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## Foreword

The 2010/11 Integrated Household Living Conditions Survey, EICV3 (Enquête Intégrale sur les Conditions de Vie des Ménages), is the third in the series of surveys which started in 2000/01 and is designed to monitor poverty and living conditions. The survey fieldwork commenced in November 2010 and continued for one full year. In 2010/11, for the first time the achieved sample size of 14,308 households in the EICV3 was sufficient to provide estimates which are reliable at the level of the district.

To date, two publications have been issued by the National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NISR) using EICV3 data: a report with an overview of main indicators and a poverty profile. The present report is one of a series of 10 further documents that each explores in depth a theme from the Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy (EDPRS) using data from EICV3 and a limited number of other sources. The objective is to provide analysis that will contribute to the understanding of the sector and to support the elaboration of Rwanda's Second EDPRS.

The 10 thematic reports in this series are: (i) Economic Activity; (ii) Utilities and Amenities (water/sanitation/energy/housing/ transport/ICT); (iii) Social Protection; (iv) Environment and Natural Resources; (v) Consumption; (vi) Gender; (vii) Youth; (viii) Education; (ix) Agriculture; and (x) Income.
This report also draws on information contained in the Labour Market and Economic Trends in Rwanda report from August 2007, which reported on the EICV2 survey, and the Establishment Census of 2011. The report also includes some text from the Main Indicators Report of the EICV3 and makes some revisions to the data published there as result of deeper analysis of the data.
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## Methodological notes for readers

## Urban and rural classification in the EICV3 data

Although the sampling frame for the EICV3 was based on an updated frame of villages, the urban and rural classification of the villages in the EICV3 data is based on the corresponding geographic designations from the 2002 Rwanda Census of Population and Housing. Since the EICV2 sample design was based on the sampling frame from the 2002 census, this urban/ rural classification in the EICV3 data makes it possible to directly compare the urban and rural results from the EICV2 and EICV3 data. However, the urban/rural codes in the EICV3 data do not represent the current status of these villages, so it is important that users understand how to interpret the urban and rural results from the data. For example, since the urban classification was mapped directly from the 2002 geographic structure of Rwanda, the estimated total urban population from the survey data will not represent the expected urban expansion of the population. It is even possible that the estimate of the percentage of the population that is urban from the EICV3 data is slightly less than that from the EICV2 data because of sampling variability.

The initial urban/rural classification of the villages in the EICV3 sampling frame was determined at the level of the old sectors. In the 2002 Rwanda census frame, 1,545 sectors were defined for Rwanda. Under the new geographic structure these were reconfigured into 416 new sectors. Each of the 2002 sectors was classified as either urban or rural, and all the zones de dénombrement within the sector were given the corresponding urban/rural code. A spreadsheet was compiled showing the geographic correspondence between the 2002 sectors and the current sectors. When all the old sectors corresponding to a new sector were either urban or rural, the corresponding classification was assigned to all the villages in this sector. However, in the case of new sectors that are composed of both urban and rural old sectors, the villages were assigned a code of 3 for 'mixed'. The EICV3 sampling frame of villages for each district was ordered by urban, mixed and rural classifications in order to provide implicit stratification and a proportional allocation of the sample to each of these groups. For EICV3, there were 106 sample villages in new sectors classified as mixed, for which it was necessary to have a special cartographic operation to determine the urban/rural classification. The file with the GPS coordinates of each EICV3 sample village was used to pinpoint the exact old sector where the village was located. In this way it was possible to obtain the 2002 urban/rural classification for all the villages in the EICV3 sample.

The NISR is currently updating the urban and rural classification of all villages in preparation for the 2012 Rwanda census. Once these urban/rural codes have been finalised, it will be possible to merge these codes into the EICV3 data file so that the sample can be post-stratified and tabulated by the current urban and rural classification. This will not affect the weights in the survey data, which are based on the probabilities of selection. It is important to tabulate the urban and rural results using the new codes in order to represent the current distribution of the population and their characteristics (for the reference period of EICV3). However, the 2002 urban/rural codes should also be kept in the EICV3 data file for comparing the results to EICV2.

## Estimates at the provincial urban/rural level

Readers should be aware that the urban component of the rural provinces is very small, as is the rural component of Kigali City. Estimates are not presented for these provincial urban and rural domains as they would be affected by large sampling errors.

The tables below show the unweighted sample sizes at provincial level for urban and rural domains.

| EICV3 | Urban/rural |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Kigali City | 1,177 | 171 | 1,348 |
| Southern Province | 492 | 3,348 | 3,840 |
| Western Province | 204 | 3,156 | 3,360 |
| Northern Province | 132 | 2,268 | 2,400 |
| Eastern Province | 144 | 3,216 | 3,360 |
| Total | 2,149 | 12,159 | 14,308 |
|  |  |  |  |
| EICV2 | Urban/rural |  | Total |
| Kigali City | Urban | 1,026 |  |
| Southern Province | 954 | 72 | 1,707 |
| Western Province | 279 | 1,428 | 1,653 |
| Northern Province | 153 | 1,500 | 1,059 |
| Eastern Province | 135 | 924 | 1,455 |
| Total | 99 | 1,356 | 6,900 |

## Quintiles and poverty classifications

The results are presented by quintile. Quintiles are developed by sorting the sample of households by annual consumption values, and then dividing the population into five equal shares. The $20 \%$ of individuals with the highest annual consumption are allocated to quintile 5 , and the $20 \%$ of individuals with the lowest levels of annual consumption are allocated to quintile 1. The poorest households and their members are found in quintile 1 and the richest are found in quintile 5 . Those around the poverty line are found in quintile 3.

Consumption is used as a proxy for income, as is usual when estimating poverty. The reader should refer to the report on the Evolution of Poverty in Rwanda from 2000 to 2011for further information on this topic.

## Executive summary

Rwanda's Vision 2020 acknowledges the country as "suffering from serious deficiencies in terms of trained human capital" and states as its major objective the creation of "a knowledge-based and technology-led economy" for which "comprehensive human resources development is considered to be one of the necessary pillars [for Rwanda] to reach the status of a middle income country."

This report presents data on access to primary and secondary education while attempting to identify factors affecting access to these education levels. It also investigates access to technical and vocational education and training and higher learning as well as self-perceived levels of user satisfaction with the services provided by the education sector. Finally, it also discusses literacy.

## Access to primary school

Across all Rwanda, access to primary education has improved since 2005-06, with the proportion of the population aged seven to 12 years in primary schoolbeing at $92 \%$ in 2010-11.The lowest net attendance rates(NARs) were observed in the Eastern Province, among those aged seven, and among the population in the lowest consumption quintile. Over the past five years, among the population in the lowest consumption quintilethe NAR grew almost twice as fast as the improvement observed in the highest consumption quintile. Similarly, primary school attendance among those aged seven to 12 years in rural areas grew more than twice as fast as in urban areas.

Girls have slightly higher levels of access to primary school than boys, mainly because boys tend to start school later than girls. The male/female gap ratio reduces from about $7 \%$ among seven-year-olds to about $1 \%$ among nine-year-olds and gets to almost parity among 12-year-olds. Overall, household consumption is a less important factor than age and geography when addressing gender disparities in primary school in Rwanda.

Late starting of primary school among the population agedseven to eight was more of an issue in 2005-06 than it was in 2010-11. Since that period, significant progress has been made to mitigate this phenomenon. However, about $16 \%$ of the population aged seven to eight was still not in school in 2010-11. Children of this age not attending school are more likely to be found in rural areas, in the Eastern, Southern and Western provinces, and among the male population.The level of education attained by the household head is a major factor affecting children's early access to school.

In2009, across all Rwanda, about 27 out of each 100 individuals attending primary school repeated the class they were attending. Dropouts are less likely to occur, with about fourout of each 100 individuals attending primary school in the same year dropping out of school. Cost is the single most important factor driving children out of primary school. It accounts for $16 \%$ of those aged sevenand above that dropped out before completing primary education.

## Access to secondary school

$21 \%$ of the population aged 13 to 18 attended secondary school in 2010-11. This represents a strong increase from the $10 \%$ observed in 2005-06. The highest attendance rates were observed in Kigali City. NARs increase with the age of the secondary school population, which is a direct consequence of late completion of primary school. NARs in the highest consumption quintile are five times higher than in the lowest consumption quintile. While these findings indicate that the goal of equitable access to primary school by all subgroups of the population has not yet been realised, a comparison with results observed in 2005-06 holds promise. In most cases, the population subgroups lagging behind in 2005-06 arecatching up with those more privileged.

Girls have a higher level of access to secondary school than boys. The male/female gap ratio is smallest in Kigali City and in the Western Province(where it reaches full parity). While boys in urban areas have about $2 \%$ lower attendance rates than girls, in rural areas boys have $23 \%$ lower attendance rates than girls. Overall, age and geography are more important factors for addressing gender disparities in secondary school than a household's consumption level.

Out of every 100 individuals attending secondary school in 2009, threerepeated their secondary school class while twodropped out of school. The highest repetition rate was observed in the Southern Province and the highest dropout rate in the Northern Province. Cost is the single most important factor driving the secondary school population out of school before completion oftheir education, accounting for $42 \%$ of the reasons indicated by those leaving school.

## Access to technical and vocational trainingand education

The EICV3 asks questions about three different types of technical and vocational training and education: apprenticeship schemes, short-term vocational training courses, and technical/vocational school.

About 16\% of all Rwandans aged14 and abovehave, at some point in their lifetime, participated in some apprenticeship scheme to learn a vocation, including for jobs such as tailors, artisans, hair stylists, carpenters, etc. This practice is more urban than rural as it is more commonly observed in Kigali City than elsewhere. The female population tends to move away from apprenticeship schemes when their level of education increases, while the trend among the male population moves in the opposite direction.

About $18 \%$ of the population aged 14 and above not in school in the last 12 months attended a short-term vocational training course. A similar trend to that observed for apprenticeship schemes is found, with the attendance of this type of short courses being more common in urban areas.

Only about 3\% of the population aged14 and abovereceived some education at a technical or vocational school. Rates are highest among residents of Kigali City and the Southern and Western provinces. Technical or vocational education services are now more widespread than in the past.

## Access to higher learning

About $3 \%$ of the population aged19 to 25 was attending a college or university in 2010-11, whichis twice the proportion observed in 2005-06.Although access to higher learning among the population aged 19 to 25 remains a privilege of the urban population, less privileged subgroups of the population are catching up.

## User satisfaction and facilities

Both the EICV3 and EICV2 surveys askedquestions on satisfaction with education services ofthe users of these services across all levels of the education system. $82 \%$ of the users of education services in 2010-11 were satisfied with these services. This represents an increase since 2005-06, suggesting that the quality of education services provided in Rwanda is perceived to have improved in this period. Satisfaction rates are lowest among users in rural areas as well as in the Western Province.

When the findings are compared with those observed in 2005-06, the most notableimprovements in the services delivered by the education system areperceived to have been in urban areas, in the Western Province,and among post-primary and university users.

## Literacy

In 2010-11, about 84\% of the population aged 15 to 24 and $70 \%$ of the population aged 15 and abovesaid they knew how to read and write. Literacy improved fastest among the poor, although they were still the subgroup with the lowest literacy rate in 2010-11.

In Rwanda, out of every 100 individuals aged 15 to 24 , sevenare confident about using a computer while, among the population aged15 and above, fiveout of 100 feel confident doing so. Access to computers is still a privilege of urban residents and the population in the highest consumption quintile.
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## 1. Introduction

This report is oneof a series of 10 thematic reportswiththe general goal of informing the Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy (EDPRS) process. Rwanda's Vision 2020 acknowledges Rwanda as "suffering from serious deficiencies in terms of trained human capital" and states as its major objective the creation of "a knowledge-based and technology-led economy" for which "comprehensive human resources development is considered to be one of the necessary pillars [for Rwanda] to reach the status of a middle income country (US\$ 220 GDP/capita in 2003 to US $\$ 900$ GDP/capita by 2020)".'

Although education is the report's general theme, its focus is essentially on access to education rather than other areas of interest such as pupil/student performance and the quality of services delivered by the education system in Rwanda. This is because the EICV3 survey, the main data source for the report, did not collect the data necessary for a comprehensive review of these areas of interest. Data for the EICV3 survey was collected between October 2010 and November 2011. Where applicable, data from a similar survey, the EICV2 conducted in 2005-06, is used to measure progress.

The report presents the data in the form of summary tables and figures and is organised in seven chapters. The first chapter sets out the context for the analysis while the second and third chapters review data on access to primary and secondary education while attempting to identify factors affecting access to these education levels. The fourth and fifth chapters focus, respectively, on access to technical and vocational education and training and higher learning,while the sixth chapter reviews self-perceived levels of usersatisfaction with the services provided by the education sector. The last chapter focuses on literacy. An annexes section, at the end of the report, presents information for further reference, including all the basic tables used for the report.

The drafting of this report adopted a participatory process, upon which stakeholders were consulted on the report contents and key policy documents that could add background to the analysis. Further, the findings were shared with stakeholders for comments.

### 1.1 The education and training system in Rwanda in a demographic context

In Rwanda, the education and training system is structured into four main levels. A pre-primary level, which lasts threeyears, precedes the primary level ofa six-year duration. Above primary school, two levels exist, the first being a technical or vocational education, which aims to prepare students to enter the labour market once they complete primary education, and the second being secondary education, aimed at those students who wish to pursue a college or university degree before entering the labour market. Each of these levels is a six-year programme, with the first three years being a general cycle (also referred to as TroncCommun). A fourth level is available for those completing secondary education and comprises technical or vocational courses such as those in the area of engineering. This education level is referred to as higher learning and takes place in colleges and universities, lasting a maximum of sevenyears. Figure 1.1 depicts the structure of the Rwandan education and training system, highlighting in red the classes targeted by the Nine-Year Basic Education Policy, which aims at ensuring that all children are "able to get education in nine years (six years of primary education and three years of general cycle of secondary education) without paying school fees". ${ }^{2}$

[^0]Figure 1.1 The education and training system in Rwanda


Individuals are eligible to enter into the education system either through the pre-primary level at the age of four, where they are expected to complete a three-year programme, or through the primary level at the age of seven if they skip the preprimary level, which is not compulsory.

Individuals entering the system atthe primary level are expected to complete a six-year programme before proceeding to thesecondary or post-primary (technical or vocational education) level, where they should also complete a six-year programme. Upon completion of TroncCommun, through a national examination, students can opt to pursue either secondary or technical education during the next three years. By the time they reach the higher learning level, they should be aged 19. For the sake of completeness, since the education modules in both EICV2 and EICV3 surveys target the population aged six and above, this report restricts the analysis to the last three levels of the education system.

Figure 1.2 \% distribution of population by age groups and sex, EICV3


Figure 1.2 presents a percentage distribution of the Rwandan population by age group and sex, using the EICV3 results. The age groups were adjusted to matchthe main subgroups of the population targeted by the education system as discussed above. The Rwandan population was estimated at about 10.8 million people in 2010-11, and is essentially young. The median age is between 17 and 18 years and about $79 \%$ of people are below 36 years of age. About $9 \%$ of the population (or 0.97 million) were aged four to six, $16.9 \%$ (or 1.8 million) were betweenseven and 12 and about $14.3 \%$ (or 1.5 million) were between13 and18.

## 2. Access to primary school

Table 2.1 NAR in primary school by urban/rural, province, age and consumption quintiles

|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 91.7 | 86.6 | 5.9 |
| Urban/Rural 2002 |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.3 | 90.9 | 2.7 |
| Rural | 91.5 | 85.8 | 6.5 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 94.1 | 92.0 | 2.3 |
| Southern Province | 91.0 | 85.7 | 6.1 |
| Western Province | 91.2 | 85.0 | 7.4 |
| Northern Province | 95.7 | 89.2 | 7.3 |
| Eastern Province | 88.9 | 85.0 | 4.6 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 7 years | 74.3 | 65.1 | 14.1 |
| 8 years | 91.6 | 85.9 | 6.6 |
| 9 years | 96.6 | 93.5 | 3.3 |
| 10 years | 96.5 | 92.1 | 4.8 |
| 11 years | 97.7 | 95.5 | 2.3 |
| 12 years | 95.7 | 90.0 | 6.4 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 86.9 | 79.9 | 8.8 |
| Q2 | 91.4 | 86.3 | 5.9 |
| Q3 | 93.0 | 88.1 | 5.5 |
| Q4 | 93.7 | 89.8 | 4.4 |
| Q5 | 95.7 | 91.2 | 5.0 |

For reviewing access to primary school in this report, two indicators are used. The first, referred to as the NAR, is the proportion of the population aged seven to 12 attending primary school, which is the official age for attending primary education in Rwanda.

The second indicator, referred to as the Gross Attendance Rate (GAR), ${ }^{3}$ is the population, irrespective of their age, attending primary school, expressed as a proportion of the population agedsevento 12 . Later in this chapter, other indicators such as repetition and dropout rates will be used to help identify factors affecting access to primary school.

### 2.1 Net and gross attendance rates in primary school

Table 2.1 presents the NAR estimated from both EICV3 and EICV2 data by geographic, demographic and socio-economic characteristics. It shows that, across all Rwanda, access to primary education improved since 2005-06, with the proportion of the population aged sevento 12 at $92 \%$ in 2010-11. This represents an increase of about 6\%in the five-year period.

[^1]The lowest NARs were observed in the Eastern Province, among those aged seven, and among the population in the lowest consumption quintile. Primary school attendance rates differ between the different provinces in the country, with children in Eastern Province having the lowest and those in the Northern Province the highest. Enrolment ratesare $9 \%$ higher in the richest consumption quintileas compared tothe lowest quintile.

However, a review of NAR growth rates since 2005-06 reveals that these subgroups of the population arecatching up with subgroups that were most privileged in that period. The NAR among Eastern Province residents grew twice as fast in the fiveyear period as among Kigali City residents, the province with the highest NAR in 2005-06, although Western and Northern provinces experienced the highest provincial growth rates. Over the period, NARincreased by $14 \%$ among seven-year-olds. The NAR among the population in the lowest consumption quintile grew almost twice as fast as that observed among those in the highest consumption quintile, the subgroup with the highest NAR in 2005-06. Similarly, primary school attendance among the population aged seven to 12 in rural areas grew more than twice as fast as in urban areas.

Figure 2.1 Bottom 10 districts with NARsinprimary school below the national average, EICV3


Figure 2.2 Top 10 districts with NARsinprimary school above the national average, EICV3


[^2]First, Figure 2.1 shows that, among the bottom 10 districts with NARs below the national average in 2010-11, fourdistricts (Nyagatare, Kirehe, Ngoma and Bugesera) are from the Eastern Province, which consists ofsevendistricts in total. Second, Figure 2.2 shows that, by contrast, all fivedistricts (Rulindo, Gakenke, Musanze, Burera and Gicumbi)comprising the Northern Provinceare among the top 10 districts with NARs above the national average in 2010-11. This is an indication that access to primary school is more equally distributed across the Northern Province than elsewhere in the country.

Figures 2.3 through 2.5 present the gender perspective in regard to access to primary education among the population aged seven to 12. They show that, across all Rwanda, girls have slightly higher levels of access to primary school than boys. The average girl, in Rwanda, has $2 \%$ more chance of attending primary school than the average boy.

Figure 2.3 NARs in primary school by province, urban/rural and sex, EICV3


A breakdown by geographic characteristics indicates that the gap between girls and boys gets wider among Eastern Province residents (about $6 \%$ ) and narrower among Northern and Western province residents (about $0.4 \%$ or near parity, and $1.2 \%$, respectively). The male/female gap ratio in the Eastern Province is about threetimes wider than the national average, while in the Northern Province it is about fivetimes narrower. This helps to explain why the Eastern Province is lagging behind other provinces. When only girls are considered, the NAR in this province rises to the national average. Further, it is worth mentioning that only in Kigali are boys observed to have relatively higher access to primary school than girls (Figure 2.3).

Figure 2.4 NARs in primary school by age groupand sex, EICV3


A breakdown by age and sex characteristics indicates that boys tend to start school later than girls. The male/female gap ratio reduces from about $7 \%$ among seven-year-oldsto about $1 \%$ among nine-year-oldsand gets to almost parity among 12-yearolds. Seven-year-old boyshave lower attendance rates than girls of the same age (Figure 2.4).

Figure 2.5 NARs in primary school by consumption quintileand sex, EICV3


When levels of consumption are considered, the male/female gap ratio gets narrower as the level of consumption increases. The chances of a boy in the highest consumption quintile having equal access to primary school as a girl isthreetimes greater than that of a boy from the lowest consumption quintile (Figure 2.5).

In summary, a comparison of results in figures 2.3 through to 2.5 indicates that household consumption is a less important factor than age and geography in regard to gender disparities in primary school in Rwanda.

Table 2.2 GAR in primary school by urban/rural, province and consumption quintiles

|  | GAR |  |  | GAR - NAR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| Rwanda | 148.4 | 107.7 | 37.8 | 56.7 | 21.1 | 168.7 |
| Urban/Rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 141.3 | 120.3 | 17.5 | 48.0 | 29.4 | 63.2 |
| Rural | 149.4 | 105.5 | 41.7 | 58.0 | 19.6 | 195.5 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 137.3 | 119.7 | 14.7 | 43.1 | 27.7 | 55.9 |
| Southern Province | 150.7 | 109.5 | 37.6 | 59.7 | 23.8 | 151.1 |
| Western Province | 149.1 | 101.5 | 46.8 | 57.8 | 16.5 | 249.6 |
| Northern Province | 152.8 | 100.2 | 52.5 | 57.0 | 11.0 | 418.2 |
| Eastern Province | 145.7 | 114.9 | 26.8 | 56.8 | 30.0 | 89.7 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 141.9 | 91.2 | 55.6 | 55.0 | 11.3 | 384.4 |
| Q2 | 148.7 | 105.2 | 41.3 | 57.3 | 18.9 | 202.6 |
| Q3 | 150.8 | 111.5 | 35.3 | 57.8 | 23.4 | 147.5 |
| Q4 | 153.7 | 110.7 | 38.8 | 60.0 | 20.9 | 186.5 |
| Q5 | 149.4 | 126.1 | 18.4 | 53.7 | 35.0 | 53.6 |

Table 2.2 presents GARs by geographic and socio-economic characteristics. It shows that, when the analysis of primary school attendance is not restricted to the population at the official age to attend primary school ( $7-12$ years), access to this level of education is higher. Across all Rwanda, the total population attending primary school in 2010-11 corresponded to $148 \%$ of the population aged seven to 12 . This represents a growth of about $38 \%$ since 2005-06, an indication that access to primary school among the population aged sevenand above has been growing faster than that observed among the population aged seven to 12 years.

Across all Rwanda in 2005-06, for each 100 children aged seven to 12 attending primary school there were 21 children over 12 years also attending this level of school. In 2010-11, for each 100 children aged seven to 12 the number of children over 12 years attending primary school has increased to 57 . In short, this is an indication that the chances of a child completing primary school beyond the intended upper age limit have tripled. ${ }^{4}$

A breakdown by geographic and socio-economic characteristics indicates that a child is more likely to remain in primary school beyond the age of 12 years when he or she lives in a rural area, is a Northern Province or Western Province resident, or is from a household in the two lowest consumptionquintiles. The chances of a rural child remainingbeyond the age of 12 in primary school are three times greaterthan those of the urban child and eight times greater for the child in Northern Province when compared with a Kigali child. A childin the lowest consumption quintile is seven times more likely to be in primary school beyond the age of 12 than a child from a household in the richest consumption quintile.

[^3]Figure 2.6 Number of classrooms and pupil/classroom ratio in Rwanda, 2007-2011, MINEDUC, January $2012^{5}$


A comparison of the growth rates observed in Table 2.1 and the second part of Table 2.2 indicates that growth in NAR is correlated with growth in the primary school population over the age of 12. The population subgroups registering the highest NARs have also registered the highest levels of growthin the primary school population over the age of 12 . This indicates that, in order to absorb new entrants, the education system's capacity to supply services, in the period under review,needed either to grow or adapt to the growing demand by congesting its facilities.

Figure 2.6 presents data on number of classrooms and pupil to classroom ratios, published recently by MINEDUC, for the period between 2007 and 2011. It shows that, across all Rwanda, the number of classrooms in primary schools did drop from about 31,450 in 2009 to about 27,180 in 2010, while the pupil to classroom ratio, stable for the period between 2007 and 2009, jumped from about 72 to 85 pupils per classroom.

### 2.2 Factors affecting access to primary school

In principle, there are threepossible reasons for a child staying in primary school beyond 12 years of age. He or she will not complete primary school at the age of 12 years when:
i) Heor she starts school late.This situation occurs, in the case of Rwanda, when the child, for any reason, does not start school at the age of seven;
ii) Heor she repeats a primary school class. This situation occurs when the child fails to successfully complete a primary school class and it can take place once or several times in the course of the child's primary school career; or
iii) Heor she interrupts school at some point in time.This situation can take place on a permanent basis, when he or she drops out of school and never returns, or on a temporarybasis, when the child does, eventually, return to primary school.

[^4]The EICV3 survey collected data that allows for a review of the factors contributing toeach of these threesituations taking place and this section presents the main findings:

- For the first situation, a profile of the population aged seven and eight that has never been to school or was not attending school in the last 12 months,before the survey data was collected, was constructed;
$\square$ For the other two situations, a two-pronged approach was used. First, a complete cohort of the population was selected and repetition and dropout rates were computed for this cohort. Second, a historic perspective was added to these situations by constructing a profile of the population that either:
- has ever repeated a primary school class; or
- hasever interrupted primary school.

Figure 2.7 EICV3 data collection timeline and the school year in Rwanda


Figure 2.7 presents the EICV3 survey data collection timeline. It shows that, although the survey fieldwork overlapped with two school years (2010 and 2011), through a combination of questions on the classes attended in three successive years (current year, 2009 and 2010), its timeline (spanning from 2009 through 2011)in reality covered three school years.As a result, it thus covered three population cohorts; those that were in school in 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The repetition and dropout rates presented in this report were based on the 2009 cohort only.

