the near future <br> 4. No plans <br> 5. Not sure |  |  |  |  |  |
| M51:B061 (option) | Are there plans to register your enterprise at RRA | 1. Already registered <br> 2. Already in the process <br> 3. Yes, in the near future <br> 4. No plans <br> 5. Not sure |  |  |  |  |  |
| M51:B070 | Does the enterprise have any license to operate? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 090 \end{aligned}$ |
| M51:B080 | Under what license does the business operate? | 1.Trade License <br> 3.Patente <br> 4.Provisional authorization <br> 5. Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |
| M51:B090 | What types of utilities are available to your business? <br> Multiple responses are possible Read each modality and respond by $1=$ YES or $2=\mathrm{NO}$ ) | 1. Water <br> 2. Electricity <br> 3. Toilet / pit latrine <br> 4. Waste disposal <br> 5. Other (specify). $1=Y E S \quad 2=N O$ |  |  | 1 2 3 4 5 |  |  |
| M51:B091 (Option) | Which is the source of these above utilities? <br> (Multiple responses are possible Read each modality and respond by 1=Public/Gvnt | 1.Water <br> 2. Electricity <br> 3. Toilet / pit latrine <br> 4. Waste disposal <br> 5. Other (specify <br> 1. Public/Gvnt <br> 2. Private |  |  | 1 2 3 4 5 |  |  |


|  | 2=Private or 3=Not applicable) | 3. Not applicable |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51:B100 | Does the government provide these utilities? <br> Look at Question B091 and respond to B0100 | 1. Yes all <br> 2. Yes partly <br> 3. None |  | Don't ask |
| M51:B110 | Does your business have access to the following services? <br> (Multiple answers are possible) <br> Read all and respond by $1=$ YES or 2= NO | 1. Postal Services <br> 2. Banking <br> 4. Telephone <br> 5. Internet <br> 6. Transport (Own car) <br> 8. Other (specify) $\qquad$ $1=Y E S \quad 2=N O$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |

## SECTION C. WORKLOAD / BUSINESS OPERATIONS

| No. | Question | Options | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51:C010 | On average how many hours does your business operate per day? |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| M51:C020 | How many days per week does your business normally operate? |  | $\square$ |  |
| M51:C030 | How many days do you usually work per week? |  |  |  |
| M51:C040 | Do you keep written records/business records? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $1 \rightarrow$ D010 |
| M51:B050 | Why don't you keep records? | 1. Records not necessary <br> 2. Lack of skills <br> 3. Lack of finances <br> 4. Other (specify) | $\downarrow$ |  |

SECTION D. EMPLOYEE CHARACTERISTICS AND VACANT POSTS


M51:D022. Indicate by job title, number of Posts, Minimum Education Required and the Number of Filled Posts by Sex and Citizenship and Gross remuneration as of 30 september 2011 (opt.)



Please indicate any vacancies as of $30^{\text {th }}$ september 2011 (option)
M51:D024: Does your establishment have a plan to increase or decrease the number of employees between 2012 and 2021? 1: Yes
2: No $\qquad$ If $2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ D040

M51:D030. Indicate the number of additional workers required for future employment and the kind of occupation/skills needed

| Job title / Description | Total number of vacant posts | Minimum education requirement <br> 1. PHD <br> 2. Masters <br> 3. Postgrad. Diploma <br> 4. Bachelor's Degree <br> A0 <br> 5. Diploma A1 <br> 6. Certificate A2 <br> 7. Other (specify) <br> c | Field of education requirement | Number and Causes of vacant jobs |  |  |  |  | For official use only <br> ISCO Code | For official use only <br> ISCED Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Business Growth (i.e. additional posts) | Replacement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Retirement <br> e1 | employee left <br> e2 | Lack of qualifications | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Job } \\ \text { termina } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { (fired) } \\ \text { e4 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  | ................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square$ | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  | .................................. |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  | ................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ |  |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ | $\square \square$ |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  | ............................... |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  | ................................ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square \square \square$ | $\square \square \square$ |
|  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |



| M51 :D040 | What is the major factor that influences the number of persons engaged in your establishment? | 1. Increased or decreased demand <br> 2. Apprenticeships <br> 3. Location (move to other site, space) <br> 4. Access to external financical support <br> 6. Introduction of new products / services <br> 7. Introduction of new technology <br> 5. Other (specify) | .......... |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51 :D060 | What skills / qualifications are in general lacking among your staff? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1.Managerial skills <br> 2. Technical skills <br> 3. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 4. Language skills <br> 6. Customer care <br> 7. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 8. IT skills <br> 9. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 11.None <br> 5.Other (specify) | .......... |  |  |  |  |  |
| M51 :D071 <br> (option) | Which challenges do you face that limits training of your staff? (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1.Inadequate facilities (space) <br> 2.Inadequate materials <br> 3.Shortage of skilled trainers <br> 4.Time off for the trainees <br> 6. Lack of fund <br> 5. Other(specify) <br> 7. No challenge | ......... | 3 |  | - | . |  |
| M51 : D072 <br> (option) | If you have hired graduates of TVET and / or higher institutions, how satisfied are you with their performance? | 11. Satisfied <br> 12. Partially satisfied <br> 13. Little satisfied <br> 14. Not satisfied <br> 15. Not appicable (no such graduates hired) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TVET } \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | gher |  |  |
| M51 :D073 <br> (option) | In your view, what has to be improved in the training and education in TVET and higher institutions? (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. Managerial skills <br> 2. Technical skills <br> 3. Entrepreneurial skills <br> 4. Language skills <br> 5. Customer care <br> 7. Innovativeness / creativity <br> 8. IT skills <br> 9. Didactics / teaching skills <br> 10. Communication skills <br> 06.Other (specify) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1^{\mathrm{s}} \\ \hline 2^{\mathrm{n}} \\ \hline \mathbf{n}^{\mathrm{r}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st }} \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M51:D080 | Does your establishment have an industrial att. / apprenticeship / internship progr.? | 1.Yes (institutionalised) <br> 2.Yes (occasionally/informal) <br> 3. No |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 3 \rightarrow \\ & \text { D130 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M51:D090 | If Yes, how many interns do you have annually? |  | Male |  |  | emale | Total |  |



SECTION E: CAPITAL / REVENUE (Look Employer formal)

| No. | Question | Options | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51 : 0010 | What was the major source of your start- up capital for your business? | 1. Own saving <br> 2. Loans from friends/relatives <br> 3. Contributions from others <br> 4. Loans from commercial banks <br> 5. Informal money lenders <br> 6. Government lending agencies <br> 7. Micro finance institutions <br> 8. Public share issuing <br> 9. Inhertance <br> 10. Other (specify) <br> 11. Don't know |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2-11 \\ & \Rightarrow \text { E030 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M51 :E020 | If own saving, what was the major source? | 1. Previous employment public sector <br> 2. Previous employment in private sector <br> 3. Sale of farm products <br> 4. Sale of assets (cattle, property) <br> 5. Other (specify) | ...................... |  |
| M51 : 0330 | Have you ever applied for a loan from a bank or another financial institution? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 1 \\ & \Rightarrow E 032 \end{aligned}$ |
| M51 : 0331 | Why didn't you apply? <br> (Mult. answers,) | 15. No need <br> 16. No guarantee <br> 17. Long procedures <br> 18. High interest rate <br> 19. Lack of information <br> 20. Fear of risks | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | Go to E040 |



SECTION F: EXPENDITURE (Look Employer formal)

| M51 : F010 (option) <br> Please indicate your establishment's expenditures on labour as of 30 September 2011 (in FRW) |  | Permanent |  | Temporary/casual |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of employees as at 30 september 2011 A. | Amount Paid for the month of 30 september 2011 (in Rwf) B | Number of employees as at 30 september 2011 <br> C | Amount Paid for the month of september 2011( in Rwf) <br> D. |
| Wages and salaries including overtime pay |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonuses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowances |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social security Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other not else where classified |  |  |  |  |  |
| M51 :F020 (option) | Can any of your product (good or service) be exported to EAC or Word market? | $\begin{aligned} & 1=Y E S ; \\ & 2=N O \end{aligned}$ |  |  | IF 2 go to F060 |
| M51 :F030 (option) | During 2011, have you exported any of your product to EAC or Word market? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond | 1.YES to EAC market <br> 2.YES to Other African <br> 3.YES, out side of Afri $1=Y E S \quad 2=N$ | market | 1 $\square$ <br> 2 $\square$ <br>  $\square$ <br>   <br>   |  |