### 2.2.1 Late startingof primary school

As the analysis of the NAR by age demonstrated, late starting of primary school among the population aged seven and eight was more an issue in 2005-06 than it was in 2010-11. Since that period, significant progress was made to mitigate this phenomenon and the NAR among the population aged seven and eight grew by $14 \%$ and $7 \%$, respectively (see Table 2.1 above for details).

Nevertheless, NAR for the seven- and eight-year-old populations, at $74 \%$ and $92 \%$, respectively, remained the lowest observed among all the ages in the population between 7 to 12 years. Understanding the main characteristics of those that did not start school at the right age is keytotackling the issue of late start of primary school in the future.Figures 2.8 through 2.11 present data that help portray the population aged seven and eight not in school in 2010-11.

Figure 2.8 \% of population aged7-8 years not in school by province, urban/rural,and sex


Figure 2.8 shows that, across all Rwanda, about $16 \%$ of the population aged seven to eightwas not in school in 2010-11. This represents a drop of about $32 \%$ since 2005-06. Children of this age not attending school are more likely to be found in rural areas, in the Eastern, Southern and Western provinces, and among the male population. The chances of a seven- or eight-year-old child being out of school are $50 \%$ greater if he or she lives in a rural area than in an urban one. A boy of this age group has a $22 \%$ higherchance of being out of school than a girl of the same age. Among the bottom three provinces, the Southern Province did better in reducing the proportion of this age group out of school, registering a reduction of about $59 \%$, which was twice as fast as the national average.

Figure 2.9 \% of population aged7-8 not in school by characteristics of household head


Figure 2.9 presents the proportion of children aged seven and eightthat were not in school in 2005-06 and 2010-11 by some characteristics of the household head, such as level of education attained and sex.

First, it shows that the higher the level of education attained by the household head the sooner a child will start primary school. The chances of a child starting school at the right age arefivetimes greater in a household where the head completed
secondary school, compared with a child living in a household headed by an individual that has never been to school. Secondly, considering the surge in primary school attendance observed among children aged seven and eight in the period under review, it shows that households headed by individuals that never went to school are slower to respond to incentives to send their children to school at the right age. While the chances of a seven-to eight-year-old child from a household headed by an individual that never attended school increased by $16 \%$ during this period, those of a child from a household headed by an individual that attended school increased by $35 \%$ when the head never completed primary school, and by $40 \%$ when the headhad completed primary school.

Figure 2.10 \% of population aged7-8 not in school by vulnerability characteristics


Figure 2.10 shows that disabilities are a major factor affecting children's early access to school. A seven-to eight-year-old child with disabilities has about three times less chance of starting school at the right age than another child with no disabilities. On the other hand, households with disabled children are slower to respond to incentives to send these children to school at the right age. The chances of a child of this age with disabilities starting school at the right age, in the period between 2005-06 and 2010-11,increasedby just $14 \%$ while, on the other hand, those of a child with no disabilities increased by $34 \% .{ }^{6}$

When orphanhood is considered, households with children who have lost just one parent are faster to respond to incentives to

send these children to school at the right age than households with children who have lost both parents (Figure 2.10).
Figure 2.11 \% of population aged 7-8 not in school by consumption quintile

[^5]The chances of a child aged seven to eight starting school at the right age increase with the level of consumption attained by the household. A child in the lowest consumption quintile has fourtimes less chance of starting school at the right age than another child in the highest consumption quintile. Households in the highest consumption quintile are also faster to respond to incentives to send children to school at the right agethan households in the lower four consumption quintiles. While, in the period between 2005-06 and 2010-11, the chances of a child from the $80 \%$ of the population with the lowest consumption starting school at the right age increased,on average, by about $30 \%$, those of another child from the remaining 20\% of the population increased by almost 60\% (Figure 2.11).

### 2.2.2 Repetition and interruption of studies inprimary school

Figures 2.12 through 2.15 present repetition and dropout rates in primary school calculated based on a 2009 population cohort, by geographic, demographic and socio-economic characteristics. That is, the analysis focuses on all individuals that were attending primary school in 2009 and, in 2010, either repeated the class they were attending in 2009 or dropped out of school. ${ }^{7}$

Figure $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ \% of population aged eightand above attending primary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in 2010 or dropped out of school by urban/rural and province, EICV3

${ }^{7}$ For calculating promotion, repetition and dropout rates, a school population attending a particular level of education should be targeted for at least two successive school years. For this report, the population attending school in 2009 was selected and the classes they were attending in 2009 and 2010 observed. The promotion rate was calculated as the proportion of all individuals whose classes attended in 2010 were higher than the classes attended in 2009. Similarly, the repetition rate is the proportion of all individuals attending their 2009 classes in 2010 due to failed exams. The dropout rate is the proportion of all individuals that i) were in school in 2009 but not in 2010 or ii) were attending their 2009 classes in 2010 due to other reasons than failed exams (sickness, financial and family reasons, etc.).

Figure 2.12, presenting a breakdown of repetition and dropout rates by geographic characteristics, shows that in 2009, across all Rwanda, about 27 out of each 100 individuals attending primary school repeated the class they were attending. Dropouts are less likely to occur, with aboutfourout of each 100 individuals attending primary school in the same yeardropping out of school. ${ }^{8}$

Figure 2.12 also shows that repetitions are less likely to occur in urban areas and in Kigali City than elsewhere. Arural child is $59 \%$ more likely to repeat a primary school class than an urban child. The highest dropout rate was observed in the Eastern Province, where the chances of a child dropping out of primary school are twice as high as those observed in Kigali.

Figure 2.13 \% of population aged eightand above attending primary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by age group, EICV3


A breakdown by age groups indicates that the proportion of individuals repeating a primary school class reduces as their age increases. While, out of every 100 children aged eight and nine44 repeat a primary school class, this number reduces to just ninechildren out of every 100 when the population of 18 years and above is considered (Figure 2.13).9

Figure 2.14 presents repetition and dropout rates for the population attending primary school in 2009 by the education of the household head and household consumption quintiles. It shows that the household head's access to education in the past is a more important factor indetermining a child's performance at primary school than the household's consumption level. The chances of a child repeating a primary school class are four times higherifthe child's household headnever went to school, compared to another headed by an individual that completed, at least, secondary school. These chances are two times higher when a child in the lowest consumption quintile is compared withanother in the highest.

However, when dropout rates are considered the opposite is true. The consumption level attained by the household becomes a more important factor in determining a child's likelihood ofleaving primary school than the education of the household head. A child in the lowest consumption quintile has a $79 \%$ higherchance of dropping out of primary school than another in

[^6]the highest consumption quintile, while a child in a household headed by an individual that has never been to school has a $49 \%$ greaterchance of dropping out of primary school than another in a household headed by an individual that completed secondary school.

Figure 2.14 \% of population aged eightand above attending primary school in 2009 who repeated the $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by level of education attained, household head and consumption quintile, EICV3


Figure 2.15 \% of population aged eightand above attending primary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by orphanhood and disabilities, EICV3


Table 2.3A \% of population aged sevenand above in school in the last 12 months that have repeated a primary school class, EICV3

|  | \% | Mean number of repetitions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 67.6 | 1.1 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |
| Urban | 54.3 | . 8 |
| Rural | 70.0 | 1.1 |
| Province |  |  |
| Kigali City | 50.0 | . 7 |
| Southern Province | 71.7 | 1.2 |
| Western Province | 69.2 | 1.1 |
| Northern Province | 69.3 | 1.1 |
| Eastern Province | 67.7 | 1.1 |
| Age (in years) |  |  |
| 7-8 years | 35.4 | . 4 |
| 9-10 years | 67.4 | . 9 |
| 11-12 years | 75.7 | 1.2 |
| 13-14 years | 81.7 | 1.4 |
| 15-16 years | 81.8 | 1.5 |
| 17-18 years | 76.6 | 1.5 |
| 19 + years | 61.0 | 1.0 |

A breakdown by vulnerability characteristics such as orphanhood and disabilities indicates that orphanhood, in school performance terms, is not a vulnerability characteristic at all as orphans tend to do better than non-orphans. An orphaned child, regardless of whetherhe or she has lost one or both parents, has at least a $31 \%$ lowerchance of repeating a primary school class than a non-orphaned child. On the other hand, disabilities do hamper children's smooth progress at primary school. First, a child with disabilities has an $18 \%$ greaterchance of repeating a primary school class than another with no disabilities. Second, his or her chance of dropping out of school isfourtimes higher than those of a child with no disabilities (Figure 2.15).

Direct questions on repetition of primary school classes were addressed to the population attending school in the 12 months that preceded EICV3 fieldwork (seeFigure 2.7 for an illustration of the timeframe these questions covered).Tables 2.3A and 2.3B present the proportion of the population aged sevenand above that was in school in the last 12 months and had ever repeated a primary school class by geographic, demographic, and socio-economic characteristics. It also presents the average number of times this population repeated a primary school class. In short, it portrays the history of repetitions at primary school among those that were still in the school system, regardless of education level, during the period between December 2009 and November 2011. Yet, unlike the analysis presented above, it does not allow for a clear identification of the class at which the repetition took place.

Across all Rwanda, about sevenout of 10 individuals aged sevenand above attending school in the last 12 monthsdeclared they had repeated a primary school class at some point.The lowest proportions of primary school repeaters are found in urban areas and Kigali City. The chances of finding an individual aged sevenand above attending school in the last 12 months that repeated a primary school class increase by $29 \%$ when one moves from a case in an urban area toarural area, as well asby $43 \%$ when one moves from Kigali City to the Southern Province.

When the data aredisaggregated by age groups, the proportion of primary school repeaters increases between the ages of sevenand 16. The chances of finding a primary school repeater duplicate when one moves from the seven- to eight-year-old population to the 15 - to 16-year-old population.

Table 2.3B $\quad$ \% of population aged sevenand above in school in the last 12 months that has ever repeated a primary school class, EICV3

|  | \% | Mean number of repetitions |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rwanda |  | ( |
| Highest primary class successfully |  |  |
| attained |  |  |
| None | 54.9 | 1.1 |
| Primary 1 | 65.4 |  |
| Primary 2 | 71.8 | .8 |
| Primary 3 | 76.4 | 1.0 |
| Primary 4 | 79.3 | 1.2 |
| Primary 5 | 77.3 | 1.3 |
| Primary 6-8 | 62.1 | 1.4 |
| Quintile |  | 1.3 |
| Q1 | 72.4 | .9 |
| Q2 | 72.1 |  |
| Q3 | 71.8 | 1.2 |
| Q4 | 68.7 | 1.2 |
| Q5 | 54.3 | 1.1 |

Among those that were still attending primary school, the longer they were in school the higher were their chances of repeating a primary school class. The chances of finding a repeater among those that were still attending primary school increase by $41 \%$ when one moves from those that did not complete a primary school class to those that completed Primary 5.

With regards to levels of consumption, the data show that the higher the level of household consumption the lower the proportion of the population repeating a primary school class. The chances of finding a primary school repeater decrease by $33 \%$ when one moves from the lowest to the highest consumption quintile.

Tables 2.3 A and 2.3 B also show that schoolchildrenrepeated a primary school class on average once during their past schooling. This is taken from the population over sevenwho were attending school in the last 12 months.

The EICV3 survey also collected data on the reasons why people drop out of school. Figures 2.16 through 2.20present a summary of the reasons the population that dropped out of school indicated as being the main cause for leavingprimary school.

Figure 2.16 presents the main reasons for leaving primary school by geographic characteristics. Thisshows that, apart from lack of interest and family reasons, cost is the single most important factor driving children out of primary education. Cost accounts for $16 \%$ of the dropouts thatoccurred among the population aged sevenand above that dropped out before completing primary education. ${ }^{10}$ The other two most important factors include war and health, both together accounting for $12 \%$ of the dropouts among this population. The analysis presented in the subsequent four figures (figures 2.17 through 2.20) will, essentially, focus on these threefactors.

When the data aredisaggregated by geographic characteristics, the results show that children are more likely to be driven out of school due to cost-related issues, in urban areas, Kigali City and the Eastern Province. A child in an urban area has about an
${ }^{10}$ The questionnaire included two broadly defined response categories that happened to be among the main reasons indicated as being behind dropping out of school by respondents. Together, the response categories "Had no interest" and "Family reasons" represent about $68 \%$ of all responses. However, while, on the one hand, the high proportion observed for the "lack of interest in education" category is consistent with the finding that the dropout rate is inversely proportional to the household head's level of education, on the other this category does not add value to an analysis that aims to inform policy decision making. Further, it is difficult to ascertain what a "Family reason" really means to render this category useful for the purposes of the analysis. A family reason can represent a vast range of events, stemming from a child's early marriage or a major sponsor's death to prolonged illness or unemployment that can, ultimately be re-categorised as cost-related, household's migration, etc. The NISR should thus consider revising these categories in future EICVs.
$87 \%$ greaterchance of dropping out of primary school due to cost than another in a rural area, while the chances of a child in Kigali dropping out of primary school due to cost are $135 \%$ higher than those of another child in the Southern Province (Figure 2.16).

Figure 2.16 Main reasons for leaving school among the population aged sevenand above that dropped out of school before completing primary school by urban/rural and province (\%), EICV3


Figure 2.17 Main reasons for leaving school among those aged sevenand above that dropped out of school before completing primary school by highest primary class successfully attained (\%), EICV3

$\square$ Had no Interest $\square$ Family Reasons $\square$ Cost $\square$ War $\square$ Health $\square$ Others
When the highest primary class successfully attained is considered, the importance of cost as a factor driving children out of school increases with the class successfully attained, even though the main reason for all levels is lack of interest in school. This suggests that the cost of keeping children in primary school until they complete increases as they progress towards the final class. The chances of a child attending a Primary 6 class dropping out of school due to cost are $88 \%$ higher than those of achild inPrimary 1 (Figure 2.17).

These findings are consistent with those from a breakdown by age groups, as presented in Figure 2.18. The chances of an individual dropping out of school due to cost-related issues increase from an average of $4 \%$ when he or she is between sevenand 10 years of age to an average of $20 \%$ when he or she is between 11 and 24 years.

Figure 2.18 Main reasons for leaving school among those aged sevenand above that dropped out of school before completing primary school by age group (\%), EICV3


However, a more striking finding shown in Figure 2.18 is the rise in importance that health issues exhibit in driving the younger primary school population out of school. While the chances of the average individual agedsevenand above dropping out of school due to health issues represent just $6 \%$, they jump to an average $27 \%$ (a five-fold increase) when he or she is between seven and 10 years, or to an average $18 \%$ (a three-fold increase) when he or she is between seven and 14 years.

Figure 2.19 Main reasons for leaving school among those aged sevenand above that dropped out of school before completing primary school by orphanhood and disabilities (\%), EICV3


Figure 2.19, presenting the main reasons for leaving primary school by orphanhood and disabilities, shows that health issues are more likely to drive children with disabilities out of school than cost-related issues. When compared to a child with no disabilities, the chances ofa child with disabilities dropping out of school due to health issues are about fourtimes higher while, conversely, those due to cost-related issues are 33\% lower.

Figure 2.20 Main reasons for leaving school among those aged sevenand above that dropped out of school before completing primary school by level of education attained by the household head and consumption quintile (\%), EICV3


Figure 2.20, presenting the main reasons for leaving school by the highest education level attained by the household head and consumption quintiles, shows that cost and health issues move in opposite directions when either the education levelof the household head or consumption is considered. The importance of cost-related issues in driving a child out of school increases with the level of education attained by the household head or the level of household consumption, while the importance of health issues reduces.

However, the education level of the household head is more important in determining the probability of a child dropping out of primary school than the household's consumption. The chances of a child dropping out of school due to cost-related issues increase by $112 \%$ in the case of a pupil with a household head that never went to school compared to another headed by an individual that completed secondary school. On the other hand, the chances of a child dropping out of primary school due to cost-related issues increase by $39 \%$ when comparing a pupilin the lowest consumption quintile to onein the highest consumption quintile. This represents a three-fold gap between these two factors. Similarly, the same analysis taking into consideration health issues indicates that there is a five-fold gap between the level of education attained by the household head and the level of the household's consumption.

In short, a comparison of results shown in figures 2.16 through 2.20 indicates that, apart from lack of interest and family reasons, which comprise about $68 \%$ of all the reasons indicated as being behind primary school dropouts ever occurring at the national level, cost and health are also important factors. First, this is because both together account for about $22 \%$. Second, this is because they are important factors affecting dropout rates in specific subgroups of the population. Cost, for instance, is particularly important in urban areas and in Kigali City while its effect on dropout rates increases as the average child progresses in primary school. Health is important in regard to dropping out among the population aged seven to 14, particularly when those with disabilities are considered.

## 3. Access to secondary school

Table 3.1 NAR in secondary school by urban/rural, province, type of school, age groups and consumption quintile

|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 20.9 | 10.4 | 100.7 |
| Urban/Rural 2002 |  |  |  |
| Urban | 37.4 | 21.1 | 76.9 |
| Rural | 18.2 | 8.3 | 119.3 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 41.0 | 24.6 | 66.7 |
| Southern Province | 18.4 | 8.8 | 108.9 |
| Western Province | 18.3 | 8.8 | 107.2 |
| Northern Province | 21.3 | 7.3 | 192.8 |
| Eastern Province | 18.5 | 10.6 | 75.3 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 13 years | 4.6 | 1.7 | 170.8 |
| 14 years | 8.7 | 4.3 | 103.7 |
| 15 years | 16.7 | 6.8 | 146.7 |
| 16 years | 27.8 | 13.3 | 108.6 |
| 17 years | 34.0 | 17.3 | 96.9 |
| 18 years | 36.7 | 18.3 | 100.7 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 8.6 | 2.2 | 285.4 |
| Q2 | 13.0 | 5.6 | 132.8 |
| Q3 | 18.7 | 9.3 | 100.7 |
| Q4 | 24.3 | 14.2 | 71.2 |
| Q5 | 39.8 | 21.3 | 87.2 |

This chapter usesthe same structure asthe preceding chapter. It is organised into two main sections; the first reviews access to secondary school by examiningthe NAR and GAR indicators. The second section attempts to construct a profile of the main factors affecting access to this level of education by combining repetition and dropout rates while aggregating other elements inthe analysis.

### 3.1 Net and gross attendance rates in secondary school

Table 3.1 presents NAR in secondary school by geographic, demographic, and socio-economic characteristics. It shows that, across all Rwanda, $21 \%$ of the population aged 13 to 18attendedsecondary school in 2010-11. This represents a doublingfrom the 10\% observed in the2005-06 survey.

A breakdown by geographic characteristics indicates that the highest NAR was observed in Kigali City. The chances of an individual attending secondary school double if he or she is residing in an urban setting or in Kigali rather than in a rural setting or in the Western or Southern provinces.

When age is considered, the results indicate that the NAR increases with the age of secondary school population. This is a direct consequence of late completion of primary school, discussed earlier in this report. The chances of an individual attending secondary school increase eight times when comparing a 13-year-old individual to another of 18.

A similar trend is observed when the household's consumption is considered. The chances of an individual from a household in the highest consumption quintile attending secondary school are five times higherthan those of another from the lowest consumption quintile.

While these findings indicate that the goal of equitable access to primary school by all subgroups of the population has not yet been realised, a comparison with results observed in 2005-06 shows promise. In most cases, the population subgroups lagging behind in 2005-06arecatching up with those more privileged. The NAR among the rural population grew about 55\% faster than that among the urban population. Among the Northern Province residents,the NAR grew $181 \%$ faster than among Kigali residents. Similarly, access to secondary school among 13-year-olds increased70\% faster than among 18-yearolds while, among the population in the lowest quintile, it increased threetimes faster than the population in the highest consumption quintile.

Figure 3.1 Bottom 10 districts with NARs in secondary school below the national average, EICV3


Figure 3.2 Top 10 districts with NARs in secondary school above the national average, EICV3


Figures 3.1 and 3.2 present, respectively, the bottom 10 districts with NAR ranking below the national average and the top 10 districts with NAR ranking above the national average.Out of the 10 bottom districts with NAR below the national average, fourdistricts (Karongi, Rutsiro, Ngororero and Nyamasheke) are from the Western Province. Furthermore, the fact that

Rubavu(another of the sevendistricts that comprise this province) is among the top 10 districts with NARs above the national average suggests that access to secondary school is not equally distributed across the Western Province. Similarly, the fact that, Burera, one of the fivedistricts comprising the Northern Province- the second highest NAR, just after Kigali-is among the bottom 10 districts while another two(Gakenkeand Gicumbi) are found among the top 10 districts suggests that access to secondaryschool is not equally distributed across the province, in contrast to what was observed in the case of primary education.

Figures 3.3 through 3.5 present a gender perspective in regard to access to secondary school in 2010-11. They show that, across all Rwanda, girls have higher access to secondary school than boys. The average girl, in Rwanda, has a 20\% higher chance of attending secondary school than the average boy.

Figure $3.3 \quad$ NARs in secondary school by province, urban/rural and sex, EICV3


Figure 3.3, presenting a breakdown of NAR by geographic characteristics and sex, shows that the male/female gap ratio gets narrower in urban areas and in Kigali City and,in the Western Province, reaches full parity. While a boy in an urban area has about $2 \%$ less chance of attending secondary school than a girl in the same area, in a rural setting a boy has a $23 \%$ lowerchance of attending secondary school than a girl. This means that boys and girls in anurbanarea are 12 times more likely to have equal access to secondary school than their counterparts in a rural area. Similarly, the chances of a boy getting as equitable access to secondary school as a girl increase fivetimes when comparing theEastern or Northern provinces to Kigali.

Figure $3.4 \quad$ NARs in secondary school by age groups, EICV3


Figure 3.4 presents a breakdown of the NAR by age and sex. Although the male/female gap ratio fluctuates between the ages of 14 and17, boys and girls have an almost equal chance of being in secondary school when they reach the age of 18.

Figure 3.5 NARs in secondary school by consumption quintile, EICV3


Figure 3.5 presents the NAR disaggregated by consumption quintilesand sex. While a boy in the lowest consumption quintile has about a $22 \%$ lowerchance of attending secondary schoolthan a girl in the same consumption quintile, these chances drop to about $12 \%$ in the case of a boy in the highest quintile.

In short, a comparison of results presented in figures 3.3 through 3.5 indicates that age and geography, as observed in the case of primary school (see figures 2.3 through 2.5 for further reference), are more important factors for addressing gender disparities in secondary school than the household's consumption level.

When GAR is used to measure access to secondary school instead of NAR, the population attending secondary school in 2010-11 corresponds to $41 \%$ of the population aged 13 to 18 . This represents a proportion twice as high as the one observed through the NAR and indicates that access to secondary school among the population over 18 years of age is also growing and faster than among the population aged13 to 18 . While in 2005-06 for each 100 individuals aged13 to 18 attending secondary school there were nineindividuals over the age of 18 years, in 2010-11 this number has increased to 20 for the same 100 individuals of ages 13 to 18 (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2 GARin secondary school by urban/rural, province, type of school and consumption quintiles

|  | GAR |  |  | GAR - NAR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| Rwanda | 40.9 | 19.7 | 107.4 | 20.0 | 9.3 | 114.9 |
| Urban/rural 2002 <br> Urban <br> Rural | 66.9 36.6 | 39.4 15.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 69.7 \\ 131.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.5 \\ & 18.4 \end{aligned}$ | 18.3 7.5 | 61.5 144.3 |
| Province <br> Kigali City <br> Southern Province <br> Western Province <br> Northern Province <br> Eastern Province | 73.7 37.5 37.8 37.5 38.2 | 45.2 17.8 17.7 15.0 17.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 63.1 \\ 110.5 \\ 113.8 \\ 149.3 \\ 119.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.6 \\ & 19.1 \\ & 19.5 \\ & 16.2 \\ & 19.7 \end{aligned}$ | 20.6 9.0 8.9 7.8 6.8 | 58.7 112.1 120.3 108.5 188.7 |
| Quintile <br> Q1 <br> Q2 <br> Q3 <br> Q4 <br> Q5 | 16.0 25.0 36.6 52.4 74.6 | 3.9 9.5 16.6 27.1 42.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 308.4 \\ 163.6 \\ 120.9 \\ 93.4 \\ 76.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.4 \\ 12.0 \\ 17.9 \\ 28.0 \\ 34.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 7.2 \\ 12.9 \\ 21.0 \end{array}$ | 338.5 207.4 146.8 118.0 65.4 |

A breakdown of the data presented in Table 3.2 by various subnational levels indicates that the highest growth in the population over 18 years attending secondary school was observed in rural areas, the Eastern Province,and among the population in the lowest consumption quintiles. With the exception ofthe Eastern Province, these are the same subgroups registering the lowest NAR in 2005-06 (see Table 3.1). For each 100 individuals aged 13 to 18 , the number of individuals over 18 attending secondary school in rural areas increased $135 \%$ faster than in urban areas. This represents a growth twice as high as that observed among the total population of 13 - to 18-year-olds. Similarly, for each 100 individuals aged 13 to 18 the number of individuals over 18 attending secondary school in the Eastern Province increased threetimes faster than in Kigali. This represents a growth 17 times higher than the one observed among the population aged13 to 18.

### 3.2 Factors affecting access to secondary school

This section attempts to shed light on the factors that, directly or indirectly, affect access to secondary school. The three situations - late start, repetition and interruption of studies - discussed earlier in this report in relation to primary school will also be reviewed here.

### 3.2.1 Late startingof secondary school

While the substantial increase in the number of individuals over 18 attending secondary school is closely related to late completion of primary school, as discussed earlier in this report, it may alsobe attributed to a recent expansion in the supply of secondary school services. Figure 3.6 presents the distribution of the population aged13 and above attending school in the 12 months prior to EICV3 data collection, by age group and the duration of secondary school interruption.lt reveals two important aspects that support this assertion:
— First, much of this population, attending secondary school in the last 12 months, did interrupt secondary school at some point and for some reason; and
— Second, the length of this interruption reached as many as 45 months (or 3.8 years) among those aged 31 years and above.