|  | by 1=Yes or 2=No) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51: : 040 (option) | Before 2011, have you exported any of your product to EAC or Word market? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=Y e s$ or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 4. YES to EAC market <br> 5. YES to Other African market <br> 6. YES, out side of Africa $1=Y E S \quad 2=N O$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| M51 :F050 (option) | Do you plan to export any of your product to EAC or Word market in the future? <br> (Mult. answers,) (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or $2=\mathrm{No}$ ) | 4. YES to EAC market <br> 5. YES to Other African market <br> 6. YES, out side of Africa $1=Y E S \quad 2=N O$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| M51 :F060 (option) | What are the major sources of funding for this institution? | 1. Government/National budget <br> 2. (International)Donors <br> 3. Shareholders <br> 4. Financial Institutions <br> 5. Faith-based organizations/charties <br> 6. Payments by beneficiaries <br> 7. Selling of output / services <br> 8. Membership contribution fees <br> 9. Others (specify) |  |  |

SECTION FF. SOURCING FOR REQUIRED STAFF

| No. | Question | Options | Code |  |  | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51 :FF010 (option) | How do you source personnel to fill vacant posts? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important for skilled / low-skilled) | 1.Media advertisements <br> 2.Own webpage/ Internet <br> 3. LMIS/Registration systems <br> 4. Job agents / bureaus <br> 5. Friends/relatives <br> 6. Training institution <br> 7. Other specify | Rank <br>  <br> 1st $^{\text {st }}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ | Low skilled | High and middle skilled |  |
| M51:FF020 (option) | Do you envisage hiring non-nationals in the near future? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { FF050 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M51 :FF030 (option) | If Yes, Why? (rank) | 1. Cheaper <br> 2. Better qualified <br> 3. Other (specify) |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ |  |  |
| M51 :FF040 (option) | From which countries / regions? <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 1. EAC <br> 2. Other African <br> 3. Europe <br> 4. Americas <br> 5. Asia <br> 6.Oceania <br> 7. Any where |  | $1^{\text {st }}$  <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$  <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$  |  | $\rightarrow \mathrm{G010}$ |
| M51 :FF050 | If No, Why? | 1. No need |  |  |  |  |



## SECTION G. MEMBERSHIP TO EMPLOYEES ORGANIZATIONS

| No. | Question | Options | Code | Go to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M51 :G010 | Is your institution a <br> member of any <br> organization/assoc.? | 1.Yes <br> 2. No |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ <br> H010 |
| M51 G020 | Name that <br> organisation/associa <br> tion | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| M51 :G030 | In the past year have <br> you received any <br> assistance from <br> these institutions? | 1.Yes <br> 2. No |  |  |

SECTION H. EMPLOYMENT WORKING CONDITIONS

| No. | Question | Options | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51 :H010 | What type of employment contract do you have with your employees? (Indicate the most common) | 1.Written <br> 2. Oral <br> 3. No contract <br> 4. Not applicable |  |  |
| M51 :H020 | Which of the following benefits are provided for your employees? <br> (read all and respond by $1=Y e s$ or $2=Y e s)$ | 1. Sick leave <br> 2. Paid annually leave <br> 3. Maternity leave <br> 4. Paternity leave <br> 5. Social security <br> 6. Dismissal payments <br> 7. Any other (specify) $\qquad$ <br> $1=\mathrm{YES} 2=\mathrm{NO}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |

SECTION I. HIVIAIDS POLICY AT WORKPLACE

| No. | Question | Options | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M51 : 1010 | Do you have an HIV / AIDS workplace policy in place? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \\ & \text { J010 } \end{aligned}$ |


| M51 :1020 | Does the HIV / AIDS | 1.VCT services | 1 | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | work-place policy | 2.Free ARVs for workers who are HIV+ | 2 |  |
|  | entail/contain the | 3.Free condom distribution for workers | 3 |  |
|  | following | 4.Free food rations for workers who are HIV+ | 4 |  |
|  |  | 5.Workers' rights | 5 |  |
|  | (read all and tick if | 6.Others (specify)................................ | 6 |  |
|  | appropriate) | 1= YES | 2= NO |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## SECTION J. CHALLENGES OF BUSINESS EXPANSION

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N}^{\circ} \\ & \hline \mathrm{M} 1: \mathrm{J} 010 \end{aligned}$ | Question <br> What are the difficulties affecting the operation / growth of your establishment / enterprise / organization. <br> (Multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | Options <br> 1. Lack of customers/marketing <br> 2. Non-payment of debts <br> 3. Access to finance <br> 4. Lack of management skills <br> 5. Lack of capital equipment <br> 6. Lack of skilled personnel <br> 7. High taxes and license fees <br> 8. Lack of raw materials/irregular supply <br> 9. Lack of space/land <br> 10. No new technology <br> 11. Difficulties with existing regulations, law <br> 12 Increased competition <br> 13 Access to energy <br> 14. Cost of energy <br> 15. Crime/safety <br> 16. Customs and trade regulations <br> 17. Poor transport system <br> 18. Transport cost <br> 19. Labour regulations <br> 20. High labour turnover <br> 21. Corruption <br> 22. HIVIAIDS <br> 23. Other (specify) <br> 24. No difficulties/No challenge | Code |  |  | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1st <br> ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ <br> 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ | ${ }^{\text {st }}$ | ....... |  |



## NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS OF RWANDA MINISTRY OF PUBLIC SERVICE AND LABOUR

## RWANDA NATIONAL MANPOWER SURVEY

## EMPLOYEES MODULE (Informal)

## Zone Number.

## Confidentiality Note

The Information you give in this questionnaire will only be used for statistical purposes. According to the Statistical law individual data are kept confidential and will not be disclosed for any reason what so ever.
2. OCCUPATION LEVEL:

1. High
2. Medium
3. Low
4. Unspecified
5. INTERVIEW RESULTS

| 0050 | A.Visit 1 | B.Visit 2 | C.Visit 3 | D.Reason of non response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date : ........./......../....... | Date : ........./......../........ | Date : ......./......./...... |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Fully completed } \\ \text { 2. Partially completed } \\ \text { 3. Non response } \\ \text { 4. Posponed } \\ \text { 5. Other (specify) }\end{array}\right\}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Fully completed } \\ \text { 2. Partially completed } \\ \text { 3. Non response } \\ \text { 4. Posponed } \\ \text { 5. Other (specify) }\end{array}\right\}$ | 1. Fully completed <br> 2. Partially completed <br> 3. Non response $\rightarrow D$ <br> 4. Posponed <br> 5. Other (specify) | 1.Refused <br> 2.No contact <br> 3.Not eligible <br> 4.Other (Specify) |
|  | ..................................... | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

4.NAMES AND SIGNATURE OF SURVEYS STAFFS

| Name of the interviewer: | Name of the Field Editor: | Name of the Team Leader $\qquad$ | Name of coder: | Name of the Data entry clerk: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of the interview: $\qquad$ | Editing date: $\qquad$ | Date: $\qquad$ | Coding date: $\qquad$ | Data entry date: $\qquad$ |
| Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: | Signature: |

## 5. ADDRESS/LOCATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

5-1. PROVINCE / KIGALI CITY:
5-2. DISTRICT.


5-4. CELL $\qquad$
$\square$
5-5. VILLAGE. $\square$
5-6. ESTABLISHMENT NAME: $\qquad$