The fact that this population was back to school, despite such a lengthyschool interruption, suggests that secondary school services are more available nowadays to a wider age group. This seems to reflect a return-to-school policy for older mature students.

Figure 3.6 Duration of secondary school interruption (in months) among the population aged13 and above that were attending school in the last 12 months, EICV3

$\square \%$ of Population of 13 years of + in school last 12 months
-O-Duration of School interruption (in Months)

### 3.2.2 Repetition and interruption of studies in secondary school

Figures 3.7 through to 3.10 present the repetition and dropout rates in secondary school for a 2009 cohort of the population, by geographic, demographic, and socio-economic characteristics.They show that, across all Rwanda, out of every 100 individuals attending secondary school in 2009, threerepeated their secondary school class while two dropped out of school. ${ }^{11}$

Figure 3.7 \% of population aged14 and above attending secondary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by urban/rural and province, EICV3


Figure 3.7, presenting a breakdown of repetition and dropout rates by urban/rural and province, shows that the highest repetition rate was observed in the Southern Province and the highest dropout rate in the Northern Province. Out of 100 individuals attending secondary school in Southern Province, sixrepeated their secondary school class while in the Northern Province, fourdropped out of school.

[^7]Figure 3.8 \% of population aged 14 and above attending secondary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by age group, EICV3

$\square$ Repeated $\square$ Dropped Out

Figure 3.8 indicates that an older individual attending secondary school is more likely to repeat a secondary school class than a younger one. The chances of an individual repeating a secondary school class triples from an individual aged14 to 15 to another of 24 years and above. This is in clear contrast to what was observedin primary school where the younger an individual was the more likely he or she was to repeat a primary school class (see Figure 2.13). This reflects the policy of mature students returning to school.

Figure 3.9 \% of population aged14 and above attending secondary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by orphanhood and disabilities, EICV3


As observed in the case of primary school (see Figure 2.15), Figure 3.9 shows that orphanhood does not hamper individuals' performance in secondary school, as the repetition rate observed among those attending secondary school in 2009 is slightly higher among non-orphans. However, it does drive orphaned individuals out of school as the dropout rate is higher. On the other hand, disabilities do influence individuals' performance at secondary school. Repetion among the population with disabilities is twice as high as among the population with no disabilities (Figure 3.9).

In contrast to what was observed in primary school (see Figure 2.14), the education level of the household head is not important for curtailing both repetition and dropouts in secondary school. Although the lowest repetition and dropout rates were observed among the population from households headed by individuals that completed at least secondary school, an opposite trend is observed among the population from households headed by individuals that either never went to school or did but managed to complete primary school at best. In these cases, both repetition and dropout rates increase with the level of education attained by the household head (Figure 3.10). Figure 3.10, presenting the main reasons why individuals dropped out of school by the level of education attained by the household head, provides some explanation for this trend.

Figure 3.10 \% of population aged 14 and above attending secondary school in 2009 who repeated the 2009 class in $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ or dropped out of school, by level of education attained by the household head and consumption quintile, EICV3

$\square$ Repeated $\square$ Dropped Out
Table 3.3A \% of population aged13 and above attending school in the last 12 months that has ever repeated a secondary school class

|  |  | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Mean number of repetitions |
| Rwanda |  | 16.7 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  | 20.2 |
| Rural |  | 15.4 |
| Province |  | 18.7 |
| Kigali City |  | 21.4 |
| Southern Province | 15.1 | .2 |
| Western Province | 15.1 | .2 |
| Northern Province | 13.5 | .2 |
| Eastern Province |  | .3 |
| Age (in years) | 4.3 | .2 |
| $19-20$ | 4.5 | .2 |
| $21-22$ | 8.1 | .2 |
| $23-24$ |  | 25.3 |

When the household's consumption is considered, a similar trend is observed for repetition. While in the case of primary school, the repetition rate was shown to drop with an increase in the level of the household's consumption (see Figure 2.14), in the case of secondary school - apart from the population in the lowest consumption quintile, among which the highest repetition rate is observed - the repetition rate actually increases with the level of the household's consumption. On the other hand, the trend observed for the dropout rate does not follow this rule. With the exception ofthe lowest consumption quintile, the higher the level of consumption is the lower the dropout rate (see Figure3.10).

Tables 3.3A and 3.3B present the proportion of the population aged13 and above that was in school in the 12 months prior to EICV3 fieldwork and who hadat some time repeated a secondary school class. Across all Rwanda, about $17 \%$ of the population aged13 and aboveattending school in the last 12 months repeated a secondary school class.

The lowest proportion of secondary school repeaters is found in rural areas, in the Eastern, Western and Northern provinces, among the population aged19 to 22,and those in the lowest two consumption quintiles. A comparison with the results of access to secondary school (see, for instance, Table 3.1), reveals that the highest growths in NARs were also observed among these population subgroups.This suggests that the low levels of repetition in secondary school are consistent with the recent expansion of secondary school services discussed earlier in this report.

Table 3.3B\% of population aged 13 and above attending school in the last 12 months that has ever repeated a secondary school class

|  | \% | Mean number of repetitions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 16.7 | . 2 |
| Highest secondary school class successfully attained |  |  |
| None <br> Secondary 1 <br> Secondary 2 <br> Secondary 3 <br> Secondary 4 <br> Secondary 5 <br> Secondary 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 8.2 \\ 9.0 \\ 9.7 \\ 20.9 \\ 31.7 \\ 32.1 \\ 23.4 \end{array}$ | .1 .1 .1 .2 .3 .4 .3 |
| Quintile |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q} 1 \\ & \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { Q5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0 \\ & 11.8 \\ & 16.2 \\ & 17.6 \\ & 18.5 \end{aligned}$ | .1 .1 . .2 .2 .2 |

Figures 3.11 through 3.14 present the main reasons indicated by the population aged13 and above that dropped out before completing secondary education as being behind the interruption of studies. They show that, across all Rwanda, cost is the single most important factor driving the secondary school population out of school before the completion oftheir education. In the case of secondary education it accounts for $42 \%$ of the reasons indicated by those leaving school, in contrast to the $16 \%$ indicated by primary school leavers (see also Figure 2.16).

Figure 3.11, presenting a breakdown of the reasons for dropping out of school by geographic characteristics, shows that, in contrast towhat was observed in the case of primary school (see Figure 2.16), the effect of cost on dropouts is more equally felt across the differentregionsof the country. For instance, while, in primary school, the chances of an individual leaving school due to cost-related issues were about $87 \%$ higher in urban areas, in the case of secondary school they drop to about $20 \%$. Similarly, the chances of a Kigali resident dropping out of primary school for cost-relatedreasons were found to be about $135 \%$ higher than those for a Southern Province resident. In the case of secondary school these comparative chances drop to just 9\%.

Figure 3.11 Main reasons for leaving school among the population aged13 and abovethat dropped out of school before completing secondary school, by urban/rural and province (\%), EICV3


Figure 3.12 Main reasons for leaving school among the population aged13 and above that dropped out of school before completing secondary school, by age group (\%), EICV3


When the age of the individual dropping out is considered, dropping out forcost-related reasons remains stable between the ages of 13 and 18 (averaging 49\%) but jumps to about $56 \%$ among the population aged19 to 20 , reaching the lowest proportion among the population aged31 and above. This suggests that the cost of accessing secondary school services is felt more intensely nowthan it was before (Figure 3.12).

Figure 3.13 Main reasons for leaving school among the population aged13 and above that dropped out of school before completing secondary school, by last year in school (\%), EICV3


Figure 3.13, presenting the reasons for dropping out of secondary school by the year individuals were last in school, confirms the above finding as the proportion dropping out forcost-related issues increases from $35 \%$, among those that attended school last in 1994, to an average 55\% among those that attended school last between 2006 and 2009.

Figure 3.14 Main reasons for leaving school among the population aged13 and above that dropped out of school before completing secondary school, by level of education attained by the household head, consumption quintile and disabilities (\%), EICV3
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Figure 3.14 presents the reasons for dropping out of secondary school by the level of education of the household head, consumption quintile, and disabilities. An individual in a household headed by an individual that completed secondary school is $20 \%$ more likely to drop out of school due to cost-related issues than another in a household headed by an individual that either never went to school or only completed primary school. On the other hand, the chances of an individual dropping out of school due to cost-related issues increase by $11 \%$ when a student from a household in the lowestconsumption quintile is compared to another in the highestquintile.

As observed in the case of primary school (see Figure 2.19), health issues continue to be a major factor driving the population with disabilities out of secondary school. The chances of an individual dropping out of secondary school due to health issues increase fortimes when we comparean individual with no disabilities to another with disabilities (Figure 3.14).

In short, a comparison of the results shown in figures 3.11 through 3.14 shows that, despite recent strides in the expansion of secondary school access across Rwanda and all population subgroups, cost is the single most important factor driving the school population out before the completion of secondary education. It is felt more or less equally across the country, has become more of an issue recently than it had been in the past, and is more intensely felt when the education of the household head is considered.

## 4 Access to technical and vocational education and training

Technical and vocational education and training is "any education, training and learning activity leading to the acquisition of knowledge, understanding and skills relevant for employment or self-employment". In Rwanda, thishas been delivered by different providers at various qualification levels. Technical education is offered at upper secondary school level while initial vocational training is offered to primary school leavers. ${ }^{12}$

Figure $4.1 \quad$ Population of 14 years and above by type of vocational training received, EICV3


The EICV3 survey provides information on access to technical and vocational training by various subgroups of the population aged sixand above, including those thateither have never been to school or did not complete primary school, thus allowing for a comprehensive review of access to this type of training beyond what the formal educational system provides (seeFigure 1.1 for further reference). However, considering the findings discussed in the preceding chapters, such as people being late starting primary school and the definition of youth in Rwanda, the report restricts the analysis of access to vocational and technical training to the population aged14 and above, excluding the subgroup agedsixto 13. Figure 4.1 illustrates how the three groups covered in this chapter are defined.
— The first group includes three population subgroups: those that either:i) have never been to school; or ii) in the last 12 months prior to the survey fieldwork, did either a) attend school, regardless of the level (primary, secondary or technical/vocational) or b) did not attend school or short-term training. To this group, the EICV3 survey addressed questions on their participation in vocational apprenticeship schemes and when this participation took place;
$\square$ The second group includes those individuals that have attended school at some point but not in the last 12 months prior to the survey field work. To this group, the EICV3 survey addressed questions on their attendance of short-term training courses and what impact these courses had on their employment prospects;
$\square$ The third group comprises those individuals that completed primary school. Since, in principle, they are eligible to attend the formal technical and vocational education offered by the education system in Rwanda (see Figure 1.1) to this group, the EICV3 survey addressed questions on their attendance of this level of education and if so when it happened.

As it can be seen from Figure 4.1, these groups are not necessarily mutually exclusive. This chapter presents the main findings from the review of access to technical and vocational training and education by these groups. It is structured in two main sections:access to vocational training by the first two groups is reviewed in the first section,while the second concentrates on reviewing access to technical and vocational training by the third group.

[^8]
### 4.1 Vocational training through apprenticeship schemes or short-term courses

### 4.1.1 Vocational training through apprenticeship schemes

Broadly defined, an apprenticeship scheme is an informal system, upon which, an individual (the apprentice) learns on the job from another individual (the master), the necessary knowledge and skills to exercise a profession.

Figures 4.2 and 4.3 present the proportion of the population aged14 and abovethat, at some point in their lifetime, participated in some apprenticeship scheme to learn a vocation, including for jobs such as tailors, artisans, hair stylists, carpenters, etc. About $16 \%$ of all Rwandans in this age group did some form of apprenticeship, $14 \%$ of which did so in the past.

Figure 4.2 \% of population aged14 and above participating in vocational apprenticeship schemes, by urban/rural, provinceand age groups


Figure $4.3 \quad$ \% of population aged14 and aboveparticipating in vocational apprenticeship schemes,by level of educational attained and consumption quintile

$\square$ Attended in the Past $\square$ Currently Attending
A breakdown at the subnational level indicates that this practice is more an urban rather than rural phenomenon as it is more commonly observed in Kigali than elsewhere. Vocational training is more commonamong the population above 24 years of age and amongthe population in the highest consumption quintile. Figure 4.2 shows that there is about a $45 \%$ higher chance of finding an individual involved in such a scheme in an urban area than ina rural area. Similarly, an individual living in Kigali has about a $66 \%$ higherchance of participating in such schemes than another living in the Northern Province. Older people are much more likely to have ever had an apprenticeship.An individual aged 14 to 19 is five times less likely to have done so than another of between 42 and 47 . When the household's consumption is considered, there isabout a $45 \%$ higherchance of an individual in the highest consumption quintile taking up a vocational apprenticeship than another in the lowest consumption quintile (figures 4.2 and 4.3).

Figure 4.4 \% of population aged 14 and aboveparticipating in vocational apprenticeship schemes,by level of education attained and sex


Figure 4.4 presents the data disaggregated by highest level of education attained and sex. It indicates that the female population tends to move away from apprenticeship schemes when theirlevel of education increases while the trend among the male population moves in the opposite direction. The higher the level of education of a male individual,the more likely ishis participation in apprenticeship schemes. The proportion of women participating in vocational apprenticeship schemes decreases from $19 \%$ among those thathave never been to school to $13 \%$ among those that have completed the post-primary or secondary level. On the other hand, the proportion of men increases from $15 \%$ among those that have never been to school to $27 \%$ among those that completed post-primary or secondary school.

### 4.1.2 Vocational training through short-term courses

Vocational training can start when an individual leaves school, in order to better prepare him or her to enter the labour market, and comprises short-term training courses. Table4.2 and figures 4.5 through 4.8present data on the proportion of the population aged 14 and above not attending school in the last 12 months that attended such courses.

Figure $4.5 \quad$ \% of population aged14 and abovenot in school in last 12 months who attended short-term vocational training,by urban/rural, provinceand age group


Figure 4.5 shows that, across all Rwanda, about $18 \%$ of the population aged 14 and above and not in school in the last 12 months attended a short-term vocational training course.

A breakdown by geography and age reveals a similar trend to that observed among those who attended apprenticeship schemes (see Figure 4.2), with the attendance of this type of course being more common in urban areas, among Kigali residents, and the population aged24 and above. The chances of an individual attending such a course increases by about
$35 \%$ when an individual in an urban area is compared to another in a rural environment, and to $71 \%$ when comparing Kigali to the Western Province. The chances of an individual attending short-term vocational training doubles from an individual aged20 to 24 to another aged 25 to 29 and quadruplesfrom an individual of 20 to 24 to another of 42 to 47 (Figure 4.5).

Figure $4.6 \quad$ \% of population aged 14 and above not in school in last 12 months who attended short-term vocational training,by level of education attained and consumption quintile


Figure 4.6 indicates that the level of education attained by an individual is more important in determining whether this individual will, at somepoint, attend a short-term vocational training course than the household's consumption level. While the proportion of individuals attending a short-term vocational course is fivetimes higher among individuals that completed postprimary or secondary school level than among those that did not complete primary school, this proportion is only threetimes higher when one compares individuals from the highest consumption quintile to individuals from the lowest quintile.

Figure $4.7 \quad \%$ of population aged 14 and abovenot in school in last 12 months who attended short-term vocational training,by age and sex
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Figure 4.7presents a breakdown of participation in vocational training by age and sex. It shows that the female population is less likely to attend short-term vocational training than the male population. However, this does not apply to the youngest age group, of between 14 and 24 , where we can see that girls are actually more likely to attend these types of courses.

Figure $4.8 \quad \%$ of population aged 14 and above not in school in last 12 months who attended short-term vocational training, by level of education attained, consumption quintile and sex


The level of school attainment - seen as a factor inimproving girls' access to short-term vocational training -is again more important than the household's consumption level. Themale/female gap ratio reduces from about $56 \%$ to about $8 \%$ when attendance is compared between a girl that never completed primary school and another that completed the post-primary or secondary school level.The level of education attained is a more important factor than gender.On the other hand, the male/ female gap ratio for a similar comparison between a girl in the lowest consumption quintile andanother in the highest quintile reduces from about $61 \%$ to about $26 \%$, which represents a twofold reduction (Figure 4.8).

Figure $4.9 \quad$ \% of population aged14 and above not in school in last 12 months who attended shortterm vocational training,by urban/rural, province, age group and perceived impactof training on employment prospects


Figures 4.9 and 4.10 examine the impact these short-term vocational training courses had on the population's perceived employment prospects, both in present and future terms.They show that, across all Rwanda, about $65 \%$ of the population
aged14 and abovethat attended short-term vocational training courses believe the training received does not help them secure better jobs.

This scepticism towards employment prospects being improved by these courses is higher among course participants from rural areas (about 10\% higher than that observed among urban participants), Western Province residents (about 16\% higher than that observed among Northern Province residents) and among the population aged14 to 19 (about $43 \%$ higher than that observed among the population aged30 to 35). Thismay explain why demand for these courses is lower in these areas or among these population subgroups (Figure 4.9).

Figure 4.10 \% of population aged14 and above not in school in last 12 months who attended short-term vocational training,by level of education attained, consumption quintile and perceived impactof training on employment prospects
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Figure 4.10 shows that the proportion of the population aged14 and above attending short-term vocational training and dissatisfied with the employment prospects they get from these courses decreases as the level of education increases. While about $74 \%$ of those who did not complete primary school believe that the training received is not related to employment, this proportion is about $36 \%$ among those who completed thepost-primary or secondary school level. This represents a twofold reduction.

A similar trend is observed when the data aredisaggregated by consumption quintile, although this time the level of reduction is lower. About $80 \%$ of training participants in the lowest quintile believe the training received is not related to employment, while this proportion is about $54 \%$ among those in the highest quintile. This represents a reduction of about 48\% (Figure 4.10).

### 4.2 Technical and vocational education and training

Table 4.1 \% of population aged14 and above who completed primary school and attended technical/ vocational education, by urban/rural and province, EICV3

| Rwanda | 2.7 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |
| Urban | 3.8 |  |
| Rural |  | 2.4 |
| Province |  | 3.4 |
| Kigali City |  | 4.3 |
| Southern Province |  | 2.9 |
| Western Province | 1.3 |  |
| Northern Province |  | 1.4 |
| Eastern Province |  |  |

The EICV3 survey also collected data on the attendance of technical and vocational education by those completing primary school, as indicated in Figure 4.1 above.

Table 4.1 presents the proportion of the population aged14 and abovethat, at some point after completing primary school, attended a technical or vocational school by geographic characteristics. It shows that, across all Rwanda, about $3 \%$ of the population aged14 and abovereceived some technical or vocational education.

A geographic breakdownindicates that the urban population as well as residents of Kigali and theSouthern and Western provinces are more likely to attend a technical or vocational school.The chances of an individual attending an institution offering technical or vocational education after completing primaryschool increases by almost $60 \%$ when comparing a resident of a rural area to another of an urban area. These chances triple when comparing a resident of the Northern or Eastern provinces to one from the Southern Province.

Table 4.2 \% of population aged14 and abovewhocompleted primary school and attended technical/ vocational education, by technical/ vocational education attendance status andlevel of school attended after completing primary school, EICV3

|  | Technical/vocational education attendance status |  | Level of school attended after completing primary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currently attending | Attended in the past | Secondary | Technical/ vocational | Both |
| Rwanda | 2.1 | . 6 | 97.2 | 2.7 | . 1 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.5 | 1.1 | 96.1 | 3.8 | . 1 |
| Rural | 1.9 | . 4 | 97.6 | 2.4 | . 0 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 1.9 | 1.5 | 96.2 | 3.4 | . 4 |
| Southern Province | 3.3 | . 9 | 95.5 | 4.5 | . 0 |
| Western Province | 2.4 | . 5 | 97.1 | 2.9 | . 0 |
| Northern Province | 1.2 | . 0 | 98.7 | 1.3 | . 0 |
| Eastern Province | 1.3 | . 1 | 98.6 | 1.4 | . 0 |

The EICV3 survey collected data that allow for a further investigation of attendance of technical or vocation education, including a breakdown of the population that at some pointattended this level of education by current or past attendance. It also allows us to look at the trajectory of those attending technical or vocation education, once they completed primary school. Table 4.2 presents the findings from this analysis.

Across all Rwanda, about two-thirds of all those aged 14 and above that have ever attended a technical or vocational school are doing so now. This is a clearindication that such trainingis more widely availablenowadays than it was before. Furthermore, acomparison of the proportion currently attending technical or vocational training with those who attended in the past by geographic characteristics indicates that technical or vocational education services are now more widespread than in the past. In most cases, less privileged subgroups of the population have reduced the gap that separated them from other more privileged subgroups. For instance, as shown in Table4.2, the urban population and Kigali City residents had, in the past, higher levels of access to this type of services than the rural population and residents in other parts of Rwanda. Figure 4.13 presents the ratios of less privileged population subgroups to those that were more privileged.

Figure 4.13 \% of population aged14 and above who completed primary school and attended technical/ vocational education, EICV3


While in the past for every 10 individuals attending technical or vocational education in urban areas there were about fourindividuals in rural areas, currently for every 10 such individuals in urban areas there are about eightin rural areas. Similarly, in the past for every 10 individuals residing in Kigali and attending a technical or a vocational school, there were about six, four and one in the Southern, Western and Eastern provinces,respectively. At present, for the same number of individuals residing in Kigali and receiving this type of services, there are 17, 13 and sevenin the Southern, Western and Eastern provinces, respectively.

Table 4.5 also indicates that about $97 \%$ of the population aged14 and above that attended technical or vocational education did not have it as their first choice to proceed with their studies. In fact, they first attended a secondary school and only after that did they go on to join an institution providing technical or vocational education. This may be either due to thelimited supply of technical or vocational education or lack of knowledge about the courses among the population completing primary school.

## 5. Access to higher learning

In Rwanda, if an individual enters the school system at the right age for starting primary school, never repeats a class and decides to pursue a college or university degree, then he or she will be joining an institution of higher learning at the age of 19. He or she would be expected to complete this level of education at the age of 25. In this sense, the proportion of the population aged between19 and25 attending an institution of higher learning constitutes a NAR and the population agedat least 19 attending such an institution, expressed as a proportion of the population aged19 to 25 ,constitutes a GAR for this level of education. Tables 5.1 and 5.2 present the results for both NAR and GAR in institutions of higher learning in Rwanda.

Table 5.1 shows that, across all Rwanda, about $3 \%$ of the population aged19 to 25 was attending a college or university in 2010-11. This is twice the proportion observed in 2005-06.

Although access to higher learningamong the population aged 19 to 25 remains a privilege of the urban population, less privileged subgroups of the population are catching up. The proportion of individuals attending an institution of higher learning increased about 18 times faster in rural areas than in urban areas and between eightand 29 times faster elsewhere compared toKigali.

Even though it remains below the national average, it is worth mentioning that access to higher learning among 19-and20-year-oldshas increased. Despite theincreasing phenomenon of late completion of primary school discussed earlier in this report, there is a trend of increased attendance in an institution of a higher learningamong these individuals. Betweenthreeand 11 people per 1,000 were attending in 2010-11, up from between oneandfivein 2005-06, which represents an encouraging trend among this age group.

Table 5.1 \% of individuals aged19 to 25 attending an institution of higher learning, by urban/rural, province, and age group

|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 2.6 | 1.3 | 95.9 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.8 | 5.8 | 33.9 |
| Rural | 1.3 | . 2 | 605.8 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 7.7 | 6.7 | 16.1 |
| Southern Province | 1.7 | . 7 | 142.5 |
| Western Province | 2.1 | . 4 | 468.9 |
| Northern Province | 1.8 | . 6 | 209.3 |
| Eastern Province | 1.5 | . 6 | 133.0 |
| Age (in groups) |  |  |  |
| 19-20 | . 7 | . 3 | 133.3 |
| 21-22 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 90.0 |
| 23-25 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 |

Table 5.2 adds another dimension to the analysis of access to higher learning among Rwandans. It has not only grown among the population aged19 to 25 but also among the population aged above 25. The GAR across all Rwanda, at about $6 \%$ in 2010-11, represents a twofold increase since 2005-06.

Access to higher learning is still highest among the privileged urban population, Kigali City residents, and individuals in the highest consumption quintile. The chances of an individualfrom either one of these subgroups of the population attending an institution of higher learning are at least threetimes higher than those for the average Rwandan. However, as observed before, the other subgroups of the population are catching up and fast closing the gap that separates them from the most privileged
subgroups. The chances of an individual attending an institution of higher learning in a rural area increased ninetimes faster than those for another individual in an urban area. Similarly, the chances of a resident in the Western Province attending higher education increased 13 times faster than those for a resident in Kigali City.

Moreover, a comparison of the growth rates in tables 5.1 and 5.2 indicates that, for some cases, such as in rural areas and the Eastern Province, the population aged19 to 25 attending an institution of higher learning is growing faster than the population over 25.

Table 5.2 \% of population aged19 and above attending an institution of higher learning as \% of population aged19-25 years, by urban/rural andprovince

|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rwanda | 6.1 |  |  |
| Urban/rural 2002 | 18.2 | 2.9 | 109.3 |
| Urban | 3.3 |  |  |
| Rural |  | 12.3 | 48.7 |
| Province | 19.8 | .6 | 440.0 |
| Kigali City | 3.3 |  |  |
| Southern Province | 4.8 | 14.7 | 34.7 |
| Western Province | 5.1 | 1.3 | 146.7 |
| Northern Province | 2.9 | .9 | 463.0 |
| Eastern Province |  | 1.6 | 219.8 |

Figure 5.1 Mean number of years attending an institution of higher learning among population aged19 and above, by urban/rural, province and sex, EICV3


Figure 5.1, presenting the average number of years spent by the population aged19 and above in an institution of higher learning, shows that the average higher learning student in 2010-11 spent about twoyears attending a tertiary-level school. Considering the length of a higher learning course, this suggests that the student population is atan early stage of this level of education.