## 5-7. ESTABLISHMENT PHONE NUMBER/THE MANAGER

$\qquad$

### 5.8. E-MAIL ADDRESS (OFFICE)

$\qquad$

SECTION A. GENERAL PERSONAL INFORMATION

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:A010 | Name of respondent |  |  |  |
| M52:A11 <br> (option) | Phone number | ............................................... |  |  |
| M52:A12 (option) | Email adress | .... |  |  |
| M52:A020 | Sex | 1. Female <br> 2. Male |  |  |
| M52:A030 | Age in completed years |  | $\square$ |  |
| M52:A040 <br> (option) | Marital status | 1.Single/Never married <br> 2. Married <br> 3. Separated <br> 4. Divorced <br> 5. Widowed |  |  |
| M52:A050 | Nationality | 1. Burundian <br> 2. Kenyan <br> 3. Rwandan <br> 4. Tanzanian <br> 5. Ugandan <br> 6. The rest of Africa (specify) <br> 7. The rest of the world (specify) |  |  |
| M52:A060 | Do you have any disability? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 010 \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:A070 | If Yes, what type of disability? | 15. Sight (blind/severe visual limitation) <br> 16. Hearing (deaf, hard of hearing) <br> 17. Communicating (speech impairment) <br> 18. Other Physic. desability/physical handic. <br> 19. Intellectual (difficulties in learning) /mental problem <br> 20. Emotional (behavioural, psycholog.) <br> 21. Other (specify) | $\square$ |  |

SECTION B. NATURE OF CURRENT EMPLOYMENT


| M52:B100 | What was the main reason you left your previous job? | 1. Under payment <br> 2. Late payment <br> 3. Physical/social harassment <br> 4. Poor working conditions <br> 5. Marital/family commitments <br> 6. Going back to school/training <br> 7. Restructuring <br> 8.Others (specify) | .............. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:B101 (option) | How long have you worked in each of the indicated sector? (after reaching 15 years old or above) | 1=Ministry and other institutions <br> 2= Parastatal <br> 3= Company <br> 4= Co-operative <br> 5= NGO/CSO/CBO <br> 6= Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 6 . \end{aligned}$ | Years  <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   |  |
| M52:B102 <br> (option) | Did your first job match your education? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| M52:B110 | What was your highest level of education when you first entered the labour market? (after reaching 15 years old or above) | 11. No education <br> 12. Primary <br> 13. Middle secondart (O Level, A3, VTC) <br> 14. Secondary <br> 15. Tertiary |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 1 \text { or } 2 \\ & \rightarrow B 140 \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:B120 <br> (option) | Indicate the year of your graduation before entering the labour market for the first time. |  | $\ulcorner$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| M52:B140 <br> (option) | How long did it take you to find your first job since turning 15 ? | Write 00 in months if less than 1 year | Years |  |  |

SECTION C. FORMAL EDUCTION BACKGROUND

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:C010 | What is the highest level of formal education you have completed? | 01.PhD/Doctorate <br> 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06. Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07. Secondary-A Level <br> 08. Secondary-O Level <br> 09.Primary <br> 11. Other (specify) <br> 10. None |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } \\ & 8,9,10,11 \\ & \Rightarrow C 030 \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:C020 | Please indicate the field of Specialization |  | ISCED Code for office use |  |


| M52:C021 (option) | Where did you got your highest level of education? | 1. Rwanda <br> 2. Other EAC countries <br> 3. Rest of Africa <br> 4. Europe <br> 5. Americas <br> 6. Asia <br> 7. Oceania | $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:C030 | Are you currently enrolled for further training? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | If $2 \rightarrow$ <br> D010 |
| M52:C040 | Please indicate the field/type of training you are enrolled for. |  | $\square$ <br> ISCED Code for office use |  |
| M52:C041 <br> (Option) | What is the anticipated qualification you are expecting after finishing the training? | 01.PhD/Doctorate <br> 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06.Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07. Secondary-A Level <br> 10. None <br> 12.Certificate <br> 11. Other (specify) | $\square$ |  |

SECTION D. VOCATIONAL TRAINING / OTHER TRAINING / TYPE OF TRAINING

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:D010 | Have you received any kind of training since you joined your current employer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 1 \rightarrow \\ & \text { D012 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:D011 (option) | If you did not take part in any training, please indicate why. | 1. No training policy <br> 2. No training for my job profile <br> 3. Not offered to me personally <br> 4. Offered to me, but refused <br> 5. No need <br> 6. Other (specify) | $\square$ | $\rightarrow$ D060 |
| M52:D012 <br> (option) | Year of Training |  | $\rceil$ |  |
| M52:D020 | What was the mean of training? | 1. Apprenticeship <br> 2. Formal institution <br> 3. On the Job <br> 4. Other (specify) | $\square$ |  |
| M52:D030 | Duration in months |  |  |  |
| M52:D040 | What is your qualification from the additional training? | 01.PhD/Doctorate <br> 02.Masters Degree <br> 03.Post Graduate Diploma <br> 04.Bachelors <br> 05.Diploma level (A1) <br> 06. Certificate level (TVET) <br> 07. Secondary-A Level <br> 10. None <br> 12.Certificate | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |


| M52:D041 (option) | In which field of training? | 11. Other (speciify) | ...................................... |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\qquad$ | ISCED | Code for | fice use |  |
| M52:D050 | Where were you trained? | 1. Rwanda <br> 2. Other EAC countries <br> 3. Rest of Africa <br> 4. Europe <br> 5. Americas <br> 6. Asia <br> 7. Oceania |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M52:D051 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | Did that training improve your performance? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M52:D052 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | Are employees involved in designing training plans? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M52:D060 | Do you need any specific skills to improve your performance at your current job? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \\ & \rightarrow \text { D100 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:D070 | In which area do you need specific skills? |  | ISCED | $\square$ <br> Code | fice use |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M52:D100 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | Indicate languages you can speak and write $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Good } \\ & 3=\text { Basic } \\ & \text { 4=None } \end{aligned}$ | 1. English <br> 2. French <br> 3. Kinyarwanda <br> 4. Kiswahili <br> 5. Other languages(specify) | 1. <br> 2. <br> 3. <br> 4. <br> 5. | Speak $\square$ | Write |  |

SECTION E. WORKING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:E010 | How many hours do you usually (on average) work in a week? |  |  |  |
| M52:E020 | Are you entitled to annual leave days? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. } \mathrm{No} \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \rightarrow \\ & \text { E031 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:E030 | How many calendar days per year? |  | Calendar days Working days |  |
| M52:E031 (option) | How long does it take you to reach your workplace? | 1. Less than 10 minutes <br> 2. 10-20 minutes <br> 3. $21-30$ minutes <br> 4. More than 30 minutes |  |  |
| M52:E040 | What is the main mode of transport you use to reach your workplace? | 1. Public transport (taxi, bus) <br> 2. Office transport <br> 3. On foot <br> 4. Own transport <br> 5. Hired (Car,Motor cycle,bicycle) <br> 6. Other (specify) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M52:E041 } \\ & \text { (option) } \end{aligned}$ | Are you entitled to medical care assistance from your employer? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | If $2 \rightarrow$ <br> E050 |



## SECTION F. LABOUR RIGHTS AND REALTED ISSUES

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:F010 | Are you a member of any trade union or any other collective bargaining association? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 1 \rightarrow \\ & \text { F030 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:F020 | If No, why? | 1. Employer does not allow <br> 2. I do not know any union <br> 3. Unions do not help <br> 4. Other (specify) |  | $\rightarrow$ F031 |
| M52:F030 | In which kind of organisation are you a member <br> (multiple answers possible) | 1. Welfare <br> 2. Professional <br> 3. Cooperative <br> 4. Others (specify) <br> 5. None | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{rst} \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| M52:F031 | Is your employer contributing regularly to the social security fund for you? | 1.Yes <br> 2. Non <br> 3.Don't know |  |  |

SECTION G. CHALLENGES AT WORKPLACE

| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:G010 | Do you face any of the following challenges at work? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes or 2=No) | 01. Verbal abuse <br> 02. Physical abuse <br> 03. Sexual harassment <br> 04. Neglect <br> 05. Non payment of salary <br> 06. Non payment of other benefits <br> 07. Delayed payments of salary <br> 08. Delayed payments of benefits <br> 09. Underpayment of salary <br> 10. Fatigue <br> 11. Excess workload/hours <br> 12. Dependants <br> 13. Discrimination (specify) <br> 14. Other (specify) $1=\text { Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ 4 . \\ 5 . \\ 6 . \\ 7 . \\ 8 . \\ 9 . \\ 11 . \\ 12 . \\ 13 . \\ 14 . \\ 15 . \end{array}$ | If 2 to all <br> $\rightarrow \mathrm{H} 010$ |
| M52:G020 | How do you react to such challenges / problems? <br> (multiple answers possible, rank three most important) | 01. Inform HR management <br> 02. Take painkillers <br> 03. Talk to family members <br> 04. Talk to supervisor <br> 05. Inform police/lawyers <br> 06. Inform manager/directors <br> 07. Talk to friend <br> 08. Ignore them <br> 10. Ombudsman <br> 12. Other (specify) | 1rst <br> 2nd. <br> 3rd. |  |