## 6. User satisfaction and facilities

Table 6.1 User satisfaction (\%) with schools by urban/rural, province, sex, level and type of school, and quintile ${ }^{13}$

|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 81.9 | 66.0 | 24.1 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.1 | 64.5 | 35.1 |
| Rural | 80.9 | 66.3 | 22.1 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Kigali City | 88.4 | 69.1 | 27.9 |
| Southern Province | 85.3 | 67.5 | 26.4 |
| Western Province | 76.1 | 66.3 | 14.9 |
| Northern Province | 81.1 | 65.0 | 24.8 |
| Eastern Province | 82.5 | 63.4 | 30.2 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 81.6 | 65.6 | 24.4 |
| Female | 82.2 | 66.4 | 23.9 |
| Level of school |  |  |  |
| PrePrimary | 69.5 |  |  |
| Primary | 82.3 | 66.5 | 23.8 |
| PostPrimary | 84.2 | 49.5 | 69.9 |
| Secondary | 81.1 | 62.3 | 30.2 |
| University | 78.1 | 75.7 | 3.2 |
| Quintile |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 83.2 | 67.3 | 23.5 |
| Q2 | 80.6 | 68.2 | 18.3 |
| Q3 | 83.0 | 63.5 | 30.8 |
| Q4 | 81.1 | 67.2 | 20.8 |
| Q5 | 81.5 | 64.1 | 27.2 |

Both the EICV3 and EICV2 surveys askedquestions on satisfaction with the education services ofthe users of these services across all levels of the education system. Although they areon a self-perceived basis, these questions allow for a review of progress in the level of satisfaction of users with the education system.

Table 6.1 presents these results by geographic, demographic, and socio-economic characteristics. It shows that, across all Rwanda, $82 \%$ of the users of education services in 2010-11, were satisfied with these services. This represents a $24 \%$ increase since 2005-06, suggesting that the quality of education services provided in Rwanda is perceived to have improved in this period.

A breakdown by geographic characteristics indicates that satisfaction is lowest among users in rural areas as well as in the Western Province. A user of the education services in a rural area is about $8 \%$ less likely to be satisfied with the services

[^9]received than another user in an urban area, while a user in the Western Province is about $16 \%$ less likely to be satisfied with the services than one in Kigali.

When the level of education is considered, the lowest proportions of users satisfied with the services received are observed among pre-primary users as well as among university users.

When these findings are compared with those observed in 2005-06, the most noteworthyimprovements in the services delivered by the education system areperceived to have been in urban areas, in the Western Province,and among university users.Post-primary levels of satisfaction are particularly striking.

Improvements in the services delivered were about 37\% slower in rural areas than in urban areas, about 47\% slower in the Western Province than in Kigali City, and about 95\% slower among university users than post-primary users.

Figure 6.1 Use of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls at school, by province, urban/rural and level of school (\%)


Another dimension to the quality of services delivered by the education system is the existence of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls,given that the absence of such services in school might be a factor limiting girls'school attendance. Figure 6.1 presents a summary of the results from EICV3. It shows that, across all Rwanda, about $87 \%$ of the users indicated that their schools have installed separate toilet facilities for boys and girls.

A breakdown by geographic characteristics indicates that the lowest use of separate facilities for boys and girls is found in rural areas as well as in the Western Province.

When the level of school attended by users is considered, the lowest use of separate facilities for boys and girls is found among pre-primary school users.

## 7. Literacy

Table 7.1 presents literacy rates among the population aged 15 to 24 and aged 15 years and above. It shows that, across all Rwanda, the levels of literacy are found to be higher among the population aged 15 to 24 than among the whole population aged above15. In 2010-11, about 84\% of the population aged between15 and24 and 70\% of the population aged15 years and abovesaid they knew how to read and write. ${ }^{14}$ This reflects the higher levels of access to education among the population aged 15 to 24 .
Table 7.1 Literacy rate (\%) among population aged15-24 and 15 and above, by urban/rural, provinceand consumption quintile

|  | Population aged15-24 |  |  | Population aged 15 and above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change | EICV3 | EICV2 | \% change |
| Rwanda | 83.7 | 76.9 | 8.8 | 69.7 | 65.3 | 6.7 |
| Urban/rural 2002 <br> Urban <br> Rural | 88.8 82.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 84.7 \\ & 75.1 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 10.0 | 82.6 67.3 | 78.2 62.6 | 5.6 7.5 |
| Province <br> Kigali City <br> Southern Province <br> Western Province <br> Northern Province <br> Eastern Province | $\begin{aligned} & 89.3 \\ & 81.5 \\ & 83.2 \\ & 84.4 \\ & 82.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.6 \\ & 77.0 \\ & 75.7 \\ & 76.2 \\ & 73.9 \end{aligned}$ | 3.1 5.8 9.9 10.8 12.0 | 86.7 65.7 68.4 68.7 68.2 | 82.4 64.6 63.6 62.5 62.2 | 5.2 1.7 7.5 9.9 9.6 |
| Quintile <br> Q1 <br> Q2 <br> Q3 <br> Q4 <br> Q5 | 75.6 80.7 83.6 86.0 88.9 | 66.3 72.9 77.2 80.3 84.2 | 14.0 10.7 8.3 7.1 5.6 | 57.6 63.0 67.6 71.7 83.3 | 51.0 58.9 63.5 68.0 79.7 | 12.9 7.0 6.5 5.4 4.5 |

Across the various domains of analysis at the subnational levels, the gap between the populationaged15 to 24 and 15 and above widens in rural areas and outside Kigali City. The chances of the average individual aged 15 to 24 being literate are about $20 \%$ higher than those of the average individual aged 15 and above. When only the urban area is considered, these chances reduce to just $8 \%$ and in Kigali they reduce further to just 3\%.

The chances of an individual aged 15 to 24 in the lowest consumption quintile being literate are $31 \%$ higher than those of another individual aged 15 and above in the same quintile. The chances in relation to an individual of 15 to 24 in the highest consumption quintile are just $7 \%$ higher than those of another individual aged 15 and above in the same quintile. This represents a fourfold gapbetween the richest and poorest and indicates that the higher literacy levels in the younger cohort are most prominent among those living in poorer households. This suggests that literacy is improving fastest among the poor.

When progress is measured against the 2005-06 results, the fastest growths in literacy among the population aged 15 to 24 were observed in rural areas (where growth is found to be twice as fast as in urban areas), in the Eastern Province(where growth was four times faster than that observed in Kigali), and among the population in the first and poorest quintile (among which the growth was three times as fast as that observed among the population in the highest consumption quintile).

Table 7.2 presents results for computer literacy rates expressed as an individual's self-confidence about using a computer, among both the population aged 15 to 24 and aged 15 and above by geographic, demographic and socio-economic characteristics. It shows that, across all Rwanda, out of every 100 individuals aged between15 and24,sevenare confident about using a computer, while among the population aged15 and above, fiveout of 100 are confident.

[^10]Table 7.2 Computer literacy rate (\%) among population aged 15-24 and 15 and above, by urban/rural, province, sex and consumption quintile, EICV3

|  | Population aged15-24 | Population aged15 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda | 6.5 | 5.3 |
| Urban/rural 2002 |  |  |
| Urban | 17.0 | 17.6 |
| Rural | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| Province |  |  |
| Kigali City | 19.9 | 21.1 |
| Southern Province | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| Western Province | 4.1 | 3.2 |
| Northern Province | 6.7 | 5.2 |
| Eastern Province | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 7.6 | 7.0 |
| Female | 5.5 | 4.0 |
| Quintile |  |  |
| Q1 | . 9 | . 4 |
| Q2 | 1.6 | . 7 |
| Q3 | 3.0 | 1.4 |
| Q4 | 5.0 | 2.7 |
| Q5 | 17.1 | 17.8 |

Table 7.2 also shows that access to computers is still a privilege of the urban population, Kigali residents, and the population in the highest consumption quintile. The chances of an individual aged15 and abovebeing confident about using a computer aresixtimes higher in an urban area than in a rural location andeighttimes higher for aKigali resident compared toa resident of the Southern Province. The most striking contrast is the gap between the richest and poorest, with those in the richest quintile being45 times more likely to be confident with a computer than a resident from the lowest consumption quintile.
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## Annex A Educationtables

Table A1.1 Distribution of population by age and sex (\%)

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sex |  |  | Total population (000s) | Sex |  |  | Total population (000s) |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total |  | Male | Female | Total |  |
| Rwa |  | 47.4 | 52.6 | 100.0 | 10,762 | 47.4 | 52.6 | 100.0 | 9,491 |
| Age | 0-3 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 12.0 | 1,290 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 13.4 | 1,267 |
|  | 4-6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 967 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 9.0 | 852 |
|  | 7-9 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 945 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 773 |
|  | 10-12 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 868 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 750 |
|  | 13-15 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 7.5 | 805 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 734 |
|  | 16-18 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 733 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 770 |
|  | 19-21 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 646 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 597 |
|  | 22-24 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 583 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 585 |
|  | 25-27 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 546 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 464 |
|  | 28-30 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 511 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 348 |
|  | 31-33 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 375 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 285 |
|  | 34-36 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 317 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 255 |
|  | 37-39 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 289 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 216 |
|  | 40-42 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 265 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 241 |
|  | 43-45 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 223 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 235 |
|  | 46-48 | . 9 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 224 | . 9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 207 |
|  | 49-51 | . 9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 217 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 179 |
|  | 52-54 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 188 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.5 | 146 |
|  | 55-57 | . 6 | . 9 | 1.4 | 154 | . 6 | . 6 | 1.2 | 114 |
|  | 58-60 | . 6 | . 8 | 1.3 | 142 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.0 | 94 |
|  | 61-63 | . 4 | . 5 | . 8 | 90 | . 2 | . 4 | . 6 | 57 |
|  | 64 and above | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 384 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 320 |

Table A2.1 NAR (\%) at primary school by urban/rural, province, type of school, age, consumption quintile and sex, EICV2 and EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged 7 to 12 (000s) | Females | Female population aged 7 to 12 (000s) | Total | Total population aged 7 to 12 (000s) | Males | Male population aged 7 to 12 (000s) | Females | Female population aged 7 to 12 (000s) | Total | Population aged 7 to 12 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 90.7 | 895 | 92.7 | 918 | 91.7 | 1813 | 85.8 | 741 | 87.4 | 778 | 86.6 | 1519 |
| Urban/ <br> rural $2002$ | Urban | 92.8 | 115 | 93.8 | 117 | 93.3 | 232 | 90.0 | 114 | 91.8 | 116 | 90.9 | 231 |
|  | Rural | 90.4 | 781 | 92.5 | 800 | 91.5 | 1581 | 85.0 | 626 | 86.6 | 662 | 85.8 | 1288 |
| Province | Kigali City | 94.8 | 74 | 93.4 | 68 | 94.1 | 142 | 91.7 | 64 | 92.4 | 64 | 92.0 | 128 |
|  | Southern Province | 90.1 | 214 | 91.9 | 211 | 91.0 | 424 | 84.8 | 179 | 86.6 | 202 | 85.7 | 381 |
|  | Western Province | 90.7 | 217 | 91.8 | 234 | 91.2 | 451 | 84.4 | 188 | 85.6 | 191 | 85.0 | 379 |
|  | Northern Province | 95.6 | 163 | 95.9 | 186 | 95.7 | 349 | 87.6 | 148 | 90.7 | 152 | 89.2 | 301 |
|  | Eastern Province | 86.4 | 228 | 91.4 | 219 | 88.9 | 447 | 84.4 | 161 | 85.5 | 167 | 85.0 | 329 |
| Age | 7 years | 71.7 | 163 | 76.8 | 169 | 74.3 | 332 | 61.7 | 136 | 68.5 | 137 | 65.1 | 273 |
|  | 8 years | 90.3 | 162 | 92.9 | 158 | 91.6 | 319 | 86.5 | 118 | 85.4 | 131 | 85.9 | 249 |
|  | 9 years | 96.0 | 144 | 97.2 | 150 | 96.6 | 294 | 92.6 | 124 | 94.3 | 125 | 93.5 | 250 |
|  | 10 years | 95.5 | 148 | 97.4 | 149 | 96.5 | 297 | 91.7 | 128 | 92.3 | 143 | 92.1 | 271 |
|  | 11 years | 97.5 | 151 | 97.9 | 160 | 97.7 | 311 | 95.2 | 112 | 95.9 | 108 | 95.5 | 220 |
|  | 12 years | 95.7 | 128 | 95.8 | 132 | 95.7 | 259 | 89.7 | 123 | 90.2 | 133 | 90.0 | 256 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 85.2 | 218 | 88.5 | 234 | 86.9 | 452 | 80.9 | 175 | 78.9 | 189 | 79.9 | 364 |
|  | Q2 | 90.5 | 205 | 92.3 | 198 | 91.4 | 404 | 85.4 | 157 | 87.3 | 159 | 86.3 | 316 |
|  | Q3 | 92.3 | 178 | 93.7 | 180 | 93.0 | 358 | 86.5 | 149 | 89.8 | 149 | 88.1 | 298 |
|  | Q4 | 92.9 | 153 | 94.6 | 158 | 93.7 | 311 | 87.9 | 136 | 91.5 | 146 | 89.8 | 281 |
|  | Q5 | 95.0 | 141 | 96.3 | 148 | 95.7 | 289 | 89.9 | 124 | 92.3 | 136 | 91.2 | 259 |

Table A2.2 GAR (\%) at primary school by province, urban/rural, sex, type of school and consumption quintile, EICV2 and EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged7-12 (000s) | Females | Female population aged7-12 (000s) | Total | Population aged7-12 (000s) | Males | Male population aged7-12 (000s) | Females | Female population aged7-12 (000s) | Total | Population aged7-12 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 149.8 | 895 | 147.1 | 918 | 148.4 | 1,813 | 107.3 | 743 | 108.1 | 780 | 107.7 | 1,523 |
| Urban/ rural 2002 | Urban | 140.5 | 115 | 142.0 | 117 | 141.3 | 232 | 119.7 | 115 | 120.8 | 117 | 120.3 | 231 |
|  | Rural | 151.1 | 781 | 147.8 | 800 | 149.4 | 1,581 | 105.0 | 628 | 105.9 | 663 | 105.5 | 1,291 |
| Province | Kigali City | 135.4 | 74 | 139.3 | 68 | 137.3 | 142 | 119.5 | 64 | 119.9 | 64 | 119.7 | 129 |
|  | Southern Province | 150.8 | 214 | 150.6 | 211 | 150.7 | 424 | 106.2 | 179 | 112.4 | 202 | 109.5 | 382 |
|  | Western Province | 150.7 | 217 | 147.6 | 234 | 149.1 | 451 | 102.9 | 189 | 100.1 | 191 | 101.5 | 380 |
|  | Northern Province | 156.8 | 163 | 149.2 | 186 | 152.8 | 349 | 99.1 | 149 | 101.3 | 153 | 100.2 | 302 |
|  | Eastern Province | 147.6 | 228 | 143.7 | 219 | 145.7 | 447 | 116.1 | 162 | 113.7 | 168 | 114.9 | 330 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 144.8 | 218 | 139.3 | 234 | 141.9 | 452 | 90.9 | 176 | 91.6 | 189 | 91.2 | 365 |
|  | Q2 | 147.7 | 205 | 149.7 | 198 | 148.7 | 404 | 103.3 | 157 | 107.1 | 160 | 105.2 | 317 |
|  | Q3 | 149.7 | 178 | 151.9 | 180 | 150.8 | 358 | 113.7 | 149 | 109.3 | 149 | 111.5 | 298 |
|  | Q4 | 157.7 | 153 | 149.8 | 158 | 153.7 | 311 | 110.5 | 137 | 110.9 | 146 | 110.7 | 283 |
|  | Q5 | 151.9 | 141 | 147.0 | 148 | 149.4 | 289 | 124.2 | 124 | 127.8 | 137 | 126.1 | 260 |

Table A2.3 \% distribution of population attending primary school by age, EICV2 and EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | Population attending primary school (000s) | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  | ```Population attending primary school (000s)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7-8 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9-10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11- \\ 12 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $13$ $14$ <br> years | $\begin{gathered} 15- \\ 16 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17+ \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7-8 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9-10 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11- \\ 12 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 13- $14$ <br> years | $\begin{gathered} 15- \\ 16 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17+ \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rwanda |  | 20.0 | 21.2 | 20.5 | 17.3 | 11.7 | 5.9 | 2,690 | 6.1 | 17.8 | 23.2 | 23.9 | 18.2 | 10.6 | 1,640 |
| Urban/ <br> rural <br> 2002 | Urban | 23.2 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 15.3 | 8.6 | 5.0 | 328 | 11.6 | 21.7 | 20.7 | 19.9 | 15.7 | 9.7 | 278 |
|  | Rural | 19.6 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 17.6 | 12.1 | 6.0 | 2,363 | 4.9 | 17.0 | 23.7 | 24.7 | 18.7 | 10.8 | 1,362 |
| Province | Kigali City | 24.3 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 14.1 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 195 | 11.9 | 24.1 | 21.3 | 18.4 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 154 |
|  | Southern Province | 19.4 | 20.7 | 20.2 | 17.9 | 12.9 | 6.4 | 639 | 5.5 | 17.9 | 22.7 | 24.0 | 17.5 | 12.3 | 418 |
|  | Western Province | 19.8 | 21.4 | 19.9 | 17.1 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 672 | 5.7 | 17.2 | 24.2 | 26.0 | 18.0 | 8.9 | 386 |
|  | Northern Province | 19.0 | 21.4 | 22.2 | 17.4 | 11.7 | 4.4 | 533 | 5.8 | 21.0 | 23.7 | 23.0 | 18.4 | 8.0 | 303 |
|  | Eastern Province | 20.4 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 18.0 | 11.7 | 6.4 | 651 | 5.0 | 13.3 | 23.1 | 24.7 | 21.0 | 12.8 | 379 |
| Sex | Male | 19.6 | 20.9 | 20.1 | 17.1 | 12.3 | 6.8 | 1,341 | 5.6 | 17.2 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 18.0 | 11.0 | 797 |
|  | Female | 20.5 | 21.6 | 21.0 | 17.6 | 11.0 | 5.0 | 1,349 | 6.5 | 18.4 | 23.3 | 22.9 | 18.3 | 10.3 | 843 |
| Type of <br> School | Public | 20.5 | 21.1 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 1,373 | 5.7 | 17.0 | 22.9 | 24.6 | 18.5 | 11.1 | 1,264 |
|  | Private | 23.2 | 26.7 | 25.8 | 11.5 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 90 | 12.6 | 26.6 | 20.3 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 6.2 | 69 |
|  | Free or subsidised | 19.3 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 17.9 | 11.9 | 6.3 | 1,226 | 6.2 | 19.1 | 24.8 | 22.7 | 17.5 | 9.6 | 306 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 17.9 | 12.7 | 6.3 | 641 | 3.7 | 15.6 | 25.7 | 25.8 | 19.5 | 9.6 | 333 |
|  | Q2 | 20.1 | 21.8 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 12.6 | 5.9 | 600 | 4.1 | 18.0 | 22.7 | 24.6 | 18.4 | 12.1 | 333 |
|  | Q3 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 539 | 5.3 | 16.4 | 23.8 | 25.5 | 19.4 | 9.5 | 333 |
|  | Q4 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 17.3 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 478 | 6.1 | 19.0 | 23.2 | 21.9 | 18.0 | 11.5 | 313 |
|  | Q5 | 20.2 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 15.3 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 431 | 11.2 | 20.1 | 20.5 | 21.6 | 15.5 | 10.5 | 329 |

Table A2.4 NAR (\%) at primary school by district, EICV3

|  | District | Total | Population aged 7 to 12 (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda |  | 91.7 | 1,813 |
| Kigali City | Nyarugenge | 91.4 | 38 |
|  | Gasabo | 95.0 | 65 |
|  | Kicukiro | 95.3 | 39 |
| Southern Province | Nyanza | 89.6 | 53 |
|  | Gisagara | 87.3 | 51 |
|  | Nyaruguru | 89.6 | 55 |
|  | Huye | 92.6 | 55 |
|  | Nyamagabe | 90.5 | 57 |
|  | Ruhango | 91.9 | 49 |
|  | Muhanga | 90.7 | 50 |
|  | Kamonyi | 95.7 | 55 |
| Western Province | Karongi | 94.3 | 60 |
|  | Rutsiro | 88.8 | 60 |
|  | Rubavu | 89.7 | 79 |
|  | Nyabihu | 93.7 | 59 |
|  | Ngororero | 91.4 | 57 |
|  | Rusizi | 90.1 | 71 |
|  | Nyamasheke | 91.4 | 65 |
| Northern Province | Rulindo | 95.4 | 54 |
|  | Gakenke | 95.7 | 55 |
|  | Musanze | 95.0 | 73 |
|  | Burera | 93.7 | 68 |
|  | Gicumbi | 97.9 | 99 |
| Eastern Province | Rwamagana | 90.6 | 53 |
|  | Nyagatare | 87.1 | 74 |
|  | Gatsibo | 90.4 | 85 |
|  | Kayonza | 92.9 | 56 |
|  | Kirehe | 86.7 | 57 |
|  | Ngoma | 86.7 | 56 |
|  | Bugesera | 87.7 | 66 |

Table A2.6\% of population aged 7-8 not currently in school by province, urban/rural, and household headcharacteristics

|  |  | EICV3 |  | EICV2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Population aged 7-8 (000s) | Total | Population aged 7-8 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 16.1 | 651 | 23.7 | 523 |
| Urban/rural $2002$ | Urban <br> Rural | 11.3 16.9 | 86 565 | 12.7 25.9 | 88 435 |
| Province | Kigali City | 10.6 | 53 | 12.2 | 46 |
|  | Southern Province | 17.5 | 152 | 24.9 | 133 |
|  | Western Province | 17.5 | 162 | 24.9 | 130 |
|  | Northern Province | 8.5 | 113 | 20.8 | 103 |
|  | Eastern Province | 20.4 | 171 | 28.1 | 111 |
| Sex | Male | 17.7 | 325 | 25.4 | 254 |
|  | Female | 14.5 | 326 | 22.1 | 269 |
| Highest education level attained by household head | Never been to school | 22.6 | 153 | 27.1 | 152 |
|  | Did not complete primary | 17.8 | 264 | 27.3 | 195 |
|  | Completed primary | 10.7 | 209 | 17.9 | 161 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 3.8 | 24 | 4.0 | 14 |
| Sex of household head | Male | 16.4 | 522 | 24.9 | 404 |
|  | Female | 15.0 | 129 | 19.7 | 119 |
| Orphanhood | Not orphan | 16.4 | 591 | 23.4 | 425 |
|  | Single-parent orphan | 13.1 | 56 | 25.7 | 85 |
|  | Both-parents orphan | 17.0 | 5 | 19.5 | 13 |
| Population with disabilities | No | 15.5 | 639 | 23.3 | 515 |
|  | Yes | 51.1 | 12 | 59.6 | 5 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 24.5 | 165 | 36.1 | 117 |
|  | Q2 | 18.2 | 150 | 27.2 | 109 |
|  | Q3 | 14.6 | 132 | 22.6 | 102 |
|  | Q4 | 12.0 | 112 | 17.5 | 99 |
|  | Q5 | 5.2 | 94 | 12.1 | 96 |