SECTION H. GENDER

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:H010 | Does your organization have a gender policy? | 1.Yes 2. No 3.Don't know |  |  |
| M52:H020 | Does your organisation practice any form of preferential treatment due to sex? | 1.Yes <br> 2. No <br> 3 .Don't know |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \text { or } 3 \\ & \Rightarrow \mathrm{HO} 040 \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:H030 | Does this practice involve the following policy? <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=$ Yes 2=No or 3=Don't know) | 1. Quota for women (managm.) <br> 2. Overall quota for women <br> 3. Pref. recruitment for women <br> 4. Pref. recruitment for men <br> 5. Maternity leave / partenity <br> 6. Differential retirement age <br> 7. Preferential payment <br> 8. Others (specify) <br> 1=Yes 2=No 3=Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 6 . \\ & 7 . \\ & 8 . \end{aligned}$ |  |



## SECTION I. USE OF ICT



| M52:I060 | Do you feel properly | 5. Yes | $\square$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (option) | equipped to make full use | 6. No, lack of skills | 7. No, lack of enough equipment |  |
|  | of the potential of ICT? | 8. No,lack of skills and equipment |  |  |

SECTION J. EARNINGS


## SECTION K. HIVIAIDS AT WORKPLACE

| No. | Question | Answers | Code | Go to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:K010 | Do you have an HIV / AIDS policy at your workplace? | 1. Yes <br> 2. No <br> 3. Don't know |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } 2 \text { or } 3 \\ & \Rightarrow \text { N020 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:K010 | Does the HIV and AIDS work-place policy entail/contain....... <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Ask each then respond by $1=Y e s$ 2=No or 3=Don't know) | 1.VCT services <br> 2.Free ARVs for HIV+ workers <br> 3.Free condom distribution <br> 4.Free food for HIV+ workers <br> 6.Others (specify) $\text { 1=Yes } \quad 2=\text { No }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 6 . \end{aligned}$ |  |

SECTION N. JOB SEARCH AND CANDIDATE PREFERENCES (option)


| M52:N040 | How do you search for jobs? <br> (Multiple answers possible ,rank 3 most important ) | 1. Word of mouth/family/friends <br> 2. Unsolicited / passing-by <br> 3. Internet, media <br> 4. LMIS <br> 5. Job agents / bureaus <br> 6. Training institutions <br> 7. Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \\ & 6 . \\ & 7 . \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M52:N050 | Are you willing to move away from your current place of residence for a different job ? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Yes } \\ & \text { 2. No } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If, } 2 \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \\ & \text { N080 } \end{aligned}$ |
| M52:N060 | Why are you willing to move? | 6. Better salary/ rel benefits <br> 7. Better working conditions <br> 8. Exposure <br> 9. Security <br> 10. Other(specify) |  |  |
| M52:N070 | Where do you want to move to? | 1. within Rwanda <br> 2.Other EAC countries <br> 3.Other African counties/Rest of Africa <br> 4. Out of Africa <br> 5. Anywhere |  | If 1 or 2 to M2:N081 <br> If 3,4 and <br> $5 \rightarrow$ End |
| M52:N080 | If you are not willing to move, please indicate why <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Rank 3 most important) | 1. Family / dependents / friends <br> 2. Different culture <br> 3. Too risky <br> 4. Language problems <br> 5. Lack skills / competence <br> 6. Lack certificate / authorisation <br> 7. Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \end{aligned}$ | End of interview |
| M52:N081 | If you onlywant to move within Rwanda or only within EAC, please indicate why <br> (Mult. answers,) <br> (Read all and Rank 3 most important) | 1. Family / dependents / friends <br> 2. Different culture <br> 3. Too risky <br> 4. Language problems <br> 5. Lack skills / competence <br> 6. Lack certificate / authorisation <br> 7. Other (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. } \\ & \text { 2. } \\ & \text { 3. } \end{aligned}$ |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Modified employment size; establishments with the size of 5+ workers PLUS establishments with the size of 1-4 workers under the condition that such small establishments are registered at RRA and maintain regular operational accounts