Table A2.7 Repetition rates at primary school (\%), by urban/rural, province, age, characteristics of household head, vulnerability characteristics, consumption quintiles and sex, based on a 2009 cohort, EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 28.9 | 1,104 | 24.6 | 1,131 | 26.7 | 2,235 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 17.1 | 139 | 18.1 | 150 | 17.7 | 289 |
|  | Rural | 30.6 | 965 | 25.6 | 981 | 28.1 | 1,946 |
| Province | Kigali City | 18.6 | 86 | 17.9 | 86 | 18.3 | 172 |
|  | Southern Province | 30.7 | 261 | 24.3 | 268 | 27.4 | 529 |
|  | Western Province | 30.9 | 269 | 27.5 | 288 | 29.1 | 557 |
|  | Northern Province | 28.7 | 213 | 23.7 | 234 | 26.0 | 447 |
|  | Eastern Province | 28.6 | 275 | 25.0 | 255 | 26.9 | 530 |
| Age (in years) | 8-9 | 48.4 | 134 | 40.3 | 144 | 44.2 | 277 |
|  | 10-11 | 36.6 | 257 | 31.2 | 273 | 33.8 | 530 |
|  | 12-13 | 27.3 | 225 | 21.8 | 238 | 24.5 | 462 |
|  | 14-15 | 22.5 | 214 | 20.1 | 209 | 21.3 | 423 |
|  | 16-17 | 20.1 | 109 | 15.0 | 94 | 17.5 | 203 |
|  | $18+$ | 9.2 | 42 | 8.9 | 30 | 9.1 | 72 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level attained <br> by household <br> head | Never been to school | 31.5 | 288 | 28.5 | 307 | 30.0 | 595 |
|  | Never completedprimary | 30.9 | 424 | 26.6 | 418 | 28.8 | 841 |
|  | Completed primary | 26.6 | 342 | 21.8 | 353 | 24.2 | 694 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 12.0 | 49 | 6.0 | 53 | 8.9 | 102 |
| Sex of household head | Male | 30.2 | 821 | 24.4 | 842 | 27.2 | 1,663 |
|  | Female | 25.1 | 283 | 25.5 | 289 | 25.3 | 572 |
| Orphanhood | Not orphan | 31.0 | 860 | 25.3 | 885 | 28.2 | 1,745 |
|  | Single-parent orphan | 23.0 | 201 | 22.2 | 208 | 22.6 | 409 |
|  | Both-parents orphan | 17.4 | 32 | 24.4 | 34 | 21.0 | 66 |
| Population with disabilities | No | 29.0 | 1,082 | 24.7 | 1,112 | 26.8 | 2,194 |
|  | Yes | 23.8 | 22 | 20.5 | 19 | 22.3 | 41 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 36.1 | 243 | 32.6 | 252 | 34.4 | 495 |
|  | Q2 | 31.9 | 236 | 26.9 | 238 | 29.4 | 474 |
|  | Q3 | 30.5 | 221 | 25.0 | 223 | 27.7 | 444 |
|  | Q4 | 25.8 | 209 | 23.3 | 204 | 24.6 | 413 |
|  | Q5 | 17.7 | 195 | 13.8 | 214 | 15.7 | 409 |


|  |  | Population aged seven and above that ever repeated a primary school class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Mean number of repetitions | Male population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months (000s) | Female | Mean number of Repetitions | Female population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months (000s) | Total | Mean number of repetitions | Population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 68.3 | 1.1 | 1,651 | 66.9 | 1.0 | 1,676 | 67.6 | 1.1 | 3,327 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 53.9 | . 8 | 246 | 54.6 | . 8 | 262 | 54.3 | . 8 | 508 |
| 2002 | Rural | 70.8 | 1.2 | 1,405 | 69.2 | 1.1 | 1,415 | 70.0 | 1.1 | 2,820 |
|  | Kigali City | 50.2 | . 8 | 162 | 49.9 | . 7 | 159 | 50.0 | . 7 | 321 |
|  | Southern Province | 72.2 | 1.2 | 381 | 71.3 | 1.2 | 387 | 71.7 | 1.2 | 768 |
| Province | Western Province | 70.4 | 1.2 | 400 | 68.0 | 1.1 | 412 | 69.2 | 1.1 | 812 |
|  | Northern Province | 69.6 | 1.1 | 302 | 69.0 | 1.0 | 336 | 69.3 | 1.1 | 638 |
|  | Eastern Province | 68.8 | 1.2 | 406 | 66.5 | 1.0 | 383 | 67.7 | 1.1 | 789 |
|  | 7-8 years | 37.4 | . 4 | 267 | 33.5 | . 4 | 279 | 35.4 | . 4 | 546 |
|  | 9-10 years | 69.2 | . 9 | 280 | 65.6 | . 8 | 291 | 67.4 | . 9 | 572 |
|  | 11-12 years | 77.7 | 1.2 | 271 | 73.9 | 1.1 | 285 | 75.7 | 1.2 | 556 |
| Age (in | 13-14 years | 81.3 | 1.5 | 245 | 82.1 | 1.3 | 258 | 81.7 | 1.4 | 503 |
|  | 15-16 years | 81.3 | 1.6 | 214 | 82.4 | 1.5 | 212 | 81.8 | 1.5 | 426 |
|  | 17-18 years | 76.8 | 1.5 | 150 | 76.4 | 1.4 | 155 | 76.6 | 1.5 | 305 |
|  | $19+$ years | 60.2 | 1.0 | 223 | 61.8 | 1.0 | 196 | 61.0 | 1.0 | 419 |
|  | None | 56.7 | . 9 | 278 | 53.0 | . 7 | 254 | 54.9 | . 8 | 532 |
| Highest | Primary 1 | 68.5 | 1.1 | 283 | 62.0 | . 9 | 261 | 65.4 | 1.0 | 543 |
| primary | Primary 2 | 75.0 | 1.3 | 217 | 68.7 | 1.1 | 222 | 71.8 | 1.2 | 439 |
| class | Primary 3 | 77.6 | 1.4 | 205 | 75.1 | 1.2 | 209 | 76.4 | 1.3 | 415 |
| successfully | Primary 4 | 80.0 | 1.4 | 180 | 78.6 | 1.3 | 203 | 79.3 | 1.4 | 383 |
| attained | Primary 5 | 75.5 | 1.3 | 114 | 79.0 | 1.3 | 130 | 77.3 | 1.3 | 243 |
|  | Primary 6-8 | 60.1 | . 9 | 373 | 63.9 | 1.0 | 395 | 62.1 | . 9 | 768 |
|  | Q1 | 73.3 | 1.3 | 337 | 71.6 | 1.2 | 349 | 72.4 | 1.2 | 686 |
|  | Q2 | 72.8 | 1.3 | 334 | 71.3 | 1.1 | 332 | 72.1 | 1.2 | 667 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 72.1 | 1.2 | 314 | 71.5 | 1.1 | 316 | 71.8 | 1.1 | 631 |
|  | Q4 | 70.5 | 1.2 | 311 | 67.0 | 1.0 | 304 | 68.7 | 1.1 | 615 |
|  | Q5 | 53.9 | . 8 | 354 | 54.6 | . 8 | 375 | 54.3 | . 8 | 729 |


|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 4.0 | 1,104 | 3.4 | 1,131 | 3.7 | 2,235 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 3.7 | 139 | 2.1 | 150 | 2.9 | 289 |
| 2002 | Rural | 4.0 | 965 | 3.6 | 981 | 3.8 | 1,946 |
|  | Kigali City | 3.1 | 86 | 1.9 | 86 | 2.5 | 172 |
|  | Southern Province | 3.8 | 261 | 3.5 | 268 | 3.7 | 529 |
| Province | Western Province | 3.4 | 269 | 3.7 | 288 | 3.5 | 557 |
|  | Northern Province | 3.5 | 213 | 2.3 | 234 | 2.9 | 447 |
|  | Eastern Province | 5.5 | 275 | 4.4 | 255 | 5.0 | 530 |
|  | 8-9 | 7.2 | 134 | 2.6 | 144 | 4.8 | 278 |
|  | 10-11 | 3.8 | 257 | 4.1 | 273 | 3.9 | 530 |
| (in years) | 12-13 | 3.6 | 230 | 3.4 | 246 | 3.5 | 476 |
| ge (in years) | 14-15 | 4.1 | 238 | 3.4 | 241 | 3.8 | 479 |
|  | 16-17 | 3.5 | 150 | 3.6 | 148 | 3.5 | 298 |
|  | $18+$ | 2.0 | 87 | 2.3 | 70 | 2.1 | 157 |
|  | Primary 1 | 5.6 | 341 | 3.6 | 311 | 4.7 | 652 |
|  | Primary 2 | 3.1 | 227 | 3.9 | 233 | 3.5 | 460 |
| Grades | Primary 3 | 3.3 | 197 | 3.2 | 209 | 3.2 | 407 |
| $2009$ | Primary 4 | 3.8 | 158 | 3.1 | 167 | 3.5 | 325 |
| 2 | Primary 5 | 3.8 | 104 | 3.1 | 131 | 3.4 | 235 |
|  | Primary 6-8 | 1.9 | 76 | 2.6 | 81 | 2.2 | 157 |
|  | Never been to school | 4.6 | 288 | 4.0 | 307 | 4.3 | 595 |
| education | Did notcomplete primary | 4.1 | 424 | 4.0 | 418 | 4.0 | 841 |
| level attained by household | Completed primary | 3.7 | 342 | 2.2 | 353 | 2.9 | 694 |
| head | Completed secondary or higher | 2.5 | 49 | 3.3 | 53 | 2.9 | 102 |
| Sex of | Male | 4.1 | 821 | 3.3 | 842 | 3.7 | 1,663 |
| household head | Female | 3.8 | 283 | 3.7 | 289 | 3.8 | 572 |
|  | Not orphan | 4.2 | 860 | 3.4 | 885 | 3.8 | 1,745 |
| Orphanhood | Single-parent orphan | 3.3 | 201 | 3.2 | 208 | 3.2 | 409 |
|  | Both-parents orphan | 4.7 | 32 | 4.7 | 34 | 4.7 | 66 |
| Population | No | 3.8 | 1,082 | 3.1 | 1,112 | 3.5 | 2,194 |
| with disabilities | Yes | 11.9 | 22 | 18.0 | 19 | 14.8 | 41 |
|  | Q1 | 5.1 | 243 | 3.8 | 252 | 4.4 | 495 |
|  | Q2 | 4.3 | 236 | 4.2 | 238 | 4.3 | 474 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 4.0 | 221 | 3.5 | 223 | 3.8 | 444 |
|  | Q4 | 3.6 | 209 | 2.9 | 204 | 3.2 | 413 |
|  | Q5 | 2.6 | 195 | 2.4 | 214 | 2.5 | 409 |

Table A2.10\% of population agedsevenand abovethat has ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary school, EICV3

|  |  | \% of population aged seven and above that has ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male <br> population aged7 and above ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary (000s) | Female | Female population aged7 and above ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary 000s) | Total | Population aged7 and above ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 100.0 | 1,046 | 100.0 | 1,186 | 100.0 | 2,231 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 11.4 | 1,046 | 12.4 | 1,186 | 11.9 | 2,231 |
|  | Rural | 88.6 | 1,046 | 87.6 | 1,186 | 88.1 | 2,231 |
| Province | Kigali City | 7.2 | 1,046 | 8.0 | 1,186 | 7.6 | 2,231 |
|  | Southern Province | 24.8 | 1,046 | 22.8 | 1,186 | 23.7 | 2,231 |
|  | Western Province | 23.8 | 1,046 | 25.9 | 1,186 | 24.9 | 2,231 |
|  | Northern Province | 18.3 | 1,046 | 18.7 | 1,186 | 18.5 | 2,231 |
|  | Eastern Province | 25.9 | 1,046 | 24.7 | 1,186 | 25.3 | 2,231 |
| Age (in <br> Years) | 7-8 | . 0 | 1,046 | . 0 | 1,186 | . 0 | 2,231 |
|  | 9-10 | . 1 | 1,046 | . 0 | 1,186 | . 1 | 2,231 |
|  | 11-12 | . 2 | 1,046 | . 2 | 1,186 | . 2 | 2,231 |
|  | 13-14 | 1.2 | 1,046 | . 7 | 1,186 | . 9 | 2,231 |
|  | 15-16 | 2.9 | 1,046 | 2.4 | 1,186 | 2.6 | 2,231 |
|  | 17-18 | 6.2 | 1,046 | 5.6 | 1,186 | 5.9 | 2,231 |
|  | 19-20 | 7.6 | 1,046 | 8.1 | 1,186 | 7.9 | 2,231 |
|  | 21-22 | 8.1 | 1,046 | 8.0 | 1,186 | 8.0 | 2,231 |
|  | 23-24 | 7.9 | 1,046 | 9.0 | 1,186 | 8.5 | 2,231 |
|  | $25+$ | 65.7 | 1,046 | 65.9 | 1,186 | 65.8 | 2,231 |
| Highest <br> primary <br> class <br> successfully <br> attained | Primary 1 | 12.6 | 1,046 | 11.5 | 1,186 | 12.0 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 2 | 18.1 | 1,046 | 17.9 | 1,186 | 18.0 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 3 | 23.9 | 1,046 | 24.8 | 1,186 | 24.4 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 4 | 23.5 | 1,046 | 23.4 | 1,186 | 23.4 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 5 | 22.0 | 1,046 | 22.5 | 1,186 | 22.3 | 2,231 |

Table A2.10\% of population agedsevenand abovethat has ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary school, EICV3

|  |  | \% of population aged seven and above that has ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged7 and above ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary (000s) | Female | Female population aged7 and above ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary 000s) | Total | Population aged7 and above ever been to school but dropped out before completing primary (000s) |
| Last year in school | 1994 or before | 52.4 | 1,046 | 51.4 | 1,186 | 51.9 | 2,231 |
|  | 1995-1999 | 14.8 | 1,046 | 16.0 | 1,186 | 15.4 | 2,231 |
|  | 2000-2004 | 14.9 | 1,046 | 15.0 | 1,186 | 15.0 | 2,231 |
|  | 2005 | 3.2 | 1,046 | 3.8 | 1,186 | 3.5 | 2,231 |
|  | 2006 | 2.9 | 1,046 | 3.0 | 1,186 | 2.9 | 2,231 |
|  | 2007 | 3.0 | 1,046 | 3.4 | 1,186 | 3.2 | 2,231 |
|  | 2008 | 3.6 | 1,046 | 3.0 | 1,186 | 3.3 | 2,231 |
|  | 2009 | 3.8 | 1,046 | 3.6 | 1,186 | 3.7 | 2,231 |
|  | 2010 | 1.4 | 1,046 | . 9 | 1,186 | 1.1 | 2,231 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level <br> attained by <br> household <br> head | Never been to school | 13.1 | 1046 | 22.3 | 1186 | 18.0 | 2,231 |
|  | Did not complete primary | 78.2 | 1046 | 55.1 | 1186 | 65.9 | 2,231 |
|  | Completed primary | 7.2 | 1046 | 20.1 | 1186 | 14.1 | 2,231 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 1.4 | 1046 | 2.4 | 1186 | 2.0 | 2,231 |
| Sex of household head | Male | 85.1 | 1,046 | 70.0 | 1,186 | 77.1 | 2,231 |
|  | Female | 14.9 | 1,046 | 30.0 | 1,186 | 22.9 | 2,231 |
| Population with disabilities | No | 93.1 | 1,046 | 95.0 | 1,186 | 94.1 | 2,231 |
|  | Yes | 6.2 | 1,046 | 4.7 | 1,186 | 5.4 | 2,231 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 17.5 | 1,046 | 19.4 | 1,186 | 18.5 | 2,231 |
|  | Q2 | 19.2 | 1,046 | 20.7 | 1,186 | 20.0 | 2,231 |
|  | Q3 | 22.1 | 1,046 | 21.3 | 1,186 | 21.7 | 2,231 |
|  | Q4 | 22.6 | 1,046 | 21.0 | 1,186 | 21.8 | 2,231 |
|  | Q5 | 18.5 | 1,046 | 17.5 | 1,186 | 18.0 | 2,231 |

Table A2.11\% of population aged seven and above that dropped out of school before completing primary school, by main reason for dropping out, EICV3

|  |  | Total | Main reasonfor leaving school |  |  |  |  |  | Population aged seven and above ever been to school and dropped out before completing primary (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Had no interest | Family reasons | Cost | War | Health | Others |  |
| Rwanda |  | 100.0 | 44.2 | 23.3 | 16.3 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 2,231 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 11.9 | 36.1 | 21.9 | 27.5 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2,231 |
|  | Rural | 88.1 | 45.3 | 23.5 | 14.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 2,231 |
| Province | Kigali City | 7.6 | 36.3 | 19.8 | 28.9 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 2,231 |
|  | Southern Province | 23.7 | 45.8 | 25.8 | 12.3 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 2,231 |
|  | Western Province | 24.9 | 48.7 | 24.2 | 12.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 2,231 |
|  | Northern Province | 18.5 | 43.0 | 24.5 | 15.6 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 2,231 |
|  | Eastern Province | 25.3 | 41.4 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 2,231 |
| Age (in years) | 7-8 | . 0 | 25.8 | 19.5 | . 0 | . 0 | 24.2 | 30.5 | 2,231 |
|  | 9-10 | . 1 | 29.7 | 26.2 | 7.8 | . 0 | 30.4 | 5.8 | 2,231 |
|  | 11-12 | . 2 | 35.6 | 23.9 | 24.6 | . 0 | 15.9 | . 0 | 2,231 |
|  | 13-14 | . 9 | 55.0 | 16.2 | 16.7 | . 0 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 2,231 |
|  | 15-16 | 2.6 | 54.5 | 19.3 | 15.7 | . 0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 2,231 |
|  | 17-18 | 5.9 | 47.8 | 18.3 | 23.0 | . 2 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 2,231 |
|  | 19-20 | 7.9 | 46.3 | 23.5 | 19.6 | . 5 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 2,231 |
|  | 21-22 | 8.0 | 47.9 | 21.8 | 18.6 | . 9 | 6.9 | 3.9 | 2,231 |
|  | 23-24 | 8.5 | 45.2 | 21.5 | 19.2 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 2,231 |
|  | $25+$ | 65.8 | 42.5 | 24.4 | 14.6 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 2,231 |
| Highest <br> primary <br> class <br> successfully <br> attained | Primary 1 | 12.0 | 49.2 | 23.4 | 11.3 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 4.3 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 2 | 18.0 | 46.9 | 24.0 | 13.1 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 3 | 24.4 | 45.2 | 24.1 | 15.0 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 4 | 23.4 | 42.5 | 24.4 | 17.8 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2,231 |
|  | Primary 5 | 22.3 | 39.9 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 2,231 |
| Last year in school | 1994 or before | 51.9 | 43.1 | 24.1 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 2,231 |
|  | 1995-1999 | 15.4 | 41.8 | 25.2 | 19.8 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 2,231 |
|  | 2000-2004 | 15.0 | 44.8 | 22.4 | 21.2 | . 3 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 2,231 |
|  | 2005 | 3.5 | 44.1 | 24.1 | 23.5 | . 0 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 2,231 |
|  | 2006 | 2.9 | 50.9 | 20.2 | 18.3 | . 0 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 2,231 |
|  | 2007 | 3.2 | 45.5 | 22.2 | 20.8 | . 0 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 2,231 |
|  | 2008 | 3.3 | 49.2 | 18.9 | 21.7 | . 3 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 2,231 |
|  | 2009 | 3.7 | 51.9 | 17.5 | 18.1 | . 0 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 2,231 |
|  | 2010 | 1.1 | 54.9 | 16.5 | 18.6 | . 0 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 2,231 |

Table A2.11\% of population aged seven and above that dropped out of school before completing primary school, by main reason for dropping out, EICV3


Table A2.12 \% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted primary school

|  |  | \% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months but interrupted primary school at some point |  |  |  |  |  | Duration of school interruption (in months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged seven and above in school last 12 months that have ever interrupted primary school (000s) | Female | Female population aged seven and above in school in last 12 months that have ever interrupted primary school (000s) | Total | Population aged seven and above in school in last 12 months that have ever interrupted primary school (000s) |  |
| Rwanda |  | 100.0 | 165 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 | 279 | 13.4 |
|  | Urban | 12.6 | 165 | 13.3 | 114 | 12.9 | 279 | 20.3 |
| rural 2002 | Rural | 87.4 | 165 | 86.7 | 114 | 87.1 | 279 | 12.4 |
| Province | Kigali City | 10.3 | 165 | 8.7 | 114 | 9.7 | 279 | 21.3 |
|  | Southern Province | 24.9 | 165 | 22.1 | 114 | 23.7 | 279 | 13.2 |
|  | Western Province | 19.9 | 165 | 22.0 | 114 | 20.8 | 279 | 15.5 |
|  | Northern Province | 13.0 | 165 | 17.0 | 114 | 14.6 | 279 | 11.2 |
|  | Eastern Province | 31.9 | 165 | 30.2 | 114 | 31.2 | 279 | 10.7 |
| Age (in years) | 7-8 | 5.8 | 165 | 5.4 | 114 | 5.6 | 279 | 4.4 |
|  | 9-10 | 7.9 | 165 | 10.1 | 114 | 8.8 | 279 | 6.0 |
|  | 11-12 | 12.0 | 165 | 12.5 | 114 | 12.2 | 279 | 6.8 |
|  | 13-14 | 17.3 | 165 | 19.9 | 114 | 18.4 | 279 | 8.2 |
|  | 15-16 | 19.3 | 165 | 16.4 | 114 | 18.1 | 279 | 9.5 |
|  | 17-18 | 17.7 | 165 | 18.5 | 114 | 18.0 | 279 | 11.6 |
|  | 19-20 | 7.9 | 165 | 8.1 | 114 | 8.0 | 279 | 14.6 |
|  | 21-22 | 4.9 | 165 | 4.6 | 114 | 4.8 | 279 | 21.3 |
|  | 23-24 | 3.1 | 165 | 2.0 | 114 | 2.7 | 279 | 18.0 |
|  | $25+$ | 4.0 | 165 | 2.3 | 114 | 3.3 | 279 | 26.6 |
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Table A2.12 \% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted primary school

|  |  | \% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months but interrupted primary school at some point |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged seven and above in school last 12 months that have ever interrupted primary school (000s) | Female | Female population aged seven and above in school in last 12 months that have ever interrupted primary school (000s) | Total | Population aged seven and above in school in last 12 months that have ever interrupted primary school (000s) | Duration of school interruption (in months) |
|  | PrePrimary | . 7 | 165 | . 1 | 114 | . 4 | 279 | 8.3 |
|  | Primary 1 | 16.8 | 165 | 16.1 | 114 | 16.5 | 279 | 8.9 |
|  | Primary 2 | 14.1 | 165 | 11.7 | 114 | 13.1 | 279 | 9.6 |
|  | Primary 3 | 11.1 | 165 | 13.6 | 114 | 12.2 | 279 | 8.1 |
|  | Primary 4 | 16.5 | 165 | 14.5 | 114 | 15.6 | 279 | 8.4 |
|  | Primary 5 | 13.4 | 165 | 14.4 | 114 | 13.8 | 279 | 9.2 |
|  | Primary 6-8 | 8.9 | 165 | 9.1 | 114 | 9.0 | 279 | 10.5 |
|  | Post Primary 1 | . 4 | 165 | . 6 | 114 | . 5 | 279 | 23.3 |
| Class | Post Primary 2 | . 4 | 165 | . 4 | 114 | . 4 | 279 | 46.7 |
| attended | Post Primary 3 | . 2 | 165 | . 0 | 114 | . 1 | 279 | 5.0 |
| in last 12 | Post Primary 4 | . 1 | 165 | . 0 | 114 | . 1 | 279 | 19.4 |
| months | Post Primary 5 | . 0 | 165 | . 2 | 114 | . 1 | 279 | 16.5 |
|  | Post Primary 6-8 | . 1 | 165 | . 0 | 114 | . 1 | 279 | 103.0 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 4.7 | 165 | 5.0 | 114 | 4.8 | 279 | 19.0 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 3.8 | 165 | 4.2 | 114 | 4.0 | 279 | 23.9 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 2.5 | 165 | 4.1 | 114 | 3.2 | 279 | 16.6 |
|  | Secondary 4 | . 7 | 165 | 1.5 | 114 | 1.0 | 279 | 63.5 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 1.3 | 165 | 1.5 | 114 | 1.4 | 279 | 48.5 |
|  | Secondary 6-8 and above | 4.3 | 165 | 2.9 | 114 | 3.8 | 279 | 53.9 |
| Highest | Never been to school | 32.5 | 165 | 32.1 | 114 | 32.3 | 279 | 11.3 |
| education <br> level | Did not complete primary | 37.7 | 165 | 35.6 | 114 | 36.8 | 279 | 11.2 |
| attained by | Completed primary | 25.3 | 165 | 27.5 | 114 | 26.2 | 279 | 15.0 |
| household head | Completed secondary or higher | 4.3 | 165 | 4.8 | 114 | 4.5 | 279 | 33.2 |
| Sex of | Male | 64.9 | 165 | 66.9 | 114 | 65.7 | 279 | 13.7 |
| household head | Female | 35.1 | 165 | 33.1 | 114 | 34.3 | 279 | 12.8 |

Table A2.12 \% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted primary school


Table A2.13\% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted primary school by main reasons for interrupting school, EICV3


Table A2.13\% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted primary school by main reasons for interrupting school, EICV3

|  |  | Total | Main reasons for interrupting school |  |  |  |  |  | Population aged seven and above in school in last 12 months who has ever interrupted primary school (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Had no interest | Family reasons | Cost | War | Health | Others |  |
| Class <br> attended <br> in last 12 <br> months | PrePrimary | . 4 | 12.0 | . 0 | 11.6 | . 0 | 23.8 | 52.7 | 279 |
|  | Primary 1 | 16.5 | 30.4 | 14.6 | 8.9 | . 0 | 35.7 | 10.1 | 279 |
|  | Primary 2 | 13.1 | 25.5 | 19.9 | 13.1 | . 0 | 32.5 | 7.9 | 279 |
|  | Primary 3 | 12.2 | 25.8 | 19.7 | 13.5 | . 0 | 31.8 | 8.8 | 279 |
|  | Primary 4 | 15.6 | 34.8 | 17.6 | 13.0 | . 0 | 30.3 | 3.6 | 279 |
|  | Primary 5 | 13.8 | 36.5 | 17.6 | 11.9 | . 9 | 26.7 | 6.4 | 279 |
|  | Primary 6-8 | 9.0 | 27.3 | 15.6 | 17.0 | . 8 | 31.4 | 8.0 | 279 |
|  | Post Primary 1 | . 5 | 9.3 | . 0 | 50.4 | 16.6 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 279 |
|  | Post Primary 2 | . 4 | 34.3 | . 0 | 40.4 | 10.1 | . 0 | 15.2 | 279 |
|  | Post Primary 3 | . 1 | 100.0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 279 |
|  | Post Primary 4 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | 279 |
|  | Post Primary 5 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 48.4 | 51.6 | . 0 | 279 |
|  | Post Primary 6-8 | . 1 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 100.0 | . 0 | . 0 | 279 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 4.8 | 17.0 | 22.7 | 24.0 | 1.3 | 30.8 | 4.2 | 279 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 4.0 | 16.7 | 17.8 | 27.3 | 3.1 | 29.1 | 6.0 | 279 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 3.2 | 14.5 | 23.7 | 30.8 | 1.1 | 28.5 | 1.5 | 279 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 1.0 | 15.4 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 5.1 | 37.2 | . 0 | 279 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 35.7 | 25.2 | 14.1 | 21.3 | . 0 | 279 |
|  | Secondary 6-8 and above | 3.8 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 20.5 | 48.5 | 13.7 | 6.8 | 279 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level <br> attained by <br> household <br> head | Never been to school | 32.3 | 34.2 | 16.9 | 14.4 | 1.2 | 29.2 | 4.1 | 279 |
|  | Did not complete primary | 36.8 | 24.1 | 18.0 | 14.2 | 1.6 | 32.1 | 9.9 | 279 |
|  | Completed primary | 26.2 | 25.2 | 17.5 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 32.8 | 7.1 | 279 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 4.5 | 11.9 | $18.2$ | 32.7 | 19.5 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 279 |
| Sex of household head | Male | 65.7 | 26.9 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 2.6 | 29.7 | 7.4 | 279 |
|  | Female | 34.3 | 27.3 | 18.1 | 14.2 | 2.8 | 31.1 | 6.5 | 279 |
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Table A2.13\% of population aged seven and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted primary school by main reasons for interrupting school, EICV3


Table A2.14 Promotion rates at primary schools (\%) by province, urban/rural, sex and consumption quintiles

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending primary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 66.7 | 1,104 | 71.5 | 1,131 | 69.1 | 2,235 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 78.6 | 139 | 79.3 | 150 | 79.0 | 289 |
|  | Rural | 65.0 | 965 | 70.3 | 981 | 67.7 | 1,946 |
| Province | Kigali City | 77.9 | 86 | 79.6 | 86 | 78.7 | 172 |
|  | Southern Province | 65.2 | 261 | 71.8 | 268 | 68.5 | 529 |
|  | Western Province | 65.3 | 269 | 68.3 | 288 | 66.9 | 557 |
|  | Northern Province | 67.4 | 213 | 73.6 | 234 | 70.6 | 447 |
|  | Eastern Province | 65.6 | 275 | 70.0 | 255 | 67.7 | 530 |
| Age (in years) | 8-9 years | 44.2 | 134 | 56.9 | 144 | 50.8 | 278 |
|  | 10-11 years | 59.6 | 257 | 64.5 | 273 | 62.1 | 530 |
|  | 12-13 years | 68.8 | 230 | 74.7 | 246 | 71.9 | 476 |
|  | 14-15 years | 73.3 | 238 | 76.4 | 241 | 74.8 | 479 |
|  | 16-17 years | 76.2 | 150 | 81.3 | 148 | 78.8 | 298 |
|  | 18 + years | 88.8 | 87 | 88.8 | 70 | 88.8 | 157 |
| Grades attended in 2009 | Primary 1 | 49.8 | 341 | 56.6 | 311 | 53.1 | 652 |
|  | Primary 2 | 67.9 | 227 | 70.3 | 233 | 69.1 | 460 |
|  | Primary 3 | 72.5 | 197 | 78.8 | 209 | 75.7 | 407 |
|  | Primary 4 | 75.9 | 158 | 76.0 | 167 | 76.0 | 325 |
|  | Primary 5 | 78.8 | 104 | 80.8 | 131 | 79.9 | 235 |
|  | Primary 6-8 | 88.6 | 76 | 88.1 | 81 | 88.4 | 157 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level <br> attained by <br> household <br> head | Never been to school | 63.7 | 288 | 67.2 | 307 | 65.5 | 595 |
|  | Didn't complete primary | 64.8 | 424 | 68.7 | 418 | 66.7 | 841 |
|  | Completed primary | 69.2 | 342 | 75.4 | 353 | 72.4 | 694 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 83.8 | 49 | 90.2 | 53 | 87.2 | 102 |
| Sex of household head | Male | 65.3 | 821 | 71.8 | 842 | 68.6 | 1,663 |
|  | Female | 70.8 | 283 | 70.5 | 289 | 70.7 | 572 |
| Orphanhood | Not orphan | 64.3 | 860 | 70.7 | 885 | 67.5 | 1,745 |
|  | Single-parent orphan | 73.4 | 201 | 74.4 | 208 | 73.9 | 409 |
|  | Both-parents orphan | 78.0 | 32 | 70.9 | 34 | 74.3 | 66 |
| Population <br> with disabilities | No | 66.8 | 1,082 | 71.6 | 1,112 | 69.2 | 2,194 |
|  | Yes | 64.3 | 22 | 61.5 | 19 | 63.0 | 41 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 58.5 | 243 | 63.3 | 252 | 60.9 | 495 |
|  | Q2 | 63.3 | 236 | 68.5 | 238 | 65.9 | 474 |
|  | Q3 | 65.4 | 221 | 71.0 | 223 | 68.2 | 444 |
|  | Q4 | 70.3 | 209 | 73.2 | 204 | 71.7 | 413 |
|  | Q5 | 78.9 | 195 | 83.2 | 214 | 81.2 | 409 |
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Table A3.1 NAR (\%) at secondary school by urban/rural, province, age, consumption quintiles and sex, EICV2 and EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged 13 to 18 (000s) | Females | Female population aged 13 to 18 (000s) | Total | Population aged 13 to 18 (000s) | Males | ```Male population aged 13 to 18 (000s)``` | Females | Female population aged 13 to 18 (000s) | Total | Population aged 13 to 18 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 18.6 | 763 | 23.3 | 775 | 20.9 | 1538 | 10.9 | 736 | 10.0 | 760 | 10.4 | 1496 |
| Urban/ <br> Rural 2002 | Urban | 37.0 | 99 | 37.6 | 121 | 37.4 | 220 | 19.9 | 117 | 22.2 | 131 | 21.1 | 249 |
|  | Rural | 15.8 | 664 | 20.6 | 655 | 18.2 | 1319 | 9.2 | 619 | 7.4 | 629 | 8.3 | 1247 |
| Province | Kigali City Southern <br> Province Western Province Northern Province Eastern Province | 39.7 | 59 | 42.1 | 75 | 41.0 | 135 | 23.8 | 64 | 25.3 | 76 | 24.6 | 140 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 177 | 20.8 | 179 | 18.4 | 356 | 8.9 | 172 | 8.8 | 187 | 8.8 | 358 |
|  |  | 18.3 | 190 | 18.3 | 191 | 18.3 | 381 | 10.2 | 191 | 7.4 | 186 | 8.8 | 377 |
|  |  | 17.3 | 139 | 24.9 | 153 | 21.3 | 292 | 9.5 | 134 | 5.1 | 136 | 7.3 | 270 |
|  |  | 15.8 | 198 | 21.6 | 176 | 18.5 | 374 | 9.9 | 176 | 11.2 | 175 | 10.6 | 351 |
| Age | 13 years | 3.7 | 121 | 5.5 | 130 | 4.6 | 251 | 1.9 | 117 | 1.5 | 118 | 1.7 | 235 |
|  | 14 years | 8.2 | 145 | 9.2 | 140 | 8.7 | 285 | 5.0 | 129 | 3.4 | 116 | 4.3 | 245 |
|  | 15 years | 13.3 | 136 | 20.1 | 133 | 16.7 | 269 | 5.7 | 123 | 7.8 | 127 | 6.8 | 251 |
|  | 16 years | 25.0 | 122 | 30.7 | 120 | 27.8 | 241 | 13.8 | 122 | 12.9 | 136 | 13.3 | 259 |
|  | 17 years | 28.6 | 126 | 39.4 | 125 | 34.0 | 251 | 17.1 | 119 | 17.4 | 122 | 17.3 | 241 |
|  | 18 years | 36.0 | 114 | 37.2 | 127 | 36.7 | 241 | 21.6 | 126 | 15.3 | 140 | 18.3 | 266 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 7.5 | 166 | 9.7 | 163 | 8.6 | 329 | 3.2 | 158 | 1.4 | 174 | 2.2 | 331 |
|  | Q2 | 11.1 | 153 | 14.9 | 155 | 13.0 | 308 | 6.1 | 146 | 5.1 | 149 | 5.6 | 295 |
|  | Q3 | 16.8 | 142 | 20.6 | 147 | 18.7 | 289 | 10.0 | 142 | 8.7 | 142 | 9.3 | 284 |
|  | Q4 | 20.8 | 145 | 28.0 | 139 | 24.3 | 284 | 14.0 | 132 | 14.4 | 134 | 14.2 | 266 |
|  | Q5 | 37.2 | 157 | 42.2 | 172 | 39.8 | 328 | 21.2 | 159 | 21.4 | 161 | 21.3 | 319 |

Table A3.2 GAR (\%) at secondary school by urban/rural, province, consumption quintiles and sex, EICV2 and EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Population aged13-18 (000s) | Females | Population aged13-18 (000s) | Total | Population aged13-18 (000s) | Males | Population aged13-18 (000s) | Females | Population aged 13-18 (000s) | Total | Population aged13-18 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 39.5 | 763 | 42.3 | 775 | 40.9 | 1,538 | 21.3 | 740 | 18.2 | 764 | 19.7 | 1,504 |
| rban/ | Urban | 69.3 | 99 | 64.9 | 121 | 66.9 | 220 | 36.4 | 118 | 42.1 | 131 | 39.4 | 249 |
| rural 2002 | Rural | 35.0 | 664 | 38.1 | 655 | 36.6 | 1,319 | 18.4 | 622 | 13.2 | 633 | 15.8 | 1,255 |
|  | Kigali City | 78.8 | 59 | 69.6 | 75 | 73.7 | 135 | 43.3 | 64 | 46.8 | 76 | 45.2 | 140 |
|  | Southern Province | 34.9 | 177 | 40.1 | 179 | 37.5 | 356 | 19.5 | 172 | 16.3 | 187 | 17.8 | 359 |
| Province | Western Province | 38.4 | 190 | 37.2 | 191 | 37.8 | 381 | 21.1 | 192 | 14.2 | 186 | 17.7 | 378 |
|  | Northern Province | 35.9 | 139 | 38.9 | 153 | 37.5 | 292 | 17.2 | 135 | 12.9 | 138 | 15.0 | 273 |
|  | Eastern Province | 35.4 | 198 | 41.4 | 176 | 38.2 | 374 | 18.5 | 177 | 16.3 | 177 | 17.4 | 353 |
|  | Q1 | 15.6 | 166 | 16.5 | 163 | 16.0 | 329 | 5.9 | 159 | 2.1 | 174 | 3.9 | 333 |
|  | Q2 | 23.8 | 153 | 26.2 | 155 | 25.0 | 308 | 11.1 | 147 | 7.9 | 149 | 9.5 | 296 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 37.0 | 142 | 36.3 | 147 | 36.6 | 289 | 16.9 | 144 | 16.3 | 144 | 16.6 | 287 |
|  | Q4 | 50.9 | 145 | 53.9 | 139 | 52.4 | 284 | 31.5 | 132 | 22.7 | 135 | 27.1 | 267 |
|  | Q5 | 71.9 | 157 | 77.1 | 172 | 74.6 | 328 | 41.6 | 159 | 43.0 | 162 | 42.3 | 320 |

Table A3.3\% of population currently attending secondary school by age, EICV2 and EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | Population attending secondary school (000s) | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  | Population attending secondary school (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 15-16 years | $\begin{aligned} & 17-18 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 19-20 <br> years | 21-22 years | $\begin{gathered} 23+ \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 15-16 <br> years | 17-18 <br> years | 19-20 years | 21-22 <br> years | $\begin{gathered} 23+ \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rwanda |  | 5.8 | 17.8 | 27.6 | 23.6 | 14.1 | 10.7 | 629 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 25.0 | 25.8 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 297 |
| Urban/ <br> rural <br> 2002 | Urban | 11.4 | 19.8 | 24.7 | 20.1 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 147 | 5.5 | 17.2 | 21.4 | 21.7 | 14.7 | 19.1 | 98 |
|  | Rural | 4.1 | 17.2 | 28.5 | 24.7 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 482 | 1.3 | 9.7 | 26.8 | 27.9 | 20.1 | 14.1 | 199 |
| Province | Kigali City | 11.1 | 20.9 | 23.7 | 19.8 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 99 | 5.9 | 16.7 | 23.1 | 19.6 | 15.2 | 18.9 | 63 |
|  | Southern Province | 3.8 | 16.3 | 29.0 | 24.1 | 14.7 | 12.0 | 134 | . 9 | 8.2 | 22.9 | 30.3 | 25.0 | 12.7 | 64 |
|  | Western Province | 3.8 | 13.3 | 31.2 | 24.8 | 15.6 | 10.9 | 144 | 3.1 | 11.1 | 25.6 | 27.6 | 18.3 | 14.3 | 67 |
|  | Northern Province | 9.0 | 23.6 | 24.3 | 21.0 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 109 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 25.2 | 28.4 | 15.8 | 18.4 | 41 |
|  | Eastern Province | 3.5 | 17.1 | 27.9 | 26.8 | 14.9 | 9.4 | 143 | 1.9 | 13.6 | 28.6 | 24.0 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 61 |
| Sex | Male | 5.4 | 16.1 | 25.5 | 23.9 | 15.1 | 13.6 | 301 | 2.6 | 10.9 | 24.7 | 27.7 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 158 |
|  | Female | 6.1 | 19.4 | 29.5 | 23.4 | 13.2 | 7.9 | 328 | 2.8 | 13.7 | 25.5 | 23.7 | 20.0 | 14.2 | 139 |
| Type of School | Public | 6.9 | 22.3 | 29.8 | 21.9 | 12.3 | 6.1 | 298 | 3.0 | 13.7 | 27.8 | 26.6 | 15.4 | 13.3 | 141 |
|  | Private | 4.8 | 9.1 | 16.9 | 27.4 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 134 | 3.0 | 11.3 | 23.1 | 22.8 | 20.0 | 19.7 | 90 |
|  | Free or subsidised | 5.1 | 17.4 | 32.1 | 23.8 | 11.9 | 9.5 | 180 | 1.8 | 10.4 | 22.4 | 27.7 | 23.1 | 14.4 | 53 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 1.9 | 19.1 | 32.6 | 23.9 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 53 | . 0 | 11.4 | 30.2 | 26.1 | 24.1 | 8.2 | 13 |
|  | Q2 | 3.9 | 15.9 | 32.1 | 23.9 | 14.5 | 9.7 | 77 | . 0 | 11.8 | 27.2 | 26.1 | 26.2 | 8.7 | 28 |
|  | Q3 | 2.7 | 16.9 | 31.5 | 25.1 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 106 | 1.3 | 10.0 | 29.9 | 27.5 | 15.9 | 15.3 | 48 |
|  | Q4 | 3.6 | 16.1 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 15.4 | 12.9 | 149 | 1.1 | 10.8 | 26.1 | 28.6 | 18.9 | 14.5 | 72 |
|  | Q5 | 9.9 | 19.5 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 13.7 | 10.0 | 245 | 4.8 | 13.8 | 21.9 | 23.7 | 16.7 | 18.9 | 136 |

Table A3.4 NAR (\%) at secondary school by district, EICV3

|  | District | Total | Population aged 13 to 18 (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rwanda |  | 20.9 | 1538 |
| Kigali City | Nyarugenge | 40.0 | 38 |
|  | Gasabo | 37.5 | 62 |
|  | Kicukiro | 48.7 | 34 |
| Southern Province | Nyanza | 20.5 | 43 |
|  | Gisagara | 15.0 | 46 |
|  | Nyaruguru | 16.9 | 49 |
|  | Huye | 23.4 | 43 |
|  | Nyamagabe | 14.7 | 49 |
|  | Ruhango | 16.1 | 41 |
|  | Muhanga | 20.8 | 41 |
|  | Kamonyi | 20.7 | 44 |
| Western Province | Karongi | 13.7 | 53 |
|  | Rutsiro | 11.6 | 45 |
|  | Rubavu | 23.3 | 58 |
|  | Nyabihu | 20.9 | 53 |
|  | Ngororero | 14.8 | 46 |
|  | Rusizi | 24.5 | 70 |
|  | Nyamasheke | 15.4 | 57 |
| Northern Province | Rulindo | 21.2 | 40 |
|  | Gakenke | 26.5 | 43 |
|  | Musanze | 20.1 | 62 |
|  | Burera | 11.3 | 55 |
|  | Gicumbi | 25.8 | 92 |
| Eastern Province | Rwamagana | 21.3 | 45 |
|  | Nyagatare | 18.1 | 64 |
|  | Gatsibo | 15.9 | 73 |
|  | Kayonza | 19.1 | 48 |
|  | Kirehe | 13.9 | 45 |
|  | Ngoma | 19.5 | 44 |
|  | Bugesera | 22.8 | 55 |

Table A3.6 Repetition rates at secondary schools (\%) by province, urban/rural, sex and consumption quintiles, EICV3

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 2.5 | 188 | 2.8 | 192 | 2.7 | 380 |
| Urban/rural $2002$ | Urban <br> Rural | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | 102 |
| Province | Kigali City <br> Southern Province <br> Western Province <br> Northern Province <br> Eastern Province | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 36 \\ & 44 \\ & 31 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .8 \\ 7.1 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.4 \end{gathered}$ | 37 40 40 34 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | 73 75 84 65 83 |
| Age (in years) | $\begin{aligned} & 14-15 \text { years } \\ & 16-17 \text { years } \\ & 18-19 \text { years } \\ & 20-21 \text { years } \\ & 22-23 \text { years } \\ & 24+\text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.0 \\ 3.3 \\ 3.1 \\ .6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 25 \\ 46 \\ 51 \\ 30 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0 \\ 2.2 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 10.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 31 \\ 53 \\ 58 \\ 25 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | 14 56 98 108 55 34 |
|  | Never been to school | 1.2 | 36 | 2.9 | 36 | 2.1 | 72 |
| Level of education attained by Household Head | Never completed primary <br> Completed primary <br> Completed secondary or higher | $2.8$ <br> 3.2 $1.5$ | 55 74 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 3.1 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 54 <br> 81 <br> 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 3.1 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 109 155 42 |
| Sex of household head | Male <br> Female | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | 122 66 | 3.1 2.4 | 127 66 | 2.8 2.4 | 249 131 |
| Orphanhood | Not orphan Single-parent orphan Both-parents orphan | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 36 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 40 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | 133 76 24 |
| Population <br> with <br> disabilities | No <br> Yes | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ 10.2 \end{gathered}$ | 184 4 | 2.9 .0 | 188 4 | 2.6 5.0 | 372 8 |
| Quintile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 } \\ & \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { Q3 } \\ & \text { Q4 } \\ & \text { Q5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ .0 \\ 1.3 \\ 3.1 \\ 3.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 18 \\ & 30 \\ & 47 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 2.7 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 18 \\ & 26 \\ & 44 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.7 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | 25 36 56 91 173 |

\% of population aged 13 and above that completed primary school and were attending school in the last 12 months and has ever repeated a secondary school class

|  |  | Population aged 13 and above that ever repeated a secondary school class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Mean number of repetitions | Male population aged 13 and abovewho completed primary school and were in school last 12 months (000s) | Female | Mean number of repetitions | Female population aged 13 and abovewho completed primary school and were in school last 12 months (000s) | Total | Mean number of repetitions | Population aged 13 and above who completed primary school and were in school last 12 months (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 16.4 | . 2 | 337 | 17.0 | . 2 | 359 | 16.7 | . 2 | 697 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 21.4 | . 2 | 89 | 19.1 | . 2 | 100 | 20.2 | . 2 | 189 |
| 2002 | Rural | 14.6 | . 2 | 248 | 16.2 | . 2 | 260 | 15.4 | . 2 | 508 |
| Province | Kigali City | 20.2 | . 2 | 64 | 17.2 | . 2 | 69 | 18.7 | . 2 | 133 |
|  | Southern Province | 21.3 | . 2 | 64 | 21.6 | . 3 | 73 | 21.4 | . 3 | 137 |
|  | Western Province | 13.7 | . 1 | 81 | 16.6 | . 2 | 75 | 15.1 | . 2 | 156 |
|  | Northern Province | 18.3 | . 2 | 54 | 12.5 | . 1 | 67 | 15.1 | . 2 | 121 |
|  | Eastern Province | 10.4 | . 1 | 74 | 16.6 | . 2 | 76 | 13.5 | . 1 | 150 |
| Age (in years) | 19-20 years | 8.2 | . 1 | 16 | 1.2 | . 0 | 20 | 4.3 | . 0 | 36 |
|  | 21-22 years | 5.2 | . 0 | 47 | 4.0 | . 0 | 63 | 4.5 | . 0 | 110 |
|  | 23-24 years | 9.4 | . 1 | 76 | 7.0 | . 1 | 94 | 8.1 | . 1 | 170 |
|  | $25+$ years | 22.4 | . 2 | 198 | 28.4 | . 3 | 182 | 25.3 | . 3 | 380 |
| Highest <br> secondary <br> school class <br> successfully <br> attained | None | 12.8 | . 1 | 56 | 4.7 | . 0 | 71 | 8.2 | . 1 | 127 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 7.8 | . 1 | 67 | 10.2 | . 1 | 73 | 9.0 | . 1 | 140 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 7.9 | . 1 | 55 | 11.3 | . 1 | 65 | 9.7 | . 1 | 121 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 22.4 | . 2 | 33 | 19.5 | . 2 | 35 | 20.9 | . 2 | 68 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 27.1 | . 3 | 30 | 35.9 | . 4 | 33 | 31.7 | . 3 | 63 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 29.1 | . 3 | 34 | 35.5 | . 4 | 31 | 32.1 | . 4 | 65 |
|  | Secondary 6 | 21.1 | . 2 | 62 | 26.2 | . 3 | 51 | 23.4 | . 3 | 112 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 11.1 | . 1 | 25 | 10.9 | . 1 | 26 | 11.0 | . 1 | 51 |
|  | Q2 | 12.7 | . 1 | 37 | 11.0 | . 1 | 40 | 11.8 | . 1 | 77 |
|  | Q3 | 15.9 | . 2 | 53 | 16.4 | . 2 | 52 | 16.2 | . 2 | 105 |
|  | Q4 | 17.8 | . 2 | 75 | 17.5 | . 2 | 75 | 17.6 | . 2 | 150 |
|  | Q5 | 17.7 | . 2 | 147 | 19.3 | . 2 | 166 | 18.5 | . 2 | 313 |

Table A3.8 Dropout rates at secondary schools (\%), by province, urban/rural, sex and consumption quintile

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 1.6 | 188 | 2.5 | 192 | 2.1 | 380 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 1.2 | 48 | 2.2 | 53 | 1.7 | 102 |
|  | Rural | 1.7 | 139 | 2.6 | 139 | 2.2 | 278 |
| Province | Kigali City | 1.6 | 35 | 3.2 | 37 | 2.4 | 73 |
|  | Southern Province | 1.7 | 36 | 2.0 | 40 | 1.9 | 75 |
|  | Western Province | 1.8 | 44 | . 7 | 40 | 1.2 | 84 |
|  | Northern Province | 1.5 | 31 | 6.0 | 34 | 3.9 | 65 |
|  | Eastern Province | 1.3 | 41 | 1.4 | 42 | 1.4 | 83 |
| Age (in years) | 14-15 years | 3.5 | 6 | . 0 | 8 | 1.5 | 14 |
|  | 16-17 years | 2.0 | 25 | 2.5 | 31 | 2.3 | 56 |
|  | 18-19 years | . 9 | 46 | 1.3 | 53 | 1.1 | 99 |
|  | 20-21 years | 2.7 | 53 | 3.8 | 60 | 3.3 | 113 |
|  | 22-23 years | . 0 | 32 | 1.5 | 27 | . 7 | 59 |
|  | 24 + years | 1.8 | 25 | 5.3 | 13 | 3.0 | 38 |
| Grades attended in 2009 | Secondary 1 | 1.8 | 56 | 1.0 | 67 | 1.3 | 123 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 1.8 | 37 | 1.4 | 38 | 1.6 | 75 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 2.4 | 30 | 5.6 | 36 | 4.1 | 66 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 1.1 | 28 | 2.2 | 28 | 1.6 | 56 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 1.1 | 25 | 1.8 | 15 | 1.4 | 40 |
|  | Secondary 6 | . 0 | 6 | 3.8 | 7 | 2.0 | 13 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level attained <br> by household <br> head | Never been to school | 1.2 | 36 | 1.9 | 36 | 1.5 | 72 |
|  | Nevercompletedprimary | 1.7 | 55 | 2.3 | 54 | 2.0 | 109 |
|  | Completed primary | 2.0 | 74 | 3.4 | 81 | 2.7 | 155 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | . 6 | 22 | . 7 | 20 | . 6 | 42 |
| Sex of Household Head | Male | 1.5 | 122 | 2.8 | 127 | 2.2 | 249 |
|  | Female | 1.7 | 66 | 1.9 | 66 | 1.8 | 131 |
| Orphanhood | Not orphan | 1.3 | 60 | 1.1 | 73 | 1.2 | 133 |
|  | Single-parent orphan | 1.2 | 36 | 4.1 | 40 | 2.7 | 76 |
|  | Both-parents orphan | 5.3 | 10 | . 0 | 13 | 2.3 | 24 |
| Population with disabilities | No | 1.6 | 184 | 2.6 | 188 | 2.1 | 372 |
|  | Yes | . 0 | 4 | . 0 | 4 | . 0 | 8 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.1 | 13 | 1.1 | 25 |
|  | Q2 | 1.8 | 18 | 4.9 | 18 | 3.3 | 36 |
|  | Q3 | 2.6 | 30 | 2.7 | 26 | 2.6 | 56 |
|  | Q4 | 2.3 | 47 | 1.2 | 44 | 1.8 | 91 |
|  | Q5 | . 8 | 81 | 2.8 | 92 | 1.9 | 173 |

Table A3.9 \% of population aged 13 and above that has ever been to school but left school before completing secondary school

|  |  | \% of population aged 13 and above that hasever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged 13 and aboveever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary(000s) | Female | Female population aged 13 and above ever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary(000s) | Total | Population aged 13 and above ever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary(000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 100.0 | 637 | 100.0 | 651 | 100.0 | 1,289 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 21.9 | 637 | 21.7 | 651 | 21.8 | 1,289 |
|  | Rural | 78.1 | 637 | 78.3 | 651 | 78.2 | 1,289 |
| Province | Kigali City | 16.2 | 637 | 15.9 | 651 | 16.0 | 1,289 |
|  | Southern Province | 21.9 | 637 | 26.2 | 651 | 24.0 | 1,289 |
|  | Western Province | 22.2 | 637 | 18.5 | 651 | 20.3 | 1,289 |
|  | Northern Province | 19.3 | 637 | 18.3 | 651 | 18.8 | 1,289 |
|  | Eastern Province | 20.4 | 637 | 21.1 | 651 | 20.8 | 1,289 |
| Age (in years) | 13-14 | . 1 | 637 | . 0 | 651 | . 0 | 1,289 |
|  | 15-16 | . 5 | 637 | . 8 | 651 | . 7 | 1,289 |
|  | 17-18 | 1.9 | 637 | 2.9 | 651 | 2.5 | 1,289 |
|  | 19-20 | 3.6 | 637 | 5.7 | 651 | 4.6 | 1,289 |
|  | 21-22 | 4.7 | 637 | 6.1 | 651 | 5.4 | 1,289 |
|  | 23-24 | 5.9 | 637 | 6.1 | 651 | 6.0 | 1,289 |
|  | 25-26 | 6.0 | 637 | 6.4 | 651 | 6.2 | 1,289 |
|  | 27-28 | 5.8 | 637 | 6.3 | 651 | 6.1 | 1,289 |
|  | 29-30 | 6.6 | 637 | 7.0 | 651 | 6.8 | 1,289 |
|  | $31+$ | 64.8 | 637 | 58.6 | 651 | 61.7 | 1,289 |
| Highest secondary class successfully attained | Primary 6-8 | 72.2 | 637 | 74.9 | 651 | 73.6 | 1,289 |
|  | Never completed VocatS1 | . 3 | 637 | . 3 | 651 | . 3 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 1 | 1.6 | 637 | 1.8 | 651 | 1.7 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 2 | 2.4 | 637 | 1.7 | 651 | 2.1 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 3 | 6.5 | 637 | 6.2 | 651 | 6.3 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 4 | . 5 | 637 | . 2 | 651 | . 3 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 5 | . 1 | 637 | . 1 | 651 | . 1 | 1,289 |
|  | Never completed | . 3 | 637 | . 7 | 651 | . 5 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 3.1 | 637 | 2.6 | 651 | 2.8 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 5.0 | 637 | 5.0 | 651 | 5.0 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 4.2 | 637 | 3.4 | 651 | 3.8 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 2.0 | 637 | 1.8 | 651 | 1.9 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 1.2 | 637 | 1.1 | 651 | 1.2 | 1,289 |

Table A3.9 \% of population aged 13 and above that has ever been to school but left school before completing secondary school

|  |  | \% of population aged 13 and above that hasever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged 13 and aboveever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary(000s) | Female | Female population aged 13 and above ever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary(000s) | Total | Population aged 13 and above ever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary(000s) |
| Last year in school | 1994 or before | 62.0 | 637 | 56.2 | 651 | 59.1 | 1,289 |
|  | 1995-1999 | 12.8 | 637 | 13.7 | 651 | 13.3 | 1,289 |
|  | 2000-2004 | 9.5 | 637 | 11.2 | 651 | 10.4 | 1,289 |
|  | 2005 | 2.5 | 637 | 2.7 | 651 | 2.6 | 1,289 |
|  | 2006 | 2.2 | 637 | 2.9 | 651 | 2.5 | 1,289 |
|  | 2007 | 3.4 | 637 | 3.7 | 651 | 3.5 | 1,289 |
|  | 2008 | 2.7 | 637 | 3.9 | 651 | 3.3 | 1,289 |
|  | 2009 | 3.7 | 637 | 4.6 | 651 | 4.1 | 1,289 |
|  | 2010 | 1.2 | 637 | 1.1 | 651 | 1.1 | 1,289 |
| Highest education level attained by household head | Never been to school | 6.4 | 637 | 15.3 | 651 | 10.9 | 1289 |
|  | Did not complete primary | 7.1 | 637 | 28.9 | 651 | 18.1 | 1289 |
|  | Completed primary | 84.8 | 637 | 50.4 | 651 | 67.4 | 1289 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 1.8 | 637 | 5.3 | 651 | 3.6 | 1289 |
| Sex of | Male | 91.1 | 637 | 74.5 | 651 | 82.7 | 1,289 |
| household head | Female | 8.9 | 637 | 25.5 | 651 | 17.3 | 1,289 |
| Population with disabilities | No | 94.8 | 637 | 96.4 | 651 | 95.6 | 1,289 |
|  | Yes | 4.9 | 637 | 3.6 | 651 | 4.2 | 1,289 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 11.4 | 637 | 12.2 | 651 | 11.8 | 1,289 |
|  | Q2 | 14.9 | 637 | 16.0 | 651 | 15.5 | 1,289 |
|  | Q3 | 17.1 | 637 | 18.9 | 651 | 18.0 | 1,289 |
|  | Q4 | 22.9 | 637 | 23.5 | 651 | 23.2 | 1,289 |
|  | Q5 | 33.7 | 637 | 29.4 | 651 | 31.5 | 1,289 |

Table A3.10\% of population aged 13 and above that dropped out of school before completing secondary school by main reasons for leaving school

|  |  | Total | Main reasonsfor leaving school |  |  |  |  |  | Population aged 13 and above that has ever been to school who dropped out before completing secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Had no interest | Family reasons | Cost | War | Health | Others |  |
| Rwanda |  | 100.0 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 41.9 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 32.6 | 1,289 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 21.8 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 48.3 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 31.4 | 1,289 |
| 2002 | Rural | 78.2 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 40.1 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 32.9 | 1,289 |
| Province | Kigali City | 16.0 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 45.1 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 39.1 | 1,289 |
|  | Southern Province | 24.0 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 38.0 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 34.9 | 1,289 |
|  | Western Province | 20.3 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 41.3 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 32.1 | 1,289 |
|  | Northern Province | 18.8 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 45.2 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 26.5 | 1,289 |
|  | Eastern Province | 20.8 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 41.5 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 30.9 | 1,289 |
| Age (in years) | 13-14 | . 0 | 28.4 | . 0 | 48.0 | . 0 | . 0 | 23.6 | 1,289 |
|  | 15-16 | . 7 | 18.2 | 1.5 | 49.2 | . 0 | 2.9 | 28.2 | 1,289 |
|  | 17-18 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 49.8 | . 0 | 4.7 | 31.2 | 1,289 |
|  | 19-20 | 4.6 | 11.2 | 6.3 | 56.2 | . 0 | 3.0 | 23.3 | 1,289 |
|  | 21-22 | 5.4 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 51.7 | . 0 | 2.0 | 26.7 | 1,289 |
|  | 23-24 | 6.0 | 13.2 | 7.3 | 49.8 | . 2 | 1.9 | 27.6 | 1,289 |
|  | 25-26 | 6.2 | 10.5 | 7.0 | 49.1 | . 8 | 4.2 | 28.4 | 1,289 |
|  | 27-28 | 6.1 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 50.6 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 26.1 | 1,289 |
|  | 29-30 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 47.3 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 29.0 | 1,289 |
|  | $31+$ | 61.7 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 36.6 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 35.8 | 1,289 |
| Highest <br> secondary <br> class <br> successfully <br> attained | Primary 6-8 | 73.6 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 41.9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 34.9 | 1,289 |
|  | Never completed Vocat S1 | . 3 | 17.4 | 16.5 | 27.3 | 20.1 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 1 | 1.7 | 13.6 | 17.7 | 35.2 | 20.1 | 1.4 | 11.9 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 2 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 13.1 | 28.5 | 21.8 | 1.1 | 23.6 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 3 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 24.6 | 1.7 | . 2 | 65.7 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 4 | . 3 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 31.8 | 5.6 | . 0 | 48.2 | 1,289 |
|  | Post Primary 5 | . 1 | 6.6 | . 0 | 47.2 | 4.1 | 8.9 | 33.2 | 1,289 |
|  | Never completed Sec S1 | . 5 | 9.2 | 13.7 | 41.6 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 9.7 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 2.8 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 47.9 | 16.5 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 13.0 | 52.7 | 13.0 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 64.0 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 10.3 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 44.2 | 15.9 | 1.9 | 20.3 | 1,289 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 17.7 | 38.4 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 26.8 | 1,289 |
| Last year in school | 1994 or before | 59.1 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 35.2 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 36.4 | 1,289 |
|  | 1995-1999 | 13.3 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 48.7 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 30.8 | 1,289 |
|  | 2000-2004 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 53.7 | . 3 | 1.4 | 28.1 | 1,289 |
|  | 2005 | 2.6 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 50.9 | . 0 | 5.2 | 24.4 | 1,289 |
|  | 2006 | 2.5 | 13.2 | 6.9 | 54.6 | . 5 | 2.3 | 22.6 | 1,289 |
|  | 2007 | 3.5 | 11.1 | 7.7 | 47.8 | . 0 | 7.6 | 25.8 | 1,289 |
|  | 2008 | 3.3 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 53.6 | . 0 | 4.6 | 26.2 | 1,289 |
|  | 2009 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 6.3 | 54.9 | . 0 | 3.2 | 21.9 | 1,289 |
|  | 2010 | 1.1 | 10.7 | 17.4 | 50.9 | . 0 | 4.9 | 16.1 | 1,289 |

Table A3.10\% of population aged 13 and above that dropped out of school before completing secondary school by main reasons for leaving school


Table A3.11\% of population aged 13 and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted secondary school

|  |  | \% of population aged 13 and abovein school in last 12 months that hasinterrupted secondary school at some point |  |  |  |  |  | Duration of school interruption (in months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged 13 and abovein school last 12 months who ever interrupted secondary school (000s) | Female | Female population aged 13 and abovein school last 12 months who ever interrupted secondary school (000s) | Total | Population aged 13 and abovein school last 12 months who ever interrupted secondary school (000s) |  |
| Rwanda |  | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 64 | 58.0 |
| Urban/ | Urban | 20.9 | 32 | 22.5 | 33 | 21.7 | 64 | 52.1 |
| rural 2002 | Rural | 79.1 | 32 | 77.5 | 33 | 78.3 | 64 | 59.6 |
| Province | Kigali City Southern | 16.5 | 32 | 14.7 | 33 | 15.6 | 64 | 57.3 |
|  | Province Western | 16.6 | 32 | 21.4 | 33 | 19.1 | 64 64 | 71.4 |
|  | Province <br> Northern | 19.6 | 32 | 19.2 | 33 | 19.4 | 64 | 71.8 34.4 |
|  | Province | 22.7 | 32 | 18.6 | 33 | 20.6 | 64 | 34.4 |
|  | Eastern Province | 24.6 | 32 | 26.1 | 33 | 25.4 | 64 | 56.8 |
| Age (in years) | 13-14 | . 0 | 32 | 1.3 | 33 | . 7 | 64 | 1.0 |
|  | 15-16 | 3.4 | 32 | 5.6 | 33 | 4.5 | 64 | 4.2 |
|  | 17-18 | 8.3 | 32 | 14.7 | 33 | 11.6 | 64 | 6.3 |
|  | 19-20 | 20.2 | 32 | 28.4 | 33 | 24.4 | 64 | 5.7 |
|  | 21-22 | 19.5 | 32 | 20.3 | 33 | 19.9 | 64 | 8.1 |
|  | 23-24 | 21.0 | 32 | 12.9 | 33 | 16.9 | 64 | 9.1 |
|  | 25-26 | 8.4 | 32 | 4.9 | 33 | 6.6 | 64 | 18.0 |
|  | 27-28 | 6.7 | 32 | 3.2 | 33 | 4.9 | 64 | 13.4 |
|  | 29-30 | 3.3 | 32 | 1.7 | 33 | 2.5 | 64 | 25.7 |
|  | $31+$ | 9.2 | 32 | 7.0 | 33 | 8.1 | 64 | 44.7 |
| Class <br> attended <br> in last 12 <br> months | Post Primary 1 | 1.9 | 32 | 1.3 | 33 | 1.6 | 64 | 32.0 |
|  | Post Primary 2 | . 5 | 32 | . 4 | 33 | . 4 | 64 | 179.3 |
|  | Post Primary 3 | . 0 | 32 | . 0 | 33 | . | 64 |  |
|  | Post Primary 4 | . 0 | 32 | . 4 | 33 | . 2 | 64 | 23.5 |
|  | Post Primary 5 | 1.0 | 32 | . 0 | 33 | . 5 | 64 | 14.8 |
|  | Post Primary 6-8 | 1.3 | 32 | . 0 | 33 | . 7 | 64 | 44.0 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 10.3 | 32 | 18.0 | 33 | 14.2 | 64 | 27.8 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 10.2 | 32 | 14.1 | 33 | 12.2 | 64 | 33.6 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 8.6 | 32 | 11.0 | 33 | 9.8 | 64 | 23.1 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 10.6 | 32 | 14.2 | 33 | 12.5 | 64 | 22.5 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 14.6 | 32 | 11.6 | 33 | 13.1 | 64 | 21.9 |
|  | Secondary 6-8 and above | 41.0 | 32 | 27.7 | 33 | 34.2 | 64 | 25.6 |

Table A3.11\% of population aged 13 and above attending school in last 12 months that has ever interrupted secondary school

|  |  | \% of population aged 13 and abovein school in last 12 months that hasinterrupted secondary school at some point |  |  |  |  |  | Duration of school interruption (in months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population aged 13 and abovein school last 12 months who ever interrupted secondary school (000s) | Female | Female population aged 13 and abovein school last 12 months who ever interrupted secondary school (000s) | Total | Population aged 13 and abovein school last 12 months who ever interrupted secondary school (000s) |  |
| Highest <br> education <br> level | Never been to school Did not complete primary | 17.4 28.7 | 32 32 | 21.7 25.8 | 33 33 | 19.6 27.2 | 64 64 | 80.4 65.6 |
| attained by | Completed primary | 37.6 | 32 | 40.3 | 33 | 39.0 | 64 | 43.7 |
| household head | Completed secondary or higher | $14.4$ | 32 | $12.1$ | 33 | 13.2 | 64 | 49.4 |
| Sex of household head | Male <br> Female | 64.2 35.8 | 32 32 | 58.7 41.3 | 33 33 | 61.4 38.6 | 64 64 | 63.4 49.3 |
| Population with disabilities | No Yes | 97.7 2.3 | 32 32 | 97.2 2.8 | 33 33 | 97.5 2.5 | 64 64 | 56.9 98.1 |
|  | Q1 | 9.5 | 32 | 9.8 | 33 | 9.6 | 64 | 118.3 |
|  | Q2 | 8.5 | 32 | 10.6 | 33 | 9.6 | 64 | 102.5 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 13.3 | 32 | 12.0 | 33 | 12.6 | 64 | 70.8 |
|  | Q4 | 32.4 | 32 | 23.0 | 33 | 27.6 | 64 | 37.2 |
|  | Q5 | 36.4 | 32 | 44.6 | 33 | 40.6 | 64 | 43.3 |

Table A3.12 Promotion rates at secondary schools (\%) by province, urban/rural, sex and consumption quintiles

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 95.6 | 188 | 94.5 | 192 | 95.0 | 380 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 94.2 | 48 | 95.2 | 53 | 94.7 | 102 |
| 2002 | Rural | 96.1 | 139 | 94.2 | 139 | 95.2 | 278 |
| Province | Kigali City | 95.4 | 35 | 95.4 | 37 | 95.4 | 73 |
|  | Southern Province | 92.7 | 36 | 90.5 | 40 | 91.6 | 75 |
|  | Western Province | 96.2 | 44 | 97.4 | 40 | 96.8 | 84 |
|  | Northern Province | 96.2 | 31 | 92.2 | 34 | 94.1 | 65 |
|  | Eastern Province | 97.4 | 41 | 96.3 | 42 | 96.8 | 83 |
| Age (in years) | 14-15 years | 93.2 | 6 | 100.0 | 8 | 97.0 | 14 |
|  | 16-17 years | 95.7 | 25 | 95.3 | 31 | 95.5 | 56 |
|  | 18-19 years | 96.7 | 46 | 95.4 | 53 | 96.0 | 99 |
|  | 20-21 years | 93.5 | 53 | 93.4 | 60 | 93.5 | 113 |
|  | 22-23 years | 96.9 | 32 | 96.9 | 27 | 96.9 | 59 |
|  | 24 + years | 97.1 | 25 | 84.7 | 13 | 92.8 | 38 |
| Grades attended in 2009 | Post Primary 1 | 92.0 | 2 | 56.0 | 1 | 78.3 | 3 |
|  | Post Primary 2 |  | 0 | 51.4 | 0 | 51.4 | 0 |
|  | Post Primary 3 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 1 |
|  | Post Primary 4 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 100.0 | 1 |
|  | Post Primary 5 | 100.0 | 1 | . | 0 | 100.0 | 1 |
|  | Post Primary 6-8 | 100.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100.0 | 0 |
|  | Secondary 1 | 95.3 | 56 | 96.1 | 67 | 95.7 | 123 |
|  | Secondary 2 | 95.9 | 37 | 96.7 | 38 | 96.3 | 75 |
|  | Secondary 3 | 92.1 | 30 | 92.2 | 36 | 92.1 | 66 |
|  | Secondary 4 | 96.7 | 28 | 92.9 | 28 | 94.8 | 56 |
|  | Secondary 5 | 98.9 | 25 | 97.3 | 15 | 98.3 | 40 |
|  | Secondary 6 | 95.6 | 6 | 86.0 | 7 | 90.6 | 13 |
| Highest education level attained by household head | Never been to school | 97.6 | 36 | 94.2 | 36 | 96.4 | 72 |
|  | Did not complete primary | 95.2 | 55 | 94.2 | 54 | 94.7 | 109 |
|  | Completed primary | 94.3 | 74 | 93.3 | 81 | 93.8 | 155 |
|  | Completed secondary or higher | 97.9 | 22 | 97.9 | 20 | 97.9 | 42 |
| Sex of <br> Household <br> Head | Male | 95.7 | 122 | 93.9 | 127 | 94.8 | 249 |
|  | Female | 95.5 | 66 | 95.4 | 66 | 95.5 | 131 |
| Orphanhood | Not orphan | 96.2 | 60 | 96.0 | 73 | 96.1 | 133 |
|  | Single-parent orphan | 96.0 | 36 | 93.1 | 40 | 94.4 | 76 |
|  | Both-parents orphan | 90.9 | 10 | 96.1 | 13 | 93.8 | 24 |

Table A3.12 Promotion rates at secondary schools (\%) by province, urban/rural, sex and consumption quintiles

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Male population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Female | Female population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) | Total | Total population attending secondary school in 2009 (000s) |
| Population | No | 95.8 | 184 | 94.3 | 188 | 95.0 | 372 |
| with disabilities | Yes | 89.8 | 4 | 100.0 | 4 | 95.0 | 8 |
|  | Q1 | 96.6 | 12 | 94.2 | 13 | 95.4 | 25 |
|  | Q2 | 98.2 | 18 | 91.3 | 18 | 94.8 | 36 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 96.1 | 30 | 93.7 | 26 | 95.0 | 56 |
|  | Q4 | 94.2 | 47 | 96.3 | 44 | 95.2 | 91 |
|  | Q5 | 95.6 | 81 | 94.4 | 92 | 95.0 | 173 |


|  |  | Population aged14 and above that have servedsome kind of apprenticeship |  |  |  |  |  | Attendance status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged14 and above who have never been to school or, in last 12 months, were either attending primary, secondary or technical/ vocational school or were not in school nor attending a short-term training course (000s) | Females | Female population aged14 and above who have never been to school or, in last 12 months, were either attending primary, secondary or technical/ vocational school or were not in school nor attending a short-term training course (000s) | Total | Total population aged 14 and above who have never been to school or, in last 12 months, were either attending primary, secondary or technical/ vocational school or were not in school nor attending a short-term training course (000s) | Currently attending | Attended in the past |
| Rwanda |  | 15.5 | 2,441 | 15.9 | 3,044 | 15.7 | 5,485 | 1.5 | 14.3 |
| Urban/Rural | Urban | 26.1 | 359 | 17.6 | 421 | 21.5 | 780 | 2.8 | 18.7 |
| 2002 | Rural | 13.7 | 2,082 | 15.7 | 2,623 | 14.8 | 4,705 | 1.3 | 13.5 |
| Province | Kigali City | 27.9 | 235 | 17.8 | 278 | 22.4 | 513 | 2.7 | 19.7 |
|  | Southern Province | 14.7 | 585 | 15.7 | 730 | 15.2 | 1,314 | 1.3 | 14.0 |
|  | Western Province | 14.8 | 586 | 13.4 | 760 | 14.0 | 1,346 | 1.4 | 12.6 |
|  | Northern Province | 13.3 | 453 | 13.6 | 581 | 13.5 | 1,034 | 1.4 | 12.1 |
|  | Eastern Province | 13.7 | 582 | 20.2 | 696 | 17.3 | 1,278 | 1.4 | 15.8 |
| Age (in years) | 14-19 | 2.6 | 677 | 4.9 | 687 | 3.8 | 1,364 | 1.0 | 2.8 |
|  | 20-24 | 14.6 | 419 | 14.5 | 482 | 14.6 | 901 | 2.3 | 12.3 |
|  | 25-29 | 22.1 | 327 | 19.4 | 415 | 20.6 | 741 | 2.2 | 18.4 |
|  | 30-35 | 25.1 | 253 | 17.1 | 344 | 20.5 | 597 | 1.6 | 18.8 |
|  | 36-41 | 25.2 | 184 | 19.7 | 257 | 22.0 | 441 | 1.9 | 20.2 |
|  | 42-47 | 22.9 | 153 | 21.7 | 200 | 22.3 | 352 | 1.5 | 20.7 |
|  | $48+$ | 19.2 | 428 | 22.5 | 660 | 21.2 | 1,088 | . 8 | 20.4 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level <br> attained | Never been to school | 14.6 | 384 | 18.9 | 768 | 17.5 | 1,152 | . 8 | 16.6 |
|  | Did not complete primary | 12.6 | 1,309 | 14.4 | 1,459 | 13.6 | 2,768 | 1.3 | 12.2 |
|  | Completed primary | 20.7 | 700 | 16.1 | 781 | 18.3 | 1,481 | 2.3 | 16.0 |
|  | Completed post primary, secondary or higher | 26.9 | 45 | 12.5 | 34 | 20.7 | 79 | 2.7 | 18.0 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 10.2 | 450 | 14.8 | 583 | 12.8 | 1,033 | 1.1 | 11.7 |
|  | Q2 | 13.4 | 458 | 16.6 | 603 | 15.2 | 1,061 | 1.2 | 14.0 |
|  | Q3 | 14.1 | 479 | 15.4 | 610 | 14.8 | 1,089 | 1.3 | 13.6 |
|  | Q4 | 16.3 | 518 | 17.3 | 632 | 16.9 | 1,151 | 1.8 | 15.0 |
|  | Q5 | 22.2 | 536 | 15.5 | 615 | 18.6 | 1,151 | 2.0 | 16.7 |

Table A4.2 \% of population aged 14 and abovethatwas not in school in last 12 months and that attended short-term training courses, by province, urban/rural, age, education level and consumption quintile, EICV3

|  |  | Population aged14 and abovenot in school in last 12 months and attended short-term training courses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged 14 years and above not in school in last 12 months (000s) | Females | Female population aged 14 years and above not in school in last 12 months (000s) | Total | Total population aged 14 years and above not in school in last 12 months (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 20.5 | 1,922 | 14.8 | 2,057 | 17.5 | 3,979 |
| Urban/ rural 2002 | Urban | 24.5 | 326 | 20.7 | 344 | 22.5 | 669 |
|  | Rural | 19.6 | 1,596 | 13.6 | 1,713 | 16.5 | 3,309 |
| Province | Kigali City | 26.6 | 228 | 20.6 | 240 | 23.5 | 468 |
|  | Southern Province | 18.6 | 448 | 15.3 | 488 | 16.9 | 936 |
|  | Western Province | 17.2 | 437 | 10.1 | 475 | 13.5 | 912 |
|  | Northern Province | 19.2 | 356 | 12.5 | 377 | 15.7 | 733 |
|  | Eastern Province | 23.3 | 452 | 17.6 | 477 | 20.4 | 929 |
| Age (in years) | 14-19 | 1.0 | 229 | 1.5 | 238 | 1.2 | 466 |
|  | 20-24 | 7.0 | 328 | 8.4 | 392 | 7.8 | 720 |
|  | 25-29 | 17.4 | 339 | 11.7 | 392 | 14.3 | 732 |
|  | 30-35 | 28.1 | 293 | 18.3 | 341 | 22.8 | 633 |
|  | 36-41 | 32.9 | 216 | 23.5 | 244 | 27.9 | 459 |
|  | 42-47 | 33.9 | 159 | 25.9 | 156 | 29.9 | 315 |
|  | $48+$ | 28.3 | 358 | 20.9 | 295 | 25.0 | 653 |
| Highest education level attained | Did not complete primary | 11.7 | 1,157 | 7.5 | 1,301 | 9.5 | 2,457 |
|  | Completed primary | 30.1 | 634 | 23.8 | 649 | 26.9 | 1,283 |
|  | Completed post primary, secondary or higher | 51.4 | 130 | 47.7 | 106 | 49.7 | 236 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 11.0 | 297 | 6.8 | 346 | 8.8 | 642 |
|  | Q2 | 16.8 | 327 | 11.1 | 378 | 13.8 | 705 |
|  | Q3 | 18.6 | 369 | 12.5 | 408 | 15.4 | 777 |
|  | Q4 | 20.2 | 414 | 16.5 | 438 | 18.3 | 852 |
|  | Q5 | 29.7 | 515 | 23.5 | 487 | 26.7 | 1,002 |

Table A4.3\% of population aged 14 and above not in school in last 12 months and that attended short-term training courses, by province, urban/rural, age, education level and consumption quintile and relation of short-term course taken to employment, EICV3

|  |  | $\%$ of population aged14 and above not in school in last 12 months and attended short-term training courses | Relation of Training Received to Employment |  |  | Population aged 14 and above not in school in last 12 months (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Training is related to current employment | Training is related to future employment | Training is not related to employment |  |
| Rwanda |  |  | 17.5 | 34.6 | 1.4 | 63.9 | 3,979 |
| Urban/ rural 2002 | Urban | 22.5 | 38.6 | 2.2 | 59.2 | 669 |
|  | Rural | 16.5 | 33.5 | 1.2 | 65.3 | 3,309 |
| Province | Kigali City | 23.5 | 37.5 | 2.3 | 60.2 | 468 |
|  | Southern Province | 16.9 | 33.7 | . 9 | 65.4 | 936 |
|  | Western Province | 13.5 | 30.7 | . 7 | 68.6 | 912 |
|  | Northern Province | 15.7 | 39.5 | 1.5 | 59.1 | 733 |
|  | Eastern Province | 20.4 | 33.4 | 1.8 | 64.8 | 929 |
| Age (in years) | 14-19 | 1.2 | 16.8 | . 0 | 83.2 | 466 |
|  | 20-24 | 7.8 | 25.0 | 5.1 | 69.9 | 720 |
|  | 25-29 | 14.3 | 36.1 | 2.7 | 61.2 | 732 |
|  | 30-35 | 22.8 | 41.5 | . 5 | 58.0 | 633 |
|  | 36-41 | 27.9 | 36.7 | 1.2 | 62.0 | 459 |
|  | 42-47 | 29.9 | 38.9 | . 7 | 60.4 | 315 |
|  | $48+$ | 25.0 | 27.5 | . 7 | 71.8 | 653 |
| Highest <br> education <br> level <br> attained | Did not complete primary | 9.5 | 25.1 | . 8 | 74.1 | 2,457 |
|  | Completed primary | 26.9 | 32.0 | 1.4 | 66.6 | 1,283 |
|  | Completed post primary, secondary or higher | 49.7 | 61.4 | 2.7 | 35.9 | 236 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 8.8 | 19.3 | . 3 | 80.4 | 642 |
|  | Q2 | 13.8 | 29.3 | 1.2 | 69.5 | 705 |
|  | Q3 | 15.4 | 27.4 | . 7 | 72.0 | 777 |
|  | Q4 | 18.3 | 33.0 | 1.3 | 65.7 | 852 |
|  | Q5 | 26.7 | 44.0 | 2.2 | 53.8 | 1,002 |

Table A4.4\% of population aged 14 and above who completed primary school and attended technical/ vocational education, by urban/rural, province, age and consumption quintile, EICV3

|  |  | Population aged14 and abovethat completed primary school and attended technical/vocational education |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged 14 and above that completed primary school (000s) | Females | Female population aged 14 and above that completed primary school (000s) | Total | Population aged 14 and above that completed primary school (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 3.3 | 531 | 2.1 | 581 | 2.7 | 1,112 |
| Urban/rural 2002 | Urban | 4.3 | 59 | 3.3 | 67 | 3.8 | 126 |
|  | Rural | 3.0 | 207 | 1.8 | 224 | 2.4 | 430 |
| Province | Kigali City | 4.7 | 39 | 2.2 | 44 | 3.4 | 84 |
|  | Southern Province | 4.4 | 54 | 4.2 | 64 | 4.3 | 118 |
|  | Western Province | 3.4 | 67 | 2.4 | 65 | 2.9 | 132 |
|  | Northern Province | 2.6 | 43 | . 3 | 52 | 1.3 | 95 |
|  | Eastern Province | 1.7 | 62 | 1.2 | 65 | 1.4 | 127 |
| Age (in years) | 14-19 | 1.7 | 166 | 1.4 | 202 | 1.5 | 367 |
|  | 20-24 | 5.2 | 89 | 2.3 | 83 | 3.8 | 172 |
|  | 25 + | 11.6 | 11 | 21.5 | 6 | 15.1 | 17 |
| Quintile | Q1 | . 8 | 21 | 4.2 | 24 | 2.6 | 45 |
|  | Q2 | 1.3 | 33 | 1.4 | 38 | 1.3 | 71 |
|  | Q3 | 3.9 | 47 | 3.3 | 49 | 3.6 | 96 |
|  | Q4 | 2.8 | 64 | 1.5 | 68 | 2.1 | 132 |
|  | Q5 | 4.5 | 100 | 1.7 | 111 | 3.0 | 211 |

Table A4.5 \% of population aged 14 and above that attended technical/vocational education by technical/ vocational education attendance status and level of school attended after completing primary school, EICV3

|  |  | Total | Level of school attended after completing primary |  |  | Technical/vocational education attendance status |  | Population aged 14 and above that completed primary school (000s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Secondary school | Technical/ vocational school | Both secondary and technical/ vocational schools | Currently attending | Attended in the past |  |
| Rwanda |  |  | 2.7 | 97.2 | 2.7 | . 1 | 2.1 | . 6 | 1,112 |
| Urban/ rural 2002 | Urban | 3.8 | 96.1 | 3.8 | . 1 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 126 |
|  | Rural | 2.4 | 97.6 | 2.4 | . 0 | 1.9 | . 4 | 430 |
| Province | Kigali City | 3.4 | 96.2 | 3.4 | . 4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 84 |
|  | Southern Province | 4.3 | 95.5 | 4.5 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 9 | 118 |
|  | Western Province | 2.9 | 97.1 | 2.9 | . 0 | 2.4 | . 5 | 132 |
|  | Northern Province | 1.3 | 98.7 | 1.3 | . 0 | 1.2 | . 0 | 95 |
|  | Eastern Province | 1.4 | 98.6 | 1.4 | . 0 | 1.3 | . 1 | 127 |
| Age (in years) | 14-19 | 1.5 | 98.3 | 1.6 | . 1 | 1.3 | . 1 | 367 |
|  | 20-24 | 3.8 | 96.1 | 3.9 | . 0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 172 |
|  | $25+$ | 15.1 | 84.9 | 15.1 | . 0 | 11.8 | 3.3 | 17 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 2.6 | 97.4 | 2.6 | . 0 | 2.6 | . 0 | 45 |
|  | Q2 | 1.3 | 98.2 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 8 | . 6 | 71 |
|  | Q3 | 3.6 | 96.4 | 3.6 | . 0 | 3.1 | . 3 | 96 |
|  | Q4 | 2.1 | 97.9 | 2.1 | . 0 | 1.6 | . 4 | 132 |
|  | Q5 | 3.0 | 96.9 | 3.1 | . 1 | 2.2 | . 9 | 211 |

Table A5.1 \% of population aged 19-25 attending an institution of higher learning, by urban/rural, province, age, consumption quintile and sex

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged19-25 (000s) | Females | Female population aged19-25 (000s) | Total | Total population aged19-25 (000s) | Males | Male population aged19-25 (000s) | Females | Female population aged19-25 (000s) | Total | Total population aged19-25 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 2.6 | 672 | 2.5 | 759 | 2.6 | 1,431 | 1.5 | 652 | 1.1 | 713 | 1.3 | 1,365 |
| Urban/ | Urban | 7.8 | 127 | 7.8 | 141 | 7.8 | 268 | 6.3 | 130 | 5.4 | 139 | 5.8 | 269 |
| rural 2002 | Rural | 1.4 | 545 | 1.3 | 618 | 1.3 | 1,163 | . 3 | 522 | . 1 | 574 | . 2 | 1,096 |
| Province | Kigali City | 8.3 | 87 | 7.2 | 101 | 7.7 | 189 | 7.4 | 81 | 5.9 | 83 | 6.7 | 164 |
|  | Southern Province | 1.6 | 151 | 1.8 | 152 | 1.7 | 303 | . 7 | 166 | . 7 | 178 | . 7 | 344 |
|  | Western Province | 2.6 | 155 | 1.7 | 185 | 2.1 | 341 | . 4 | 153 | . 3 | 165 | . 4 | 318 |
|  | Northern Province | 1.5 | 125 | 2.0 | 150 | 1.8 | 276 | . 9 | 109 | . 3 | 132 | . 6 | 241 |
|  | Eastern Province | 1.3 | 153 | 1.7 | 171 | 1.5 | 323 | . 7 | 144 | . 5 | 155 | . 6 | 298 |
| Age | 19 years | . 5 | 98 | . 2 | 106 | . 3 | 203 | . 0 | 88 | . 2 | 93 | . 1 | 181 |
|  | 20 years | 1.0 | 110 | 1.1 | 130 | 1.1 | 240 | . 5 | 112 | . 5 | 115 | . 5 | 228 |
|  | 21 years | 1.9 | 90 | 1.7 | 113 | 1.8 | 202 | 1.9 | 86 | . 3 | 103 | 1.0 | 189 |
|  | 22 years | 1.9 | 97 | 2.3 | 97 | 2.1 | 194 | 1.0 | 93 | 1.0 | 111 | 1.0 | 204 |
|  | 23 years | 5.0 | 97 | 3.1 | 113 | 3.9 | 210 | 2.8 | 102 | 1.9 | 108 | 2.3 | 210 |
|  | 24 years | 4.5 | 86 | 4.3 | 93 | 4.4 | 179 | 1.8 | 82 | 1.8 | 90 | 1.8 | 171 |
|  | 25 years | 4.0 | 95 | 5.4 | 107 | 4.8 | 202 | 2.6 | 90 | 2.3 | 93 | 2.5 | 183 |
| Quintile | Q1 | . 5 | 86 | . 0 | 102 | . 2 | 188 | . 0 | 88 | . 0 | 95 | . 0 | 183 |
|  | Q2 | 1.1 | 101 | . 3 | 123 | . 7 | 224 | . 0 | 97 | . 0 | 131 | . 0 | 228 |
|  | Q3 | . 9 | 126 | . 3 | 142 | . 6 | 267 | . 0 | 118 | . 0 | 139 | . 0 | 257 |
|  | Q4 | . 7 | 155 | . 7 | 178 | . 7 | 333 | . 4 | 148 | . 0 | 148 | . 2 | 296 |
|  | Q5 | 6.7 | 204 | 7.9 | 215 | 7.3 | 419 | 4.6 | 201 | 4.0 | 200 | 4.3 | 401 |

Table A5.2 Population aged19 and above attending an institution of higher learning as \% of population aged19-20, by urban/rural, province,

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Male population aged19-25 (000s) | Females | Female population aged19-25 (000s) | Total | Total population aged19-25 (000s) | Males | Male population aged19-25 (000s) | Females | Female population aged19-25 (000s) | Total | Total population aged19-25 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 7.0 | 672 | 5.3 | 759 | 6.1 | 1,431 | 3.4 | 652 | 2.4 | 713 | 2.9 | 1,365 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 19.0 | 127 | 17.6 | 141 | 18.2 | 268 | 13.2 | 130 | 11.4 | 139 | 12.3 | 269 |
| 2002 | Rural | 4.2 | 545 | 2.5 | 618 | 3.3 | 1,163 | 1.0 | 522 | . 2 | 574 | . 6 | 1,096 |
|  | Kigali City | 22.7 | 87 | 17.2 | 101 | 19.8 | 189 | 15.6 | 81 | 13.8 | 83 | 14.7 | 164 |
|  | Southern Province | 3.2 | 151 | 3.4 | 152 | 3.3 | 303 | 1.2 | 166 | 1.4 | 178 | 1.3 | 344 |
| Province | Western Province | 6.6 | 155 | 3.3 | 185 | 4.8 | 341 | 1.1 | 153 | . 6 | 165 | . 9 | 318 |
|  | Northern Province | 5.3 | 125 | 4.9 | 150 | 5.1 | 276 | 2.8 | 109 | . 6 | 132 | 1.6 | 241 |
|  | Eastern Province | 3.5 | 153 | 2.4 | 171 | 2.9 | 323 | 2.2 | 144 | . 9 | 155 | 1.5 | 298 |
|  | Q1 | . 5 | 86 | . 0 | 102 | . 2 | 188 | . 4 | 88 | . 0 | 95 | . 2 | 183 |
|  | Q2 | 1.1 | 101 | . 3 | 123 | . 7 | 224 | . 0 | 97 | . 0 | 131 | . 0 | 228 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 1.6 | 126 | . 4 | 142 | 1.0 | 267 | . 3 | 118 | . 0 | 139 | . 2 | 257 |
|  | Q4 | 2.2 | 155 | 1.0 | 178 | 1.6 | 333 | 1.0 | 148 | . 2 | 148 | . 6 | 296 |
|  | Q5 | 19.5 | 204 | 17.4 | 215 | 18.4 | 419 | 10.1 | 201 | 8.5 | 200 | 9.3 | 401 |

Table A5.3 Mean number of years attending institutions of higher learning among the population aged19 and above, by urban/rural, province and consumption quintile

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of years attending an institution of higher learning | Population aged19 and above attending institutions of higher learning (000s) | Number of years attending an institution of higher learning | Population aged19 and above attending institutions of higher learning (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 2.3 | 87 | 4.0 | 40 |
| Urban/rural | Urban | 2.5 | 49 | 4.1 | 33 |
| 2002 | Rural | 2.0 | 38 | 3.2 | 7 |
|  | Kigali City | 2.7 | 37 | 4.6 | 24 |
|  | Southern Province | 2.0 | 10 | 4.0 | 5 |
| Province | Western Province | 1.9 | 16 | 3.4 | 3 |
|  | Northern Province | 2.2 | 14 | 1.9 | 4 |
|  | Eastern Province | 2.0 | 9 | 2.9 | 4 |
| Sex | Male | 2.4 | 47 | 4.6 | 22 |
| Sex | Female | 2.2 | 40 | 3.2 | 17 |

Table A6.1 User satisfaction (\%) with schools by urban/rural, province, sex, level and type of school and consumption quintile

|  |  | EICV3 |  | EICV2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% of users satisfied with education services | Population currently attending school (000s) | \% of users satisfied with education services | Population currently attending school (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 81.9 | 3,437 | 66.0 | 2,576 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 87.1 | 526 | 64.5 | 476 |
|  | Rural | 80.9 | 2,911 | 66.3 | 2,100 |
| Province | Kigali City | 88.4 | 334 | 69.1 | 275 |
|  | Southern Province | 85.3 | 787 | 67.5 | 631 |
|  | Western Province | 76.1 | 835 | 66.3 | 615 |
|  | Northern Province | 81.1 | 669 | 65.0 | 474 |
|  | Eastern Province | 82.5 | 812 | 63.4 | 582 |
| Sex | Male | 81.6 | 1,705 | 65.6 | 1,274 |
|  | Female | 82.2 | 1,731 | 66.4 | 1,302 |
| Level of school attended | PrePrimary | 69.5 | 30 |  |  |
|  | Primary | 82.3 | 2,690 | 66.5 | 1,640 |
|  | PostPrimary | 84.2 | 19 | 49.5 | 15 |
|  | Secondary | 81.1 | 611 | 62.3 | 282 |
|  | University | 78.1 | 87 | 75.7 | 29 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 83.2 | 703 | 67.3 | 498 |
|  | Q2 | 80.6 | 685 | 68.2 | 496 |
|  | Q3 | 83.0 | 653 | 63.5 | 505 |
|  | Q4 | 81.1 | 637 | 67.2 | 501 |
|  | Q5 | 81.5 | 760 | 64.1 | 576 |

Table A6.2 Use of separate toilet facilities for boys and girls (\%) at school, by province, urban/rural, sex, level of school and consumption quintiles

|  |  | EICV3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Separate toilet facilities for boys and girls | Population currently attending school (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 87.1 | 3,437 |
| Urban/rural 2002 | Urban | 93.0 | 526 |
|  | Rural | 86.1 | 2,911 |
| Province | Kigali City | 95.4 | 334 |
|  | Southern Province | 89.2 | 787 |
|  | Western Province | 81.3 | 835 |
|  | Northern Province | 84.0 | 669 |
|  | Eastern Province | 90.3 | 812 |
| Sex | Male | 86.7 | 1,705 |
|  | Female | 87.5 | 1,731 |
| Level of school | PrePrimary | 65.1 | 30 |
|  | Primary | 85.8 | 2,690 |
|  | PostPrimary | 88.5 | 19 |
|  | Secondary | 93.5 | 611 |
|  | University | 91.6 | 87 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 84.8 | 703 |
|  | Q2 | 85.6 | 685 |
|  | Q3 | 87.3 | 653 |
|  | Q4 | 87.1 | 637 |
|  | Q5 | 90.6 | 760 |

Literacy rate (\%) among population aged15-24, by urban/rural, province, age and consumption quintile
Table A7.1

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Population aged15-24 (000s) | Females | Population aged15-24 (000s) | Total | Population aged15-24 (000s) | Males | Population aged15-24 (000s) | Females | Population aged15-24 (000s) | Total | Population aged15-24 (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 82.5 | 1,074 | 84.7 | 1,157 | 83.7 | 2,232 | 76.9 | 1047 | 76.8 | 1142 | 76.9 | 2,188 |
| Urban/ | Urban | 88.6 | 171 | 88.9 | 201 | 88.8 | 372 | 83.2 | 189 | 86.1 | 213 | 84.7 | 403 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { rural } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Rural | 81.4 | 903 | 83.8 | 956 | 82.6 | 1,859 | 75.5 | 857 | 74.7 | 928 | 75.1 | 1,786 |
|  | Kigali City | 89.8 | 110 | 88.9 | 139 | 89.3 | 249 | 86.4 | 110 | 86.9 | 128 | 86.6 | 238 |
|  | Southern Province | 78.7 | 245 | 84.3 | 247 | 81.5 | 492 | 75.0 | 258 | 78.8 | 283 | 77.0 | 540 |
| Province | Western Province | 83.5 | 261 | 83.0 | 287 | 83.2 | 548 | 77.5 | 256 | 73.9 | 267 | 75.7 | 524 |
|  | Northern Province | 83.1 | 196 | 85.6 | 224 | 84.4 | 420 | 76.1 | 184 | 76.4 | 212 | 76.2 | 395 |
|  | Eastern Province | 81.7 | 262 | 84.0 | 260 | 82.8 | 522 | 74.7 | 240 | 73.0 | 252 | 73.9 | 492 |
|  | 15-16 | 81.2 | 258 | 88.9 | 253 | 85.0 | 510 | 75.5 | 246 | 79.5 | 263 | 77.6 | 509 |
|  | 17-18 | 86.2 | 239 | 87.8 | 252 | 87.0 | 492 | 74.3 | 244 | 76.8 | 263 | 75.6 | 507 |
|  | 19-20 | 82.8 | 208 | 85.0 | 236 | 83.9 | 444 | 78.8 | 199 | 77.3 | 206 | 78.0 | 405 |
| years) | 21-22 | 82.6 | 186 | 81.0 | 210 | 81.8 | 397 | 76.4 | 177 | 76.0 | 212 | 76.2 | 389 |
|  | 23-24 | 79.4 | 183 | 79.3 | 206 | 79.3 | 389 | 80.8 | 181 | 73.7 | 197 | 77.1 | 379 |
|  | Q1 | 73.9 | 179 | 77.3 | 192 | 75.6 | 371 | 68.1 | 181 | 64.7 | 205 | 66.3 | 386 |
|  | Q2 | 79.6 | 186 | 81.7 | 202 | 80.7 | 388 | 74.1 | 184 | 71.9 | 215 | 72.9 | 399 |
| Quintile | Q3 | 83.3 | 198 | 83.9 | 214 | 83.6 | 412 | 77.6 | 192 | 76.8 | 214 | 77.2 | 406 |
|  | Q4 | 84.9 | 233 | 87.1 | 241 | 86.0 | 474 | 80.8 | 214 | 79.8 | 226 | 80.3 | 440 |
|  | Q5 | 87.6 | 278 | 90.0 | 309 | 88.9 | 587 | 81.1 | 276 | 87.3 | 281 | 84.2 | 557 |

Table A7.2 Literacy rate (\%) among population aged15 and above by urban/rural, province and consumption quintile

|  |  | EICV3 |  |  |  |  |  | EICV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Population aged15 and above (000s) | Females | Population aged15 and above (000s) | Total | Population aged15 and above (000s) | Males | Population aged15 and above (000s) | Females | Population aged15 and above (000s) | Total | Population aged15 and above (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 75.7 | 2,826 | 64.7 | 3,330 | 69.7 | 6,157 | 71.5 | 2,444 | 60.1 | 2,901 | 65.3 | 5,345 |
| Urban/ rural 2002 | Urban | 86.6 | 460 | 79.0 | 516 | 82.6 | 976 | 82.3 | 441 | 74.6 | 501 | 78.2 | 942 |
|  | Rural | 73.6 | 2,366 | 62.0 | 2,814 | 67.3 | 5,180 | 69.1 | 2,003 | 57.1 | 2,400 | 62.6 | 4,403 |
| Province | Kigali City | 90.0 | 315 | 83.7 | 345 | 86.7 | 661 | 85.8 | 264 | 79.4 | 293 | 82.4 | 556 |
|  | Southern Province | 70.2 | 662 | 61.9 | 797 | 65.7 | 1,459 | 68.5 | 625 | 61.4 | 764 | 64.6 | 1,389 |
|  | Western Province | 76.3 | 655 | 61.9 | 796 | 68.4 | 1,451 | 72.1 | 573 | 56.4 | 685 | 63.6 | 1,258 |
|  | Northern Province | 75.0 | 517 | 63.4 | 621 | 68.7 | 1,138 | 68.5 | 434 | 57.5 | 526 | 62.5 | 960 |
|  | Eastern Province | 74.3 | 678 | 62.8 | 771 | 68.2 | 1,449 | 69.6 | 548 | 55.8 | 633 | 62.2 | 1,181 |
| Quintile | Q1 | 62.6 | 465 | 53.6 | 589 | 57.6 | 1,054 | 57.1 | 402 | 46.4 | 533 | 51.0 | 934 |
|  | Q2 | 69.0 | 499 | 58.2 | 627 | 63.0 | 1,126 | 66.1 | 441 | 53.2 | 561 | 58.9 | 1,002 |
|  | Q3 | 74.4 | 538 | 62.0 | 649 | 67.6 | 1,187 | 69.7 | 457 | 58.4 | 562 | 63.5 | 1,019 |
|  | Q4 | 77.9 | 600 | 66.3 | 698 | 71.7 | 1,298 | 74.2 | 505 | 62.8 | 587 | 68.0 | 1,092 |
|  | Q5 | 87.9 | 724 | 79.1 | 767 | 83.3 | 1,491 | 83.4 | 639 | 76.2 | 658 | 79.7 | 1,297 |

Table A7.3 Computer literacy rate (\%) among population aged 15-24 and 15 and above,by province, urban/rural, sex, age and consumption quintile

|  |  | 15-24 years |  | 15 and above |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% confident using a computer | Population aged15-24 (000s) | \% confident using a computer | Population aged15 and above (000s) |
| Rwanda |  | 6.5 | 2,232 | 5.3 | 6,157 |
| Urban/rural$2002$ | Urban | 17.0 | 372 | 17.6 | 976 |
|  | Rural | 4.4 | 1,859 | 3.0 | 5,180 |
| Province | Kigali City | 19.9 | 249 | 21.1 | 661 |
|  | Southern Province | 4.0 | 492 | 2.8 | 1,459 |
|  | Western Province | 4.1 | 548 | 3.2 | 1,451 |
|  | Northern Province | 6.7 | 420 | 5.2 | 1,138 |
|  | Eastern Province | 5.0 | 522 | 3.0 | 1,449 |
| Sex | Male | 7.6 | 1,074 | 7.0 | 2,826 |
|  | Female | 5.5 | 1,157 | 4.0 | 3,330 |
| Quintile | Q1 | . 9 | 371 | . 4 | 1,054 |
|  | Q2 | 1.6 | 388 | . 7 | 1,126 |
|  | Q3 | 3.0 | 412 | 1.4 | 1,187 |
|  | Q4 | 5.0 | 474 | 2.7 | 1,298 |
|  | Q5 | 17.1 | 587 | 17.8 | 1,491 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rwanda Vision 2020, p. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Nine-Year Basic Education Implementation - Fast Track Strategies, p. 3, Ministry of Education (MINEDUC), November 2008.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ NAR and GAR are conceptually different from net enrolment rate (NER) and gross enrolment rate(GER). Although, both pairs of indicators measure access to school, NAR and GAR refer to "attendance" while NER and GER refer to "enrolment". An individual may enrol ata given school class but not necessarily attend it. Both EICV2 and EICV3 surveys collected data on "attendance" and not on "enrolment". Hence, NER and GER cannot be estimated.

[^2]:    A further breakdown by geographic characteristics reveals some interesting trends. Figures 2.1 and 2.2 present the bottom 10 districts with NAR below the national average and the top 10 districts with NAR above the national average, respectively.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ When the population aged seven to 12 is subtracted from the GAR numerator, the result should, in principle, be the population below sevenor above 12 years. However, since age seven is the official age to start primary school in Rwanda, it is unlikely that a child under seven will be found attending primary school. In this sense, then, the actual result should be the population above 12 years. Furthermore, since NAR and GAR share the same denominator, subtracting NAR from GAR indicates the population over 12 years of age that is still attending primary school, expressed as a percentage of the population aged seven to 12 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Ministry of Education, Rwanda 2011 Education Statistics, January 2012, p. 12.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The EICV3 results indicate that about $2 \%$ of the 1.81 million children aged seven to 12 (or about 37,150 ) have a disability.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Results published in January 2012 by MINEDUC place the repetition and dropout rates for 2009 at about $14 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively. This means that, according to this source, about $26 \%$ of the primary school population that year did either repeat a class or drop out of school. Although this is slightly lower than the EICV3 results, estimated at about 30\%, the two estimates look consistent except that, in the case of EICV3, the dropout rate appears to be disguised when compared to the MINEDUC results. This might be due to the questionnaire design. First, the fact that an individual repeats a primary school class, in two successive school years, is not a sufficient condition that he or she failed the corresponding exams. The EICV3 questionnaire design acknowledges thisby including a question on the reasons why the individual repeated the primary (or secondary) school class (seeFigure 2.7 above). However, since this question does not directly address the issue of dropouts ("interrupted studies/dropped out of school" is not included as a response category for this question), it is difficult to capture dropout rates through the EICV3 questionnaire. Only about $13 \%$ of those repeating their 2009 classes in 2010 indicated reasons other than failure of year examinations. Nevertheless, a low dropout rate is consistent with the high GAR observed in primary school. ${ }^{9}$ The age of children presented in this section of the report corresponds to the agethey were during the interview rather than during the occasion they repeated the primary school class. This means that an eight to nine year old child at the moment the survey's fieldwork was conducted might have been a year younger when he or she attended school in 2009.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Results published in January 2012 by MINEDUC indicate repetition and dropout rates for 2009 at $4.4 \%$ and $1.6 \%$, respectively.

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ Technical and Vocational Education in Rwanda, April 2008.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ The question "Have you had problems with the school" was addressed to all individuals attending school during both EICV2 and EICV3, with "No problem" being among the response categories associated with it.

[^10]:    ${ }^{14}$ Respondents were not asked to demonstrate whether they could write or read. The results are based on the report of the person interviewed in the household by the enumerator.

